## **Analyst**



## CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: Analyst, 2015, 140, 3290

## Correction: Testing and validating electroanalytical simulations

Enno Kätelhön and Richard G. Compton\*

DOI: 10.1039/c5an90033f

www.rsc.org/analyst

Correction for 'Testing and validating electroanalytical simulations' by Enno Kätelhön and Richard G. Compton, *Analyst*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/c4an02276a.

In eqn (15), we provide an expression for the voltammetric peak separation in a voltammogram recorded under Nernstian conditions and a 1:1 stoichiometry at a microdisk electrode:

$$\Delta E_{\rm pp} = 2.218 \frac{RT}{nF} \tag{15}$$

Following this equation it should say "...in the limit of fast scan rates,  $\sigma \to \infty$ , or in the large-electrode limit. In the  $\sigma \to 0$  limit the voltammetric peaks disappear and  $\Delta E_{\rm pp}$  is no longer helpful.".

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.