Tuning the optoelectronic properties of dual-acceptor based low-bandgap ambipolar polymers by changing the thiophene-bridge length†
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Three very-low-bandgap dual-acceptor based polymers containing diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) and a thiadiazoloquinoxaline (TQ) derivative were prepared. Both acceptors in these polymers were separated by one, two and three thiophenes. By only inserting one thiophene between DPP and benzodithiophene condensed TQ, the polymer PDPP-T-TQ shows a very low optical bandgap of 0.60 eV with an electron affinity of −4.23 eV. Optical and electrochemical bandgaps of the polymers were enlarged with increasing the thiophene-bridge length between both acceptors. GIWAXS measurements confirmed that the polymer with three thiophene bridges (PDPP-3T-TQ) showed an ordered arrangement of the crystallites, providing the best ambipolar device performance among these polymers.

Introduction

Conjugated polymers with a very low bandgap (≤1.0 eV) have been drawing substantial attention due to their broad and near-infrared (NIR) absorption, multiple redox states in a small potential window, ambipolar charge carrier transport, and potential use in sensors, batteries, and supercapacitors.1 In a significant breakthrough study, Wudl and his coworkers reported a poly(isothianaphthene) with an energy bandgap of ~1.0 eV due to a strong quinoidal character in the thiophene ring.2–4 Recently, tailoring donor–acceptor (D–A) interactions in D–A copolymers has proven to be an effective strategy for developing very low bandgap polymers. The combination of strong donors and acceptors with the quinoidal character has produced soluble polymers with optical bandgaps ($E_g^{\text{opt}}$) lower than 0.70 eV, for example, P(DTP-BThBTT)5 and P(CPDT-TQ)6 (Fig. 1).

However, many low bandgap polymers containing one strong acceptor carry more holes than electrons, such as those derived from benzbisthiadiazole (BBT)7,8 and diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP).9,10 Balanced charge carrier transporting polymers are highly desirable for ambipolar OFETs, which allows both p-type and n-type channels to be realized in one device with simple fabrication processes.11 For this purpose, a dual-acceptor design strategy has been proposed to construct D–A1–D–A2 polymers.12–17 For example, PDPB-BBT (Fig. 1) exhibited an $E_g^{\text{opt}}$ of around 0.65 eV and ambipolar charge transporting behavior with mobilities of 1.17 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for holes and 1.32 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for electrons.18 In comparison with the polymers composed of only one strong acceptor, dual-acceptor polymers with very low bandgap and balanced charge carrier transport are rarely studied.

In this paper, we report the combination of two high electron affinity acceptors, DPP and benzodithiophene condensed TQ in one polymer backbone. As previously demonstrated, this condensed TQ was a new strong acceptor and a corresponding D–A copolymer exhibited a very low $E_g^{\text{opt}}$ of 0.76 eV and hole-dominant ambipolar behavior.19,20 On the other hand, DPP has been widely studied as a strong acceptor in low bandgap polymers because numerous DPP-based polymers have

Fig. 1  Reported polymers with very low bandgap (≤1.0 eV).
revealed excellent performance as ambipolar semiconductors.\textsuperscript{10,21} Therefore, it can be expected that the combination of the two highlighted acceptors could endow the resulting dual-acceptor polymers desired characteristics of both very low bandgap and balanced ambipolarity. Three copolymers (PDPP-T-TQ, PDPP-2T-TQ and PDPP-3T-TQ in Scheme 1) were designed and synthesized, in which the two acceptors are separated in the polymer backbone by oligothiophene bridges with varying lengths in order to elaborately tune the optoelectronic properties and charge carrier transport.

### Results and discussion

#### Synthesis and characterization

The synthesis of the three polymers is outlined in Scheme 1. In order to introduce different oligothiophenes between DPP and condensed TQ, three TQ monomers (3, 4 and 7) were synthesized by flanking thiophenes onto condensed TQ at both sides. Monomer 3 was synthesized from 1 and 2 via a condensation reaction. Monomer 7 was prepared from Br\textsubscript{2}-BDTTQ-3 after two-step reactions, Stille coupling and bromination. The Suzuki coupling reaction was carried out between monomer 3, 4 or 7 and DPP-diboronic ester (8) to give the corresponding PDPP-T-TQ, PDPP-2T-TQ, and PDPP-3T-TQ, respectively. In previous studies,\textsuperscript{21,22} when using Pd\textsubscript{2}(dba)\textsubscript{3} as a catalyst tri-tert-butylphosphoniumtetrafluoroborate ([t-Bu\textsubscript{3}]P-HBF\textsubscript{4}) was usually used as an effective ligand to yield high-molecular-weight polymers in Suzuki coupling based on DPP-diboronic esters.\textsuperscript{23–25} The $M_n$ of the resulting polymer (6.3 kg mol\textsuperscript{-1}, PDI: 2.9) is however slightly lower in comparison with that using the ligand of (t-Bu\textsubscript{3})P-HBF\textsubscript{4}. After introducing one more electron-rich thiophene onto monomer 4 at both sides, the steric hindrance between DPP and monomer 7 was reduced so that the PDPP-3T-TQ possessed a higher $M_n$ than the other two polymers. It is thus believed that the sterics around the coupling units play a main role in the limited activity for polymerizations of PDPP-T-TQ and PDPP-2T-TQ.

The three polymers exhibited excellent solubility in common organic solvents such as chloroform and tetrahydrofuran at room temperature. Additionally, the decomposition temperature was measured by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Fig. S1†) showing 5% weight loss at 380 °C for PDPP-T-TQ and PDPP-2T-TQ, and 390 °C for PDPP-3T-TQ.

### Optical and electrochemical properties

UV-vis-NIR absorption spectra of the polymers were recorded in chloroform solution ($c = 10^{-5}$ M) as well as in thin films.
The relevant data are summarized in Table 1. In dilute chloroform solution, all polymers exhibit three absorption bands as shown in Fig. 2a. The first intense band between 300 and 500 nm contains double peaks that are typical for many TQ moieties.26–30 suggesting that this band could be contributed by the condensed TQ moiety. The second one ranges from 500 to 800 nm, similar to the maximum absorption ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) of some DPP polymers,31,32 indicating its origin from the interaction between DPP and adjacent thiophene units. The last band broadly spans from 800 to 2250 nm, which is attributed to the intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) between donors and acceptors in the polymer main chains.33 PDPP-T-TQ exhibits inconspicuous TQ and DPP absorption characters but has a $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ up to 1343 nm, indicating a very strong ICT process within this polymer. Increasing the thiophene bridge to two units between the DPP and condensed TQ leads to a blue shift of 324 nm at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ for PDPP-2T-TQ. Meanwhile, the absorption profile is much more dominated by the condensed TQ and DPP moieties. After introducing another thiophene between DPP and condensed TQ the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of PDPP-3T-TQ further hypochromically shifts to 970 nm and more remarkable absorption features of condensed TQ and DPP are observed.

Thin films were prepared by drop-casting chloroform solutions of the three polymers onto glass slides. The films display slight red-shifts of 1, 5 and 25 nm at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ and broadened absorption bands compared with those in solution (Fig. 2b), indicating that the obvious red-shift occurs along with the increased backbone coplanarity of the polymers. The tiny red-shifts of PDPP-T-TQ and PDPP-2T-TQ can be attributed to the disordered morphology of both polymers induced by bulky side chains which retard the efficient packing of polymer chains in the solid state. The optical bandgaps of PDPP-T-TQ, PDPP-2T-TQ and PDPP-3T-TQ were calculated to be 0.60, 0.75 and 0.88 eV, respectively, according to the onset of solid-state absorption spectra. The variation of bandgaps can be explained by the electronic changes in the HOMO and LUMO levels (see the DFT calculations section below for details). The value of PDPP-T-TQ shows the lowest $E_{\text{opt}}$ among the dual-acceptor polymers reported so far.12,13,34,35 These results demonstrate that changing the distance between DPP and TQ acceptors by changing the oligothiophene bridge length is an effective strategy for tuning the optoelectronic properties of the DPP-T-TQ dual-acceptor polymers.

The electrochemical properties of three polymers were determined using cyclic voltammetry (CV) from their drop-cast thin films (Fig. 3). The corresponding data are summarized in Table 1. The electron affinities (EAs) and ionization potentials (IPs) of the polymers were calculated from the onset of first reduction and oxidation potentials. The values of EA are $-4.23$, $-4.13$ and $-4.07$ eV for PDPP-T-TQ, PDPP-2T-TQ and PDPP-3T-TQ, respectively, while the corresponding IP values are $-5.12$, $-5.06$, and $-5.08$ eV. Interestingly, the different thiophene numbers can significantly alter the EA values compared to their similar IP values. The PDPP-T-TQ had a lowest EA value which is related to its strongest ICT ability, leading to a narrowest HOMO–LUMO bandgap among these three polymers. The electrochemical bandgaps of three polymers were

---

**Table 1 Molecular weights, decomposition temperatures, optical absorptions, electrochemical properties and field-effect mobilities of PDPP-T-TQ, PDPP-2T-TQ and PDPP-3T-TQ**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>$M_w/M_n$</th>
<th>$T_d$</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{abs}}$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{opt}}$</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>EA</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{eff}}$</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{on}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDPP-T-TQ</td>
<td>26.3/7.4</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-5.12</td>
<td>-4.23</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDPP-2T-TQ</td>
<td>23.1/7.3</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-5.06</td>
<td>-4.13</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDPP-3T-TQ</td>
<td>43.9/13.2</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-5.08</td>
<td>-4.07</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by GPC in THF using polystyrene standards.  
  b Temperature of decomposition corresponding to 5% weight loss from TGA analysis under N$_2$ with a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$.  
  c Dissolved in chloroform ($c=10^{-5}$ M).  
  d Drop-cast from chloroform solution (2 mg mL$^{-1}$).  
  e IP and EA were estimated from the onsets of the first oxidation and reduction peak, while the potentials were determined using ferrocene (Fc) as the standard by the empirical formula IP/EA = $-(E_{\text{Fc/Fc+}} + 4.8)$ eV, wherein $E_{\text{Fc/Fc+}}$ = 0.40 eV.  
  f Average value of 10 devices.
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**Fig. 2 UV-visible-NIR absorption spectra of three polymers in (a) chloroform solutions and (b) films.**
calculated to be 0.89, 0.93, 1.01 eV for PDPP-T-TQ, PDPP-2T-TQ and PDPP-3T-TQ, respectively. It is the same tendency with their optical gaps. The only difference is that the electronic bandgaps are larger than their optical gap, which is attributed to the exciton binding energy of conjugated polymers.

OFET properties

Bottom-gate, bottom-contact OFETs were fabricated in order to evaluate the charge transport properties of all three polymers. All polymers were deposited by drop-casting 5 mg mL\(^{-1}\) chloroform solution on silicon/silicon dioxide (SiO\(_2\)) substrates under a nitrogen atmosphere, followed by annealing at 150 °C for 1 h. The 300 nm thick SiO\(_2\) dielectric covering the highly doped Si and acting as the gate electrode was functionalized with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) to minimize interfacial trapping sites. Representative transfer and output characteristics of PDPP-3T-TQ are shown in Fig. 4. Such curves of the other two polymers are presented in Fig. S2 (ESI†). All polymers show ambipolar device behaviors. In all cases the charge carrier mobilities are calculated from the drain current saturation region. The polymer PDPP-T-TQ exhibits balanced charge transport with hole and electron mobilities of 2 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and 3 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\). Additional thiophene units in the bridge between the DPP and TQ acceptor units (PDPP-2T-TQ) in comparison with PDPP-T-TQ do not change the charge carrier mobilities with 4 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) for electrons and 1 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) for holes. But a difference in transport behavior is observed for PDPP-3T-TQ, which exhibits a hole mobility of 5 \(\times\) \(10^{-4}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) being one order of magnitude higher than that for PDPP-T-TQ and PDPP-2T-TQ. These results implied that the thiophene bridge length between DPP and condensed TQ can transform the DPP-TQ based polymers from well-balanced ambipolar to hole-dominant ambipolar behavior. However, for all polymers a contact resistance is observed in the transfer and output curves.

Self-organization in bulk

In order to understand the supramolecular organization of the polymer films, grazing incident wide angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) was carried out. GIWAXS gives valuable information about the surface organization of the polymers in thin films. For these measurements the same procedure for the film preparation was used as that for the transistor devices. Fig. 5 shows the GIWAXS patterns of the three polymers which reveal slight variations between PDPP-T-TQ and PDPP-2T-TQ. For both polymers an interlayer distance of 1.60 nm and 2.17 nm is determined from the position of the main reflection in a small-angle range. The larger interlayer spacing for PDPP-2T-TQ is directly related to longer side chains. The isotropic distribution of these reflections suggests a lack of long-range order and a random arrangement of the crystallites towards the surface. A characteristic \(\pi\)-stacking reflection is missing indicating a disordered organization of the polymer chains within the layered structures.

In contrast, the GIWAXS pattern of PDPP-3T-TQ (Fig. 5c) exhibits more distinct reflections. In the small-angle region, the maximum intensity of the reflection is located on the
DFT calculations were carried out on a monomeric unit of the polymers, named DPP-T-TQ, DPP-2T-TQ and DPP-3T-TQ, carrying methyl substituents. The distribution of electrons was simulated by density functional theory (DFT) using the Gaussian 03 program with the B3LYP functional and 6-31G basis set. The electron density distributions of the LUMO and HOMO of the geometry with optimized structures are shown in Fig. 6. All HOMO levels of the three monomeric units were very similar with delocalization along the conjugated backbone. However, the electron density became gradually localized on the TQ segment in the LUMO levels as the thiophene bridge length increased. Such an electron density distribution on the HOMO and LUMO levels forces an unbalanced transport along the conjugated backbone in the case of DPP-3T-TQ. This tendency is quite obvious for the hole transport which increases with increasing the number of thiophene units while the electron transport remains constant. In addition, the results from calculations were well consistent with the observations from CV results, demonstrating that the thiophene bridge length can significantly alter the EA in comparison with IP. It can hence be concluded that the thiophene bridge length not only tunes the organization behavior in thin films but also can strongly influence the ratio of electron and hole carrier mobilities.

Conclusions

We have successfully synthesized three D-A1–D-A2 dual-acceptor polymers. Both acceptors in these polymers were separated by thiophene bridges with different lengths. The polymer PDPP-T-TQ possessed a very narrow optical bandgap of 0.60 eV. The $E_{opt}$ of polymers are significantly increased from 0.60 to 0.88 eV with increasing the thiophene bridge length between both acceptors. The CV measurement indicated high electron affinities of the polymers ranging from −4.07 to −4.23 eV. From polymers PDPP-2T-TQ to PDPP-3T-TQ, although the intramolecular charge transfer abilities of polymers were decreased, the planarity of the polymer was improved as confirmed by GIWAXS. PDPP-3T-TQ exhibited a hole-dominant ambipolar behavior with 5 × 10$^{-4}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for holes and 5 × 10$^{-5}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for electrons; the hole mobility was one order of magnitude higher than that for PDPP-2T-TQ. The correlations between structures and charge carrier mobilities of DPP–TQ copolymers are beneficial to broaden the understanding of optoelectronic properties of such polymers and to further design new dual-acceptor polymers towards well balanced ambipolarity.

Experimental section

General methods

$^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded in deuterated solvents on a Bruker Advance III 300. $^1$H NMR spectra of the polymers were recorded in C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$ solvents on a Bruker DPX 500 at 373 K. High Resolution Mass Spectra (HRMS) were recorded by the Microanalytical Laboratory of Johannes Gutenberg-University, Mainz. Elemental analysis was carried out using a Foss Heraeus Vario EL at the Institute of Organic Chemistry at the Johannes Gutenberg-University, Mainz. UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 9 spectrophotometer at room temperature. Thermogravimetry analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Mettler 500 Thermogravimetry Analyzer. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out on a computer-controlled GSTAT12 in a three-electrode cell in anhydrous acetonitrile aqueous solution of Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ (0.1 M) with a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$ at room temperature under argon. A Pt wire, a silver wire, and a glassy carbon electrode were used as the counter electrode, the reference electrode, and the working electrode, respectively. The molecular weights were determined using a PSS-WinGPC (PSS) (pump: alliance GPC 2000) GPC equipped with a UV or RI detector running in THF at 30 °C.
using a PLgel MIXED-B column (particle size: 10 mm, dimensions: 0.8 × 30 cm) calibrated against polystyrene standards.

**OFET device fabrication and measurements**

All FETs were fabricated employing the bottom-gate, bottom-contact architecture. The 230 nm thick SiO2 dielectric covering the highly doped Si acting as the gate electrode was functionalized with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) to minimize interfacial trapping sites. Polymer thin films were deposited by drop-casting 5 mg mL−1 chloroform solution on FET substrates under a nitrogen atmosphere, followed by annealing at 150 °C for 1 h. The channel lengths and widths are 20 and 1400 nm, respectively. All the electrical measurements (using Keithley 4200 SCS) were performed in a glove box under a nitrogen atmosphere.

**Grazing incidence wide angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS)**

GIWAXS experiments were performed using a solid anode X-ray tube (Siemens Kristalloflex X-ray source, a copper anode X-ray tube operated at 30 kV and 20 mA), Osmic confocal MaxFlux optics, an X-ray beam with pinhole collimation and a MAR345 image plate detector. The samples were prepared as a thin film with the same procedure as that used in OFET fabrication.

**Synthetic details**

All chemicals and reagents were used as received from commercial sources without further purification unless stated otherwise. Chemical reactions were carried out under ambient atmosphere. The intermediate compounds 4,7-dibromobenzof[b]thiophene (250 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ 8.62 (s, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (m, 6H), 2.67 (d, J = 7.50 Hz, 4H), 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.23 (m, 80H), 0.89–0.84 (m, 12H). 13C NMR (62.5 MHz, CD2Cl2, ppm) δ 165.07, 138.82, 137.89, 137.85, 137.77, 137.72, 137.04, 134.68, 134.45, 134.35, 127.92, 126.88, 125.12, 125.13, 124.40, 119.14, 38.77, 34.43, 33.77, 32.40, 32.37, 30.76, 30.32, 30.28, 30.25, 30.20, 30.15, 29.87, 29.83, 26.82, 23.13, 14.33. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc. 1507.5063, found 1507.5059.

**8,12-Bis(5′-bromo-3-(2-decyltetradecyl)-2,2′-bithiophen)-5-yl)-[1,2,5]thiadiazolo[3,4-d]thieno[3,2-α:2′,3′-c]phenazine (7)**

Compound 6 (0.25 g, 0.18 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of THF at room temperature. NBS (72.4 mg, 0.41 mmol) was carefully added into the solution in small batches in the dark. The mixture was stirred for 5 h. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography to give monomer 7 as a dark-green solid (0.24 g, 86%). 1H NMR (250 MHz, CD2Cl2) 1H NMR (250 MHz, THF-d8, ppm) δ 8.66 (s, 2H), 7.93 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (d, J = 7.50 Hz, 4H), 1.81 (br, 2H), 1.39–1.22 (m, 80H), 0.88–0.83 (m, 12H). 13C NMR (62.5 MHz, THF-d8, ppm) δ 150.97, 139.75, 139.48, 138.51, 138.13, 138.10, 137.79, 137.27, 135.12, 134.94, 134.35, 127.24, 126.00, 125.44, 119.47, 112.10, 39.21, 34.84, 34.22, 32.76, 32.73, 31.11, 30.67, 30.62, 30.57, 30.51, 30.24, 30.19, 27.26 (overlap by THF-d8) 23.41, 14.33. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc. 1507.5063, found 1507.5059.

**Synthesis of PDPPP-T-Q**

To a solution of compounds 3 (0.05 mmol) and 8 (0.05 mmol), tri-tert butylphosphoniumtetrafluoroborate ([Bu3P]HBF4, 0.0067 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (Pd[dba]3, 0.00335 mmol) and Aliquat 336 (2 drops) in 6 mL of toluene was added a solution of potassium phosphate (0.074 g, 0.35 mmol) in 0.46 mL of degassed water. The mixture was vigorously stirred at 90 °C for 3 days. The polymer was end-capped with phenyl units by adding phenyl boronic acid and bromobenzene in sequence. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into vigorously stirred methanol (100 mL). The polymer was filtered and subjected to Soxhlet extraction with methanol, acetone and hexane. The hexane fraction was collected and added 30 mL of sodium diethylthiocarbamate aqueous solution (1 g per 100 mL) to remove the residual palladium catalyst, and the mixture was heated to 60 °C with vigorous stirring for 2 h. The mixture was separated and the organic phase was washed with water 3 times. The hexane solution was concentrated and precipitated in methanol. The resulting solid was collected by filtration and dried in a vacuum to afford a black solid (55 mg, 77%). Molecular weight by GPC (30 °C): $M_n = 7.4$ kDa,
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Synthesis of PDPP-2T-TQ and PDPP-3T-TQ

These two polymers were prepared using monomers 4 and 7 instead of monomer 3 by a similar procedure and work-up to PDPP-T-TQ.

PDPP-2T-TQ (black solid, 75 mg, 74%). Molecular weight by GPC (30 °C): Mn = 7.3 kDa, PDI = 3.18. UV-Vis: λmax (solution in chloroform): 1110 nm, 655 nm, 430 nm and 334 nm; λmax (thin film): 995 nm, 641 nm, 418 nm and 339 nm. The 1H NMR spectra are shown in Fig. S10.†

PDPP-3T-TQ (black solid, 88 mg, 80%). Molecular weight by GPC (30 °C): Mn = 13.2 kDa, PDI = 3.31. UV-Vis: λmax (solution in chloroform): 971 nm, 661 nm, 430 nm and 334 nm; λmax (thin film): 995 nm, 641 nm, 418 nm and 339 nm. The 1H NMR spectra are shown in Fig. S11.†


Elemental analysis: calcd for C123H122N2O2S2: C 72.55, H 9.15, N 3.73, S 12.88. Found: C 72.00, H 8.82, N 3.92, S 10.92.
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