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In this communication, we present a nanoscale reactor assembled from tuneable and spatially addressable tubular DNA origami units. We can controllably combine separate origami units equipped with glucose oxidase (GOx) and horseradish peroxidase (HRP), and demonstrate efficient GOx/HRP enzyme cascade reaction inside the tube. The reactor could be utilized as a nanoscale diagnostic tool, and modularity of the proposed system would further enable more complex reactions.

Nanoscale engineering has shown substantial potential to revolutionize a wide range of scientific fields making e.g. novel medical treatments¹ and miniaturizing electronics possible.² For biochemical applications, precise organization of materials on nanoscale could enable customized machinery that are able to mimic complex natural systems found in living cells.³ Numerous parallel multistep reactions can go on in the cells with exceptional efficiency and specificity including catalytic cycles. This is achieved via compartmentalization:⁴ enzymes are appropriately arranged in the micro-/nanoreactors, which control the flow of molecules through these domains and also separate different reaction compounds from each other.

Various materials and approaches can be used for encapsulating catalysts, such as sol–gel materials,⁴ and efficient catalytic reactions have been realized by utilizing for example porous polymersomes,⁵,⁶ carbon nanotubes,⁷,⁸ viruses,⁹,¹⁰ inorganic nanocrystal–protein complexes¹¹ and nanosized ferrous matrices¹² as scaffolds for the catalysts. However, during recent years, the possibility to create exact and complex bio compatible nanoarchitectures by using DNA as a building material has markedly emerged.¹³–¹⁵ Especially the ‘DNA origami’ technique has become a widely used method to fabricate arbitrary spatially well-controlled two- (2D)¹⁶ and three-dimensional (3D) nanostructures.¹⁷ The customized shapes and the nanoscale addressability of materials on DNA structures through rational design have yielded various interesting bionanotechnological applications including sophisticated drug delivery vehicles,¹⁸ artificial ion channels,¹⁹ gatekeepers for solid-state nanopores²⁰–²² and molecular scale electronic circuit boards.²³–²⁵ A DNA origami technique could be equally utilized in assembling enzyme systems for designed cascade reactions and studying the enzyme functions²⁶ and reaction pathways.²⁷ There exist a variety of examples of DNA-based enzyme systems²⁸–³² but only the very recent approaches have taken advantage of the superior addressability of the DNA origami technique. These origami-based enzyme cascade arrangements include a distance-adjustable glucose oxidase (GOx)–horseradish peroxidase (HRP) pair assembled on a rectangular origami³³ and a similar system, where the 2D flat DNA sheet equipped with the enzyme pair was rolled into a confined tube, thus resulting in the encapsulation of the catalysts.³⁴ Lately, a multi-enzyme reaction with a swinging arm geometry was built and demonstrated on a DNA-tile substrate.³⁵

In this communication, we propose a modular enzyme cascade nanoreactor that is comprised of robust 3D DNA origami building blocks (see Fig. 1A). Each DNA origami unit can act as a building block hosting a chosen catalyst (or any other desired function). These blocks can be further controllably assembled together in any desired order thus forming a defined-size tubular nano factory with a tailored assembly line. Here, we demonstrated the feasibility of the method by using two distinct units with either GOx- or HRP-enzymes anchored inside the origami compartment (Fig. 1B). The units were fabricated and purified separately, and efficiently glued together via programmable DNA base pairing. Finally, the catalytic activity of a two-unit nanoreactor was monitored in the environment containing o-glucose as a reactant and 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) as a reporter (Fig. 1B).

The modular nanoreactor was assembled in a stepwise process, starting with the preparation of DNA origami building blocks. Two structurally different units were fabricated by annealing an M13mp18
scaffold strand with the set of either 187 (GOx-origami) or 183 staple strands (HRP-origami). Each of the units contained 3 strands having biotin protruding from the inner surface of the tubular structure. NeutrAvidins (NTVs) were added to the units via biotin–avidin interaction in order to facilitate further binding of enzymes. A unit loaded with NTVs is presented in Fig. 1A. After NTVs were incorporated into the origami, biotinylated enzymes (B-GOx or B-HRP) were attached to these units through the NTV binding sites (Fig. 1B). Between each step excess amounts of staple strands and unbound NTVs were removed by spin-filtering. In addition, an excess amount of HRP was removed using the same technique. See ESI† for the details.

The formed GOx- and HRP-origamis were connected together by hybridizing 32 short (3–6 bases) sequences. The short sequences sticking out at the end of one unit were paired with free scaffold sites located at the edge of another unit.37 In order to prevent the formation of multimers, the other end of the origami unit was passivated by overhanging single-stranded poly-T sequences (TTTTTTTTT). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of monomer units and the dimers assembled from the equal amounts of monomers within 1 day incubation at room temperature are shown in Fig. 2A and B. Fig. 2C displays agarose gel electrophoresed monomers and dimers revealing a high yield of the dimer formation (after the incubation of monomers, nearly 90% of all objects observed under TEM were correctly formed dimers, see ESI†). By choosing the strands that connect the units uniquely, this programmable method could be generalized to well-defined modular multimers, thus enabling customized and more complex assembly lines.

Before studying the catalytic activity of a dimer nanoreactor, the units with enzymes were tested separately. In the experiments, the concentration increase of the final product TMB$^*$ (see Fig. 1B) produced by a purified (spin-filtered) DNA origami unit equipped with either HRP (substrate containing TMB and H$_2$O$_2$) or GOx (substrate with sodium acetate, TMB, D-glucose and B-HRP) was characterized. The activity of these units was compared to samples that were fabricated and treated similarly but did not contain NTV binding sites. The results indicate that both units are indeed able to catalyze reactions, and furthermore, that the units show significantly higher maximum reaction rates than the controls (see ESI† for initial rates and Fig. 4 for details).

After the performance of single units was verified, the activity of the dimer nanoreactor equipped with the GOx–HRP cascade was explored by mixing the dimer solution (initial concentration ~1 nM, final concentration in the measurement ~100 pM) with sodium acetate-based (pH 5, 2.5 mM) substrate containing TMB (250 μM) and α-glucose (20 mM). The reactant glucose and the reporter TMB were added in excess amounts in order to achieve a reaction that is restricted by the diffusion rate of the intermediate product H$_2$O$_2$. The activity of the purified dimer nanoreactor (excess amount of HRP removed by spin-filtering) was compared to the reference dimer, which did not contain NTV binding sites (the samples were treated identically with enzymes and equally spin-filtered) (see Fig. 3). In addition, just the substrate without any enzymes or origamis (blank sample) was used as a control.
for larger molecules unable to diffuse through the barriers of the origami unit. The nanoreactor could be considered analogous to porous zeolites (molecular sieves) and on the other hand, the nanoreactor could efficiently process materials similar to holoenzymes. In addition, the tubular DNA vessels could be used for transporting cargo or an incorporated functional device into cells. This could be realized e.g. via virus38 or lipid bilayer encapsulation of DNA units. Thus, the proposed system could open up a cornucopia of opportunities for intriguing applications in synthetic biology and bionanotechnology.
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