RSC Advances

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 64901

Received 22nd September 2014 Accepted 18th November 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ra10986d

www.rsc.org/advances

Crystal structure, stability and Ago2 affinity of phosphorodithioate-modified RNAs†

Pradeep S. Pallan,^a Xianbin Yang,*^b Malgorzata Sierant,^c N. Dinuka Abeydeera,^b Tom Hassell,^d Carlos Martinez,^{d Magdalena Janicka,^c Barbara Nawrot^c} and Martin Egli*^a

Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) with phosphorodithioate modifications (PS2-RNA) possess favourable properties for use as RNAi therapeutics. Beneficial here is the combining of PS2 and 2'-O-methyl modifications (MePS2). SiRNAs with MePS2 moieties in the sense strand show promising efficacies in vitro and in vivo. Crystal structures of PS2- and MePS2- modified RNAs reveal subtle changes in geometry and hydration compared with natural RNA. A model of an MePS2- RNA–PAZ domain complex points to a hydrophobic effect as the source of the higher affinity of MePS2-RNA for Ago2.

Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) designed against a wide range of targets, of various formulations, and in many cases comprising chemical modifications are now being evaluated in the clinic.¹⁻⁴ Chemical modification of the antisense (guide) and/or sense (passenger) siRNA strand modulates RNA affinity, nuclease resistance, immune stimulation, uptake and biodistribution, and is widely expected to be required for efficacy in vivo.⁵⁻⁹ SiRNAs containing phosphorodithioate modifications (PS2-RNA; Fig. 1) were recently shown to exhibit favorable properties for therapeutic applications.¹⁰ Thus, the PS2 moiety, despite destabilizing the duplex slightly relative to the native phosphate (PO2), appears not to distort the A-form geometry, improves serum stability, and is tolerated in the central part of the antisense strand as well as in most of the positions tested in the sense strand.

Unlike the phosphorothioate moiety (PS; Fig. 1), PS2 is achiral, thus precluding potential drawbacks that may arise from chiral Psubstituted oligonucleotides, owing to variable biophysical and biochemical properties of individual (e.g. PS-) diastereoisomers.^{11,12} In addition, PS2-modified siRNAs display increased protection against degradation by nucleases compared with PSmodified oligonucleotides.^{10,13,14} Combining the PS2 with the 2'-O-methyl ribose modification (MePS2-RNA; Fig. 1) afforded increased loading of modified siRNA duplexes into the RNAinduced silencing complex (RISC) as well as enhanced antitumor activity.¹⁵ Interestingly, the former property can be attributed to MePS2 modification at two residues adjacent to the 3'-TT overhang in the sense siRNA strand (ESI Fig. S1†). This conclusion is supported by higher association (based on in vitro pull-down assays), and tighter intracellular binding between MePS2-siRNA and Ago2 protein, relative to the corresponding PO2, PS2-, or methoxy-modified RNAs (RNA, PS2-RNA, or Me-RNA, resp.; Fig. 1). MePS2-siRNA showed significantly enhanced silencing of EphA2 involved in taxane resistance compared to all other RNA chemistries (Fig. 1) in both the SKOV3ipl and HeyA8 epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines that highly express target protein.¹⁵ Other proteins with a key role in chemo-resistance besides EphA2, such as GRAMD1B, were subsequently targeted by systemic administration of MePS2-siRNA, leading to a re-sensitization of chemoresistant ovarian tumors to taxane therapy.¹⁵ COMMUNICATION

Case the SC Ast, 2014 4,6901

Prodeep S. Pallan," Xianbin Yang,^{att} Malgorata Sierant

Fig. 1 Structures of native and modified DNA and RNA.

a Department of Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University, School of Medicine, Nashville, TN 37232, USA. E-mail: martin.egli@vanderbilt.edu; Fax: +1-615-322-7122; Tel: +1-615- 343-8070

^bAM Biotechnologies LLC, 12521 Gulf Freeway, Houston, TX 77034, USA. E-mail: xianbin.yang@thioaptamer.com; Fax: +1-832-476-0294; Tel: +1-832-379-2175

c Department of Bioorganic Chemistry, Centre of Molecular and Macromolecular Studies, Polish Academy of Sciences, Lodz, Poland

d Sigma Life Science, 9186 Six Pines, The Woodlands, Texas 77380, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General methods, including crystallographic experiments (Table S1) and model building, and illustrations of EphA2 siRNA (Fig. S1), superimposition of PS2-RNA and RNA duplexes (Fig. S2), CD spectra (Fig. S3), and comparisons between crystal structures of Ago2–siRNA and PAZ–siRNA complexes (Fig. S4). See DOI: 10.1039/c4ra10986d

Fig. 2 Crystal structure of the $C_{PS2}G$ -RNA duplex. (A) View across the major and minor grooves. Fourier Fo-Fc difference electron density $(2.5\sigma$ level) around O1P and O2P of G4 and G16 (indicating the presence of sulfur) is depicted as a green meshwork, terminal residues are labeled, and water molecules are red spheres. (B) Close-up view of residue G4 with difference density around O1P and O2P before incorporation of sulfur. (C) View into the central major groove with sulfur atoms colored in yellow.

Fig. 3 Close-up views of the MePS2-modified backbones in the (A) A_{MePS2}A- and (B) A_{MePS2}G duplexes. Fourier (2Fo-Fc) electron density $(1.2\sigma$ level) is depicted as a green meshwork, and 2'-O-methyl carbon and sulfur atoms are highlighted in cyan and yellow, respectively.

Table 1 Melting temperatures T_m of native and MePS2-siRNAs

Fig. 4 Models of the interactions between the human Ago2 PAZ domain and the (A) MePS2-modified and (B) native siRNA sense strands. Only the 3'-terminal five residues of the RNA are shown (n-4 to n) and the TT-overhang is visible on the upper right in both panels. The formation of a hydrophobic patch between the Met-273 and Cys-270 side chains, methoxy moiety of G_{n-2} and PS2 of T_{n-1} is highlighted with a dashed circle in panel A. PS2 groups, sulfur atoms (yellow) and 2'-O-methyl carbons (cyan) are depicted in ball-and-stick mode and hydrogen atoms are white spheres of smaller radius. Note the significantly more polar environment of the phosphate (His, $2 \times$ Tyr, Lys) between overhanging dTs, visible on the right-hand side of the two panels.

To gain insight into the conformational consequences of PS2 modification and potential changes as a result of combining it with the ribose 2′-O-methyl substitution, we determined crystal structures of the RNA duplexes $(CGC_{PS2}GAAUUAGCG)_{2}$ (C_{PS2}G- RNA), $(CGCGA_{MePS2}AUUAGCG)_{2}$ $(A_{MePS2}A-RNA)$, and $(CGCGAAUUA_{MePS2}GCG)₂$ (A_{MePS2}G-RNA) at resolutions of 1.19, 1.18 and 1.13 \AA , respectively. \ddagger The structures were phased by molecular replacement, using the previously determined structure of the native RNA¹⁶ as search model [ESI (Table S1†)].

The structures of the PS2-modified RNA duplexes are isomorphous with that of the native RNA.¹⁶ Thus, the duplex is located on a crystallographic dyad and a single dodecamer strand constitutes the asymmetric unit. Residues are numbered 1–12 and those in the symmetry-related strand are numbered $1^{\#}-12^{\#}$ (Fig. 2). Superimposition of the C_{PS2}G and native RNA duplexes demonstrates their virtually identical conformations (Fig. $S2\dagger$). In particular PS2 modification does not trigger any changes in the ribose conformation and the backbone torsion angles. The most obvious deviation concerns the P–S bond lengths in the phosphorodithioate moiety ($ca. 1.94$ Å) compared to the P–O bonds in the native phosphate $(ca. 1.60 \text{ Å})$ (Fig. S2†).

In the $A_{\text{MePS2}}A$ - and $A_{\text{MePS2}}G$ -RNA duplexes, 2'-O-methyl groups are directed into the minor groove, a result of the familiar ap orientation around the C2'-O2' bond (Fig. 3).^{17,18} Therefore, neither the PS2 modification alone nor the combination of the PS2 and 2'-O-methyl modifications appear to have a notable effect on the conformation of A-form RNA judging from the crystallographic results.

However, the 2'-O-methyl modification can offset the slight thermodynamic destabilization caused by the PS2 moiety compared with the native RNA duplex.¹⁰ We determined the melting temperatures (T_m) of siRNAs containing two to six MePS2 modifications and found that they exhibit thermal Table 2 Human Ago2 binding affinities of EphA2 siRNAs with various sense strand modifications adjacent to the 3'-overhang

^a The PS2- and MePS2-siRNA affinities based on bio-layer interferometry are similar, although those from cellular Ago2 association or pull-down assays consistently show tighter binding by MePS2-modified RNAs.¹⁵

Fig. 5 The PS2 moiety disrupts H-bonding interactions in the water chain bridging adjacent O2P atoms in the major groove.

stabilities that are comparable to that of the unmodified RNA (PO2) (Table 1).

To assess potential conformational consequences of the MePS2 modification in solution, we measured the circular dichroism (CD) spectra of the siRNA duplexes listed in Table 1. All spectra were closely similar to the spectrum of the native duplex and are consistent with the typical A-type structure (a maximum of the positive Cotton effect at 268 nm and a crossover point at 240 nm; Fig. S3†).

The lack of an obvious change in both the conformation and stability of MePS2-RNA relative to native RNA points to another cause of the favorable therapeutic properties of this modification.¹⁵ To better understand the increased Ago2 affinity of siRNA containing MePS2-modified sense strands (Fig. S1†), we built models of PAZ–siRNA complexes† based on the crystal structure of a short RNA fragment featuring a $3'$ two-residue overhang bound to the human Ago2 PAZ domain.¹⁹ This domain is most likely the only region of Ago2 affected by MePS2 modification near the siRNA 3′-end (Fig. S4†). Comparison of the complexes with siRNA and MePS2-siRNA reveals formation of a hydrophobic patch in the latter, that involves both the 2′-O-methyl and PS2 moieties as well as methionine and cysteine side chains from the PAZ domain (Fig. 4). The hypothesis that increased hydrophobicity conferred by the MePS2 modification plays a key role in the stronger binding to Ago2 and its enhanced efficacy is supported by the relative Ago2 affinities

of siRNAs containing no modification, PS, MePS, PS2 or MePS2 (Table 2).

Conclusions

The MePS2 modification in the backbone region adjacent to the 3'-dTdT overhang of the siRNA sense strand triggers a strongly enhanced affinity to the RISC Ago2 slicer and silencing of proteins that confer chemoresistance in ovarian cancer cell lines and tumors.¹⁵ This finding is surprising given the fact that the antisense siRNA strand mediates cleavage of the target RNA at the Ago2 active site and indicates that chemical modification of the sense strand can play a key role in siRNA loading and efficacy.

We demonstrate here that MePS2 modification does not affect RNA conformation and stability. Instead the increased Ago2 affinity is likely the result of favourable hydrophobic interactions with the PAZ domain mediated by both the $2^{\prime}\text{-}O\text{-}$ methyl and PS2 moieties. This conclusion is consistent with the inability of PS2 sulphur atoms to form H-bonds with water molecules lining the RNA backbone in the crystal structure (Fig. 5).

Both steric and electronic factors can contribute to the increased nuclease resistance afforded by a modification.^{18,20,21} The bulkier sulphur atoms in the PS2 moiety along with the increased hydrophobicity relative to PO2 might exclude nonthiophilic metal ions (e.g. Mg^{2+}) from an exonuclease active site or result in suboptimal positioning of the water nucleophile for attack at the phosphate group.

Acknowledgements

Supported by US NIH grant R44 GM086937 (to X.Y. and M.E.).

Notes and references

 \ddagger Data deposition: final coordinates and structure factor files have been deposited in the Brookhaven Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org). The entry codes are 4RBY (C_{PS2}G-RNA), 4RBZ (A_{MePS2}A-RNA), and 4RC0 (A_{MePS2}G-RNA).

- 1 M. E. Davis, J. E. Zuckerman, C. H. J. Choi, D. Seligson, A. Tolcher, C. A. Alabi, Y. Yen, J. D. Heidel and A. Ribas, Nature, 2010, 464, 1067–1070.
- 2 C. Pecot, G. Calin, R. Coleman, G. Lopez-Berestein and A. Sood, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2011, 11, 59–67.
- 3 J. C. Burnett, J. J. Rossi and K. Tiemann, Biotechnol. J., 2011, 6, 1130–1146.
- 4 J. Tabernero, G. I. Shapiro, P. M. LoRusso, A. Cervantes, G. K. Schwartz, G. J. Weiss, L. Paz-Ares, D. C. Cho, J. R. Infante, M. Alsina, M. M. Gounder, R. Falzone, J. Harrop, A. C. White, I. Toudjarska, D. Bumcrot, R. E. Meyers, G. Hinkle, N. Svrzikapa, R. M. Hutabarat, V. A. Clausen, J. Cehelsky, S. V. Nochur, C. Gamba-Vitalo, A. K. Vaishnaw, D. W. Sah, J. A. Gollob and H. A. Burris III, Cancer Discovery, 2013, 3, 406–417.
- 5 S. Choung, Y. Kim, S. Kim, H. Park and Y. Choi, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 2006, 342, 919–927.
- 6 D. Bumcrot, M. Manoharan, V. Koteliansky and D. W. Y. Sah, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2006, 2, 711–719.
- 7 S. Shukla, C. S. Sumaria and P. I. Pradeepkumar, ChemMedChem, 2009, 5, 328–349.
- 8 M. Manoharan, A. Akinc, R. K. Pandey, J. Qin, P. Hadwiger, M. John, K. Mills, K. Charisse, M. A. Maier, L. Nechev, E. M. Greene, P. S. Pallan, E. Rozners, K. G. Rajeev and M. Egli, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 2284–2288.
- 9 D. M. Kenski, G. Butora, A. T. Willingham, A. J. Cooper, W. Fu, N. Qi, F. Soriano, I. W. Davies and W. M. Flanagan, Mol. Ther.–Nucleic Acids, 2012, 1, e5.
- 10 X. Yang, M. Sierant, M. Janicka, L. Peczek, C. Martinez, T. Hassell, N. Li, X. Li, T. Wang and B. Nawrot, ACS Chem. Biol., 2012, 7, 1214–1220.
- 11 A. V. Lebedev and E. Wickstrom, Perspect. Drug Discovery Des., 1996, 4, 17–40.
- 12 W. S. Marshall and M. H. Caruthers, Science, 1993, 259, 1564–1570.
- 13 A. Detzer, M. Overhoff, A. Mescalchin, M. Rompf and G. Sczakiel, Curr. Pharm. Des., 2008, 14, 3666–3673.
- 14 D. A. Braasch, Z. Paroo, A. Constantinescu, G. Ren, O. K. Öz, R. P. Mason and D. R. Corey, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2004, 14, 1139–1143.
- 15 S. Y. Wu, X. Yang, K. Gharpure, H. Hatakeyama, M. Egli, M. McGuire, A. S. Nagaraja, T. Miyake, R. Rupaimoole,

C. V. Pecot, M. Taylor, S. Pradeep, M. Sierant, C. Rodriguez-Aguayo, H. J. Choi, R. A. Previs, G. N. Armaiz-Pena, L. Huang, C. Martinez, T. Hassell, C. Ivan, V. Sehgal, R. Singhania, H.-D. Han, C. Su, J.-H. Kim, H. Dalton, C. Kowali, K. Keyomarsi, N. A. J. McMillan, W. W. Overwijk, J. Liu, J.-S. Lee, K. Baggerly, G. Lopez-Berestein, P. Ram, B. Nawrot and A. K. Sood, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 3459. **ESC** Advances

8 D. Burnotte, M. Mondonton, V. November 2014. Published on 2014. Downloaded on 2014. Downloaded on 2014. Downloaded on 2014. The Commons Article is likensed under the commons and the state of the commons A

- 16 F. Li, P. S. Pallan, M. A. Maier, K. G. Rajeev, S. L. Mathieu, C. Kreutz, Y. Fan, J. Sanghvi, R. Micura, E. Rozners, M. Manoharan and M. Egli, Nucleic Acids Res., 2007, 35, 6424–6438.
- 17 P. Lubini, W. Zürcher and M. Egli, Chem. Biol., 1994, 1, 39-45.
- 18 M. Egli, G. Minasov, V. Tereshko, P. S. Pallan, M. Teplova, G. B. Inamati, E. A. Lesnik, S. R. Owens, B. S. Ross, T. P. Prakash and M. Manoharan, Biochemistry, 2005, 44, 9045–9057.
- 19 J.-B. Ma, K. Ye and D. J. Patel, Nature, 2004, 429, 318–322.
- 20 M. Teplova, S. T. Wallace, G. Minasov, V. Tereshko, A. Symons, P. D. Cook, M. Manoharan and M. Egli, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1999, 96, 14240–14245.
- 21 C. A. Brautigam, S. Sun, J. A. Piccirilli and T. A. Steitz, Biochemistry, 1999, 38, 696–704.