



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2, 20295

Order vs. disorder—a huge increase in ionic conductivity of nanocrystalline LiAlO_2 embedded in an amorphous-like matrix of lithium aluminate

D. Wohlmuth,^{*a} V. Epp,^a P. Bottke,^a I. Hanzu,^a B. Bitschnau,^b I. Letofsky-Papst,^c M. Kriechbaum,^d H. Amenitsch,^d F. Hofer^c and M. Wilkening^{*a}

Coarse grained, well crystalline $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ ($P4_32_12$) is known as an electronic insulator and a very poor ion conductor with the lithium ions occupying tetrahedral voids in the oxide structure. The introduction of structural disorder such as point defects or higher-dimensional defects, however, may greatly affect ionic conduction on both short-range as well as long-range length scales. In the present study, we used high-energy ball milling to prepare defect-rich, nanocrystalline LiAlO_2 that was characterized from a structural point of view by powder X-ray diffraction, TEM as well as small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). Temperature-dependent conductivity spectroscopy revealed an increase of the room-temperature ionic conduction by several orders of magnitude when going from microcrystalline $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ to its nanocrystalline form. The enhanced ion transport found is ascribed to the increase of Li ions near defective sites both in the bulk as well as in the large volume fraction of interfacial regions in nano- LiAlO_2 . The nanocrystalline ceramic prepared at long milling times is a mixture of $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ and the high-pressure phase $\delta\text{-LiAlO}_2$; it adapts an amorphous like structure after it has been treated in a planetary mill under extremely harsh conditions.

Received 10th June 2014
Accepted 12th October 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ta02923b

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

1 Introduction

Diffusive motion of small particles is an omnipresent phenomenon in nature. In particular, it is of great interest when (ionic) solids are considered.^{1–3} In solid-state materials science the temperature-dependent diffusion behavior of cations and anions, such as H, Li, Na, F, and O, is of enormous importance for manifold technological processes. At present, solid electrolytes with high lithium-ion conductivity⁴ are feverishly searched to realize next generation rechargeable solid-state batteries that benefit from long lifetime, safety and reliability.^{5,6}

In the course of recent years, Li-bearing oxides and sulfides have been prepared and characterized that show extremely high bulk Li^+ conductivities. Prominent ultrafast electrolytes are, for example, $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$,^{7,8} $\text{Li}_{10}\text{GeP}_2\text{S}_{12}$ (ref. 9) (and related compounds¹⁰), $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$,¹¹ argyrodite-type sulfides such as $\text{Li}_6\text{PS}_5\text{Br}$,^{12,13} and glass ceramic $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$.^{14,15} Considering their

electrochemical stability, economical viability, and versatility with respect to preparation techniques usually applied to manufacture, for example, all-solid-state (thin-film) batteries, further studies are urgently needed to throw light on these aspects. Even though other materials show lower bulk conductivities, if used as amorphous and/or very thin electrolyte films with low cell resistance, they may seriously compete with the ultrafast ion conductors discovered recently. This might be due to their easy and cheap preparation, chemical robustness, and electrochemical stability that result in an extremely good durability and cyclability of the batteries.

Lithium aluminium oxide, LiAlO_2 , if prepared in a defect-rich form, might serve as such an alternative against other oxides, see for *e.g.*, the well-known lithium phosphorous oxynitride¹⁶ (LIPON) that is commonly applied in secondary lithium-ion batteries. Tetragonal $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ (space group $P4_32_12$) is known as an electronic insulator and, in its coarse grained and well crystalline form, a very poor ion conductor¹⁷ with the lithium ions fully occupying the tetrahedral voids in the oxide structure,¹⁸ thus being trapped on these sites. Besides a report to use LiAlO_2 as coating for $\text{Li}_x(\text{Ni},\text{Co},\text{Mn})\text{O}_2$ -based cathodes,^{19,20} Goodenough and co-workers²¹ as well as Cheng *et al.*²² have recently shown that during the preparation of the highly conducting electrolyte Al-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (Al-doped LLZ) the crystallites are often covered by an amorphous layer of LiAlO_2 . Such a grain-boundary phase can have a crucial effect on long-range ion transport of Al-doped LLZ-type electrolytes.

^aChristian-Doppler Laboratory for Lithium Batteries, Graz University of Technology, Institute for Chemistry and Technology of Materials, NAWI Graz, Stremayrgasse 9, A-8010 Graz, Austria. E-mail: wohlmuth@tugraz.at; wilkening@tugraz.at; viktor.epp@tugraz.at

^bGraz University of Technology, Institute of Physical Chemistry and Theoretical Chemistry, NAWI Graz, Stremayrgasse 9, A-8010 Graz, Austria

^cGraz University of Technology, Institute for Electron Microscopy and Nanoanalysis, NAWI Graz, Steyrergasse 17, A-8010 Graz, Austria

^dGraz University of Technology, Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, NAWI Graz, Stremayrgasse 9, A-8010 Graz, Austria

Considering these aspects, the present study is two-fold and combines the following fundamental and application-oriented questions: (i) how and to what extent does the introduction of structural disorder affect lithium-ion transport in γ -LiAlO₂? The results shall be compared with those from a sample with μ m-sized crystallites. Such information is also a key element of many applications where crystalline LiAlO₂ is intentionally used to strictly prevent diffusion. As an example, besides Li₂MeO₃ (with Me = Ti or Zr) γ -LiAlO₂ is considered as an advanced tritium breeding blanket material in fusion reactors.²³ (ii) If the structure resulting after ball milling can be regarded as amorphous-like, how does ionic conductivity of such a sample with the composition LiAlO₂ compare with that of structurally disordered electrolytes prepared by deposition techniques that can be used in thin-film micro-batteries? Moreover, with respect to its role forming amorphous grain-boundary phases in (Al-doped) LLZ-based electrolytes (see above), ionic conductivities of nanostructured or amorphous LiAlO₂ have not been reported so far.

In the present case, we prepared nanocrystalline LiAlO₂ following a top-down approach by employing high-energy ball milling. Starting from coarse-grained commercially available γ -LiAlO₂ several nanocrystalline samples were prepared by dry milling in a planetary mill. Structural sample characterization was carried out *via* X-ray powder diffraction, small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), ²⁷Al magic angle spinning (MAS) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) as well as by scanning and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (SEM, HR-TEM). SAXS patterns have been recorded to correlate the inner surface parameter with the results from ion transport measurements. Ion dynamics has been mainly evaluated by conductivity spectroscopy; first ⁷Li NMR line shape measurements complement the present study.

Indeed, it turned out that the introduction of structural disorder *via* mechanical treatment has a giant effect on Li ion dynamics in LiAlO₂. The resulting defect-rich nanocrystalline material, which is equipped with a large volume fraction of amorphous material, reveals room temperature dc conductivity that is by several orders of magnitude larger than that of (single) crystalline LiAlO₂. The results resemble those of previously studied nanocrystalline LiTaO₃ and LiNbO₃ (ref. 24–26) as well as single- and two-phase composites that are based on lithium oxide.^{27–29}

2 Experimental

Pure lithium aluminum oxide (99.5%) was obtained from Alfa Aesar. The transparent crystallites, with diameters in the μ m range, revealed the typical X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the well-known γ -phase of LiAlO₂ (Fig. 1(a)). Nanocrystalline γ -LiAlO₂ was prepared from the microcrystalline source material by ball milling using a high-energy planetary mill (Fritsch, Pulverisette 7). We used a grinding beaker made of zirconium dioxide (Fritsch) equipped with 180 milling balls (zirconium dioxide, 5 mm in diameter). The air-tight beaker was loaded and opened in an Ar-filled glove box with less than 0.1 ppm water vapor inside to avoid any contact with air or moisture. To obtain

different nanocrystalline samples the milling time was varied from 5 min to 8 h; the rotational speed of the mill was set to 400 rpm in order to reduce the formation of side products as effectively as possible.

Prior to our impedance measurements and for structural characterization X-ray powder diffraction patterns were recorded on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with Bragg Brentano geometry using Cu K α radiation (10 to 100 °2 θ , step size 0.02 °2 θ , and step time 1 s). Rietveld refinement was carried out with an X-PertHighScorePlus (PANalytical). In addition to XRD, TEM images were acquired with a TECNAI F20, Schottky cathode, operated at 200 kV. Samples were prepared using standard TEM preparation routines for powder samples. The particles were mounted on Cu grids covered with a carbon coated holey polymer film.

Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) measurements complement the techniques applied to characterize our nanocrystalline samples. For this purpose, a high-flux SAXSess camera (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria) connected to a DebyeFlex 3003 X-ray generator (GE-Electric, Germany), operating at 40 kV and 50 mA with a sealed-tube Cu anode was used. The Goebel-mirror focused and Kratky-slit collimated X-ray beam was line shaped (17 mm horizontal dimension at the sample) and scattered radiation from the sample measured in the transmission mode was recorded by highly X-ray sensitive image plates (Fuji, Japan) within a q -range (with q being the scattering vector) of 0.25 to 5 nm^{−1}. After each SAXS measurement with an exposure time of 8 min, the image plates were transferred to a Cyclone Plus image plate reader (Perkin Elmer, USA), laser-scanned and converted digitally into intensity values (I). The 2D recorded intensity data were then integrated with a 10 mm width, normal to the direction of the scattering angle, to result in a 1D-scattering curve $I(q)$ within the angular range mentioned above.

²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra have been recorded at 130 MHz using a Bruker Avance III solid-state NMR spectrometer; a single pulse sequence has been used for data acquisition. We employed a 4 mm probe and rotors made of ZrO₂ allowing rotation frequencies of 15 kHz. Spectra were referenced to aqueous Al(NO₃)₃. ⁷Li NMR spectra were recorded at 194 MHz with the same setup but using a probe designed for static temperature-variable NMR experiments. Non-selective excitation with a single pulse was carried out.

For the broadband impedance measurements dense tablets were prepared by cold-pressing the milled LiAlO₂ samples with a uniaxial pressure of 10 kN in a 10 mm press set. It is important to note that the samples have not been densified and that the overall conductivity measured might slightly differ from that of pellets that are sintered additionally. Due to the amorphous character of the nanocrystalline samples, which has been revealed by XRD and TEM, we anticipate that this influence is of minor importance and that the conductivity values measured (see below) are close to the intrinsic ones.

To ensure electrical contact of the pellets pressed, Au electrodes of about 100 nm thickness were applied with a sputter coater (Leica EM SCD050). The impedance measurements were carried out with a Novocontrol Concept 80 broadband analyzer (Alpha-AN, Novocontrol). The analyzer was connected to a BDS






Fig. 1 XRD patterns of micro- and nanocrystalline LiAlO₂ obtained after different milling times ranging from 0 to 8 hours. With increasing milling times the XRD patterns are increasingly broadened. The broad reflections showing up after 120 min of milling (▼) most likely reflect the high pressure phase δ -LiAlO₂; heat treatment at 1173 K for 16 h yields phase pure γ -LiAlO₂ (see pattern (iii)) once again. (b) Average XRD peak width (red, left ordinate) with increasing milling time. For comparison, the estimated crystallite sizes (blue, right ordinate) are shown vs. milling time as well. (c) Broadening of the (012) XRD peak. β denotes the relative broadening of the peak after correction taking into account instrumental effects.

1200 cell in combination with an active ZGS cell interface from Novocontrol, which allows temperature-variable 2-electrode measurements. The temperature is automatically controlled by using a QUATRO cyrosystem (Novocontrol). In order to create a highly constant nitrogen gas flow the cryosystem is working with a heating element that builds up a specified pressure in a liquid nitrogen dewar. After heating by using a gas jet, the freshly evaporated nitrogen flows directly through the sample cell that is mounted in the cryostat. Such a setup allows very stable system operation with an accuracy of ± 0.01 K. In summary, the whole device is able to record impedance and the permittivities at frequencies ranging from few μ Hz up to 20 MHz at temperatures ranging from 150 K to 570 K.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Analysis via X-ray diffraction and TEM

Nanostructured γ -LiAlO₂ was prepared from the microcrystalline source material, which was obtained from Alfa Aesar

(99.5%). The coarse grained microcrystalline γ -LiAlO₂ consists of irregularly shaped crystallites with an average crystallite size in the order of 100 nm. Although γ -LiAlO₂ is known to be stable in air the whole procedure was performed under an Ar atmosphere to avoid a reaction of the hygroscopic nanocrystalline powder with moisture and to keep the influence of water on the subsequent conductivity measurements as small as possible.

By varying the milling times, powders with different mean particle sizes were obtained. The effect of ball milling on the XRD patterns is shown in Fig. 1(a) to (c). The value of the mean crystallite size was roughly estimated from the broadening of the XRD patterns using the well-known Scherrer equation³⁰

$$L_0 = K\lambda/(\beta \cos(\theta)) \quad (1)$$

In this equation K is a dimensionless shape factor with a value close to unity. The shape factor has a typical value of 0.89 for spherical particles. λ is the X-ray wavelength, θ is the Bragg angle and β represents the line broadening at half magnitude



(FWHM); it is already corrected for any instrumental line broadening. For the estimation of L_0 we used the XRD peaks at $2\theta = 22.3^\circ$, 28.3° , 34.8° and 61.3° ($hkl = 011$, 111 , 012 and 032). β is calculated according to $\beta = B_m - B_{\text{ref}}$ where B_m is the measured line width and B_{ref} is the width of the reference which was obtained by using microcrystalline LaB_6 . In Fig. 1(c) the broadening of the reflection at $2\theta = 34.8^\circ$ (012) is shown as an example. In Fig. 1(b) a quantitative analysis of the broadening of the reflection at 22.3° is shown together with the corresponding L_0 values.

Anyway, it should be noted that a possible influence of stress caused by high-energy ball milling is not taken into the account by the Scherrer equation. Hence, the calculated crystallite sizes should be seen as a rough estimation indeed. For example, milling times of 4 and 8 h lead to mean crystallite sizes of about 16 and 20 nm, respectively. The mean crystallite size is only slightly reduced at milling times of about 5 min. The largest change in crystallite size was between the starting sample (*ca.* 70 nm) and those milled for 30 and 60 minutes (approximately 40 nm). The corresponding decrease in L_0 is associated with a huge increase in Li ion dynamics as probed *via* conductivity measurements (see the next section).

For milling times longer than 60 minutes further XRD reflections than those assigned to LiAlO_2 arise pointing to the formation of a small amount of other nanocrystalline and structurally disordered phases (see Fig. 1(a) and the magnification in Fig. 2); these reflections may stem from the high-pressure phases α - LiAlO_2 , δ - LiAlO_2 or even LiAl_5O_8 . α - LiAlO_2 can be synthesized at 3.5 GPa and 850°C ; it crystallizes with a NaHF_2 structure.^{31–33} Besides this high-pressure phase a monoclinic one³⁴ is known that can be obtained at an intermediate pressure and temperature.

Here, the additional XRD peaks, marked by black triangles in Fig. 1(a) and by arrows in Fig. 2, most likely reflect the formation of the δ -modification of LiAlO_2 . Although there is some overlap with those peaks being attributed to α - LiAlO_2 , with regard to our XRD patterns measured down to very low 2θ values, one of the characteristic XRD reflections of the α -form, which shows up slightly below 20° , is missing (see Fig. 2). This confirms our anticipation that mainly the δ -modification is formed during mechanical treatment at long milling times. The positions of the reflections marked by filled triangles resemble those reported by Li *et al.*³¹ for a sample with a certain amount of δ - LiAlO_2 (I_4/amd). The latter has been prepared by impact technology using pressures of up to 48 GPa with hot spots of 1570 K. δ - LiAlO_2 prepared in such a way, that is, shock compressing of γ - LiAlO_2 , crystallizes with a distorted NaCl structure being similar to that of the tetragonal phase of LiFeO_2 (see Fig. 3). This δ -form (3.51 g cm^{-3}) is denser than the α -phase (3.40 g cm^{-3}) and much denser than the γ -modification (2.62 g cm^{-3}).³¹ Obviously, during high-energy ball milling the oxide was exposed to similar reaction conditions as it is the case during the high-energy impact experiments reported previously.³¹

In order to underpin the assignment of the new peaks to the δ -form of LiAlO_2 , we have recorded ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra (see Fig. 4). While in the low-pressure γ -modification (as well as in the orthorhombic β -form of LiAlO_2) Li and Al are solely tetrahedrally




Fig. 2 Magnification of the X-ray powder diffraction pattern shown in Fig. 1(a), labelled (i). The hkl indices refer to the reflections of γ - LiAlO_2 ; triangles indicate small amounts of impurities showing up after 8 h of milling. Most importantly, the arrows indicate the peak positions of δ - LiAlO_2 . In particular the peaks highlighted in grey are very similar to those observed by Li *et al.* (see the powder pattern labelled '955' in Fig. 1 of ref. 31). Since the strong reflection of α - LiAlO_2 at diffraction angles smaller than 20° is missing, we tend to assign the new peaks to the high-pressure modification of LiAlO_2 . The strong background signal indicates the amorphous material as is verified by HR TEM, see Fig. 5. The rather broad reflexes of δ - LiAlO_2 point to extremely small nanocrystals that are hardly seen by HR TEM.

coordinated by oxygen anions, in δ - LiAlO_2 both Li and Al ions are six-fold coordinated (see Fig. 3). The same is found for α - LiAlO_2 ;³² in the monoclinic form of LiAlO_2 the lithium cations are six-fold coordinated and aluminum cations display both four- and six-fold coordination (Fig. 3). Thus, if we assume that high-pressure phases of LiAlO_2 have been formed during ball-milling, characteristic NMR lines representing octahedrally coordinated Al should show up – and indeed, this is found here. The spectrum of microcrystalline γ - LiAlO_2 as well as those of the samples milled for 5 min and 30 min are mainly composed of a single signal at 80 ppm representing Al ions being tetrahedrally coordinated. This value is in agreement with the result by Müller *et al.*³⁵ who found a ^{27}Al NMR isotropic chemical shift of 82 ppm for the γ -form. Additional intensities, however, show up if the milling time is increased to 4 h and 8 h, respectively.

In the unit cell of γ - LiAlO_2 there are four chemically equivalent but magnetically inequivalent Al sites; the same holds for the Li sites. In particular, the line at 15 ppm (see Fig. 3) is indicative of six-fold coordinated Al as expected for δ - LiAlO_2 (for β - LiAlO_2 a chemical shift of *ca.* 17 ppm is reported³⁵). While the line with low intensity located at approximately 43 ppm points to five-fold coordinated Al, which is presumably located in the surface regions of nanocrystalline LiAlO_2 , see also ref. 36, the asymmetric broadening of the main intensities is (i) either due to second order quadrupole effects affecting the central transition of the spin-5/2 nucleus (a coupling constant of 3.2 MHz is reported for γ - LiAlO_2 with an asymmetry parameter $\eta \neq 0$ (ref. 35)) or (ii) stems from local structural disorder introduced during milling. The latter would easily lead to both a distribution of chemical shift values and electric field gradients at the Al sites. This is shown in detail in Fig. 4(b) presenting






Fig. 3 Crystal structures of three different polymorphs of LiAlO_2 : (a) γ - LiAlO_2 , the low-pressure modification, (b) the high-pressure modification α - LiAlO_2 and (c) the high-pressure modification δ - LiAlO_2 . In the latter two Al and Li reside on six-fold coordinated sites while in (a) pairs of tetrahedrally coordinated LiO_4 and AlO_4 units build the crystal structure. In the case of δ - LiAlO_2 the Li and Al ions share the common sites 4a and 4b; the occupancies are: 4a (Al : Li, 0.83 : 0.17) and 4b (Al : Li, 0.19 : 0.81).

magnifications of the ^{27}Al MAS NMR lines at around 80 ppm for the samples milled up to 2 h. Broadening of the signal as well as a shift of the center of the line by 2 ppm towards larger values is observed. The shape of the NMR line of micro- LiAlO_2 is due to second order quadrupole effects only. The line at 82 ppm, observed after 2 h of milling, might represent both amorphous

LiAlO_2 and disordered nm-sized γ - LiAlO_2 crystallites. According to our experience, see *e.g.*, ref. 37, the NMR chemical shift values of an X-ray amorphous phase produced *via* milling do not vary much from those of the corresponding crystalline counterpart having the same overall chemical composition; this shows that local structures of the two phases are very similar.




Fig. 4 (a) ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra of high-energy ball milled LiAlO_2 . The milling times are indicated; the spectra were recorded at a Larmor frequency of 130 MHz and a spinning speed of 15 kHz using 4 mm rotors. Asterisks indicate spinning sidebands. While the spectrum of microcrystalline γ - LiAlO_2 as well as that of the sample milled for 5 min is composed of a single signal at 80 ppm representing Al tetrahedrally coordinated, additional intensities emerge if the milling time is increased to 1, 2, 4 and 8 h, respectively. Small arrows indicate a small amount of impurities with Al in four-fold coordination. (b) Magnification of the NMR line located at 80 ppm.



Most importantly for our conductivity measurements presented below, mechanically induced phase transformations are almost absent for those samples treated only for 5 or 30 min in the planetary mill under the relatively harsh conditions chosen here. This can be seen by both XRD and ^{27}Al MAS NMR. Thus, the samples prepared after 30 min of milling represent almost phase pure nanocrystalline LiAlO_2 equipped with a large fraction of mechanically introduced defects.

As illustrated in Fig. 1(a)(i) and (ii), annealing of the 8 h milled sample for about 16 hours at 1173 K leads to significant narrowing of the XRD patterns due to grain boundary relaxation as well as grain growth. After annealing, almost phase pure $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ is re-obtained. The same observation has been documented by Li and co-workers³¹ after annealing the δ -form of LiAlO_2 at the same temperature; $\delta\text{-LiAlO}_2$ transforms into the γ -form, being stable at lower pressures, *via* α -modification. The mean crystallite size of our annealed sample is comparable to the initial microcrystalline sample purchased from Alpha Aesar. Some of the minor impurity phases of the original sample disappeared (see unfilled triangles in Fig. 1(a)). As in the case of Li *et al.* we cannot exclude that a small amount of spinel-type $\text{Al}_{2.5}\text{Li}_{0.5}\text{O}_4$ (Li : Al ratio of 1 : 5) is formed during milling. The fact that, pure $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ (Li : Al ratio of 1 : 1) is formed after annealing indicates that the Li : Al ratio of the new phase showing up after severe mechanical treatment equals that of LiAlO_2 . As reported by Li *et al.*,³¹ $\text{Al}_{2.5}\text{Li}_{0.5}\text{O}_4$ is a byproduct from high temperature decomposition of $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ that would lead to the release of Li_2O , while $\delta\text{-LiAlO}_2$ is the product of the high-pressure solid-solid phase transition. Here, we did not find enough credible evidence for the formation of a large amount of the spinel phase.

Turning now back to the investigation of the morphology of the samples prepared, as shown in Fig. 5, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) pictures of the materials ball milled for 5 minutes and 8 hours are presented. The so-called microcrystalline sample shows particles with a size of around 100 nm which is in good agreement with the results estimated by the Scherrer equation. The sample mechanically treated for 8 hours exhibits a rather large distribution of particle sizes. Besides larger ones, rather small particles in the tens of nanometer range are visible. Large agglomerates result from compaction during high energy ball milling.

As verified by HR-TEM (see Fig. 6) these agglomerates are clearly composed of differently orientated smaller crystallites. Most importantly, extended amorphous regions are visible in between these crystallites when LiAlO_2 is treated for 8 h in a planetary mill at 400 rpm. For comparison, a similar observation is documented for mechanically treated LiNbO_3 ; as has been shown in ref. 24, a layer of amorphous material with a thickness of about 2 nm covers the crystallites revealing a so-called core-shell structure of (nano-)crystalline and amorphous fractions. In our case, after 8 hours of milling the crystallites are completely embedded in an amorphous matrix of LiAlO_2 ; the structure resembles that of a glass ceramic that can be prepared by thermal annealing of glassy materials, for example. Considering the XRD powder patterns and the broad reflexes of the δ -modification of LiAlO_2 formed, the final product obtained




Fig. 5 SEM micrographs of LiAlO_2 ball milled for 5 min (top) as well as 8 hours (bottom). See the text for further explanations.

seems to consist of three “phases”: (i) structurally disordered nanocrystalline $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$, (ii) amorphous material and (iii) extremely small nanocrystals of the δ -form that may cover the larger nm-sized $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ particles. The structural disorder found for nano $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ is supported by ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra revealing a broadening of the NMR line located at around 80 ppm.

3.2 Small-angle X-ray scattering

For our SAXS measurements the polycrystalline powders were filled into a vacuum-tight foil-sealed flat sample-cell. The beam path through the powdered sample was about 1 mm including the foils of 20 μm in thickness. All measurements, even that of the empty cell, were done in vacuum and at ambient temperature (*ca.* 293 K). The scattering of the foils was subtracted from the scattering of the samples after normalizing the two patterns to the same transmission intensity. In Fig. 7(a) the SAXS curves obtained are shown. Using CuK_α radiation, having a wavelength of 0.154 nm, and a sample-to-detector distance of 265 mm this corresponds to a total 2θ region of 0.3° to 5° when the following conversion is applied:

$$q [\text{nm}^{-1}] = 4\pi (\sin(\theta))/\lambda [\text{nm}] \quad (2)$$

Here, 2θ is the scattering angle with respect to the incident beam and λ denotes the wavelength of the X-rays.






Fig. 6 HR TEM micrographs of LiAlO₂ ball milled for 8 hours (a, b) and 5 minutes (c). The sample milled for 5 minutes only exhibits a highly crystalline structure whereas in the sample milled for 8 hours small crystallites are embedded in an amorphous matrix.

The data were then analyzed in terms of the inner surface parameter (S_i) according to the procedure of Porod.^{38,39} The inner surface area S_i represents the total surface area of the porous or powdered compound with respect to its volume (or to its mass if the macroscopic density of the powder is known). From SAXS measurements this information on the nm-scale can be obtained by evaluating two parameters of the SAXS curve $I(q)$. In our setup the vertical SAXS pattern $I(q)$ were considered to be convoluted by an infinitely long horizontal beam profile (line focus). In that case Porod's law and the invariant can be applied to extract S_i from the scattering curve $I(q)$ convoluted by a infinitely long horizontal beam profile:

$$I(q)_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sim k/q^3 \quad (3)$$

$$Q \sim \int_{q=0}^{q \rightarrow \infty} I(q) q dq \quad (4)$$

k is the so-called Porod constant and Q is the invariant which represents the integral over the normalized first moment of the entire scattering curve, (extrapolated to $q \rightarrow 0$ and $q \rightarrow \infty$). The ratio of k/Q , when multiplied with 4, results in the specific inner surface S_i area (or interfacial area) per volume (nm² nm⁻³). This value, normalized to the density ρ (g cm⁻³) of the powder, is then proportional to the surface area per mass (m² g⁻¹):

$$S_i = 1000(4/\rho)(k/Q) \quad (5)$$

In Fig. 7(b) this surface area per mass is plotted *versus* the milling time. The mean surface areas per masses are in good agreement with the decreasing crystallite size as estimated by means of the Scherrer equation. Furthermore, the measurements indicate that the amount of amorphous material produced *via* milling increases with milling time. This corroborates the amorphous regions seen by HR TEM.

3.3 Long-range ion transport as probed by impedance spectroscopy

Impedance spectroscopy was used to characterize ion transport in ball-milled γ -LiAlO₂ as a function of mechanical impact. The corresponding conductivity isotherms are shown in Fig. 8; they reveal the typical characteristics for structurally disordered materials. The sample milled for 30 min shall serve as an example here. Similar spectra were obtained for the other samples, however, with ion conductivities shifted towards higher or lower values.

The same holds for the corresponding permittivity spectra $\epsilon'(\nu)$ (see Fig. 9). Disregarding any electrode polarization at the lowest frequencies, permittivities $\epsilon'(0)$ of *ca.* 30 are obtained




Fig. 7 (a) SAXS pattern of microcrystalline and ball-milled LiAlO₂. (b) Inner surface area per mass plotted vs. milling time as deduced from SAXS ($\rho = 2.615$ g cm⁻³, and see ref. 18, 31 and 32).



being typical for bulk ion conduction. $\epsilon'(\infty)$ is approximately 4; values in the order of 10 or below are commonly expected for high-frequency permittivities of glasses. This gives $\Delta\epsilon = \epsilon'(0) - \epsilon'(\infty) \approx 26$, being the dielectric relaxation strength that is a measure for the dipole fluctuations.

The frequency dependent conductivity spectra, which are composed of a dc plateau and a Jonscher type dispersive region,⁴⁰ can be approximated by a power law.

$$\sigma' = \sigma_{\text{dc}} + A\sigma\omega^n \quad (6)$$

where σ_{dc} corresponds to the conductivity plateau and n is the Jonscher exponent; here n takes values ranging from 0.5 to 0.8 (313 K to 573 K). This range is in good agreement with the results found in many previous studies on disordered materials and glasses; in particular, see the studies focusing on nanocrystalline LiTaO_3 (ref. 25) and amorphous LiNbO_3 .^{24,41–43} The decrease of σ' at low frequencies and sufficiently high temperatures, that is, above 450 K and at frequencies $\omega/2\pi < 100$ Hz, is due to polarization effects because of the ion blocking electrodes used.

As has been confirmed by preliminary potentiostatic polarization experiments carried out in our lab, the electronic fraction, σ_{eon} , of the overall conductivity σ_{dc} seems to be many orders of magnitude lower than the total value measured under a N_2 atmosphere. To estimate σ_{eon} and σ_{ion} , we placed the gold-sputtered pellets of the samples treated for 4 h and 8 h in airtight Swagelok cells, see also the procedure described in ref. 44. The polarization experiments were carried out at 293 K with




Fig. 8 Conductivity spectra of nanocrystalline LiAlO_2 measured in a dry N_2 atmosphere; the source material has been milled for 30 min in a planetary mill at 400 rpm. The spectra are composed of distinct dc plateaus reflecting chiefly bulk Li ion conduction in LiAlO_2 . While dispersive regions show up at higher frequencies, in the low frequency region electrode polarization leads to deviations from the dc plateau at elevated temperature. Solid lines represent fits with appropriate power laws containing the Jonscher exponent n , see the text for further explanation.




Fig. 9 Permittivity spectra of ball-milled LiAlO_2 (30 min) indicating that the electrical bulk response, $\epsilon(0)$ turns out to be approximately 30 while the permittivity at infinite frequencies is ca. 4. We refer to the text for further explanation and details.

a Parstat MC instrument from Princeton Applied Research; a constant voltage of 0.5 V was applied. Here, σ_{dc} is mainly determined by ion transport if measured in an oxygen-free, inert gas atmosphere. In a qualitative way the same holds for nanocrystalline LiTaO_3 studied previously by some of us.²⁵

To quantify thermal activation of the *long-range* ion transport, to which σ_{dc} is sensitive, the dc conductivity values ($\sigma_{\text{dc}}T$) have been plotted *versus* $1000/T$, see Fig. 10. Solid lines represent fits according to an Arrhenius law:

$$\sigma_{\text{dc}}T \propto \exp(-E_a/(k_B T)) \quad (7)$$

where k_B is Boltzmann's constant. Starting from $E_a = 1.14$ eV for the non-treated sample, the activation energy decreases to 0.78 eV after mechanical treatment for 8 h. A value of 1.14 eV is in good agreement with that reported by Indris *et al.*¹⁷ for a LiAlO_2 single crystal (1.26 eV along the $[110]$ direction and 1.14 eV along the $[001]$ direction). Here, the largest increase in σ_{dc} is found when the initially coarse-grained material is compared with that treated for only 30 min. Doing so, at 450 K the dc conductivity increases by more than five orders of magnitude clearly illustrating to what extent the defective structure introduced by milling changes through-going Li ion transport in $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ (Fig. 10). Milling for 5 minutes, however, does only slightly alter Li ion dynamics of $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$. Interestingly, for the 30 min-sample the X-ray powder pattern recorded reveals almost no side phases or high-pressure forms of LiAlO_2 . This corroborates our expectation that, while both the overall chemical composition as well as the long-range crystal structure of the sample is unchanged, mainly the defects generated during milling are responsible for the enhancement observed. For comparison, when internally referenced to microcrystalline






Fig. 10 (a) Arrhenius plot of ion conductivities of high-energy ball-milled LiAlO_2 . Here, the 2nd heating runs are shown (see Fig. 11 for explanation). The solid lines represent fits with an Arrhenius law. For comparison, the non-milled sample is also shown. (b) Results from (a) compared with those previously obtained for nanocrystalline LiTaO_3 ,²⁵ which has also been prepared by high-energy ball milling but in a shaker mill from SPEX. The dashed lines indicate the change of $\sigma_{\text{dc}}T$ during cooling from high temperatures towards ambient (see also Fig. 11). For the sample milled for 8 h the activation energy slightly increases again.

LiAlO_2 , between milling times of 5 and 30 minutes the largest changes in X-ray peak broadening occur.

Comparing the samples milled for different durations, after approximately 4 hours of milling an upper limit of σ_{dc} is reached (see Fig. 10(a)). Further increase to 8 hours does not change ion dynamics much although X-ray peak broadening, and thus also the formation of the amorphous material, continues. This leads us to the conclusion that in the case of LiAlO_2 additional nano-size effects, especially those of non-trivial nature,^{45,46} do not significantly contribute to the enhancement found after 30 min of milling. The defect level reached after 4 hours is fully sufficient to completely control long-range Li ion dynamics in nanocrystalline/amorphous LiAlO_2 prepared by mechanical treatment.

As can be seen from the Arrhenius plot shown in Fig. 10(a), at elevated temperatures the $\sigma_{\text{dc}}T$ values deviate from linear temperature behavior. This 'sub-Arrhenian' feature means that the conductivity properties of the sample steadily changes at sufficiently high T . If we, however, record $\sigma_{\text{dc}}T$ during cooling the (linear) Arrhenius behavior, being characterized by relatively large activation energies, is re-observed. As an example, in the case of the sample milled for 8 h, after heat treatment the activation energy increases again. Note that the measuring time between each data point during heating was approximately 30 min. In Fig. 11 the heating and cooling runs measured for two samples, milled for 30 min and 8 h, respectively, are shown. While the initial conductivities are even larger than those shown in Fig. 10, heat treatment at elevated T leads to a continuous decrease of σ_{dc} and a slight increase of E_a . The bold lines show fits of those data points which are also presented in Fig. 10 (2nd heating run). All data points have been recorded after the samples were dried at 373 K inside the impedance cell.

The temperature effects observed here were also detected for LiTaO_3 previously.²⁵ Considering the samples milled only shortly these features might be ascribed to healing of defects

and grain growth setting in. Interestingly, the larger the milling time, *i.e.*, the larger the extent of structural disorder introduced, the less pronounced the effect observed. Obviously, the more the microstructure of the sample mechanically treated resembles that of the original structure, the easier the system transforms back. This view is supported by the above-mentioned fact that $\gamma\text{-LiAlO}_2$ continuously transforms into high-pressure modifications, particularly the δ -form, crystallizing with different space groups.




Fig. 11 Heating and cooling runs of the LiAlO_2 samples treated for 30 min and 8 h in a planetary mill. Data points have been recorded after the samples were dried at 373 K inside the impedance cell to remove any traces of moisture near the surface regions. The deviations from linear Arrhenius behavior at elevated T reflects healing of defects and points to re-organization of local structures. The 2nd heating runs (see bold lines) are used for the comparison shown in Fig. 10.



For a brief overview, in Fig. 10(b) the $\sigma_{dc}T$ values of micro- and nanocrystalline LiAlO_2 of the 2nd heating run are compared with results from polycrystalline LiTaO_3 treated in a shaker mill and studied previously.²⁵ In contrast to LiAlO_2 , for LiTaO_3 no phase transformations are known. Even though the increase of Li ion conductivity in LiAlO_2 is less pronounced than that found for LiTaO_3 the same characteristics show up: (i) a poor ion conductor can be transformed into a conducting one by mechanical treatment with a sufficiently high impact; (ii) the largest increase in σ_{dc} is already observed in the early stages of milling, that is, if high-energy ball mills are used, after milling periods of less than 1 hour. The ion conductors prepared in such a way reveal activation energies with values of *ca.* 0.8 eV or less.

The fact that lower ion conductivities are found for LiAlO_2 after treatment for many hours (*cf.* the comparison with LiTaO_3 in Fig. 10(b)) is presumably linked with the formation of the highly dense, high pressure phases of LiAlO_2 limiting the increase in σ_{dc} compared to that found for LiXO_3 with $X = \text{Nb}, \text{Ta}$.^{24,25} On the other hand, Li in α - and δ - LiAlO_2 is coordinated octahedrally and the octahedra are connected by edge sharing. With regard to the LiO_4 -tetrahedra connected by sharing common edges in the γ -form, the arrangement in δ - LiAlO_2 might be more beneficial for Li ion transport – even if long-range length scales are considered.

3.4 Ion self-diffusion as seen by ^7Li NMR

^7Li NMR line shape measurements (Fig. 12) can provide additional information on short- as well as long-range ion diffusivity.²⁵ At sufficiently low temperatures, that is in the NMR rigid-lattice regime, the line width of the central line is dipolarly broadened due to the absence of rapid Li exchange; such

exchange would lead to motional averaging of homonuclear Li–Li interactions. As soon as the Li jump rate reaches values in the order of 1 kHz (or larger) the NMR line starts to narrow.

As is illustrated by the ^7Li NMR spectra shown in Fig. 12(a), line narrowing sets in at approximately 375 K (see Fig. 12(b)) for the sample that has been treated for 8 hours in the planetary mill. The corresponding NMR line of the microcrystalline source material, however, does not change up to temperatures as high as 430 K; compared to the nanocrystalline/amorphous sample motional narrowing is expected to occur at much higher temperatures. A slight motional narrowing effect is also detected for the sample milled for 30 min. It is, however, significantly less distinct than that observed for the samples treated for many hours. Even though the mean jump rates are still in the order of 1 kHz in this T range, which is as expected from the conductivity values probed, when referenced to the microcrystalline sample, σ_{dc} has drastically been increased after 30 min of milling (see Fig. 10). Altogether, in a qualitative way, the preliminary ^7Li NMR line shape measurements corroborate enhanced ion diffusivity in ball-milled LiAlO_2 .

If we assume that at 375 K, this is the temperature at which MN starts, the mean jump rate is in the order of 10^3 s^{-1} ; we can estimate a Li diffusion coefficient according to the Einstein–Smoluchowski equation. Using a mean jump distance of 2.5 Å this yields, anticipating 3D uncorrelated motion, a self-diffusion coefficient D in the order of $6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. This result corresponds to a conductivity value of $8 \times 10^{-9} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ if we use the Nernst–Einstein equation to correlate D with σ . Experimentally we found that σ_{dc} is *ca.* $2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ at 375 K. Thus, the D value estimated from NMR agrees reasonably well with the result from conductivity spectroscopy. It gives further evidence that σ_{dc} , which has been determined from the plateau of the




Fig. 12 (a) Temperature-variable ^7Li NMR spectra of LiAlO_2 milled for 8 h and 30 min, respectively. Spectra have been recorded under static conditions. The sample milled for 8 h shows significant motional narrowing at temperatures above 350 K. Starting from about 9.6 kHz the line width decreases to 7.4 kHz at 433 K. At this temperature, LiAlO_2 treated for only 30 min does not reveal any significant line narrowing (see also the spectrum shown by a dashed line). Thus, jump rates are still below 1 kHz in this T range. However, compared to the microcrystalline sample Li ion conductivity has increased drastically as shown in Fig. 9. (b) ^7Li NMR line widths of microcrystalline (■) γ - LiAlO_2 in comparison with the line narrowing of the sample milled for 8 h (□).



$\sigma'(\omega)$ isotherms, is mainly governed by ionic contributions as has been indicated by the preliminary polarization experiments mentioned above.

Noteworthy, the rigid-lattice line widths of the two samples shown in Fig. 12(b) differ from each other. The line widths of the ball milled samples exceeds that of the microcrystalline one. According to van Vleck's formula,⁴⁷ see also ref. 48, the NMR line width in the rigid lattice regime is inversely proportional to the mean Li–Li distance r . Thus, one might conclude that the mean value of r in LiAlO_2 that has been mechanically treated is slightly smaller than in the non-treated form or that heteronuclear ^7Li – ^{27}Al dipolar couplings are somewhat larger than that in the crystalline starting material. A smaller distance r is consistent with the change of the crystal structure from γ - LiAlO_2 towards δ - LiAlO_2 having a much higher density. Independent of any phase transformations, a similar effect on the rigid-lattice line widths has also been observed for amorphous LiNbO_3 (ref. 24) and ball-milled LiTaO_3 .²⁵

4 Summary and conclusions

LiAlO_2 is a new example that shows a drastic increase of the Li ion conductivity after it has been mechanically treated in a high-energy ball mill. We used the commercially available γ -modification of lithium aluminate to study the influence of high-energy ball milling on Li ion transport, specifically the Li ion conductivity by evaluating σ' isotherms recorded over a broad frequency range.

Already after 30 min of milling, the ion conductivity increased by four orders of magnitude when temperatures around 400 K are considered. This is related to the large number of defects introduced during milling. Another increase of σ_{dc} by approximately two orders of magnitude is detected after milling the source material for 8 h. Owing to the significant reduction in activation energy (0.79 eV (8 h, nanocrystalline/amorphous) vs. 1.13 eV (microcrystalline source material)), this corresponds to an increase of σ_{dc} at room temperature by a factor of 10^7 . HR-TEM and XRD (as well as SAXS) measurements show that a large amount of amorphous LiAlO_2 is responsible for the overall huge increase observed. After the starting material has been treated for many hours in a high-energy planetary mill, nanocrystallites of LiAlO_2 are formed that seem to be embedded in an amorphous matrix of the same chemical composition. The latter is corroborated by the fact that after annealing the nanocrystalline/amorphous sample at 1173 K, phase-pure, microcrystalline γ - LiAlO_2 is re-obtained. Recent work pointed out that in certain cases, and especially after annealing, thin layers of LiAlO_2 cover the surfaces of polycrystalline LLZ. The conductivity of such thin layers formed after surface impurity segregation is key to the functionality of solid electrolytes and thus long-range ion transport in all-solid-state energy storage systems.

Besides studying ionic conductivity, we investigated the structural changes which occur during the milling process; they seem to be rather complex. For milling times longer than one hour further XRD reflections to those assigned to γ - LiAlO_2 arise that point to the formation of another nanocrystalline and structurally disordered phase. Both XRD and ^{27}Al MAS NMR

measurements lead to the assumption that this new nanocrystalline phase can be identified with the high pressure phase δ - LiAlO_2 ; it has been reported that δ - LiAlO_2 is formed at extremely high pressures of up to 48 GPa combined with hot spots of 1570 K.

Summing up, microcrystalline lithium aluminate, which is a very poor ion conductor in its crystalline form, can be turned into an Li ion conductor by a mechanical action using high-energy ball milling. Mechanical treatment leads to the formation of amorphous LiAlO_2 with drastically enhanced transport properties. By variation of the milling time it is possible to adjust the degree of structural disorder and, thus, also to control the ion conductivity of the oxide ceramic giving the freedom to design solid electrolytes with desired properties.

Acknowledgements

We thank our colleagues at the TU Graz and the Leibniz University Hannover for valuable discussions. We gratefully acknowledge the help of V. Pregartner (TU Graz, ICTM) to prepare and characterize the samples. Moreover, we thank Sanja Simic (Graz Centre for Electron Microscopy) for her help in taking the SEM pictures. Financial support by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy, and the Austrian National Foundation for Research, Technology and Development is greatly appreciated. Furthermore, we thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for financial support within the DFG Research Unit 1277, grants no. WI3600/2-1 and 4-1, and the DFG Priority Program (SPP) 1415, grant no. WI3600/5-1.

References

- 1 P. Heitjans and S. Indris, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2003, **15**, R1257.
- 2 H. Mehrer, *Diffusion in Solids*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.
- 3 *Diffusion in Condensed Matter – Methods, Materials, Models*, ed. P. Heitjans and J. Kärger, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005.
- 4 P. Knauth, *Solid State Ionics*, 2009, **180**, 911.
- 5 E. Quartarone and P. Mustarelli, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 2525.
- 6 V. Thangadurai and W. Weppner, *Ionics*, 2006, **12**, 81.
- 7 R. Murugan, V. Thangadurai and W. Weppner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7778.
- 8 H. Buschmann, J. Dölle, S. Berendts, A. Kuhn, P. Bottke, M. Wilkening, P. Heitjans, A. Senyshyn, H. Ehrenberg, A. Lotnyk, V. Duppel, L. Kienle and J. Janek, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 19378.
- 9 N. Kamaya, K. Homma, Y. Yamakawa, M. Hirayama, R. Kanno and M. Yonemura, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 628.
- 10 P. Bron, S. Johansson, K. Zick, J. S. auf der Günne, S. Dehnen and B. Roling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15694.
- 11 Z. Liu, W. Fu, E. A. Payzant, X. Yu, Z. Wu, N. J. Dudney, J. Kiggans, K. Hong, A. J. Rondinone and C. Liang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 975.



- 12 V. Epp, Ö. Gün, H.-J. Deiseroth and M. Wilkening, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 2118.
- 13 H.-J. Deiseroth, S.-T. Kong, H. Eckert, J. Vannahme, C. Reiner, T. Zaiss and M. Schlosser, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 755.
- 14 A. Hayashi and M. Tatsumisago, *Electron. Mater. Lett.*, 2012, **8**, 199.
- 15 F. Mizuno, A. Hayashi, K. Tadanaga and M. Tatsumisago, *Adv. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 918.
- 16 J. B. Bates, N. J. Dudney, G. R. Gruzalski, R. A. Zuhr, A. Choudhury, C. F. Luck and J. D. Robertson, *Solid State Ionics*, 1992, **53–56**, 647.
- 17 S. Indris, P. Heitjans, R. Uecker and B. Roling, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 14243.
- 18 M. Marezio, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1965, **19**, 396.
- 19 H. Cao, B. Xia, Y. Zhang and N. Xu, *Solid State Ionics*, 2005, **176**, 911.
- 20 K. Okada, N. Machida, M. Naito, T. Shigematsu, S. Ito, S. Fujiki, M. Nakano and Y. Aihara, *Solid State Ionics*, 2014, **255**, 120.
- 21 Y. Li, J. T. Han, C. An Wang, H. Xie and J. B. Goodenough, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 15357.
- 22 L. Cheng, J. S. Park, H. Hou, V. Zorba, G. Chen, T. Richardson, J. Cabana, R. Russo and M. Doeff, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 172.
- 23 J.-P. Jacobs, M. A. San Miguel, L. J. Alvarez and P. B. Giral, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 1996, **232**, 131.
- 24 P. Heitjans, M. Masoud, A. Feldhoff and M. Wilkening, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2007, **134**, 67.
- 25 M. Wilkening, V. Epp, A. Feldhoff and P. Heitjans, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 9291.
- 26 P. Heitjans, E. Tobschall and M. Wilkening, *Eur. Phys. J.: Spec. Top.*, 2008, **97**, 161.
- 27 P. Heitjans and M. Wilkening, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2009, **34**, 915.
- 28 P. Heitjans and M. Wilkening, *Defect Diffus. Forum*, 2009, **283–286**, 705.
- 29 M. Wilkening, S. Indris and P. Heitjans, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **5**, 2225.
- 30 P. Scherrer, *Göttinger Nachrichten*, 1918, **2**, 98.
- 31 X. Li, T. Kobayashi, F. Zhang, K. Kimoto and T. Sekine, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2004, **177**, 1939.
- 32 M. Marezio and J. Remeika, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1966, **44**, 3143.
- 33 H. A. Lehmann and H. Z. Hesselbarth, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1961, **313**, 117.
- 34 C. H. Chang and J. L. Margrave, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **44**, 2020.
- 35 D. Müller, W. Gessner and G. Scheler, *Polyhedron*, 1983, **2**, 1195.
- 36 A. Düvel, E. Romanova, M. Sharifi, D. Freude, M. Wark, P. Heitjans and M. Wilkening, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 22770.
- 37 F. Preishuber-Pflügl and M. Wilkening, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, 9901.
- 38 S. K. Sinha, E. B. Sirota, S. Garoff and H. B. Stanley, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1988, **38**, 2297.
- 39 *Small-angle X-ray Scattering*, ed. O. Glatter and O. Kratky, London: Academic Press, London, 1982.
- 40 A. K. Jonscher, *Nature*, 1977, **267**, 673.
- 41 J. Rahn, E. Hüger, L. Dörrer, B. Ruprecht, P. Heitjans and H. Schmidt, *Defect Diffus. Forum*, 2012, **76–74**, 323.
- 42 J. Rahn, E. Hüger, L. Dörrer, B. Ruprecht, P. Heitjans and H. Schmidt, *Z. Physiol. Chem.*, 2012, **226**, 439.
- 43 M. Wilkening, D. Bork, S. Indris and P. Heitjans, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **4**, 3246.
- 44 A. Dunst, V. Epp, I. Hanzu, S. Freunberger and M. Wilkening, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 2739.
- 45 J. Maier, *Z. Physiol. Chem.*, 2003, **217**, 415.
- 46 J. Maier, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, **4**, 805.
- 47 J. H. Van Vleck, *Phys. Rev.*, 1948, **74**, 1168.
- 48 A. Abragam, *The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999.

