Pairing of cholesterol with oxidized phospholipid species in lipid bilayers†
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We claim that (1) cholesterol protects bilayers from disruption caused by lipid oxidation by sequestering conical shaped oxidized lipid species such as 1-palmitoyl-2-azelaoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (PZPC) away from phospholipid, because cholesterol and the oxidized lipid have complementary shapes and (2) mixtures of cholesterol and oxidized lipids can self-assemble into bilayers much like lysolipid–cholesterol mixtures. The evidence for bilayer protection comes from molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements. Unimodal size distributions of extruded vesicles (LUVETs) made up of a mixture of 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and PZPC containing high amounts of PZPC are only obtained when cholesterol is present in high concentrations. In simulations, bilayers containing high amounts of PZPC become porous, unless cholesterol is also present. The protective effect of cholesterol on oxidized lipids has been observed previously using electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and electron microscopy imaging of vesicles. The evidence for the pairing of cholesterol and PZPC comes mainly from correlated 2-D density and thickness plots from simulations, which show that these two molecules co-localize in bilayers. Further evidence that the two molecules can cohabitate comes from self-assembly simulations, where we show that cholesterol-oxidized lipid mixtures can form lamellar phases at specific concentrations, reminiscent of lysolipid–cholesterol mixtures. The additivity of the packing parameters of cholesterol and PZPC explains their cohabitation in a planar bilayer. Oxidized lipids are ubiquitously present in significant amounts in high- and low-density lipoprotein (HDL and LDL) particles, diseased tissues, and in model phospholipid mixtures containing polyunsaturated lipids. Therefore, our hypothesis has important consequences for cellular cholesterol trafficking; diseases related to oxidized lipids, and to biophysical studies of phase behaviour of cholesterol-containing phospholipid mixtures.

Introduction

The motivation of this report comes first, from an X-ray diffraction experiment which detected domain formation in peroxidized polyunsaturated bilayers containing cholesterol,1 and second, from the hypothesis that an inverted conical molecule (e.g. cholesterol) and a conical molecule (e.g. lysolipid) should seek each other out and pair-up in order to pack together into planar membranes composed of otherwise predominantly cylindrical phospholipids. While addressing these issues, we stumble upon a novel way to explain the protective effect that cholesterol has on bilayers which are assaulted by disrupting agents such as lysolipids.2

In 2005, Jacob and Mason1 detected the formation of cholesterol domains in bilayers composed of mixtures of polyunsaturated lipids and cholesterol. Specifically, an additional d-spacing close to 34 Å was detected, and was interpreted as thinner cholesterol containing regions in the lipid matrix, which also contained thicker, cholesterol-depleted regions enriched in phospholipids. Cholesterol, however, is inverted conical in shape, and like surfactants, cannot form bilayers or bilayer domains on its own, but may do so when coupled with a molecule of complementary shape, such as a lysolipid.2 Such a region in a membrane, enriched in cholesterol-lysolipid pairs, will be of a different thickness than the surrounding phospholipid bilayer, and this might explain some of the observations of Jacob and Mason, who observed that the smaller d-spacing was only observed in the presence of cholesterol. The natural question that ensues is: where does the lysolipid moiety come from in such an experiment? Peroxidized bilayers do not produce lysolipids, but do produce a large number of oxidized lipid products (OXPLs), the sheer number of which makes it
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impossible to characterize the composition of such a bilayer. PZPC is a typical end-product, OXPL, which is well characterized, found in high concentrations in living and diseased cells, and is implicated in several disease conditions (Fig. 1). PZPC consists of a palmitoyl tail, and a shortened, oxidized acyl tail with a pendant carboxyl group, which reorients to the lipid–water interface when PZPC is mixed with POPC lipids in a model lipid bilayer⁴⁻⁷ (see Fig. 1). In this form, PZPC can be considered to have a very large head group, comprised of the phosphatidylcholine moiety, plus the partially reoriented azealoyl tail. Thus, PZPC acquires a lysolipid like conical shape in a bilayer (Fig. 1). A ternary mixture of phospholipids, cholesterol and PZPC is thus a simple and effective model to test the above hypothesis.

Although we arrived at the above hypothesis independently during our investigations, it is by no means new. LysoPC–cholesterol mixtures form bilayers between 45 and 80% cholesterol (see ref. 3 and references therein). Kumar⁵ noted that although both cholesterol and lysoPCs have antagonistic effects on bilayers at high concentrations, they tend to cancel each other out when both are present in similar proportions. We investigate whether this pairing can also lead to formation of clusters or domains in bilayers. Cholesterol-pairing with lipids containing large head groups is also hypothesized in the so-called “Umbrella Model”.

We have implemented coarse-grained Molecular Dynamics (CGMD) simulations of mixtures of DUPC (1,2-dilinoleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine), PZPC and cholesterol to investigate possible lateral reorganization of the lipids resulting in formation of regions rich in both cholesterol and PZPC, and other DUPC-rich regions from which cholesterol and PZPC are excluded. We find that cholesterol and PZPC indeed are colocalized, but this pairing does not lead to explicit domain separation in the simulations. Our finding also explains the mechanism by which cholesterol protects bilayers from peroxidation.⁶ The protective effect of cholesterol has often been ascribed to its ordering effect on bilayers.⁷⁻¹² We suggest that cholesterol protects bilayers from OXPLs by sequestering them into regions depleted in phospholipids, and are confident that a similar mechanism will also account for cholesterol’s protective effects against lysolipids and perhaps other similarly shaped inverted cones.

The simulations results are complemented by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) measurements, which are performed to analyse the morphology of the model lipid membranes, composed of neutral DOPC lipids, oxidized PZPC lipids and cholesterol in various compositions. By measuring the size distribution of extruded liposomes (LUVETs) one can determine whether the size of vesicles is as expected after using this method of preparation (uniform and with the mean size similar to the pores of the membranes used for the extrusion), or if any alterations of vesicle morphology are present. Various types of alterations can be detected. It has been shown that an increased size of LUVETs can be caused by aggregation, which, e.g., might be the effect of the instability of the lamellar phase of lipids.¹³ In the case of LUVETs composed of more than one lipid species, decomposition of the vesicles can occur. This can be often detected using DLS, since the size distribution becomes multimodal in such a case.¹⁴

Our work has implications for the biomedical and biochemical sciences. OXPLs are known to affect membrane-associated phenomena, and are involved in a variety of disease conditions.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Oxidized lipids have gained a lot from molecular simulations,¹⁹⁻²³ because lipid oxidation produces innumerable transient intermediate compounds, which can be tedious to characterize in the lab biochemically and biophysically. Domain separation in models and real membranes is already recognized as a key research area in membrane biophysics, and there have been some reports on lateral reorganization in peroxidized cholesterol-free model membranes.²⁴⁻²⁷ But the problem becomes much richer when cholesterol is also present.

**Results and discussion**

**Cholesterol protects bilayers from PZPC**

Visual inspection is adequate to determine if each simulated bilayer is intact (able to separate two water compartments) after a few microseconds. Table 1 lists the “final results” from each simulation in the last column.

Without cholesterol, the bilayer first becomes porous at 30% oxidation. With 30% cholesterol, the bilayer first becomes porous at 40% oxidation. With 40% cholesterol, however, the bilayer is not porous even at 50% oxidation. Thus, increasing amounts of cholesterol protect the bilayer against increasing amounts of PZPC. A comparison of the final snapshots from the 0–50 (equimolar mixture of DUPC and PZPC) and the 40–50 (equimolar mixture of DUPC and PZPC, and 40 mol% cholesterol) is shown in Fig. 2. With the protonated version of PZPC, the bilayer first becomes porous at 40% oxidation. The protective role of cholesterol is apparent. The data are remarkably consistent with the DLS results.

In DLS, without cholesterol, a unimodal size distribution for each sample is observed up to 40% of PZPC (Fig. 3A). Note that transient or stable pores, or lateral homogeneity in the bilayer will not be detected by DLS if the vesicle size is unaffected. Beyond 50% PZPC, the distribution becomes bimodal [Fig. 3A], i.e. a second peak at ~10 nm is present. We attribute this result to the decomposition of bilayers and formation of small PZPC-
rich micelles. This finding supports the results of MD simulations. Samples containing 40 mol% cholesterol are characterized by unimodal size distribution up to 40 mol% of PZPC (Fig. 3B). The presence of 40 mol% cholesterol changes the size distribution of an equimolar DOPC–PZPC from bimodal to unimodal (compare Fig. 3A and B – equimolar samples are underlined). Apparently, cholesterol stabilizes the PZPC-containing bilayer.

**Co-localization of PZPC and cholesterol**

PZPC and cholesterol co-localize in the bilayer due to their complementary shapes. In Fig. 4, we show 2-D ensemble averaged density plots of individual molecules in the 40–50 simulation. DUPC is excluded from regions that are enriched in both cholesterol and PZPC. PZPC is clearly concentrated in regions where cholesterol is also present in high concentrations. The PZPC–cholesterol rich clusters are 1 to 4 nm in size, but do not

---

**Table 1** List of completed simulations. Some simulations of the ternary mixtures are implemented with a protonated version of PZPC (see Methods section), and are not shown in this table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>% CHOL</th>
<th>% oxidation</th>
<th>Onset of bilayer pores</th>
<th>Total simulation time (μs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-YY</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 to 70% at 10% intervals</td>
<td>At 30% oxidation</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-YY</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0 to 70% at 10% intervals</td>
<td>At 40% oxidation</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-YY</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0 to 70% at 10% intervals</td>
<td>At 60% oxidation</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total ~ 250 μs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The time shown is actual simulated time multiplied by a factor of 4, to account for the faster sampling and thermal diffusion rates in coarse-grained simulations. * YY is the percentage of phospholipids that is oxidized.

---

Fig. 2 Final simulation snapshots the bilayer plane of (A) the 0–50 (DUPC : CHOL : PZPC 0.5 : 0 : 0.5) system and the 40–50 (DUPC : CHOL : PZPC 0.3 : 0.4 : 0.3) system. DUPC in blue, CHOL in red, and PZPC in green. 40% cholesterol prevents pore formation in a bilayer containing 50% oxidized lipids.

Fig. 3 Dynamic light scattering measurements. (A) Size distribution of extruded liposomes formed from DOPC–PZPC lipids measured at 25 °C. (B) Size distribution of extruded liposomes formed from DOPC–PZPC and cholesterol measured at 25 °C. Content of the components is given in molar percentages.
acquire a well-defined morphology. Note that cholesterol is not completely excluded from the regions containing DUPC, but is only enriched locally where PZPC is present. Unlike in previous MARTINI-based coarse-grained simulations of archetypal phase-separating systems, we do not observe complete phase separation, but only small transient regions of the bilayer that are enriched in both cholesterol and PZPC. Surprisingly, the cholesterol–PZPC rich regions are thicker than the DUPC-rich regions, as evident in the 2D thickness profiles shown in Fig. 5. For example: the thinnest part of the bilayer at \((x \sim 13, y \sim 3)\) is rich in DUPC. The minor variation in the thickness (from 3.54 to 3.7 nm) suggests that the domains are transient, and complete phase separation has not occurred.

Further evidence of the formation of these “nano-domains” and the increased order in the bilayer comes from measuring the lateral 2-D diffusion coefficient \((D)\) of individual molecules, which decreases nearly monotonically for all components in the mixtures (Fig. 6). Our numbers are of the same order of magnitude as the measured \(D\) of labelled OXPLs in a supported DOPC bilayer, and typical of diffusion coefficients in fluid bilayers. The decreasing \(D\) cannot be ascribed to an ordering of the bilayer induced by cholesterol for the highly oxidized systems, because the order parameters for the lipid tails are in fact lower in the 40–40 and 40–50 systems than in the non-oxidized 40–0 system (data not shown).

In Fig. 7 we show the radial distribution functions of PZPC with DUPC and cholesterol. Although the first and second peaks are identically located, interactions with cholesterol are stronger, and there is no minimum at 7 Å with cholesterol, suggesting that PZPC prefers to colocalize with cholesterol, than with DUPC.

**Fig. 4** Average 2D number density of CHOL (A), PZPC (B), CHOL + PZPC (C), and DUPC (D) in the 40–50 system. Low-density regions are in blue and the highest densities are in red. CHOL and PZPC tend to co-localize into nanodomains. The size of the simulation cell is 25 × 25 nm.

**Fig. 5** (A) The thickness of the bilayer in the \(xy\)-plane in the 40–50 system overlaid with (B) the combined density of CHOL + PZPC. The axes are 25 nm × 25 nm on both graphs. Regions rich in cholesterol and PZPC are thicker than the DUPC-rich regions.

**Fig. 6** \(D\) lateral diffusion constants for cholesterol, PZPC and DUPC as a function of percentage of oxidation for systems containing 40% cholesterol.
If PZPC and cholesterol can co-localize in membranes, it is possible that these two molecules can form planar bilayers much like lysoPC–cholesterol mixtures. To investigate this possibility, we run self-assembly simulations of mixtures of cholesterol and a PZPC analogue: 1-palmitoyl-2-glutaroyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (PGPC), at various cholesterol : PGPC ratios. The PGPC oxidized tail is shorter than PZPC by four methylene groups, corresponding to one MARTINI coarse-grained bead. We select PGPC to run the self-assembly simulations, in order to compare with EPR and electron microscopy experiments carried out on PGPC–cholesterol mixtures. We also run simulations with a protonated version of PGPC, which we call PGHC. PGPC is present in high concentrations in oxidatively modiﬁed low-density lipoprotein. We find that in 5 out of 9 simulation copies, cholesterol–PGHC mixtures form bilayers at a cholesterol : PGHC ratio of 2 : 3. Bilayers are only formed with the protonated version of PGPC, and only at this speciﬁc ratio. Snapshots are shown in Fig. 8. Systems at all other compositions self-assemble into other microstructures such as micelles or bicelles. At CHOL : PGHC 7 : 3 and 3 : 2, micelles are predominant, owing to the surfactant like shape of cholesterol. When the ratio is 1 : 1, bicelles are mostly formed and the bilayer is ﬁnally formed when the ratio becomes 2 : 3. The structures formed at 3 : 7 are aggregates of variable, undeﬁned structures. Example simulation snapshots from each of these systems are shown in the ESI, Fig. S1.† The self-assembly simulation result is in wonderful quantitive agreement with Sepharose 4B gel-chromatography and cryo-electron microscopy,9 where lamellar structures were only observed at exactly a cholesterol : PGHC ratio of 2 : 3. The self-assembly of PGHC–cholesterol mixtures is strong evidence that oxidized phospholipids like PGPC and PZPC behave much like lysoPC. Density proﬁles from one of the self-assembled simulations are shown in the ESI, Fig. S2.† The carboxylate groups of the oxidized phospholipid and the cholesterol headgroup bead are at identical positions at the bilayer interface, suggesting a possible interaction between these chemical moieties, which however, cannot be further resolved in our CG simulations. The phosphate group of PGPC sits closer to the aqueous phase than the cholesterol headgroup. The non-zero density at the bilayer center for the COOH group shows that not all the COOH groups reverse their orientation in the bilayer. The non-zero density of the cholesterol headgroup at the bilayer center is a result of cholesterol flip-flop.

Discussion

The contention of this report is that (1) cholesterol protects bilayers from disruption caused by lipid oxidation and (2) inverted-conical shaped cholesterol protects the bilayer by...
sequestering conical shaped oxidized lipid species away from the phospholipid, because cholesterol and the oxidized lipid have complementary shapes. We do not decline that the protective effect of cholesterol is also exerted by its ordering of the bilayer, as has been seen with other types of membrane-disrupting agents such as drugs and antimicrobial peptides, but argue that this may not be the dominant effect in some cases, such as disruption caused by molecules complementary in shape to cholesterol. For example, the order parameters of the lipid tails are lower in the oxidized bilayers (40–40 and 40–50) than in the non-oxidized system, and yet the bilayer is protected. To establish the above assertions, we have implemented about 700 μs of coarse-grained simulations and carried out simple but informative DLS measurements.

The evidence for bilayer protection comes both from DLS and MD. Unimodal size distributions of extruded vesicles (LUVs) made of DOPC–PZPC mixtures containing high amounts of PZPC are only obtained when cholesterol is present in high concentrations. Bilayers containing high amounts of PZPC become porous, unless cholesterol is also present. The most striking effect of cholesterol occurs at 50% oxidation of the bilayer. 40% cholesterol keeps these membranes intact, as indicated by both the DLS measurements, and the integrity of the bilayer in the MD simulations. The protective effect of cholesterol from oxidized lipids has previously been documented using EPR measurements coupled to images obtained from cryo-electron microscopy.9 The evidence for the pairing of cholesterol and PZPC comes from the 2-D density plots from simulations, which show that these two molecules co-localize in bilayers into regions that are thicker than those of the surrounding phospholipid-rich matrix. Furthermore, the lateral diffusion constant of all species in the bilayer mixtures decreases monotonically with increasing extents of oxidation. Further evidence that the two molecules can cohabitate comes from self-assembly simulations, where we show that cholesterol-oxidized lipid mixtures can form lamellar phases at specific concentrations, just like mixtures of cholesterol and lysolipids. The self-assembly of a cholesterol: PGHC (2 : 3) mixture into a bilayer is in very good agreement with cryo-electron microscopy images of vesicle formation by a mixture of identical composition.

The pairing of molecules of conical and inverted conical shapes in bilayers can be understood if terms of the additivity of the packing parameter, defined as \( S = \frac{V}{aV} \), where \( V \) is the volume of the hydrocarbon chain, \( l \) is the length of the hydrocarbon tail, and \( a \) the area of the head group. Lysolipids and PZPC have a large head group (large \( V \)), and \( S > 1 \), and such molecules form micelles. Cholesterol has a much smaller head group, and \( S \) is below 1/2. Thus, cholesterol will adopt a hexagonal phase, like phosphatidylethanol lipids. However, when both cholesterol and PZPC are present, they can co-localize, and the additive value of \( S \) can be between 0.7 and 1, corresponding to the bilayer-forming regime of \( S \). The additivity of the packing parameter is sufficient to explain the colocalization of PZPC and cholesterol in our simulations. Our hypothesis on the lateral organization of the ternary lipid mixture is illustrated in Fig. 9.

The reduced lateral diffusion coefficient \( D \) of labelled oxidized lipids in bilayers in the presence of cholesterol was measured in supported lipid bilayers. Additional MD simulations ascribed the decreasing \( D \) to the deeper location of the oxidized lipid headgroup at higher cholesterol concentrations. Our observations are similar with regard to the decreasing \( D \), but we interpret the results differently as described above.

A slightly puzzling issue in the story is that we cannot very clearly detect different phases by means of either radial distribution functions in terms of an outright preference for cholesterol towards one region or another, or dynamically changing the length of the domain interface. We ascribe this anomaly to incomplete phase separation. The large-scale heterogeneity in the system masks smaller clusters of PZPC and cholesterol. The radial distribution functions in Fig. 7 do not emulate the compelling nature of the evidence from the 2D density plots and thickness profiles in Fig. 4 and 5. Complete phase separation is possibly driven by other phenomena that would come to the force only if additional degrees of freedom are present in our mixtures, for example: in the form of new molecules, as is probably the case with Jacob and Mason’s experiments, where there are many different phospholipid oxidation products, and possibly cholesterol oxidation products may also be present. We do not think simulation timescales are a problem, because for most canonical phase separating mixtures, phase separation does occur on smaller time scales in MARTINI-based coarse-grained simulations.

Some unresolved questions that still persist are: (1) why does the anionic form of PGPC not form bilayers with cholesterol at all? The uncharged form of PZPC is also less perturbing to the bilayer compared to the anionic form. Electrostatic repulsions between adjoining OXPL species are probably responsible for this effect. (2) What might be the possible role of oxidized cholesterol species in the behavior of these mixtures? (3) Why are PZPC-rich regions slightly thicker than the DUPC-rich
regions in the ternary simulations? One possibility is that the entire headgroup of PZPC is pulled closer to the aqueous phase because of the chain reversal of the oxidized tail. We are currently addressing some of these questions in additional investigations.

## Conclusions

We present a novel hypothesis of the preferential cohabitation of cholesterol and OXPLs in lipid bilayers, and also propose a new mechanism by which cholesterol may protect bilayers from disrupting agents. Our results are relevant for the health sciences and the membrane biophysics community involved in studying membrane domains in general. PZPC, and similarly shaped PGPC and other OXPLs are present in high concentrations in lipoprotein particles that are also rich in cholesterol. These OXPLs are also present in apoptotic tissues and are involved in a large variety of ailments, which are reviewed in ref. 43. Mammalian cell membranes contain between 20 and 50% cholesterol. Therefore the analysis of PZPC–cholesterol interactions is important. Our hypothesis suggests that cholesterol can not only protect mammalian membranes from PZPC, but can also sequester PZPC into cholesterol–PZPC rich regions in the membrane. Such clusters are also likely to form in LDL particles, and will likely affect the overall trafficking of cholesterol in the body. The phase diagrams of cholesterol-containing ternary phase-separating phospholipid mixtures may also need readjustment if there is clear evidence of the presence of oxidized phospholipid species such as PZPC in the mixture.

## Experimental

### Simulations

All simulations are carried out in GROMACS v. 4.5.x44–47 using the MARTINI coarse-grained force field for lipids,48 because we anticipate packing to be the main driver of lateral reorganization, and therefore do not attempt to describe specific interactions atomistically. Of course, MARTINI allows simulations of longer time and length scales. A list of the implemented simulations is provided in Table 1. Simulations of bilayers containing various proportions of phospholipid, PZPC and cholesterol are implemented. The model phospholipid used is 1,2-dilinoleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DUPC), the same as in Jacob and Mason’s experiments, but our main conclusions will apply to any typical model phospholipid such as DOPC or POPC. The percentage of oxidation ranged from 0 to 70% in 10% intervals, while cholesterol was simulated at 0, 30 and 40%.

### Dynamic light scattering

#### Materials

1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC), 1-palmitoyl-2-azelaoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (PZPC) and cholesterol (CHOL) were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc. (Alabaster, AL, USA). 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) was ordered from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). We use DOPC as a model lipid in the DLS measurements to avoid any spontaneous lipid oxidation, which might occur in samples of DUPC. Polyunsaturated lipids get oxidized orders of magnitude faster than monounsaturated ones49 and under biological conditions, only the linoleoyl tail is susceptible to oxidation.50,51 Furthermore, it was shown long ago that oleic acid protects LDLs from oxidation.52 The guarantee that we have no other DUPC oxidation products except PZPC in the samples lends confidence to our interpretation. For the sake of the hypothesis presented in this report, the specific type of phospholipid should not matter as long as the headgroup is identical, and the lipid tails are not vastly different in length or shape. The packing parameter for DOPC was estimated at 1.09.53 We could not find the packing parameter for DUPC in the literature. However, it will not be much different from DOPC based on the following arguments. According to the packing theory, the ratio w/l should be unaffected by the increased chain length of DUPC compared to DOPC.54 The extra unsaturated bond in DUPC will shorten l by 0.9 Å.54 Thus only changes in the area (a) will contribute to differences in the packing parameter, and the difference in the area between DOPC and DUPC is only 7%.55,56

The thickness differs by less than 6%.57
Preparation of lipid bilayers

The required volumes of chloroform solutions of DOPC, PZPC and cholesterol were mixed in a glass tube. Solvents were evaporated under a stream of nitrogen. The lipid film was kept in vacuum for at least 2 hours. The dry lipid film was then suspended in 10 mM HEPES buffer (pH = 7.4, 150 mM NaCl) and vortexed for 5 minutes. The final total concentration of lipids with (or without) cholesterol in the sample was 0.2 mM. Extruded large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) were formed by extrusion through a polycarbonate membrane (Avestin, Ottawa, Canada) of a nominal pore diameter of 100 nm. All prepared samples were transferred to 1 cm plastic cuvettes and equilibrated at 25 °C for 3 minutes before each measurement.

DLS measurements

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was used to measure vesicle size distribution. The light scattering setup (Zetasizer Nano ZS, Worcestershire, UK) consisted of a He–Ne laser 532 nm and an avalanche photodiode detector (APD). The scattering intensity was collected at the angle of 173°. Using cumulant analysis, the mean vesicle size (Z-average) for every monodisperse sample was obtained. The systems analysed are a cholesterol-free DOPC bilayer containing increasing amount of PZPC up to 70 mol% and mixtures of 40 mol% cholesterol and DOPC with increasing content of PZPC up to 66%.
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