ChemComm

COMMUNICATION



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 6785

Received 5th February 2015, Accepted 10th March 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc01083g

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Dioxygenation of cysteamine to hypotaurine at a tris(pyrazolyl)borate iron(II) unit – cysteamine dioxygenase mimicking?†‡

M. Sallmann, B. Braun and C. Limberg*

A novel complex $Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe(SCH_2CH_2NH_2)$ has been synthesized as a speculative model for ADO. Indeed its reaction with O₂ led to the dioxygenation of the S atom and thus to hypotaurine. This finding may allow us to draw conclusions on the constitution of the ADO active site, whose structure is still unknown.

Besides cysteine dioxygenase (CDO) the only other thiol dioxygenase active in mammals is cysteamine (2-aminoethanethiol) dioxygenase (ADO).¹ It catalyses the dioxygenation of the thiol group in 2-aminoethanethiol released during co-enzyme A degradation with dioxygen to produce hypotaurine (Scheme 1).

The structure and mechanism of CDO has been intensely investigated within the last 10 years,^{2–8} whereas ADO has received comparatively little attention. It is known to be based on iron and to belong to the cupin family but apart from that many questions remain open with respect to its active site and functioning.^{1a} The fact that CDO and ADO mediate a similar reaction setting out from a thiol substrate suggests that there is a phylogenetic connection between them.^{1,9}

The CDO active site contains an iron centre surrounded by three facially arranged histidine ligands, and to this unit the S-deprotonated cysteine substrate then binds in a bidentate fashion *via* the amine and the thiolate functions, leaving one coordination site open for the coordination and activation of O_2 .³ Through a complex mechanism the two O atoms are finally transferred to the sulfur atom yielding a cysteine sulfinic acid.



Scheme 1 Oxidation of cysteamine to hypotaurine catalyzed by ADO.¹

analysis as well as crystallographic data. CCDC 1044609. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5cc01083g Modelling this reactivity with molecular compounds is complicated by the tendency of iron(II) thiolates to form Fe^{III} -O–Fe^{III} units and disulfanes upon addition of O₂.⁵ Nevertheless, research aimed at mimicking CDO has met with some success in recent years.^{5–8} After first reports by Goldberg and co-workers on the trioxygenation of thiolates coordinated to iron,⁵ we were able to report the successful coordination and dioxygenation of a protected cysteinato ligand at a (His)₃Fe^{II} analogue, namely a Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe⁺ complex metal fragment.⁷ To test the hypothesis that the ADO active site is related to that of CDO, an investigation of the oxidation of cysteamine at the Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe⁺ scaffold thus suggested itself.

To synthesise a Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe–cysteamine complex a solution of 2-aminoethane thiol in dichloromethane was treated with triethylamine and subsequently a solution of Tp^{Me,Ph}FeCl¹⁰ in the same solvent was added. After stirring for 12 h the work-up yielded a light yellow solid of pure Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe(SCH₂CH₂NH₂), 1, in 43% yield (Scheme 2).

Through slow evaporation of the solvent from a solution of **1** in dichloromethane, crystals could be grown that were investigated *via* X-ray diffraction, and the result is shown in Fig. 1.

As expected S-deprotonated cysteamine coordinates as a chelating ligand, so that a distorted trigonal bipyramidal coordination sphere results for the iron(II) centre ($\tau = 0.57$). The Fe–S and Fe–N bond lengths of 2.3174(6) Å and 2.2520(18) Å differ only slightly from those found in the cysteine-based analogue.⁷ As in the latter, the iron centre is prepared for O₂ binding and activation, since sufficient space remains open within its



Scheme 2 Synthesis of [Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe(SCH₂CH₂NH₂)], 1.



View Article Online

Department of Chemistry, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Brook-Taylor-Str. 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany. E-mail: christian.limberg@chemie.hu-berlin.de † Dedicated to Professor Dr Manfred Scheer on the occasion of his 60th birthday. ‡ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: The method, synthesis and



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 1 (all hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Fe–S 2.3174(6), Fe–N7 2.2520(18), Fe–N1 2.1531(16), Fe–N3 2.1102(16), Fe–N5 2.2489(16), and S–Fe–N7 84.96(5).

coordination sphere and it exists in its high-spin state: the effective magnetic moment of **1** as determined for a C_6D_6 solution by the Evans method at room temperature amounted to 5.36 μ_B , which is close to the spin-only value expected for four unpaired electrons (4.90 μ_B).

To investigate the reactivity of $[Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe(SCH_2CH_2NH_2)]$ towards O₂ a solution of **1** in dichloromethane was exposed to O₂ under anhydrous conditions. Within 4 h a colour change from light yellow to dark green occurred that was monitored by UV/Vis spectroscopy (Fig. 2).

In the course of the reaction a shoulder found in the spectrum of $[Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe(SCH_2CH_2NH_2)]$, **1**, at 360 nm ($\varepsilon = 231 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), which may be assigned to a S \rightarrow Fe charge-transfer, loses in intensity and after 6 h it is vanished almost completely. A similar observation had been made in the case of the cysteinate complex, and it may indicate the cleavage of the Fe–S bond.



Fig. 2 UV/Vis spectral changes in course of the reaction of **1** (blue) dissolved in dichloromethane with O_2 within 6 h (red); the inset shows the behaviour of the 360 nm absorbance with time.

The final reaction mixture was also investigated by ESI-MS. A dichloromethane solution of 1 gives rise to a major signal at 615.18 m/z corresponding to $[1]^+$ as well as to a signal at 638.18 m/z assigned to the Na⁺ adduct of 1 (see ESI[‡]). After O₂ treatment two new signals were observed at 670.17 m/z, matching the spectrum calculated for $[(1 + O_2) + Na]^+$, as well as a signal at 685.16 m/z belonging to the corresponding K⁺ analogue (as for sodium ions, potassium ions from the environment are also sometimes observed to form adducts⁷). To confirm these assignments, experiments with ¹⁸O-enriched dioxygen (99%) were also performed. In the ESI-TOF spectrum recorded subsequently from the reaction solution, a shift of the $[(1 + O_2) + Na]^+$ peak by four mass units to 674.18 m/z could be observed, indicating that in the course of the reaction with O2, two O atoms are incorporated, that is, a dioxygenation takes place (see ESI[‡]). Under the more dilute conditions of the ¹⁸O₂ experiment additionally a signal at 697.25 m/z appeared, which could not be assigned. It sometimes was also observed in the ¹⁶O₂ experiments and thus does not belong to an oxygenation product.

Recording an EPR spectrum of the final product mixture in the perpendicular mode did not reveal any signal, that is, the sample is EPR silent, as one would expect for a product complex with iron in the oxidation state +II.

To demonstrate that the dioxygenation occurs selectively at the cysteamine ligand, a work-up procedure was developed for the reaction mixture, which finally allowed for the isolation of the cysteamine part of the reaction product. First of all three compounds were conceivable and had to be distinguished: (a) cysteamine in the case of selective oxygenation of the Tp ligand, (b) the sulfinic acid hypotaurine after selective dioxygenation of the cysteamine ligand and (c) the corresponding sulfenic acid as a product of monooxygenation. Beyond that, two other products had to be considered, although these are not in agreement with the ESI-TOF results: SS-bond formation, which often occurs upon coordination of thiolates to highly oxidized metal centres, leads to the disulphide (e), a triple-oxygenation, as observed in the case of some models for the CDO reported in the literature,^{5,6} to taurine (d), as shown in Scheme 3.

The work-up of the reaction mixture was performed by addition of 3 M hydrochloric acid as well as chelex in MeOH to separate all iron ions, and washing with toluene removed the decomposition product of Tp. The investigation of all residual



Scheme 3 The reaction of **1** with O_2 and conceivable products resulting from work-up: cysteamine (a), hypotaurine (b), 2-aminoethanesulfenic acid (c), taurine (d) and cystamine (e).



Fig. 3 Comparison of the ¹H-NMR spectra of the isolated oxidation product (black), cysteamine (dark blue), cystamine (light blue), hypotaurine (grey) and taurine (green) dissolved in D_2O .

organic components was performed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, and the spectrum obtained was then compared with the spectra of cysteamine, hypotaurine, taurine and cystamine, all of which feature two (pseudo) triplets at characteristic chemical shifts (see Fig. 3).

Clearly, the spectrum of hypotaurine fitted best to the one recorded after work-up, although the low-field triplet occurred shifted by 0.09 ppm to higher field. To test whether impurities like residual iron ions are responsible for this shift, commercially obtained hypotaurine was added to the sample of the oxygenation product, and as still only one signal set was observed afterwards, it thus became clear that hypotaurine is indeed the dioxygenation product. Consequently, the reaction of **1** with O₂ leads to the product $[Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe(O_2SCH_2CH_2NH_2)]$, **2**. Due to the instability of **2** all attempts to grow single crystals that might have allowed the characterization *via* X-ray diffraction have failed so far.

Altogether the results suggest the following logic operation: the active site structure of ADO is still unknown but it may resemble that of CDO, which shows rather similar reactivity. The active centre of CDO contains an iron(II) ion coordinated by three histidine residues, which binds the cysteine in a chelating fashion. In previous work a TpFe^{II} complex with a cysteinate ethyl ester ligand proved capable of mimicking the CDO both structurally and functionally.⁷ Hence, in this work a complex Tp^{Me,Ph}Fe(SCH₂CH₂NH₂), **1**, has been synthesized as a speculative model for ADO. Indeed its reaction with O₂ led to the dioxygenation of the S atom and thus to hypotaurine. This result lends further support to the hypothesis that the active sites of CDO and ADO are quite similar.

We are grateful to the Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin for financial support. We also thank the Cluster of Excellence "Unifying Concepts in Catalysis" funded by the DFG for helpful discussions.

Notes and references

- (a) J. E. Dominy, Jr., C. R. Simmons, L. L. Hirschberger, J. Hwang, R. M. Coloso and M. H. Stipanuk, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2007, 282, 25189–25198; (b) beyond that two bacterial homologues of CDO were identified, which catalyse the oxidation of 3-mercaptopropionate: J. E. Dominy Jr., C. R. Simmons, L. L. Hirschberger, J. Hwang, R. M. Coloso and M. H. Stipanuk, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2007, 282, 25189.
- 2 J. G. McCoy, L. J. Bailey, E. Bitto, C. A. Bingman, D. J. Aceti, B. G. Fox and G. N. Phillips, Jr., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2006, 103, 3084–3089.
- 3 S. Ye, X. Wu, L. Wei, D. Tang, P. Sun, M. Bartlam and Z. Rao, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2007, **282**, 3391–3402.
- 4 S. Aluri and S. P. de Visser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 14846-14847.
- 5 Y. Jiang, L. R. Widger, G. D. Kasper, M. A. Siegler and D. P. Goldberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 12214–12215.
- 6 Y. M. Badiei, M. A. Siegler and D. P. Goldberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 1274–1277.
- 7 M. Sallmann, I. Siewert, L. Fohlmeister, C. Limberg and C. Knispel, Angew. Chem., 2012, 124, 2277–2280 (Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 2234–2237).
- 8 A. C. McQuilken, Y. Jiang, M. A. Siegler and D. P. Goldberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8758–8761.
- 9 D. Buongiorno and G. D. Straganz, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2013, 257, 541-563.
- 10 T. Tietz, C. Limberg, R. Stößer and B. Ziemer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 10010–10020.