Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

PAPER



Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, **12**, 9272

Sialylation of lactosyl lipids in membrane microdomains by *T. cruzi trans*-sialidase⁺

Gavin T. Noble, Faye L. Craven, Maria Dolores Segarra-Maset, Juana Elizabeth Reyes Martínez, Robert Šardzík, Sabine L. Flitsch* and Simon J. Webb*

A synthetic perfluoroalkyl-tagged lactosyl glycolipid has been shown to form lipid microdomains in fluid phospholipid bilayers. When embedded in the membranes of phospholipid vesicles, this glycolipid was *trans*-sialylated by soluble *T. cruzi trans*-sialidase (TcTS) to give a perfluoroalkyl-tagged glycolipid that displayed the ganglioside GM₃ epitope, with up to 35% *trans*-sialylation from fetuin after 18 h. Following sialylation, vesicles bearing this Neu5Ac(α 2-3)Gal(β 1-4)Glc sequence in their "glycocalyx" were recognised and agglomerated by the lectin *M. amurensis* leukoagglutinin. Monitoring TcTS-mediated *trans*-sialylation by HPLC over the first 6 h revealed that enzymatic transformation of bilayer-embedded substrate was much slower than that of a soluble lactosyl substrate. Furthermore, clustering of the lactose-capped glycolipid into "acceptor" microdomains did not increase the rate of sialic acid transfer from fetuin by soluble TcTS, instead producing slight inhibition.

Received 29th August 2014, Accepted 25th September 2014 DOI: 10.1039/c4ob01852d

www.rsc.org/obc

Introduction

Trypanosoma cruzi (T. cruzi) is a parasitic trypanosome endemic in Latin America that causes Chagas disease, a condition that results in cardiomyopathy and digestive megasyndromes at the chronic stage of infection.¹ As part of the lifecycle of the parasite, the motile elongated trypomastigote form invades host cells via endocytosis, followed by conversion to a spherical amastigote form that reproduces by mitosis.² Sialylation of T. cruzi mucins on the parasite surface is involved in the cell invasion process,³ and is required to help evade the early complement-mediated host immune response.⁴ However T. cruzi cannot synthesise sialic acid, and the source of parasite sialic acid was unknown until the discovery of the enzyme T. cruzi trans-sialidase (TcTS). This transglycosidase transfers sialic acid from host cell glycoconjugates,⁵ such as ganglioside GM_{3} ,⁶ to mucin glycans^{5,7} that are attached to the parasite surface via glycophosphatidyl inositol (GPI) anchors.⁸

Structural studies of TcTS show several domains:⁹ an N-terminal catalytic domain, a globular domain believed to

E-mail: sabine.flitsch@manchester.ac.uk, S.Webb@manchester.ac.uk;

Fax: +44(0)-161-306-5201; Tel: +44(0)-161-306-4524

unfolded domain called the 'shed acute phase antigen'. The catalytic domain of TcTS has a high specificity for Gal(β1-4)Glc (Lac) and Gal(β1-4)GlcNAc (LacNAc) sequences, catalysing the formation of Neu5Ac(α2-3)Gal(β1-4)Glc (or with GlcNAc in place of Glc).^{6b,11} This transfer reaction is reversible and the equilibrium position depends upon the relative concentrations of sialic acid "donors" and "acceptors". The catalytic site of TcTS is a wide flexible cleft close to the protein surface¹² that accommodates the sialyl donor and lactosyl acceptor sequentially. Sialic acid is transferred through a ping-pong mechanism,¹³ where binding of a sialyl residue is required before the β-galactoside interaction can occur.¹⁴ TcTS also has lectin-like capabilities, and there are natural variants that exhibit minimal enzymatic activity yet retain the ability to bind sialic acid.¹⁵ This lectin-like ability allows parasite displaying TcTS on its surface to bind to sialic acid on targeted cell surfaces (such as the host insect gut lining), which may aid the cell invasion process.¹⁶ Two forms of TcTS are utilised by *T. cruzi*; a membrane-bound form that is terminated with a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor, and a secreted form that is produced through hydrolysis of this GPI link. This soluble TcTS is released into the extracellular space and bloodstream where it weakens the immune system by attacking the thymus, inducing apoptosis in thymocytes by sialylating the CD43 mucin on the surface of these cells.¹⁷

bind nerve growth factor receptor TrkA,10 and a C-terminal

How substrate clustering on cell surfaces, as found in "lipid rafts", affects reactivity with soluble enzymes is poorly understood. Glycosyltransferases are a class of enzyme of intense



View Article Online

Manchester Institute of Biotechnology and School of Chemistry, The University of Manchester, 131 Princess Street, Manchester, M1 7DN, UK.

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details; spectra and CACs of novel lipids; measurements of *E/M* ratios and flip–flop rates; conditions and representative HPLC traces for enzymatic reactions. See DOI: 10.1039/c4ob01852d

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

interest, for example in chemoenzymatic synthesis,¹⁸ that are also often available as soluble forms. Although multivalent displays of inhibitor are known to block both lectin binding and glycosyltransferase activity,¹⁹ glycoside transfer to multivalent displays of substrate on surfaces has been rarely quantified. We had previously observed that soluble $\beta(1,4)$ -galactosyltransferase (β 4GalT1) galactosylated GlcNAc-capped lipid **3** (Fig. 1) in substrate microdomains 9-fold faster than the same glycolipids dispersed across a phospholipid bilayer surface, an enhancement that was attributed to multivalent binding to a shallow, accessible and extended substrate cleft around the active site.²⁰



Fig. 1 (a) A sialic acid residue is transferred to phase separating Lac lipid 1 from fetuin by TcTS, producing Neu5Ac(α 2-3)Gal(β 1-4)Glc capped lipid 2. GlcNAc lipid 3 is a phase-separating non-substrate comparison. (b) Lac lipid 1 is dispersed across the membrane in liquid disordered (l_d) bilayers like dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine (DMPC) at 37 °C but (c) phase separates to form lipid microdomains (pale blue pyrenyl excimer fluorescence) in liquid ordered (l_o, DMPC-cholesterol) or solid ordered membranes (s_o, DPPC) at 37 °C.

It was hoped that soluble TcTS, also with a shallow active site, should be able to access Lac or LacNAc on a bilayer surface. Furthermore, TcTS causes some of its biological effects by targeting substrate clusters in host cell membranes.²¹ High densities of saccharide epitopes, including sialic acid and galactose, are found in "lipid rafts", cell surface domains that are crucial for cell signaling. These phase separated clusters of proteins, cholesterol and glycolipids²² in the fluid cell membrane matrix can accumulate GPI-anchored proteins and sialyl-capped lipids like gangliosides, making these sialic acid "donor" regions targets for both sialidases²³ and trans-sialidases like TcTS.²¹ The converse, non-sialylated lipid rafts formed from potential "acceptors" like lactosylceramide, also have several important physiological roles²⁴ including in neuroinflammatory disease,25 H. pylori adhesion to gastrointestinal cells,²⁶ and pathogen phagocytosis by neutrophils.²⁷

We have developed a pyrene-perfluoroalkyl membrane anchor that can form functionalised fluid microdomains in bilayers that are in liquid ordered (l_o) or solid ordered (s_o) states.²⁸ Appending lactose onto this pyrene-perfluoroalkyl membrane anchor to create "acceptor" glycolipid **1** (Fig. 1) will provide two insights: how sialylation by TcTS is affected by (a) substrate insertion into a bilayer and (b) clustering within that bilayer. Successful sialylation will afford a lipid bearing the ganglioside GM₃ epitope (Neu5Ac(α 2-3)Gal(β 1-4)Glc) in a process analogous to GM₃ biosynthesis from lactosylceramide, and may provide phospholipid vesicles with a synthetic sialylated glycocalyx. Rates of reaction can be compared with our previous assays of soluble enzyme activity²⁰ and may provide insight into how secreted TcTS might act on lactosylceramide rafts.

Herein we describe the synthesis of perfluoroalkyl-tagged lactose-lipid 1 (Fig. 1) and studies of the TcTS mediated transfer of sialic acid onto the lactose headgroup of 1. The effect of clustering lipid 1 into microdomains on the rate of sialic acid transfer by soluble TcTS was also assessed.

Results and discussion

Synthetic perfluoroalkyl-tagged glycolipids like **1** are readily available by coupling amine-terminated glycosides to acidterminated membrane anchors (Scheme 1).

The key acetyl-protected 2-aminoethyl lactoside 7 is available *via* both the azide and CBz protection routes.²⁹ Conversion of lactose peracetate to the bromide allowed activation by metal salts using the Koenigs–Knorr method.³⁰ Using silver carbonate as an activator gave numerous side-products, so the more reactive Hg(CN)₂–HgBr₂ mixture was used with CBzprotected ethanolamine. A reasonable yield of the CBz-protected lactose derivative was obtained with an α : β anomeric ratio of 1:1.7. The required β -anomer 5 was recovered using column chromatography and then deprotected by hydrogenation to give 7. Similarly, employing 2-azidoethanol with Hg(CN)₂– HgBr₂ gave the azido-terminated lactose derivative, which could be hydrogenated to 7. Forming the amide linkage between the saccharide and lipid components was achieved



Scheme 1 Synthesis of lactose-capped lipid 1.

using *N*,*N*'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) to form the *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) active ester. To avoid *O*- to *N*-acetyl transfer, acid activation was performed in parallel with hydrogenation, with the resulting amine immediately added to the activated NHS ester. The acetate protecting groups were then cleaved using Zemplén conditions³¹ to give lipid **1**. Both the CBz and azido routes gave similar final yields (14% and 12% respectively from heptaacetylactosyl bromide).

Lipid microdomain formation by 1

The pyrenyl fluorophore in **1** has two functions: it allows the direct visualisation of **1** in vesicles by fluorescence microscopy and it forms excited dimers (excimers) at high local concentrations. The ratio of excimer (460 nm) to monomer (395 nm) fluorescence emission (the *E/M* ratio) is directly indicative of the local concentration of pyrene moieties and the rate of collision between them,³² so increases in the *E/M* ratio indicate the formation of microdomains containing **1**. The *E/M* ratio also provides important information about the behaviour of lactose fluorolipid **1** in solution, such as the critical aggregation concentration (CAC), and in bilayers, like the exchange rate between outer and inner leaflets ("flip–flop"). To measure the CAC of **1**, a suspension of **1** in pH 7.4 phosphate buffer (20 μ M **1**, 37 °C) was formed by sonication of a thin lipid film.

A high *E*/*M* ratio of 20 ± 1 indicated aggregates were present at 20 µM, but serial dilution revealed a CAC of 49.5 nM. This value is ~3-fold higher than similar glycolipids like GlcNAc-lipid **3**, possibly due to increased aqueous solubility afforded by the additional sugar in the headgroup.

Lactose fluorolipid 1 should mix with liquid disordered (l_d) phase bilayers but phase separate from so and lo bilayers.^{28a} Lipid 1 was incorporated into large unilamellar phospholipid vesicles (LUVs, 800 nm diameter) with three different compositions: dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine only (DMPC); dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC); a 1:1 mix of DMPC and cholesterol (DMPC-chol). These compositions were chosen because at 37 °C these bilayers are in fluid l_d, s_o and l_o phases respectively. Vesicles were formed via extrusion of a buffered aqueous suspension of lipid 1 and the appropriate phospholipid mixture through 800 nm polycarbonate membranes above the bilayer melting temperature (T_m) . As previously for GlcNAclipid 3,²⁰ the maximum incorporation of 1 in each bilayer composition was determined using UV-visible spectroscopy, and was found to be 9.5% mol mol^{-1} 1 in DMPC, 8.7% mol mol^{-1} 1 in DPPC and 8.5% mol mol^{-1} 1 in DMPC-chol.

The fluorescence emission spectra of these LUV suspensions were recorded at 37 °C. As expected, Lac-lipid 1 exhibited little phase separation in DMPC membranes at a low 1% mol mol^{-1} loading (E/M = 0.14) but phase-separated from DMPCchol and DPPC (E/M = 1.3 and 1.0 respectively). At the maximum loading in DMPC (9.5% mol mol⁻¹), Lac-lipid **1** had a higher E/M of 0.80 \pm 0.15 due to the higher rate of interpyrene collision at this 10-fold higher loading. The maximum loadings in DMPC-chol (8.5% mol mol⁻¹) and DPPC (8.7% mol mol⁻¹) both showed extensive clustering of Lac-lipid 1 $(E/M = 3.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ and } 3.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ respectively})$. As for 3,²⁰ giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) were then used to directly visualise microdomains of Lac-lipid 1. At $\sim 9\%$ mol mol⁻¹ loading, microdomains were observed in GUVs composed of either DMPC-chol or DPPC, but only weak uniformly distributed excimer emission could be observed in DMPC, in good agreement with the E/M values measured in LUVs (Fig. 2).

To contextualise rates of enzymatic transformation, the flip-flop rate for 1 was estimated. Following previous methodology,²⁰ Lac-lipid 1 was added to blank LUVs composed of DMPC, DMPC-chol or DPPC to give a loading of 1% mol mol^{-1} . Immediately after addition, the E/M ratio dropped from 20 (buffer) to 0.5 in DMPC, 1.5 in DMPC-chol and 1.3 in DPPC as the lipids inserted into the bilayers. A subsequent slower decline in E/M provided the outer-to-inner leaflet flip-flop half-lives, which were approximately $t_{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.5$ h in DMPC, $t_{\frac{1}{2}} =$ 7 h in DMPC-chol and $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$ = 5 h in DPPC. These half-lives indicate slower flip-flop through ordered bilayers and also show that flip-flop takes longer for 1 than for GlcNAc-lipid 3 ($t_{\frac{1}{2}}$ = 1 h in DMPC and 4 h in DMPC-chol),²⁰ possibly due to the extra hydrophilic saccharide unit prohibiting transit through the hydrophobic core of the bilayer. These flip-flop half-lives indicate that over short periods (<1 h) only the outer leaflet of 1 is available to the enzyme, but near complete sialylation should be possible after overnight incubation.



Fig. 2 (a) Epi-fluorescence microscopy image of a DMPC GUV with 9.5% mol mol⁻¹ **1**. (b) Fluorescence emission spectrum from DMPC LUVs with 9.5% mol mol⁻¹ **1**. (c) Epi-fluorescence microscopy image of a DPPC GUV with 8.7% mol mol⁻¹ **1**, arrow indicates microdomain, white dots show GUV outline. (d) Fluorescence emission spectrum from DPPC LUVs with 8.7% mol mol⁻¹ **1**. Scale bars 40 μ m.

Enzymatic modification of Lac-lipid 1 embedded in vesicles

The transformation of 1 into 2 by TcTS/fetuin at 37 °C was assayed by HPLC and MS using procedures developed previously.²⁰ LUVs (800 nm diameter) composed of DMPC, DMPC-chol and DPPC containing a target loading of ~9% mol mol^{-1} Lac-lipid 1 (200 μ M 1) were synthesised by extrusion. The maximum loading of 1 in the vesicle membranes was employed, as preliminary experiments had indicated that at 1% mol mol⁻¹ loadings the amount of product formed was too small to be accurately measured (1% mol mol⁻¹ corresponds to 20 μ M 1 in the buffer volume). The vesicle suspensions (100 μ L, ~0.18 mM 1) were then incubated with fetuin and TcTS for 18 h. Two reagent concentrations were assessed: either 10 mg mL^{-1} fetuin (equivalent to 1.25 mM sialic acid³³) with ~39 nM TcTS, or 50 mg mL⁻¹ fetuin (equivalent to 6.3 mM sialic acid) with ~195 nM TcTS. The vesicles were stable under the reaction conditions, which was aided by the lack of any co-solvent or surfactant in the enzyme buffer. In both cases there was an excess of sialic acid available for transfer from fetuin.

After overnight incubation with 10 mg mL⁻¹ fetuin and ~39 nM TcTS, both MALDI-ToF/ToF MS and HPLC indicated partial sialylation of Lac-lipid **1**. MALDI-ToF/ToF MS analysis showed a small peak at m/z 1438 that corresponded to the disodium sialylated product. The low intensity of the peak suggested poor conversion – peak height comparison gave 7–9% conversion after overnight reaction – but concerns about product decomposition under MS conditions complicated the analysis.³⁴ HPLC and LC/MS proved to be better analytical methods, with good resolution of two pyrene-containing peaks. Sialylation produced the more hydrophilic lipid **2** with a retention time of ~14 min, compared to ~17 min for the starting Lac-lipid **1** (Fig. 3a). Using a standard HPLC



Fig. 3 (a, b) Conversion of 1 in DMPC vesicles (9.5% mol mol⁻¹) to 2 after fetuin/TcTS treatment (50 mg mL⁻¹ and 195 nM): (a) HPLC traces (abs. at 346 nm). (b) Partial MALDI-ToF/ToF MS spectrum. Product peaks in blue are $[1 + Na]^+$ (m/z 1123) and $[2 + 2Na]^+$ (m/z 1438). Phospholipid peaks (*) are [2DMPC + H]⁺ (m/z 1356), [2DMPC + Na]⁺ (m/z 1378) and [2DMPC + Na + K]⁺ (m/z 1417). (c, d) Epi-fluorescence micrographs of DMPC LUVs (800 nm) with 9.5% mol mol⁻¹ 1 after fetuin/TcTS treatment (50 mg mL⁻¹ and 195 nM): (c) dispersed vesicles in the absence of fluorescein labelled *Maackia amurensis* leukoagglutinin (FITC-MAL) (d) agglutinated vesicles after FITC-MAL addition, showing pyrenyl (left) and fluorescein (right) emission. Scale bar 20 μ m.

method,²⁰ the fraction of **1** converted to **2** was determined from the peak areas of the starting lipid **1** and sialylated product **2**, revealing low levels of sialylation (~8%) that agreed with the values from the MALDI-TOF mass spectra. However, increasing the amount of fetuin and TcTS five-fold gave a 3- to 4-fold increase in sialylation to 20–35% (Fig. 3a and b), clearly showing that TcTS can transform membrane-bound lactosyllipids into sialyl-terminated trisaccharide glycolipids *in situ*. Although a larger excess of fetuin may have driven the reaction further towards product **2**, these assays show that Lac-lipid **1** is a competent substrate for TcTS despite its highly unnatural structure.

The sialylation of **1** was verified using lectin-mediated vesicle agglutination. The legume *Maackia amurensis* produces the well-studied lectin *M. amurensis* leukoagglutinin (MAL), which is known to selectively bind sialic acid terminated oligo-saccharides with an $\alpha(2-3)$ glycosidic linkage to galactose.³⁵ Fluorescein labelled MAL (FITC-MAL) was used to confirm enzymatic sialylation of **1** in vesicles. MAL should selectively bind to the enzymatically sialylated product **2** but not **1**, and as an agglutinin with multiple sialic acid binding sites $(K \sim 1.1 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ for } \alpha(2,3)$ -sialyl LacNAc^{35a}), vesicle aggregation

would be expected if enzymatic sialylation had occurred. No aggregation was observed in the presence of FITC-MAL (20 μ g mL⁻¹) for DMPC vesicles bearing 9.5% **1** in their membrane (Fig. 3c). However after vesicle incubation with TcTS and fetuin as described above (18 h), the addition of FITC-MAL produced large aggregates, with fluorescence microscopy showing co-localisation of FITC and pyrene fluorescence (Fig. 3d). Aggregation by FITC-MAL shows these sialylated vesicles now expose the Neu5Ac(α 2-3)Gal(β 1-4)Glc recognition epitope in their artificial "glycocalyx".

Interestingly, after 18 h the extent of Lac-lipid 1 sialylation differed little between the vesicle compositions (DMPC, DMPC-chol or DPPC). At 1 mg of fetuin and 39 nM TcTS, conversions were 8.5% (DMPC), 7.4% (DMPC-chol) and 7.6% (DPPC), rising to 35% (DMPC), 21% (DMPC-chol) and 30% (DMPC-chol) if 5 mg of fetuin and 195 nM TcTS were employed. With the proviso that after 18 h these reactions may be approaching equilibrium, these observations suggested that TcTS was not sensitive to substrate clustering, with the dispersed lipid (1 in DMPC) giving slightly higher conversions than the lipid 1 in microdomains (1 in DMPC-chol or DPPC).

Quantifying the effect of glycolipid domain formation on enzyme activity

To assess the initial rate of sialylation by TcTS, the time course for the conversion of **1** into **2** was monitored. The addition of 50 mg mL⁻¹ fetuin (equivalent to 6.3 mM sialic acid) and 195 nM TcTS gave the highest total conversions after 18 h, so these conditions were employed to study the rate of production of **2** over the first 7 h (Fig. 4), which largely involves reaction of **1** in the outer leaflet of the bilayer.



Fig. 4 (a, b) Schemes showing the conversion of vesicle-bound 1 to 2 by TcTS and fetuin (F) when 1 is (a) dispersed or (b) clustered. (c) Rate of conversion of vesicle-bound 1 to 2 by TcTS/fetuin (195 nM and 50 mg mL⁻¹): 9.5% mol mol⁻¹ 1 in DMPC vesicles (**m**); 8.7% mol mol⁻¹ 1 in DMPC (**o**); 8.5% mol mol⁻¹ 1 in DMPC-chol (**A**). The extent of clustering is indicated by the *E/M* values at 37 °C in each case. Curve fits are to guide the eye, standard error bars are shown.

The HPLC data clearly showed that, unlike the transformation of GlcNac fluorolipid 3 into a Gal-GlcNAc fluorolipid by β 4GalT1/UDP-Gal, the rate of transformation of 1 into 2 by TcTS/fetuin in any of the membrane compositions DMPC, DMPC-chol or DPPC was similar, with slight inhibition observed under these conditions when lipid 1 was in microdomains. This is despite the clear difference in the extent of clustering of 1 in each of these membrane compositions, as indicated by the E/M values and fluorescence microscopy. In all cases the reaction rate at the membrane was much slower than in solution, with the reaction of benzyl lactose under the same conditions complete within 1 h. Using fluorogenic substrate 4-methyl-umbelliferyl-N-acetylneuraminic acid (MUNANA) as the sialic acid donor revealed that MUNANA hydrolysis was ~34-fold faster than formation of 2 over the first 3 h (see ESI[†]), confirming the low reactivity of bilayerembedded 1 with TcTS.

Reported structural and kinetic studies of TcTS allow its reactivity with phase separated 1 to be rationalised. The active site of TcTS (Fig. 5) is a long shallow cleft that forms when a sialic acid "donor" is present. However, oligo(galactose) substrates do not appear to form multivalent interactions with this long cleft, as TcTS does not demonstrate higher activity with Lac-terminated oligosaccharides than with lactose itself.11 Several literature studies instead report that close proximity between Gal sites prevents full sialylation.³⁶ TcTS-mediated sialic acid transfer to T. cruzi mucin-derived glycoconjugates showed that if two Gal sites are present in branched tri- and tetrasaccharides, then the first sialic acid transfer inhibits a second.³⁷ These studies were supported by TcTS assays on synthetic glycopeptide fragments of T. cruzi mucins;38,39 e.g. a synthetic pentasaccharide with terminal β-D-Galp residues was selectively monosialylated at the least hindered site.40,41 Our observations also suggest that crowding of Lac headgroups, in this case in microdomains, may inhibit the initial activity of



Fig. 5 Top view of the oligosaccharide binding pocket in the Neu5Ac-(α 2-3)Gal(β 1-4)Glc/TcTS complex, showing filling of the binding pocket donor and acceptor sites by Neu5Ac(α 2-3)Gal(β 1-4)Glc. Structure from PDB ID: 1S0I.¹²

TcTS. The diminished reactivity of TcTS with "acceptor" lactosyl microdomains implies that transfer of sialic acid to lactosyl rich regions, like lactosylceramide lipid rafts,²⁷ may not be favoured. It also contrasts with enhanced interactions reported between TcTS and sialic acid "donor" lipid rafts within a biological context.²¹

Unlike β 4GalT1, secondary interactions of TcTS with "acceptor" substrate rich surfaces do not seem to be significant enough to enhance enzymatic activity. This difference suggests that enzyme structure could be a key factor that determines if substrate clustering in microdomains enhances the initial rate of enzymatic transformations. However other factors could also play a role. For example, during later periods of TcTS activity, when a significant amount of lipid 2 has been produced, the sialylated product could also be a donor substrate for TcTS. A decrease in the net rate of production of 2 might result, which may be more pronounced when both 1 and 2 are in close proximity within microdomains.

Conclusions

TcTS has been shown to successfully catalyse sialic acid transfer onto the headgroup of synthetic lactosyl-capped fluorolipid 1, creating a phase-separating glycolipid that displayed the GM_3 epitope. This transfer was carried out on membraneembedded 1, producing sialylated phospholipid vesicles that were recognised by the lectin *Maackia amurensis* leukoagglutinin. Unlike related studies using soluble β 4GalT1, glycolipid clustering in the bilayer did not increase the rate of reaction with soluble TcTS, but instead produced slight inhibition.

The use of vesicles as a medium for biocatalytic syntheses can offer advantages over organic solvents when coupling lipophilic and hydrophilic substrates.⁴² The liposomal products from chemoenzymatic transformations can also have biotechnological applications, for example chemoenzymatically sialylated liposomes may target cells overexpressing Siglecs⁴³ or be masked from the immune response.^{4,44} Furthermore, using TcTS in conjunction with β 4GalT1 could mimic the biosynthesis of GM₃ from glycosylceramide *in vivo*,⁴⁵ and investigations into the use of chemoenzymatic cascades are ongoing.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. A. C. C. Frasch, Universidad Nacional General San Martín, Argentina, for kindly providing the TcTS clone. J.E.R.M. thanks CONACyT-México for funding (scholarship reference 214433/309655). F.C. and G.T.N. thank the BBSRC for providing studentship funding.

Notes and references

1 (*a*) J. R. Coura and J. Borges-Pereira, *Acta Trop.*, 2010, **115**, 5–13; (*b*) A. Rassi Jr., A. Rassi and J. A. Marin-Neto, *Lancet*, 2010, **375**, 1388–1402.

- 2 K. M. Tyler and D. M. Engman, Int. J. Parasitol., 2001, 31, 472–480.
- 3 (a) S. Schenkman and D. Eichinger, *Parasitol. Today*, 1993,
 9, 218–225; (b) M. E. Giorgi and R. M. de Lederkremer, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2011, 346, 1389–1393.
- 4 S. Tomlinson and J. Raper, *Parasitol. Today*, 1998, 14, 354–359.
- 5 G. A. M. Cross and G. B. Takle, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 1993, 47, 385-411.
- 6 (a) M. A. Ferrero-García, S. E. Trombetta, D. O. Sánchez,
 A. Reglero, A. C. C. Frasch and A. J. Parodi, *Eur. J. Biochem.*,
 1993, 213, 765–771; (b) F. Vandekerckhove, S. Schenkman,
 L. P. d. Carvalho, S. Tomlinson, M. Kiso, M. Yoshida,
 A. Hasegawa and V. Nussenzweig, *Glycobiology*, 1992, 2,
 541–548.
- 7 (a) R. M. van Well, B. Y. M. Collet and R. A. Field, *Synlett*, 2008, 2175–2177; (b) S. Schenkman, M.-S. Jiang, G. W. Hart and V. Nussenzweig, *Cell*, 1991, 65, 1117–1125.
- 8 M. A. J. Ferguson, J. S. Brimacombe, S. Cottaz, R. A. Field, L. S. Guther, S. W. Homans, M. J. McConville, A. Mehlert, K. G. Milne, J. E. Ralton, Y. A. Roy, P. Schneider and N. Zitzmann, *Parasitology*, 1994, **108**, S45–S54.
- 9 A. Buschiazzo, M. F. Amaya, M. L. Cremona, A. C. Frasch and P. M. Alzari, *Mol. Cell*, 2002, **10**, 757–768.
- M. V. Chuenkova and M. PereiraPerrin, *Biochemistry*, 2005, 44, 15685–15694.
- 11 R. Agustí, M. E. Giorgi, V. M. Mendoza, C. Gallo-Rodriguez and R. M. de Lederkremer, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2007, 15, 2611–2616.
- 12 M. F. Amaya, A. G. Watts, I. Damager, A. Wehenkel, T. Nguyen, A. Buschiazzo, G. Paris, A. C. Frasch, S. G. Withers and P. M. Alzari, *Structure*, 2004, **12**, 775– 784.
- 13 A. G. Watts, I. Damager, M. F. Amaya, A. Buschiazzo, P. M. Alzari, A. C. Frasch and S. G. Withers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 7532–7533.
- 14 A. R. Todeschini, W. B. Dias, M. F. Girard, J.-M. Wieruszeski, L. Mendonça-Previato and J. O. Previato, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2004, 279, 5323–5328.
- (a) H. Uemura, S. Schenkman, V. Nussenzweig and D. Eichinger, *EMBO J.*, 1992, 11, 3837–3844;
 (b) A. R. Todeschini, M. F. Girard, J.-M. Wieruszeski, M. P. Nunes, G. A. DosReis, L. Mendonça-Previato and J. O. Previato, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2002, 277, 45962–45968.
- 16 S. S. C. dC-Rubin and S. Schenkman, *Cell. Microbiol.*, 2012, 14, 1522–1530.
- 17 (a) S. Schenkman, L. Pontes de Carvalho and V. Nussenzweig, J. Exp. Med., 1992, 175, 567–575;
 (b) J. Mucci, M. G. Risso, M. Susana Leguizamón, A. C. C. Frasch and O. Campetella, Cell. Microbiol., 2006, 8, 1086–1095;
 (c) A. F. F. R. Nardy, J. L. da Silva Filho, A. R. Pérez, J. de Meis, D. A. Farias-de-Oliveira, L. Penha, I. de Araújo Oliveira, W. B. Dias, A. R. Todeschini, C. G. Freire-de-Lima, M. Bellio, C. Caruso-Neves, A. A. Pinheiro, C. M. Takiya, O. Bottasso, W. Savino and A. Morrot, Microbes Infect., 2013, 15, 365–374.

- 18 (a) L.-X. Wang and B. G. Davis, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 3381–3394; (b) Z. Wang, Z. S. Chinoy, S. G. Ambre, W. Peng, R. McBride, R. P. de Vries, J. Glushka, J. C. Paulson and G.-J. Boons, Science, 2013, 341, 379–383; (c) A. Larkin, M. M. Chang, G. Whitworth and B. Imperiali, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2013, 9, 367–373; (d) C. D. Brown, M. S. Rusek and L. L. Kiessling, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 6552–6555; (e) R. T. Gale, E. W. Sewell, T. A. Garrett and E. D. Brown, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 3823–3830.
- 19 (a) M. Hartmann and T. K. Lindhorst, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 3583–3609; (b) M. Durka, K. Buffet, J. Iehl, J.-F. Nierengarten and S. P. Vincent, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, 18, 641–651.
- 20 G. T. Noble, F. L. Craven, J. Voglmeir, R. Šardzík, S. L. Flitsch and S. J. Webb, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 13010–13017.
- 21 C. E. Butler, T. M. U. de Carvalho, E. C. Grisard, R. A. Field and K. M. Tyler, *Traffic*, 2013, **14**, 853–869.
- 22 M. G. Paulick and C. R. Bertozzi, *Biochemistry*, 2008, 47, 6991–7000.
- 23 G. P. Leser and R. A. Lamb, Virology, 2005, 342, 215-227.
- 24 C. A. Lingwood, Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol., 2011, 3, a004788.
- 25 J.-S. Won, A. K. Singh and I. Singh, J. Neurochem., 2007, 103, 180–191.
- 26 J. Fantini, N. Garmy and N. Yahi, *Biochemistry*, 2006, 45, 10957–10962.
- 27 K. Iwabuchi, H. Nakayama, C. Iwahara and K. Takamori, *FEBS Lett.*, 2010, **584**, 1642–1652.
- 28 (a) S. J. Webb, K. Greenaway, M. Bayati and L. Trembleau, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 2399–2407; (b) R. J. Mart, K. P. Liem, X. Wang and S. J. Webb, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 14462–14463; (c) G. T. Noble, K. P. Liem, S. L. Flitsch and S. J. Webb, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 5245–5254; (d) K. P. Liem, G. T. Noble, S. L. Flitsch and S. J. Webb, Faraday Discuss., 2010, 145, 219–233; (e) S. J. Webb, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 2878–2887.
- 29 R. Šardzík, G. T. Noble, M. J. Weissenborn, A. Martin, S. J. Webb and S. L. Flitsch, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 6, 699–703.
- 30 W. Koenigs and E. Knorr, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1901, 34, 957–981.
- 31 G. Zemplén and E. Pacsu, Chem. Ber., 1929, 62, 1613-1614.

- 32 H. J. Galla and E. Sackmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 4114-4120.
- 33 Lee *et al.* estimated that 126 nmol sialic acid is available per mg of fetuin, corresponding to around 75% of total sialic acid on the protein. See: S.-G. Lee, D.-H. Shin and B.-G. Kim, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 2002, **31**, 742–746.
- 34 R. Šardzík, R. Sharma, S. Kaloo, J. Voglmeir, P. R. Crocker and S. L. Flitsch, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 5425–5427.
- 35 (a) K. Yamamoto, Y. Konami and T. Irimura, J. Biochem., 1997, **121**, 756–761; (b) C. Geisler and D. L. Jarvis, *Glycobiology*, 2011, **21**, 988–993.
- 36 However cyclic [β-D-Galp-triazole] dimers and trimers were completely sialylated by TcTS and MUNANA. See:
 V. L. Campo, I. Carvalho, C. H. T. P. Da Silva, S. Schenkman, L. Hill, S. A. Nepogodiev and R. A. Field, *Chem. Sci.*, 2010, 1, 507–514.
- 37 J. O. Previato, C. Jones, M. T. Xavier, R. Wait, L. R. Travassos, A. J. Parodi and L. Mendonça-Previato, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1995, 270, 7241–7250.
- 38 (a) J. A. Harrison, K. P. Ravindranathan Kartha, E. J. L. Fournier, T. L. Lowary, C. Malet, U. J. Nilsson, O. Hindsgaul, S. Schenkman, J. H. Naismith and R. A. Field, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 1653–1660; (b) V. Leiria Campo, I. Carvalho, S. Allman, B. G. Davis and R. A. Field, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 2645–2657; (c) R. Agusti, M. E. Giorgi, V. M. Mendoza, C. Gallo-Rodriguez and R. M. de Lederkremer, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2007, 15, 2611– 2616.
- 39 S. Singh, M. Scigelova, M. Lilja Hallberg, O. W. Howarth, S. Schenkman and D. H. G. Crout, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1013–1014.
- 40 V. M. Mendoza, R. Agusti, C. Gallo-Rodriguez and R. M. de Lederkremer, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2006, **341**, 1488–1497.
- 41 R. Agusti, V. M. Mendoza, C. Gallo-Rodriguez and R. M. de Lederkremer, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2005, **16**, 541–551.
- 42 M. P. Christie, P. Simerska, F. E.-C. Jen, M. P. Jennings and I. Toth, *ChemPlusChem*, 2013, 78, 793–796.
- 43 P. R. Crocker, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 2002, 12, 609-615.
- 44 (a) V. P. Torchilin, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2005, 4, 145–160; (b) J. E. Hudak, S. M. Canham and C. R. Bertozzi, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2013, 10, 69–75.
- 45 T. Wennekes, R. J. B. H. N. van den Berg, R. G. Boot, G. A. van der Marel, H. S. Overkleeft and J. M. F. G. Aerts, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 8848–8869.