We detail an approach for constructing asymmetric membranes and characterising their mechanical properties, leading to the first measurement of the effect of asymmetry on lipid bilayer mechanics. Our results demonstrate that asymmetry induces a significant increase in rigidity compared to symmetric membranes. Given that all biological membranes are asymmetric our findings have profound implications for the role of this phenomenon in biology.

Biological membranes are almost universally asymmetric: there is a compositional difference in the lipids that are present in their inner and outer leaflets. This feature is found across all life classes, cell types and organelles, from plasma membranes and golgi apparatus through to endosomal membranes.1

Membrane asymmetry exists in cells despite the stochastic flipping of lipids between the leaflets (known as flip-flop) which would normally lead to an equilibrated symmetric bilayer.2 The cell devotes significant resources in order to maintain asymmetric membranes via the ATP dependent operation of specialised proteins known as flipases – a strong indication that asymmetry plays important roles in cellular events.3,4 Processes thought to be associated with membrane asymmetry include: endocytosis,5 vesicle budding and trafficking,4 signal-transduction,6,7 membrane curvature,8 modulation of protein channel opening,9 and the regulation of membrane associated enzyme activity.10

Asymmetry is thought to influence many core biological functions by altering the membrane’s global biophysical properties.6,9,11,12 One such property is the bending rigidity,13 which characterises the ability of membranes to bend under low stress. This property, first described by Helfrich in 197314 and elaborated upon elsewhere,15 is analogous to the bilayer stiffness and is related to how much energy is needed to deform it. It is thought to influence protein conformation due to its relation to the lateral-pressure profile,9,12 and is one of the core parameters in the field of membrane biophysics. However due to the lack of methods to generate asymmetric GUVs, only the bending rigidities of symmetric GUVs have been studied.16–18 As a result the role that asymmetry plays in cell biology remains qualitative and is largely restricted to how compositional asymmetry varies across organelles, and to how flip-flop rates vary with lipid chemical structure.1 Unlocking the link between membrane asymmetry and membrane mechanical properties therefore has the potential to transform our understanding of membrane structure, protein stability, protein folding, protein binding and protein activity.10,19–28

The recent innovation of phase transfer platforms capable of generating asymmetric giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) has opened up the possibility of overcoming this technological bottleneck.29–33 The phase transfer method of vesicle generation has been shown to be capable of generating asymmetric vesicles, yet it has seen most of its use away from the field of membrane biophysics. Instead, due to its high encapsulation efficiency and the ability to exert control over vesicle size, lamellarity,34 and compartmentalization,35,36 it is increasingly being used in bottom-up synthetic biology37–42 where it has been credited as one of the key technical developments responsible for the rapid expansion of this research area.43

Due to the crucial but as-of-yet poorly understood role of asymmetry and the increasing use of phase transfer methodologies, it is essential to study the properties of symmetric and asymmetric GUVs formed by this method, and to compare them to those formed by more conventional means.44 In this paper we generate asymmetric GUVs using the phase transfer approach, validate their asymmetry and simultaneously undertake bending rigidity determination via fluctuation analysis. This has enabled us to successfully perform the first experimental measurement of the effect of membrane asymmetry upon the mechanical properties of lipid bilayers. We show that (i) symmetric membranes formed via phase...
transfer have comparable rigidities to those formed via the gold-standard method of electroformation and (ii) asymmetric membranes have rigidities that are significantly higher than their symmetric counterparts.

The phase transfer technique of GUV production involves a two-step process where the individual monolayers are sequentially assembled, shown in Fig. 1 (see ESI,† for full experimental details). Briefly, using density differences, lipid-coated water-in-oil droplets are passed through a water–oil column with an interfacial monolayer. This transforms the droplets into GUVs. By having different lipid compositions in the oil phases used in the two steps an asymmetric distribution of lipids is achieved.

The asymmetry of vesicles formed via this method have been confirmed by several researchers using fluorescence quenching and protein binding experiments, and by domain formation studies. We add to these demonstrations by conducting fluorescent microscopy experiments on asymmetric hemifused GUVs. This occurs when two water-in-oil droplets stochastically descend through the interface together, as opposed to simply a single droplet.

The bilayer separating the two halves of the hemifused vesicle pair will only be composed of the inner-leaflet lipid, without the presence of outer-leaflet lipid (Fig. 2C). By introducing different fluorescent lipids in each leaflet this distribution can be observed using fluorescent microscopy. We formed asymmetric GUVs composed of POPC (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine), with different fluorescent lipids in their two leaflets. The inner leaflet comprised of 1 mol% NBD-PE (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl) (ammonium salt)), and the outer of 1 mol% Rh-PE (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(lissamine rhodamine B sulfonyl) (ammonium salt)). The two fluorophores were imaged using FITC and TRITC filters respectively. Such vesicles were only rarely seen, but on the occasion where it was formed, the distribution of lipids was successfully observed. Indeed, outer leaflet Rh-PE was not observed in the middle bilayer, therefore validating asymmetry. Green channel = NBD-PE with a FITC filter. Yellow channel = Rh-PE with a TRITC filter. Scale bars = 20 μm.

To determine GUV bending rigidities we used the fluctuation analysis technique described in detail in the ESI. In short, it relies on the observation that the vesicle membrane exhibits thermal fluctuations, or flickering (see Fig. 2A). GUVs were observed under phase contrast mode and the fluctuations were recorded. For each vesicle ca. 4000 contours were processed to extract the edges and their fluctuations. For small deformations the distance from the membrane edge to the center of the vesicle about the mean edge position can be Fourier transformed (FT) to give a power spectrum, giving the amplitudes of the constituent modes. Using the equipartition theorem, the mean square amplitude \( \langle h^2 \rangle \) associated with each mode \( q_s \) at the vesicle equator \( y = 0 \) is related to the bending rigidity, \( \kappa \), by:

\[
\langle h(q_s,y=0)^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \frac{k_B T}{2\sigma} \left[ \frac{1}{q_s^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{q_s^2}{\kappa}} \right]
\]

where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the temperature, \( \sigma \) is the membrane tension, and \( L \) is the average circumference of the vesicle contours taken over all frames. For bending dominated fluctuations where the membrane tension is negligible, the equation is reduced to:

\[
\langle h(q_s,y=0)^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4Lk_B T q_s^2}
\]
The lower modes are mainly dominated by the tension or displacement factors of the vesicle, whilst the higher modes cannot be detected reliably due to their fast relaxation rate. We therefore fit the intermediate regime (modes 6–20) to the above equation to extract the bending rigidity. Excellent descriptions of this method can be found elsewhere.\textsuperscript{46} Fluctuation analysis was performed on up to 80,000 vesicle contours (20 individual vesicles) for each composition, apart from DOPC inner, POPC outer, as this gave particularly low yield of fluctuating vesicles. For this composition, 16,000 vesicle contours were analyzed.

We first wanted to establish the quality and integrity of GUVs formed by phase transfer compared to those generated \textit{via} the gold-standard of electroformation using the bending rigidity as a proxy (see ESI\textsuperscript{†} for electroformation methods). This was done for symmetric one-component vesicles composed of two lipid compositions, 1,2-diolaetyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and POPC, which differ in the degree of saturation of the tail region and the chain length. Both these lipids are well-characterized and widely used in model membrane systems. The bending rigidity values obtained in the two methods were comparable to one another within error, and to those found in the literature\textsuperscript{18,47} (Fig. 3).

This indicates that the phase transfer generation strategy does not alter vesicle mechanical properties. This result is crucial in the context of the debate of how much oil is trapped in phase transfer vesicles, by suggesting that if there is trapped oil it is not in substantial enough quantities to influence their mechanical properties. It therefore shows the suitability of these vesicles for studies involving embedded proteins which are affected by bilayer mechanics and the potential presence of oil, and for studying lipid flip-flop. In addition, it offers potential for the incorporation of mechanically-modulated components, such as mechanosensitive channels and mechanoresponsive membranes in functional artificial cells.

Subsequently we investigated the effects of introducing asymmetry upon the bending rigidities of these vesicular systems. We measured the bending rigidities of two types of asymmetric vesicle formed with phase transfer: the first with DOPC in the inner leaflet and POPC in the outer, and the second where this is reversed. Both of these bending rigidities were nearly 250% higher than the values obtained for their symmetric counterparts (Fig. 3), which were composed of a homogenous 50:50 mixture of both lipids. Within error the direction of asymmetry did not affect the bending rigidity. These are surprising empirical results, given that the asymmetry had been generated by varying the distribution of lipids solely with respect to hydrocarbon tail type, with no overall modification to the distribution of headgroup types or charge.

The results can be explained by the fact that DOPC and POPC have different spontaneous curvatures\textsuperscript{48} and so their distribution across the bilayer is likely to impact on its bending energetics. A simple model for the curvature energy associated with changes in mean bilayer curvature can be established by considering bending of the two monolayers separately (see ESI\textsuperscript{†} for full details). This predicts that the curvature energy associated with flat bilayer with constant bilayer composition but varying distribution of lipids between the two monolayers reaches a minimum when the bilayer is symmetric (Fig. S4, ESI\textsuperscript{†}), which is consistent with our experimental measurements.

In conclusion, we form asymmetric vesicles and validate their asymmetry by fluorescence imaging of hemifused vesicles. By combining fluctuation analysis techniques to phase transfer technologies we show that such vesicles have similar bending rigidities to those formed \textit{via} other traditional methods. Significantly, we obtain the first empirical measurement of a mechanical property of asymmetric vesicles, a result which indicates that asymmetry significantly affects a membrane’s bending rigidity. This has notable consequences in understanding the role of membranes in cells, the majority of which are asymmetric and demonstrates that...
asymmetry must be included as a key variable in studies of protein-membrane and protein-small molecules interactions that are almost exclusively conducted using symmetric lipid bilayer structures. This breakthrough shows that is possible to use asymmetric GUVs to fill the void in our knowledge of the precise role that asymmetry plays in cells, and paves the way for further studies into the role of asymmetry on membrane mechanics.
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