The synthesis and electrochemical characterization of bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide-based protic ionic liquids†
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A protic ionic liquid containing the FSI anion has been synthesized for the first time and used as an electrolyte in an electrochemical storage device. This PIL-based electrolyte outperforms commonly used aprotic ionic liquids, maintaining the advantages and safety of ionic liquid-based electrolytes.

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are presently considered as one of the most important electrochemical storage devices and in the last few years these devices have been proposed and introduced in a large number of new applications.5 In most of the new applications foreseen for LIBs, e.g. electric vehicles, safety represents a key aspect. Nevertheless, the commercially available LIBs contain electrolytes based on organic carbonates (e.g. ethylene carbonate (EC), diethyl carbonate (DEC)), which are highly flammable and volatile.7 In order to successfully introduce LIBs into the above-mentioned new applications the introduction of new and safer electrolytes is needed.

Ionic liquids (ILs) are currently regarded as one of the most promising alternative electrolytes for LIBs.3 As a matter of fact, ILs display high thermal stability and extremely low volatility and it has been shown that the use of these alternative electrolytes might improve the safety of LIBs.5 Nevertheless, the performance of LIBs containing ILs as electrolytes is still not fully comparable with that of conventional devices. Particularly, the reduced lithium-ion transport typically observed in IL-based electrolytes represents a serious drawback, especially when high current densities are applied.

So far, basically all investigations concerning the use of IL as electrolytes for LIBs have been carried out using aprotic ionic liquids (AILs). Nevertheless, in a series of recent reports we showed that protic ionic liquids (PILs) represent a very promising alternative to AILs.5 PIL-based electrolytes for LIBs display all the favourable properties of those based on AILs.6 However, thanks to the unique lithium environment present in the PIL, the use of this type of ILs makes possible the realization of LIBs with much higher performance at high current densities compared to that possible with AILs.7 Furthermore, since PILs are typically cheaper than AILs, the introduction of these innovative electrolytes could also be of importance for the development of safer and cheaper IL-based LIBs.

So far, only a very limited number of PILs have been used as electrolytes for LIB. These PILs contained pyrrolidinium and triethylammonium as cations, while bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (TFSI) was used as the anion in most of the cases.5,7

It is well known that the selection of ions has a dramatic influence on the chemical–physical properties of ILs.6 Therefore, the synthesis and investigation of new types of PILs, having different anions and cations with respect to those so far investigated, appears to be of importance. As a matter of fact, such an investigation could give important indication about the ions, which should be preferably selected for the synthesis of PILs designed for LIB applications.

In the past, it has been shown that the use of the anion bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (FSI) is of particular interest in view of the realization of advanced IL-based electrolytes for LIBs. As a matter of fact, FSI-based ILs typically display lower viscosities (due to the smaller size of the anions) and higher conductivities than those containing TFSI.9

Taking this point into account, the investigation of FSI-based PILs appears therefore to be of great interest as it could provide important information in view of the realization of advanced LIBs containing ILs as electrolytes. To the best of our knowledge, however, there are no reports available about either the synthesis or the chemical–physical and electrochemical characterization of FSI-based PILs.

In this work we report for the first time about the synthesis, and chemical–physical and electrochemical characterization of an FSI-based PIL, N-butylpyrrolidinium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (PYR\textsubscript{4}FSI). Initially, the synthesis of PYR\textsubscript{4}FSI is described in detail. Afterward, the viscosity and conductivity of this PIL were
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evaluated and compared to that of the AIL \( N \) -butyl-\( N \) -methyl-pyrrolidinium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (PYR\(_{14}\)FSI). Finally, both ILs were mixed with lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (LiFSI) to form electrolytes suitable for LIBs. The performance of devices containing these electrolytes was investigated and compared with that of systems using conventional electrolytes.

Fig. 1 schematizes the synthetic procedure used for the synthesis of PYR\(_{4}\)FSI, which is similar to that previously described in ref. 10. The PYR\(_{4}\)FSI was characterized via NMR as follows: \(^1H\)-NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 0.94–0.98 \) (t, 3H, CH\(_3\)); 1.37–1.46 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)); 1.68–1.76 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)); 2.08–2.22 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)); 2.99–3.08 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)); 3.13–3.19 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)); 3.71–3.78 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)); 7.50 (s, 1H, NH) ppm. \(^{13}C\)-NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 13.46; 19.68; 22.96; 27.92; 55.09; 56.14 \) ppm. \(^{19}F\)-NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 53.18 \) ppm. (The NMR spectra of PYR\(_{4}\)FSI can be found in the ESI\(^+\)).

The water content of PYR\(_{4}\)FSI was found to be less than 10 ppm.

Fig. 2 compares the conductivity and the viscosity of PYR\(_{4}\)FSI with those of the AIL PYR\(_{14}\)FSI and the commercial electrolyte LP30 (containing 1 M LiPF\(_6\) in EC : DMC 1 : 1 w : w). As shown, the conductivity of both ILs is lower than that of LP30, but is similar over the entire investigated temperature range. This similarity in terms of conductivity between AILs and dry PILs has been already observed in ILs containing the TFSI anion,\(^7\) and it is therefore not unexpected. At 30 \(^\circ\)C, PYR\(_{4}\)FSI displays a conductivity of 7.4 mS cm\(^{-1}\). This value is significantly higher than those observed for dry PIL having the TFSI anion (e.g. PYR\(_{4}\)TFSI, which displays a conductivity of 4.0 mS cm\(^{-1}\)). Furthermore, it is also important to notice that this value of conductivity is among the highest so far reported for dry PILs. As shown in Fig. 2B, the viscosity of the two ILs is considerably higher than that of LP30. However, in contrast to the conductivity, the viscosity of the two considered ILs is very different, and over the whole temperature range the viscosity of PYR\(_{4}\)FSI is higher than that of PYR\(_{14}\)FSI. At room temperature the difference between these two ILs is quite marked, and the viscosity of the PIL is more than 38% lower than that of the AIL. When the temperature is increased, the difference between the two ILs becomes smaller. The lower viscosity of PYR\(_{14}\)FSI is most likely due to the smaller size of the cation forming this IL compared to that of PYR\(_{4}\)FSI. Furthermore, the ion–ion interaction taking place in these two ILs is also likely to be different, leading to a lower viscosity for the PILs.\(^{11}\) As for the conductivity, it is important to remark that the viscosity of PYR\(_{14}\)FSI is significantly (almost 3 times) lower than that of dry PIL having the TFSI anions. Taking into account the values of conductivity and viscosity of PYR\(_{4}\)FSI, in the case of PIL, as also for the AIL, the use of the FSI anion appears to be favourable for the design of IL with high conductivity and low viscosity.

In order to realize an electrolytic solution suitable for use in LIBs, PYR\(_{4}\)FSI was mixed with the lithium salt LiFSI (1 M). With the aim of further investigating the difference between AIL and PIL-based electrolytes, a solution of 1 M LiFSI in PYR\(_{14}\)FSI was also prepared.

Table 1 reports a comparison of the cathodic and anodic limits, as well as of the overall electrochemical stability window (ESW) of the investigated electrolytes. As shown, while the anodic stability of the three electrolytes is comparable, the cathodic stability limit of the PIL-based electrolyte is 2 V smaller than that of the AIL and LP30. Consequently, the ESW of the PIL-based electrolyte is 2 V lower than that of the other electrolyte. The lower overall ESW of PIL-based electrolytes compared to that of PIL-based electrolytes and organic electrolytes has been already reported in literature.\(^{12,13}\) Therefore, such a result is not surprising. Nevertheless, it is
important to remark that it has been shown that PIL-based electrolytes can also be successfully used in combination with carbonaceous anodes for LIB, e.g. graphite and soft carbon, and that the performances of PIL-based systems are never lower than those of AIL-based one.\textsuperscript{13} Thus, taking these results into account, the reduced ESW of PIL does not seem to hinder the compatibility of PIL-based electrolytes for battery materials.

The electrolytes investigated were used for the realization of a lithium-ion system containing a lithium iron phosphate (LFP)-based positive electrode and an activated carbon (AC)-based negative electrode (all details about electrode realization, composition and testing are provided in the ESI\textsuperscript{†}). The test with LP30 was carried out with the aim of evaluating the performance difference between systems containing conventional electrolytes and IL-based electrolytes.
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**Fig. 3** Specific capacity vs. C-rate (A) and corresponding potential profiles (B) of 1 M LiFSI in PYR\textsubscript{14}FSI, 1 M LiFSI in PYR\textsubscript{14}FSI and standard electrolyte LP30. A silver wire was used as pseudo-reference and an oversized activated carbon-based electrode as counter electrode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Cathodic limit</th>
<th>Anodic limit</th>
<th>Overall stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LP30</td>
<td>−3.0 V</td>
<td>2.0 V</td>
<td>5.0 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 M LiFSI in PYR\textsubscript{14}FSI</td>
<td>−3.0 V</td>
<td>2.0 V</td>
<td>5.0 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 M LiFSI in PYR\textsubscript{14}FSI</td>
<td>−1.0 V</td>
<td>2.0 V</td>
<td>3.0 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1  Comparison of the electrochemical stabilities of the investigated electrolytes at 30 °C (working electrode Pt, scan rate: 1 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}; all values refer to Ag reference)

The electrolyte containing 1 M LiFSI in PYR\textsubscript{14}FSI is presently considered as one of the most suitable AIL-based electrolytes for high power applications. From the results reported above, it is evident that the use of PIL-based electrolytes is clearly more advantageous for the realization of high performance systems compared to the use of AIL-based electrolytes on LIBs.

After these tests, the cycling stability in the three electrolytes was also investigated. Fig. 4 shows the evolution of the LFP discharge capacity (over 50 cycles), as obtained during the charge–discharge test carried out at 1C. As shown, the systems cycled in 1 M LiFSI in PYR\textsubscript{14}FSI and LP30 display a very comparable capacity of more than 135 mA h g\textsuperscript{−1}, stable over
During the cycling the capacity of the electrode increased and after 50 cycles reached a value of ca. 130 mA h g⁻¹. Such an increase of capacity has been already observed in IL-based electrolytes and it is originated due to electrode wetting, which increases over cycles. Interestingly, such an increase is not observed in the PIL-based electrolytes. As shown in the figure, the coulombic efficiency of the charge–discharge process was very high (close to 100%) in all electrolytes, indicating that all of them are suitable for the realization of devices with stable performance.

In conclusion, the results of this investigation showed, for the first time, that FSI-based PILs, e.g. PYR₁₄FSI, are very promising electrolytes for LIB. The synthesis of PYR₁₄FSI is a rather simple process, which leads to the realization of very pure ILs. When used dry, PYR₁₄FSI displays high conductivity and low viscosity at room and higher temperatures. We showed that using electrolytes containing this PIL it is possible to realize devices with significantly higher performance than those containing classical aprotic ionic liquids, e.g. PYR₁₄FSI. Although the performance observed in 1 M LiFSI in PYR₁₄FSI does not fully match those achievable in conventional electrolytes, the use of FSI-based PIL appears to be extremely appealing for the realization of high performance IL-based LIBs. Further investigations into these PILs are certainly necessary. Nevertheless, the results of this study can be seen as a significant step towards the realization of high performance IL-based systems, able to fill the performance gap existing between these innovative electrolytes and the conventional ones.
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