



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 11072

Received 1st April 2015,
Accepted 27th May 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc02721g

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

In aquo ppm level detection of acrylamide through S-to-N acyl transfer mediated activation of pro-sensors†

Amarendar Reddy M and Aasheesh Srivastava*

Water-soluble pro-sensors for acryl compounds including acrylamide (AM) were developed. Spontaneous conversion to active thiolate (Ph-S and Nap-S) occurs through pH-increase. While Ph-S forms flat nano-tapes with AM, Nap-S acted as a turn-on fluorescence detector, sensing AM up to the ppm level.

Different acrylic monomers such as acrylamide (**AM**) and its precursor acrylonitrile (**AN**), as well as acrylates such as methyl acrylate (**MA**), ethyl acrylate (**EA**) and methyl methacrylate (**MM**) are produced industrially in tonnage quantities. These monomers are employed as raw materials in plastic and textile industries.^{1,2} **AM** is also employed as a flocculent in sewage treatment and as a soil conditioning agent. **AM** is also reported to be present in industrially prepared food items that have been heated above 120 °C,³ and its presence in biological fluids is carefully monitored due to potential negative health effects. The deleterious health effects of **AM** vary with the extent of exposure – while short term contact may result in severe eye and skin irritation, long term exposure may have carcinogenic effects.^{4–6} Most of these compounds have a high tendency to dissolve into water. Due to their widespread use, these monomers can easily leach into water and contaminate it, and their removal from water is not straightforward. While other acrylates mentioned here are highly volatile and can be detected through techniques such as gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS), **AM** is even more challenging to detect and sequester. **AM** has a low vapour pressure and is not amenable to detection by GC-MS. Researchers have developed specific mass spectroscopy methods such as gas chromatography with electron capture detector (GC-ECD) and high-performance liquid chromatography with UV (HPLC-UV) to detect **AM**,^{7–10} although detailed pre-treatment of contaminated samples is required. For example, bromination of **AM** affords 1,2-dibromopropionamide that is unstable in water but has a high partition coefficient in organic solvents, and can be detected by

the GC-ECD method. Additional extraction methods and detection techniques have been developed to enhance the limit of detection. In another protocol, **AM** was reacted with diazoalkane to produce a strong yellow-coloured product that could be detected through colorimetry. However, this colorimetric method works exclusively in an organic phase. All the above methods need chemical modification of **AM** prior to detection, and no suitable chemical method or reagent is available in the literature to detect **AM** in aqueous medium.

Here, we report two water-soluble pro-sensors (**Ph-amn** and **Nap-amn**) for direct detection of acryl compounds including **AM** in aqueous medium. We employed the S-to-N acyl transfer step, akin to the final step of native chemical ligation (Fig. 1a), to activate these pro-sensors.^{11–14} These pro-sensors were synthesized using a common scheme (Scheme S1, ESI†), and differ in the aryl unit attached to the amine end of L-alanine. While **Ph-amn** contains a phenylacetyl unit, **Nap-amn** contains a 1-naphtheleneacetyl residue protecting the amine end of L-alanine. We have been investigating the self-assembly of amino acid derivatives containing such rotationally flexible aromatic N-protecting residues, and have found that these derivatives respond to chemical and physical cues.^{15,16} In the current design, the carboxylic acid end of alanine was converted to thioester using 2-aminoethane thiol. A generalized structure of the compounds can be seen in Fig. 1b. Due to the terminal ammonium residue, these pro-sensors were freely water soluble.




Fig. 1 (a) S-to-N acyl shift in the final step of intein mediated protein splicing. (b) Generalized chemical structure of prosensors **Aryl-amn** (**Ph-amn** and **Nap-amn**) that undergo similar S-to-N acyl shift upon pH increase to form active thiolate sensors (**Ph-S** and **Nap-S**).

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, Bhauli, Indore By-pass Road, Bhopal, 462 066, India. E-mail: asri@iiserb.ac.in

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5cc02721g






Fig. 2 (a) ¹H (400 MHz) NMR spectra in D₂O of **Ph-amn** (10 mg mL⁻¹), and of the product (**Ph-S**) formed upon increasing solution pH using 1 M phosphate buffer (pD = 8). (b) Results of DTNB assay (green symbols) and turbidity measurement (blue symbols) done at different time points after an increase of pH of solution containing **Ph-amn** (4 mg mL⁻¹). The inset shows the initial phase of this reaction. H₂O₂ was added to the sample at 635 min. This time point is indicated by arrow. (c) SEM image of the helical tape-like assemblies present in the precipitate obtained upon addition of H₂O₂ to **Ph-S**.

Upon an increase of pH, these compounds spontaneously undergo S-to-N acyl shift *via* a five membered transition state to yield the active sensor containing thiolate functionality, as indicated in Fig. 1b.

This transformation was characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy in D₂O for the **Ph-amn** pro-sensor (Fig. 2a). An increase of pH (using 1 M phosphate buffer of pD = 8) resulted in the instantaneous formation of **Ph-S**. During the whole process, the aqueous medium remained clear (as can be seen from the low scattering for blue data points in Fig. 2b). In contrast, when **Ph-S** synthesized independently according to Scheme S3, ESI[†] was employed, it required strong heating to get solubilized in water, and then also, started precipitating gradually as the hot aqueous solution cooled. We believe that this is due to extensive intermolecular H-bonding between **Ph-S** molecules in the solid state, which needs to be overcome by water to solubilize it. Thus, use of a pro-sensor seemed to be a more straight-forward approach to yield clear solutions of **Ph-S** for further use.

We investigated the pH-induced spontaneous transformation of **Ph-amn** to **Ph-S** further. ¹H NMR experiments demonstrated rapid and exclusive intra-molecular S-to-N acyl shift of **Ph-amn** to form **Ph-S** (Fig. 2a). The formation of **Ph-S** under these conditions was complete within a couple of minutes. We also performed competitive studies using externally-added 1-amino-hexane (**HA**) as a secondary nucleophile that can attack the thioester **Ph-amn** inter-molecularly (Fig. S1, ESI[†]). Irrespective of whether the pH

increase was undertaken before or after the addition of **HA**, there was no evidence of any intermolecular attack of **HA** on **Ph-amn**. The formation and stability of **Ph-S** were also probed by 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) assay (Fig. 2b). As can be seen in the inset of Fig. 2b, the free thiolate concentration increased very rapidly after pH increase, peaking within about 15 min, before reaching a somewhat lower equilibrium concentration within about 20 min. The minor decrease in free thiolate concentration is presumably due to some aerial oxidation of the thiolate under the basic conditions. However, beyond this point, the thiolate concentration did not change for >10 h unless an external oxidant (such as H₂O₂) was added to the reaction mixture. Addition of H₂O₂ caused an immediate decrease of absorbance at 412 nm (Fig. 2b), due to conversion of **Ph-S** to its disulphide form. We also measured the turbidity of **Ph-S** solution during the whole process. Before H₂O₂ addition, the solution of **Ph-S** had negligible scattering and the sample was visually clear. Addition of H₂O₂ resulted in immediate formation of a copious white precipitate, which was reflected in a sharp increase in the turbidity (Fig. 2b). We confirmed by ¹H NMR and mass spectrometry (Fig. S2 and S3, ESI[†]) that this enhanced turbidity was due to the formation of water-insoluble disulfide (**Ph-SS-Ph**). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation of the precipitate showed the presence of tape like assemblies having a distinct right handed helicity (Fig. 2c), reflecting the chirality present in the molecule.

The above experiment indicated that the thiolate generated upon pH-induced S-to-N acyl transfer was kinetically stable at least for a few hours. We thus exploited the well-known Michael addition of thiolates with Michael acceptors like α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds.^{17,18} Here, we employed **Ph-S** to detect water soluble acryl compounds such as **AM**, **AN**, **MA**, **EA** and **MM** through the formation of Michael adducts with them. Upon addition of one equivalent of any of these compounds to the **Ph-S** solution, we noticed significant precipitation within a short interval (Fig. 3a). For all the acrylates (except **MM**), the precipitate formation saturated within 10 min. With **MM**, it took somewhat longer (*ca.* 20 min) to observe the emergence of the complete turbidity. This may be due to slower kinetics of reaction between **MM** and **Ph-S**. Turbidity measurements showed significantly high scattering values for the samples containing acrylates (Fig. 3b). We confirmed that this screening worked even for **AM** solutions prepared in tap water and also in simulated body fluid (SBF, Fig. 3c), and all test samples were highly turbid while all the control samples had very low turbidity. SBF has pH and ion content similar to that found in human serum plasma. It was used as a surrogate of protein-free biological fluid to exemplify the utility of **Ph-S** in detecting **AM** under biorelevant conditions. We conducted SEM imaging of the precipitates formed from the reaction between the acryl compounds and **Ph-S**. While Michael adducts of **Ph-S** and **AM** formed tape-like nano-assemblies (Fig. 3d), **AN** yielded thin fibres, **MA** yielded tapered cuboids (Fig. S4, ESI[†]). Under similar conditions, **EA** produced flat plate-like assemblies with **Ph-S** while **MM** produced bulky unstructured assemblies. The formation of all the Michael adducts was confirmed by mass spectrometry too






Fig. 3 (a) Digital image of vials containing **Ph-S** (64 mM in 1 M carbonate buffer of pH = 9) to which different acrylates were added. C: control, **AM**: acrylamide, **AN**: acrylonitrile, **MA**: methyl acrylate, **EA**: ethyl acrylate and **MM**: methyl methacrylate. (b) Turbidity profiles of these samples. (c) Turbidity profiles of control (plain bars) and test (shaded bars) samples prepared in distilled water (DW), tap water and SBF. (d) SEM image of the precipitate obtained upon addition of **AM** to **Ph-S** in DW.

(Fig. S5–S9, ESI†). With **Ph-S**, we observed considerable precipitation only at **AM** concentrations of ≥ 14 mM. At 14 mM **AM** concentration, the sample needed to be incubated for about 45 min to obtain the maximum turbidity (Fig. S10, ESI†).

Ph-S thus has a rather poor detection limit (14 mM) for **AM**. To enhance the detection limit, we employed **Nap-amn** that too undergoes rapid intramolecular S-to-N acyl shift upon pH increase (see Fig. S11, ESI† for ^1H NMR of **Nap-S**). Due to the presence of the naphthalene residue in this compound, we could employ fluorescence spectroscopy to detect **AM** using **Nap-S**. The **Nap-S** compound also undergoes analogous Michael reaction with **AM** to yield the adduct shown in Scheme S2, ESI†. To **Nap-S** solution we added different concentrations of **AM**. Generally, **AM** acts as a fluorescence quencher. However, in the present case, the fluorescence intensity of **Nap-S** increased with incremental addition of **AM** (Fig. 4a), while the control sample (to which only water was added) did not show any change. The fluorescence enhancement in test samples is attributed to the formation of the **Nap-S** + **AM** adduct (Fig. S12, ESI†) that exhibits stronger fluorescence compared to **Nap-S**. The enhancement of fluorescence was discernible even at **AM** concentrations as low as 2.5 ppm. For the 16 ppm of **AM**, an incubation time of approximately 30 min was needed to obtain complete enhancement of fluorescence (Fig. 4c). We observed minor, batch-to-batch differences in the fluorescence profiles of **Nap-S** originating from the source of the 1-naphthalene acetic acid precursor. However, this did not alter the ability to detect **AM** by an increase of fluorescence intensity. The powder X-Ray diffraction (PXRD) studies indicated that the **Nap-S** + **AM** adduct is highly amorphous and a broad peak was observed at ca. 23° (Fig. S13, ESI†). This corresponds to a *d*-spacing of 3.6 Å, indicating that the sample has π -stacked naphthalene units. No further information could be extracted from the PXRD due to the amorphous nature of the sample.





Fig. 4 (a) Changes in fluorescence intensity of **Nap-S** solution (1 mM in distilled water) to which incremental amounts of **AM** was added. (b) Enhancement of fluorescence intensity at 326 nm upon addition of **AM** (30 ppm) in DW and SBF (plain bars: control samples, filled bars: samples containing **AM**). (c) Time profile of an increase in fluorescence intensity upon addition of **AM** (final concentration 16 ppm, blue symbols) to **Nap-S** (1 mM), compared to the control sample (red symbols) without **AM**.

In conclusion, aryl-thioester pro-sensors (**Ph-amn** and **Nap-amn**) for detecting water soluble acrylates were synthesized. These compounds were activated through a spontaneous S-to-N acyl shift that occurs upon pH increase. The resulting thiolates provided easy and rapid indication of different water soluble acrylates. With **AM**, either tape-like nanoassemblies were obtained (upon employing **Ph-S**), or enhancement of fluorescence was noticed (with **Nap-S**). Using **Nap-S**, acrylamide could be detected at concentrations ≥ 2.5 ppm in the aqueous medium.

We acknowledge IISER Bhopal for the intramural funding. ARM also thanks IISER Bhopal for the institute fellowship. We acknowledge Mr Somnath Bhagat for independent synthesis and confirmation of the results reported.

Notes and references

- V. A. Myagchenkov and V. F. Kurenkov, *Polym. Plast. Technol. Eng.*, 1991, **30**, 109–135.
- M. R. Jain, C. Jain and R. C. Jain, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **129**, 2536–2543.
- E. Tareke, P. Rydberg, P. Karlsson, S. Eriksson and M. Törnqvist, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2002, **50**, 4998–5006.
- J. G. F. Hogervorst, B. J. Baars, L. J. Schouten, E. J. M. Konings, R. A. Goldbohm and P. A. van den Brandt, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*, 2010, **40**, 485–512.
- A. Shipp, G. Lawrence, R. Gentry, T. McDonald, H. Bartow, J. Bounds, N. Macdonald, H. Clewell, B. Allen and C. Van Landingham, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*, 2006, **36**, 481–608.
- J. M. Rice, *Mutat. Res., Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen.*, 2005, **580**, 3–20.
- V. V. Bessonov, A. D. Malinkin, O. I. Perederiaev, M. N. Bogachuk, S. V. Volkovich and V. Medvedev Iu, *Voprosy pitaniia*, 2011, **80**, 79–83.
- Z. M. Geng, P. Wang and A. M. Liu, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, 2011, **49**, 818–824.



- 9 J. Oracz, E. Nebesny and D. Zyzelewicz, *Talanta*, 2011, **86**, 23–34.
- 10 C. G. Daughlon, Quantitation of acrylamide (and polyacrylamide): critical review of methods for trace determination/formulation analysis & future-research recommendations, The California Public Health Foundation, Final Report No. CGD-02/88, June 1988.
- 11 O. Bol'shakov, J. Kovacs, M. Chahar, K. Ha, L. Khelashvili and A. R. Katritzky, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 2012, **18**, 704–709.
- 12 T. M. Hackeng, J. H. Griffin and P. E. Dawson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1999, **96**, 10068–10073.
- 13 S. B. H. Kent, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 338–351.
- 14 J. S. Zheng, S. Tang, Y. C. Huang and L. Liu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2475–2484.
- 15 A. Reddy M and A. Srivastava, *Soft Matter*, 2014, **10**, 4863–4868.
- 16 P. S. Yavvari, A. Reddy M and A. Srivastava, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 17244–17253.
- 17 D. P. Nair, M. Podgorski, S. Chatani, T. Gong, W. X. Xi, C. R. Fenoli and C. N. Bowman, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 724–744.
- 18 A. B. Lowe, *Polym. Chem.*, 2010, **1**, 17–36.

