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1. Introduction

Nanoparticles (NPs) are defined as particles that have one or more physical dimensions under 100 nm. Due to their small size, and particularly due to their increasing surface-to-volume ratio, the properties of nano-sized materials will differ from the ones of the bulk material (i.e. optic, catalytic, magnetic and electronic properties). Thanks to their inherent properties, magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) have been developed as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents, and potential new cancer therapies such as magnetic fluid hyperthermia, and nanovehicles for controlled drug delivery. NPs can also be designed to combine several therapeutic functions (hyperthermia and drug delivery), or therapeutic and diagnostic functions (so-called theranostics). Scientists have been attempting to understand the structure and property relationship of NPs to harness their properties. Indeed, controlling the composition, size and shape of the NPs via synthesis would allow tailoring and tuning the desired properties.

Because of their numerous advantages, MNPs and especially iron oxide NPs, which have been approved by US Food and Drug Administration, have attracted great interest for their applications in biomedicine. Indeed, iron oxide NPs are relatively easy to synthesize, biocompatible, non-toxic, chemically rather stable and can be superparamagnetic. The two main forms of iron oxide are γ-Fe₂O₃ (maghemite) and Fe₃O₄ (magnetite). The phenomenon of local or general rise of the body temperature above its normal value is known as hyperthermia. It can be used as a medical treatment, called hyperthermia therapy or thermotherapy, in which body tissues are exposed to slightly higher temperatures in order to damage or kill cancer cells by provoking cell apoptosis, or to make cancer cells more sensitive to the effects of radiation and/or certain anti-cancer drugs. Apoptosis, also called programmed cell death, is a distinct mode of cell death, whereby the cells in normal tissues triggered their self-destruction in response to a signal. Contrary to the necrosis, there is no associated inflammation. Apoptosis also occurs spontaneously in cancer cells, thereby retarding the growth of the tumour, and can be increased when subjected to heat, irradiation or anti-cancer drugs.

The concept of hyperthermia was already in practice many centuries ago by Greeks, Egyptians and Romans. In 3000 BC, Indian medical practitioners used local and whole body hyperthermia. During the 19th century, it was observed that fever can cause tumour regression, and scientific study was performed on hyperthermia to treat cervical cancer. The use of hyperthermia as a treatment for cancer was taken more seriously in the 1970s, and controlled clinical trials on induced hyperthermia began to be conducted. It was then discovered that cancer cells have greater sensitivity to hyperthermia as compared to normal cells. Indeed, healthy tissues are able to withstand temperatures of 42–45 °C, in contrast to cancer cells which undergo apoptosis at those temperatures. Treatment at temperatures above 46 °C is called thermo-ablation. It leads to the necrosis of cancer cells, but may also affect healthy cells. In contrast to apoptosis that is a natural process, necrosis is a form of cellular damage that results in the premature death of cells in body tissues and initiates an inflammatory response in the surrounding environment. It is almost always harmful and can even be deadly (i.e. can lead to sepsis or gangrene). Thus, thermoablation in this temperature range is not desirable due to the potentially important side effects on healthy tissues, and hyperthermia induced apoptosis is therefore preferable.

While the specific mechanism of cell killing by hyperthermia is still unknown, the thermal energy needed for induction of cell death has been found to be close to the energy needed for protein denaturation, leading to the conclusion that the main cytotoxic effect of hyperthermia is based on the denaturation of membrane and cytoplasmic proteins. Membrane alteration and cytoskeletal damage such as cell rounding and blebbing (which is a typical feature of apoptosis) are some of the most apparent manifestations of thermal damage at the cellular level. Another important consequence of protein denaturation is the alteration of DNA synthesis and repair. Higher sensitivity to heat has been observed for cells in the mitosis phase (with damage to the mitotic apparatus), which makes cancer cells more susceptible to heat than normal cells as they undergo faster cell division. The tumour selective effect of hyperthermia is also due to the physiological differences between normal and tumour tissues. Indeed, the architecture of the vasculature in tumour is disorganised and abnormal compared to normal tissue (one of the principal features being the lower vessel density). In many cases, tumour blood flow remains greater than in the surrounding healthy tissues, especially in small tumours (tumour blood flow generally decreases with increasing tumour size). However, when hyperthermia is applied at temperatures over 42 °C, tumour blood flow tends to decrease while in normal tissue it significantly increases. This decreased blood flow results in a lower heat dissipation rate, and hence the temperature in a tumour will rise faster than in normal tissue. This difficulty in dissipating heat may cause cancer cells to undergo apoptosis, while physiological temperatures are more easily maintained in normal tissues. The disorganised structure of tumour vasculature also leads to oxygen and nutrient deprivation. Moreover, regions of hypoxia, energy deprivation and acidosis are even more favoured with the reduction of the blood flow caused by heat exposure. These tumour micro-environmental factors also make cancer cells more sensitive to hyperthermia, in addition to its direct cytotoxicity.

In fact, hyperthermia may increase or decrease the tumour oxygenation depending on the temperature and the exposure time. Hyperthermia applied at temperatures lower than 42 °C is more likely to provoke an increase in the tumour blood flow, thereby improving the oxygen supply. This phenomenon can be exploited to make cells more sensitive to radiotherapy (radio-sensitivity is favoured by good tissue oxygenation) or chemotherapy (drug delivery is increased by higher perfusion). The synergistic effect of hyperthermia with radiotherapy has been demonstrated in various clinical trials, and shows better efficacy than either hyperthermia or radiotherapy alone.
temperatures lower than temperatures normally applied in hyperthermia therapy. This thermal radiosensitisation is explained by the increasing blood flow, and by the fact that S-phase cells (cells undergoing DNA replication) and hypoxic cells (cells that survive the hypoxia and acidic environment of tumour), normally resistant to radiation, are highly sensitive to heat destruction.\textsuperscript{20,28,42} The synergistic effect of hyperthermia with chemotherapy has also been demonstrated in clinical trials.\textsuperscript{41–46} The increase in blood flow provoked by hyperthermic treatment results in an increase in drug concentration in the tumour area, an increase in intracellular drug uptake and enhanced DNA damage.\textsuperscript{20} Moreover, heat has been found to enhance the cytotoxic effect of many anti-neoplastic drugs.\textsuperscript{31} Consequently, hyperthermia is often used as an adjuvant therapy with radio- and/or chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{27}

A wide range of heat sources and treatments for hyperthermia are being developed for clinical applications. There are three main types of hyperthermia: local hyperthermia, regional hyperthermia and whole-body hyperthermia. The hyperthermia technique is chosen depending on the location, the depth and the stage of malignancy. In whole-body hyperthermia, the entire body is heated up. This method is often chosen in the case of deep seated and propagated metastases. The heating can be achieved through hot water baths, thermal chambers or infrared radiators.\textsuperscript{46} However, as may be expected, this treatment may lead to major side effects given the fact that heat is not selective. Therefore, careful control of the skin temperatures is needed. Even though temperatures of 41.8–42 °C can be achieved, and the method is clinically realisable, the medical care needed to ensure a safe procedure in the case of whole-body hyperthermia is much greater than in local or regional therapy.\textsuperscript{37} This has resulted in its limited use as a standalone procedure. Regional hyperthermia is used to deliver heat to advanced stage tumours. Thermal perfusion, external applicators or arrays of multiple applicators (microwave antennas) are examples of devices used in regional hyperthermia.\textsuperscript{46,47} Local hyperthermia is often used to treat localised tumours either superficially or in accessible body cavities. It is the less invasive technique. Local hyperthermia systems are based on applicators or antennas emitting mostly microwaves, ultrasounds or radio waves.\textsuperscript{46,48} Recently, a new technology has been developed for local hyperthermia using MNPs as mediators of heat. This technology is called Magnetic Fluid Hyperthermia (MFH).

MFH consists of a colloidal suspension of MNPs which is injected into the tumour where the MNPs will be internalised by the cancer cells.\textsuperscript{49} Applying an external alternative magnetic field (AMF) will cause the NPs to heat and kill the cancer cells. The first clinical trial was conducted in 2003 on 14 patients suffering from glioblastoma multiforme.\textsuperscript{49} The promising results led to a phase II study involving 66 patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Results showed that hyperthermia therapy using MNPs can be applied safely and is effective for the treatment of cancer, but also that the overall survival following diagnosis of first tumour recurrence is longer compared to conventional therapies.\textsuperscript{49} MFH possesses numerous advantages over traditional techniques. First of all, the injection of MNPs is less invasive and can be potentially injected and concentrated anywhere in the body, allowing the treatment of all kinds of tumours with limited side effects. Moreover, once the MNPs have been internalised into the cancer cells, they are able to remain inside the cells even after creation of daughter cells. In fact, 50% of the amount of MNPs present in parent cells end up in the daughter cells,\textsuperscript{31} meaning that a subsequent hyperthermic treatment can be applied without large reinjection of MNPs. Magnetic targeting can be used to drive the MNPs toward the targeted cancer regions. The MNPs can also be functionalised with a recognition moiety (\textit{i.e.} antibodies, proteins) in order to increase the selectivity to malignant cells, therefore increasing the internalisation of the MNPs in the cancer cells.\textsuperscript{52} The potential of MFH as a treatment for cancer is clear, but can even be improved by designing MNPs with multiple therapeutic functions, \textit{i.e.} hyperthermia and drug delivery. Indeed, drug delivery by means of NPs also has numerous advantages over traditional chemotherapy. The major drawback of chemotherapy being the non-specificity of the drugs, healthy organs and cells may also be strongly damaged during the therapy. With NPs, the possibility of targeting specific locations in the body allows the reduction of the chemotherapeutic dose to reach the concentrations needed in the tumour for an effective therapy. In addition, the concentration of drugs at non-targeted sites is reduced therefore minimizing undesirable side effects.\textsuperscript{53} Taking advantage of the synergistic effect of the combined thermo-chemotherapy, MNP-based therapeutic agents for hyperthermia and controlled drug delivery are really promising candidates in the treatment of cancer.

This review aims to demonstrate the great potential of magnetic nanosystems for the combination of hyperthermia and chemotherapy, by reporting and discussing promising examples of the literature. The principle of MFH as well as recent studies on MNPs for hyperthermia applications is presented as a basis for understanding the mechanism of magnetic nanoparticle-mediated hyperthermia therapy.

2. Magnetic nanoparticles for hyperthermia therapy

2.1 Mechanism of heat

The conversion from magnetic energy to thermal energy in MNPs subjected to an AC magnetic field can be due to several mechanisms.

In multi-domain NPs (ferri- or ferromagnetic material), the production of heat is due to hysteresis losses, which can be seen as the amount of energy dissipated during a magnetisation cycle.\textsuperscript{51,54} A ferromagnet is formed by magnetic domains (Weiss domains) in which the moments of atoms are all parallel to each other to maintain a lower energy state, while a ferrimagnet is formed by magnetic domains with opposing magnetic moments of different amplitudes. When an external magnetic field is applied, the magnetic domains tend to align themselves in the same direction as the applied field. The saturation magnetisation is reached as soon as each moment of each domain is aligned toward this direction. When the applied field is removed, the magnetisation does not revert back to zero, and
this is the remanent magnetisation. In order to reduce the magnetisation to zero again, a magnetic field with a precise intensity needs to be applied, and it is called coercivity (or coercive field). The magnetisation curve of a ferromagnet is represented by a hysteresis loop (Fig. 1) and the hysteresis losses can be measured by integrating the area of the hysteresis loop.

Superparamagnetism is a form of magnetism that occurs in ferromagnetic or ferrimagnetic materials, when they are in the form of sufficiently small NPs. Indeed, bulk materials basically contain multiple magnetic domains due to their large size. However, small enough NPs are single-domain particles, which can be regarded as one giant magnetic moment composed of all magnetic moments of the atoms forming the NPs. Superparamagnetic NPs are preferred over ferri- and ferromagnetic NPs for biomedical applications, because they do not retain any magnetic moment in the same direction as the applied field when the field is removed (Fig. 2).

Single-domain NPs dissipate heat through relaxation losses which fall in two modes: Néel relaxation and Brownian relaxation. The mechanism of relaxation depends on the size of the NPs but also on the magnetic material (due to its anisotropy constant). Néel relaxation comes from the reorientation of the magnetic moment in the same direction as the applied field, and is size-dependent too and also strongly viscosity-dependent. A higher viscosity of the liquid medium will slow down the rotation of the particles. Generally, losses by Néel relaxation prevail in small NPs while the Brownian regime dominates in larger NPs. In fact, the Brownian losses are not exclusively found in superparamagnetic NPs. For hyperthermia applications, it is better to have NPs relaxing essentially through the Néel mechanism, because when internalised in the cells, a change in the viscosity medium can happen and/or free rotation of the particles can be prevented.

A combination of the two magnetic relaxation times gives the overall effective relaxation time \( \tau \) of the particles: \( \tau = \frac{\tau_0 \tau_N}{\tau_B + \tau_N} \)

Application of an AMF oscillating faster than the relaxation time of the MNPs induces the release of heat from the MNPs caused by the delay in the relaxation of the magnetic moment. The heat dissipation \( (P) \) is given by the following equation: \( P = \frac{\mu_0 \chi'' f^2}{H} \)

where \( P \) is the heat dissipation value, \( \mu_0 \) is the permeability of free space, \( \chi'' \) is the AC magnetic susceptibility (imaginary part), \( f \) is the frequency of the applied AC magnetic field, and \( H \) is the strength of the applied AC magnetic field.

Quantification of the power dissipation of magnetic nanoparticles in an AMF is usually done by measuring the specific absorption rate (SAR) expressed in W g\(^{-1}\) (also referred to as specific loss power):
\[
\text{SAR} = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}
\]

where \( C \) is the specific heat capacity of the sample and \( \Delta T/\Delta t \) is the initial slope of the time-dependent heating curve. SAR highly depends on various parameters such as the size, size distribution, shape, chemical composition and surface modification, saturation magnetisation of the particles; and frequency and amplitude of the applied magnetic field. A high heating potential is crucial for the clinical use of MFH, because it would require a smaller amount of NPs to be injected into the patient. Therefore, engineering MNPs (control their physical and chemical properties using synthesis) to obtain an exceptional SAR value is highly desirable.\(^{64-66}\) For example, most ferri- and ferromagnetic materials require application of a high magnetic field to use a full loop area, which is not realisable clinically due to physiological and technical restrictions. Therefore, the use of multi-domain NPs results in low SAR values. On the other hand, superparamagnetic NPs which produce heat via Néel and Brownian relaxation mechanisms are able to generate a much larger quantity of heat and thus a higher SAR at lower field amplitudes. Hergt et al. suggested that the optimum size to get particles with a high SAR value is near the transition from superparamagnetic to ferromagnetic behaviour.\(^{65}\) Considering the equation of the heat dissipation value, it is clear that SAR values increase with the frequency \( f \) and the field amplitude \( H \) of the applied field. However, in order to apply hyperthermia safely to patients and avoid any detrimental effect on healthy tissues due to electromagnetic radiation exposure, the \( Hf \) factor should not exceed a threshold which was experimentally estimated to equal \( 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{A m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}. \)\(^{66}\)

The comparison between SAR values obtained by different research groups can be challenging. Indeed, there is a lack of standardised protocol to measure the heating ability of the MNPs. The ideal device for accurate measurement of the SAR would be an adiabatic setup, but because it is expensive and time-consuming, most of the research groups use non-adiabatic and often home-made setups which results in more sources of inaccuracies and increased variability of the SAR values.\(^{67-69}\) Also, each research group measures the nanoparticles’ heating capabilities under different field conditions. Indeed, there are no standards for the frequency and field amplitude of the applied field used during the measurement which makes the SAR values difficult to compare with each other. Pankhurst et al. introduced a new parameter able to allow a more direct comparison of the heating efficiency of superparamagnetic nanoparticles, the intrinsic loss power (ILP).\(^{6}\) The ILP is obtained by normalizing the SAR by the frequency and field amplitude, and is therefore independent of the magnetic field parameters.

### 2.2 Nanoparticle delivery to the tumour site

MNPs must be delivered in a secure pathway specifically to the cancer site and should be retained in the tumour in order to reach a sufficient concentration for effective hyperthermia therapy (as well as for drug delivery). Three main approaches for the delivery of NPs can be distinguished: direct injection, systematic passive and active delivery.

The direct injection method consists of injecting directly the magnetic fluid into the tumour. The intratumoural injection is the simplest way to administer the NPs, and is therefore the most used in \textit{in vivo} studies\(^{70,71}\) and has even already been used in clinical trials of MFH.\(^{72,73}\) The main advantage of this technique is the ease of achieving a high and localised concentration of MNPs in the tumour. However, the intratumoural injection of MNPs is only possible in localised and superficial or easily accessible tumour, thus this approach is limited in the investigation of many cancers, and particularly advanced stage cancers. Moreover, direct injection results in a non-homogeneous MNP distribution in the tumour, which makes the complete regression of the tumour difficult.\(^{73}\)

Systematic delivery is achieved by injecting MNPs intravenously that will accumulate preferentially in tumour tissue due to the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect.\(^{74-76}\) The EPR effect was first reported by Matsumura and Maeda in 1986,\(^{77}\) and was further investigated and described to a greater extent by Maeda et al.\(^{78-82}\) The EPR effect rests on the facts that solid tumours exhibit a defective vascular architecture and that lymphatic clearance from the interstitium of tumour tissue is impaired. The former results in an enhanced vascular permeability which facilitates nutrient and oxygen supply to satisfy the demand of growing tumours, while the latter results in the retention of macromolecules in the tumour. Therefore, solid tumours possess a leaky vasculature compared to normal tissues, which facilitates the extravasation of NPs. Consequently, when NPs are injected intravenously, they can leak out the blood vessel to deposit in the tumour, and are retained here for a long time due to impaired lymphatic drainage. MNPs can also be injected into the artery supplying the blood stream to the targeted organ in order to improve the deposition of MNPs in the tumour. To take advantage of the EPR effect, the MNPs should be able to circulate long enough in the blood stream. For this purpose, NPs should have a hydrodynamic diameter smaller than 200 nm to avoid liver clearance and bigger than 50 nm to avoid renal clearance.\(^{83}\) The coating of the NPs can also play an important role in the permeability and retention of the NPs in cancer cells, as well as in the blood retention time of the NPs. In a recent study, superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs were coated with two different polymers for MRI applications: alkali-treated dextran (ATD) and carboxymethyl dextran (CMD).\(^{84}\) A much more efficient labeling of macrophages was obtained with the ADT-coated NPs. After 4 h, ADT-coated NPs exhibited a labeling efficiency superior to 95% against only 20–30% for the CMD-coated NPs. Protein adsorption onto the surface of NPs may accelerate the clearance by the reticulo-endothelial system\(^{85}\) and affect the bio-reactivity of the NPs.\(^{86}\) An effective coating can prevent or at least minimize protein attachment onto the surface of NPs. Finally, NPs have to be stable in the biological medium to avoid aggregation and conserve the hydrodynamic size which ensures them a long blood circulation time. The systematic delivery of NPs via the EPR effect results in a more global distribution of the MNPs in the tumour compared to an intratumoural injection, and also permits treating of most...
of the types of cancers. However, the principal disadvantage of this method is the difficulty to reach a concentration of NPs sufficient enough for effective treatment, yet the amount of NPs injected cannot be further increased, in order to avoid non-negligible MNP toxicity. In order to achieve higher deposition and improve tumour uptake of the NPs, they can be driven magnetically inside the body via an external magnetic field and/or can be functionalised with a targeting ligand for active delivery.

Active delivery consists of improving the specificity of the NPs by functionalising their surface with a targeting moiety that binds to cell receptors. It usually results in a higher NP accumulation and cellular uptake in the targeted cancer cells. Among the most commonly used targeting agents, we can find antibodies, antibody fragments, receptor ligands, peptides and aptamers. The superiority of targeted MNPs in cancer treatments has already been proven many times. For example, in a recent study, iron oxide NPs were coated with dextran and functionalised with an anti-human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER2) aptamer for targeted hyperthermia. The results showed that a 90-fold lower dose of the aptamer-functionalised NPs was necessary to produce a 60% decrease in the HER2-overexpressing cell viability compared to the non-tagged NPs. Such an effect was not observed in the control cell line.

Another targeting system which can be used to improve the accumulation of MNPs into the tumour site is magnetic targeting, also called magnetophoresis. This technique uses an external magnetic field placed near the tumour to magnetically attract the NPs toward this area. Magnetic targeting has already shown efficiency in in vivo studies.

### 2.3 Magnetic nanomaterials for hyperthermia therapy

Numerous materials have been investigated for their potential use in magnetic hyperthermia, such as Fe, Co, and Ni metallic NPs and their oxide. Pure metals have the highest saturation magnetisation, but have limited use in biomedical applications due to their inherent toxicity and poor chemical stability (quick oxidation process). Research into metal ferrites has shown good chemical stability, SAR values and magnetic properties, making a new class of potential candidates for magnetic hyperthermia. The effects of Co or Zn dopant on metal ferrite NPs have also been investigated, showing improved SAR. For example, 15 nm (Zn_{0.3}Mn_{0.7})Fe_{2}O_{4} NPs synthesised by thermal decomposition exhibit an increased anisotropy and a saturation magnetisation of 175 emu g^{-1} resulting in a SAR value almost four times higher than that of Feridex NPs. Despite the superior magnetic properties of metallic NPs, iron oxide NPs are still the most studied magnetic material for hyperthermia applications, due to their low toxicity, good biocompatibility, ease of synthesis and surface functionalisation. The most used synthetic routes to make iron oxide NPs are the thermal decomposition of organometallic iron precursors and the co-precipitation of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} aqueous salt in the presence of a base. The different synthetic methods of MNPs for biomedical applications, including the main parameters (such as the precursor, time and temperature of the reaction, solvent, ligand, etc.) influencing the MNP properties, and NP functionalisation have already been reviewed many times.

A number of MNP properties may influence their hyperthermia potential such as the composition, shape, coating, magnetic properties, size and size distribution. Some recent studies have been carried out to investigate the influence of these properties on the resulted hyperthermia treatment effectiveness, and Kohltak et al. recently reviewed parameters that can be varied to tune the magnetic properties of NPs.

Carrey et al. recently published a method to identify suitable or unsuitable materials for hyperthermia. A new approach for the exact calculation of the hysteresis loop is presented and models for the calculation of the optimum parameters of MNPs for magnetic hyperthermia, such as the optimum anisotropy, are provided.

Sedlacik et al. synthesised magnetite NPs by a microwave irradiation-assisted solvothermal method, using different nucleating agents (ammonium acetate, ammonium carbonate and aqueous ammonia). The highest saturation magnetisation was obtained for magnetite NPs nucleated with aqueous ammonia due to a pure cubic Fe_{3}O_{4} spinel phase and the absence of non-magnetic impurities. The lower saturation magnetisation obtained for the two other NPs was attributed to the presence of coexisting phases with different magnetic properties, such as goethite. Again, the highest SAR value was recorded for the NPs nucleated with aqueous ammonia, most likely caused by their higher saturation magnetisation, their smaller size and narrow size distribution compared to the particles obtained with the other nucleating agents. Gonzales-Weimuller et al. studied the influence of the size (ranging from 5 to 14 nm) and polydispersity on the SAR of iron oxide NPs subjected to an AMF (frequency = 400 kHz; amplitude = 24.5 kA m^{-1}). The highest SAR value of 447 W g^{-1} (ILP = 1.86 nH m^{-2} kg^{-1}) was obtained for the 14 nm NPs. Moreover, the lower the polydispersity, the higher the SAR value will be, no matter the NP size. For larger NPs where hysteresis losses are the main mechanism of heat, the SAR value increases as the NP size decreases. The heating power in MgFe_{2}O_{4} NPs was found to be strongly size-dependent, with a SAR maximum value when the particle size was 10 nm. De la Presa et al. synthesised maghemite NPs of 8 nm, 11 nm, 13 nm and 14 nm by a co-precipitation method, and studied the influence of NP concentration, size, coating, liquid carrier, field amplitude and frequency on the SAR value. For each NP size, the concentration of NPs dispersed in water does not seem to influence the SAR in the studied iron concentration range (6 to 300 mg mL^{-1}) with a field amplitude of 7.5 kA m^{-1} and a frequency of 522.7 kHz. Increasing the size of the NPs resulted in an increased SAR value: for 8 nm to 11 nm NPs, the SAR is increased four times, from 10 W g^{-1} to 40 W g^{-1}. For 11 nm to 13 nm NPs, the SAR is only increased from 40 W g^{-1} to 55 W g^{-1}. Finally, in order to investigate the influence of the medium viscosity, the NPs were dispersed in two different media, water and agar. For the smaller NPs, the viscosity has negligible influence on SAR values, but for the bigger NPs, the SAR values drop when they are in the most viscous medium (agar). This is
due to the fact that Néel relaxation is mainly responsible for the production of heat in small NPs, and is not influenced by the carrier viscosity. In the bigger NPs, both Néel and Brownian relaxation take part in the heating process. A viscous medium will slow down the particle rotation, therefore limiting the heat production mechanism by Brownian relaxation. However, tissues in the human body can have different viscosities. Thus, it is important to choose NPs with a maximal heating efficiency independent of the media viscosity, meaning that the NPs’ mechanism of heat should be principally by Néel relaxation losses. They concluded that the optimum size for a maximized viscosity-independent SAR value is around 12 nm for maghemite NPs.

The influence of the shape on the MNP heating properties has been less extensively studied than the influence of the size. Song et al. synthesised magnetite NPs by thermal decomposition in octadecene in the presence of oleic acid, and varied the amount of surfactant and refluxing time to get highly mono-disperse spherical (9.5 nm) and quasi-cubical (9.6 nm) MNPs. The results showed that the quasi cubical MNPs have a higher SAR value compared to the spherical NPs with an equivalent size and prepared under the same conditions. This phenomenon most likely comes from a bigger magnetic core volume of the quasi cubical MNPs, and higher crystallinity. A huge enhancement of heating performances is observed for multi-core flower-shaped maghemite NPs of 24 nm (constituted of smaller grains of 11 nm), with a SAR of 1992 W g⁻¹.

MNPs are usually coated with a ligand to improve their biocompatibility and their colloidal stability, because NP aggregation would modify the NP properties (and therefore their heating properties), and once injected into the body, it would probably result in the clearance of the MNPs by the reticulo-endothelial system before they could reach the desired location. The choice of the ligand is important because it can modify the magnetic properties of the NPs. For example, Larumbe et al. showed that silica coating on magnetite NPs resulted in a decrease of the magnetic properties and the SAR value, due to an enhancement of the spin disorder surface effects caused by the silica coating. Thus, the surface coating needs to be optimised to get the best hyperthermic agents. In a recent study, magnetite NPs with controlled particle size (9 nm, 19 nm and 31 nm) and mPEG surface coating thickness (by varying the molecular weight: 2000 Da, 5000 Da, and 20000 Da) were synthesised by thermal decomposition. The heating efficiency was observed to decrease with increasing polymer chain length, except for the biggest NPs. Indeed, the 31 nm NPs coated with 2000 Da mPEG agglomerates, which means that the polymer has too short chains to provide good colloidal stability due to a strong magnetic dipole–dipole interaction. Similar behaviour is observed for the measured SAR values. The highest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Core size (nm)</th>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>(H) (kA m⁻¹)</th>
<th>(f) (kHz)</th>
<th>SAR (W g⁻¹)</th>
<th>ILP (nH m⁻² kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pluronic F127</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>447</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma)-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(3-Amino-propyl)triethoxysilane</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>522.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2,3-Dimercaptosuccinic acid</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quasi-cubical</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>mPEG 2000 Da</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mPEG 5000 Da</td>
<td></td>
<td>332</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mPEG 20 000 Da</td>
<td></td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mPEG 2000 Da</td>
<td></td>
<td>930</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mPEG 5000 Da</td>
<td></td>
<td>686</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mPEG 20 000 Da</td>
<td></td>
<td>535</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mPEG 2000 Da</td>
<td></td>
<td>355</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mPEG 5000 Da</td>
<td></td>
<td>883</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mPEG 20 000 Da</td>
<td></td>
<td>565</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>79.32</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td></td>
<td>118.85</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma)-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Nanoflowers</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Citrate</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgFe₂O₄</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Citrate</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSMO</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>OA bilayer</td>
<td>40.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSMO</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dextran</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SAR value achieved was 930 W g⁻¹ for the 19 nm NPs coated with 2000 Da mPEG and the lowest was 267 W g⁻¹ for the 9 nm NPs coated with 20000 Da mPEG. Therefore, these results show that the SAR can be significantly improved by optimizing the surface coating and the magnetic core size. The effect of chitosan coating on magnetite NPs has also been studied recently, showing an improved SAR compared to bare magnetite NPs. A bilayer of oleic acid has been shown to improve the hyperthermia properties of La₀.₇Sr₀.₃MnO₃ (LSMO) NPs, arising from an improved colloidal stability of the NP suspension at physiological pH. This study also shows the effect of ionic strength on the heating efficiency of the NPs. A drop of the SAR is observed due to decreasing colloidal stability when NaCl is added to the NP suspension (the higher the NaCl concentration, the lower the SAR). A dextran coating also enhances the hyperthermia potential of LSMO NPs. It is believed to be due to the ability of the dextran coating to retain the superparamagnetic fraction of the LSMO NPs and the improved colloidal stability of the coated NPs.

A summary of the main parameters, including SAR and ILP values of the magnetic materials described in the Section 2.3, is given in Table 1. Heating efficiencies of commercially available ferrofluids have been studied and tabulated elsewhere.

It is important to control the temperature during magnetic hyperthermia treatment, in order to avoid overheating of the surrounding healthy tissues. However, precise control of the temperature can be difficult to achieve, and therefore, magnetic materials with a maximum self-heating temperature are very attractive for hyperthermia applications. The Curie temperature ($T_c$) is an intrinsic property of magnetic materials. Above this temperature, the magnetic system becomes paramagnetic (Fig. 3) and therefore heating stops.

By choosing a material with a $T_c$ close to the hyperthermia temperature range and adjusting it to the desired therapeutic temperature (the $T_c$ of a magnetic system can be modified to a certain extent with the chemical composition), the heating of the tumour can be controlled and the temperature can be maintained at a constant desired value for self-controlled hyperthermia treatment. For example, the $T_c$ of Mm-ferrites can be decreased by replacing magnetic ions with non magnetic Ti ions (Mn₁₋ₓTiₓFe₂O₄), from 300 °C at x = 0 to about 35 °C at x = 0.6. A $T_c$ of 46 °C was obtained at x = 0.55. Among the magnetic materials with a tunable $T_c$ in the therapeutic temperature range, we can find:

La₁₋ₓSrₓMnO₃ whose $T_c$ increases with an increasing Sr content, Gd-substituted Zn-ferrite (ZnGdₓFe₂₋ₓO₄) whose $T_c$ increases with an increasing Gd content and was found around 45 °C at x = 0.02, Ni₁₋ₓCrₓ whose $T_c$ increases with a decreasing Cr content and was found equal to 44 °C at $x = 5.63$, and Mg₁₋ₓFe₂₋ₓTiₓO₄ whose $T_c$ decreases with a decreasing Fe content and was found around 46 °C for $x = 0.37$.

2.4 Examples of in vivo application hyperthermia therapy

Monocyte/macrophage-like cells (Mo/Ma) are tumour-homing cells. They are known to infiltrate tumour sites and have been shown to specifically accumulate in pancreatic tumours when injected intraperitoneally. Basel et al. used Mo/Ma cells to carry core/shell iron/iron oxide NPs directly into the tumour of C57BL/6 mice injected with Pan02 cells to generate a murine model of disseminated pancreatic cancer. The Mo/Ma cells loaded with MNPs were therefore injected intraperitoneally, and three days after the injection, the mice were subjected to 20 min of AMF exposure. The mice subjected to the hyperthermia treatment survived significantly longer than the other mice in AMF, Mo/Ma cells or MNPs control groups, with a 31% increase in lifespan.

Lin et al. used Mn–Zn ferrite NPs coated with polyethyleneimine to evaluate the anti-cancer effects of the magnetic fluid with and without combined radiotherapy in vitro and in vivo. They chose a magnetic fluid with a NP concentration of 10 g L⁻¹ because it stabilised around 43 °C under application of an AMF of frequency = 230 kHz and amplitude = 1 kA m⁻¹. Tumours were grown into the right posterior limb rump of nude mice by injecting exponentially growing HepG2 cells. The magnetic fluid was injected intratumourally following a multipoint injection strategy, and the hyperthermic treatment was applied for 1 h. The volume inhibition rate and mass inhibition rate of the combined group were 87.62% and 88.62%, respectively, against 41.04% and 34.20% for the radiation-alone group and 79.87% and 77.92% for the hyperthermia-alone group. The in vivo experiments revealed good inhibition of the tumour growth, for both hyperthermia alone and the combined treatment.

Ferucarbotran (also called Resovist) consists of clinically approved superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) coated with carboxydextran developed for contrast-enhanced MRI of the liver. Those NPs can also be used for hyperthermia therapy as they are able to generate heat when subjected to an AMF. Araya et al. injected A549 cells (non small cell lung cancer cell line) in BALB/c nu/nu athymic female nude mice and studied the anti-cancer effects of ferucarbotran-mediated hyperthermia. Ferucarbotran NPs were injected intratumourally and the temperature was maintained at 43–45 °C for 20 min by application of an AMF. Even when complete tumour suppression was not fully achieved, the tumour volume was significantly suppressed.

Some clinical trials have been performed on MNPs for hyperthermia therapy and have been summarized by Thiesen & Jordan in 2008 and by Zhao et al. in 2013.
3. Magnetic nanosystems for thermo-chemotherapy

3.1 Enhancement effect of the combined therapy

The enhancement of chemotherapy effects with application of concurrent hyperthermia is called thermo-chemosensitisation. Several mechanisms are involved in this thermal enhancement, such as increased antineoplastic drug accumulation in tumours and enhanced drug cytotoxicity (improved intracellular uptake of drugs and increased sensitivity of cells to drugs).\cite{125,126} The first one is due to the physiological effect of mild hyperthermia on tumour vasculature, including an increased blood flow, perfusion, and blood vessel pore size of the already leaky tumour vasculature. All these factors facilitate drug extravasation in tumour tissues. The mechanisms responsible for the enhanced drug cytotoxicity are not yet fully understood, but generally involve improved intracellular uptake of drugs due to increased cell membrane permeability, inhibition of DNA-repair of the chemically induced lethal or sublethal damage, and acceleration of the cytotoxic chemical reaction in the case of alkylating or platinum-based antineoplastic agents at elevated temperatures.\cite{128} Fig. 4 summarizes the mechanisms behind the synergistic effect of hyperthermia and chemotherapy.

Several studies have demonstrated that the thermal enhancement of the cytotoxic activity of many anti-cancer agents is maximized at mild hyperthermia temperatures (40.5–43 °C).\cite{127} Chemotherapeutic drugs can be classified into four different categories depending on the way heat affects their cytotoxicity:\cite{128}

- Agents exhibiting a linear increase in cytotoxicity with increasing temperature: alkylating antineoplastic drugs (nitrogen mustards, nitrosoureas and thiotapec), platinum-based chemotherapeutic drugs (i.e. cisplatin), mitorantrone and mitomycin C are part of this category.
- Agents showing a threshold temperature effect: they do not undergo a linear increase but only a little change in cytotoxicity with increasing temperatures until a threshold of 42–43 °C. Above this threshold, a synergetic effect is observed. This is the case of adriamycin, bleomycin and actinomycin D.
- Agents not presenting any change in cytotoxicity with higher temperatures: antimetabolites, methoterase, 5-fluorouracil, amscarine, and vinca alkaloids (vincristine and vinblastine) show temperature-independent action.
- Agents that are not cytotoxic at 37 °C, but become cytotoxic with increasing temperatures. This type of cytotoxicity is characteristic of cysteamine, amphotericin B and lidocaine.

Different studies have aimed at evaluating the thermal enhancement of the cytotoxic activity of some chemotherapeutic drugs as well as the mechanisms behind. The thermal enhancement of cisplatin and adriamycin cytotoxicity was evaluated in vitro using a human bladder cancer cell line.\cite{129} Mild hyperthermia alone (41 °C) and low drug concentration (20 μg mL\(^{-1}\) of cisplatin or 4 μg mL\(^{-1}\) of adriamycin) did not have any cell killing effect. However, the anti-tumour effect of the combined therapy was significantly higher than either hyperthermia or drug alone, using the same drug concentrations and hyperthermia temperature. Indeed, the survival rate in the case of the combined treatment was the same as that of a 10-fold higher concentration of cisplatin or adriamycin administered alone. These results indicate that the quantity of drugs used in chemotherapy for the treatment of cancer can be effectively reduced by combination with mild hyperthermia, thereby limiting the side effects of the treatment. The mechanisms of action of the two drugs are the following: cisplatin interacts with DNA to form DNA intrastrand crosslink adducts (DNA platination).\cite{130} Crosslinks cause DNA replication arrest and apoptotic cell death if they are not repaired. Cisplatin therefore inhibits DNA synthesis and cell division. Adriamycin intercalates into DNA and inhibits the enzyme topoisomerase II resulting in DNA damage and cell death. Adriamycin can also generate free radicals (reactive oxygen species) which damage the cellular membrane, DNA, and proteins and lead to apoptotic cell death.\cite{131} Mechanisms responsible for the thermal cytotoxicity enhancement of both drugs are most likely due to an increase of cellular uptake, therefore DNA damage and possibly inhibition of DNA repair.

Onconase is a cytotoxic ribonuclease which binds to cell surface receptors, degrades ribosomal RNA once internalised to the cell and inhibits protein synthesis causing apoptotic cell death.\cite{132,133} Onconase also suppresses proliferation, is cytotoxic to several tumour cell lines and is synergistic with many anticancer agents. The thermal enhancement of the onconase cytotoxic effect by mild hyperthermia was evaluated in vitro on human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells. The percentage of apoptotic cells was measured after treatment with onconase alone (2 or 5 μg mL\(^{-1}\), hyperthermia alone (40 °C or 41 °C), for a treatment duration of 24 h or 48 h, and for the combined treatment. The results are presented in Table 2.

The increased therapeutic effect of mild hyperthermia with onconase was observed at 41 °C and even at 40 °C, the temperatures at which the cells were not affected at all. The thermal enhancement mechanism of onconase cytotoxic activity is not known with certainty. However, it is believed that an increase in intracellular uptake of the drug and accelerated kinetics of ribosomal RNA degradation is responsible for the synergetic effect of the therapy.
The thermal enhancement of melphalan (alkylating agent) and oxaliplatin (platinum-based agent) cytotoxicity was studied in vitro using spontaneous fibrosarcoma FSa-II tumour cells, as a function of treatment time at temperatures between 37 and 44.5 °C with a constant concentration of melphalan (0.25 µg mL⁻¹) or oxaliplatin (7.5 µg mL⁻¹). For both drugs, a thermal enhancement was observed (more pronounced in the case of melphalan) which became greater with increasing temperatures or increasing treatment time. The mechanism of the effect of oxaliplatin has been found to be the same as that of cisplatin (formation of DNA intrastrand crosslink adducts which inhibits DNA replication and leads to cell death). Melphalan interacts with DNA to form interstrand crosslink adducts, also causing apoptotic cell death. At physiological temperatures, they observed a constant cell killing effect indicating that cytotoxic reactions occurred at a constant rate. With increasing temperatures, the reaction rate became faster. Thus, at mild hyperthermia temperatures (below 42 °C), the mechanisms responsible for the thermal enhancement of the drug cytotoxic activity seem to be mostly an increased rate of chemical reactions leading to cell death, the inhibition of DNA repair of drug-induced DNA damage and an enhanced intracellular uptake of drugs. Above 42 °C, hyperthermia has a direct killing effect on cells due to the denaturation of proteins induced by heat. This study also highlights the importance of the thermal dose: the heating temperature and the time of exposure greatly influence the interaction between heat and drug.

Other numerous studies showed a thermal enhancement of drug cytotoxicity. For example, the combined treatment of hyperthermia at 43 °C for 45 min and cisplatin or etoposide resulted in more apoptotic cells than hyperthermia or drug alone with a lower concentration of the drug. Again with cisplatin, the combined treatment was 1.7 times more effective than hyperthermia alone (43 °C, 60 min) and 1.4 times more effective than cisplatin alone. Hyperthermia was in this case applied by means of iron oxide NPs. BCNU (1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1-nitosourea), an alkylating agent, causes DNA interstrand crosslinks which lead to cell death. The alkylation reaction rate is accelerated by hyperthermia which results in an increased cell death. A comparative study of some of the clinically employed platinum-based anticancer agents (cisplatin, carboplatin and oxaliplatin) demonstrates a cytotoxic thermal enhancement for all of them. However, a true synergistic effect was observed in the case of cisplatin, while only an additive effect was reported for carboplatin and oxaliplatin. All these studies reveal that the most effective treatment is achieved when hyperthermia and the drug are administered simultaneously, but some exceptions exist. Thus, drug pharmacokinetics has to be investigated to be able to benefit as much as possible from the improved anti-cancer effect of the treatment using a minimum amount of drugs thereby minimizing the toxicity. For instance, gemcitabine needs a time interval of 24 h between drug administration and application of hyperthermia to obtain a synergistic effect.

There is a concern about the oncogenic potential of many anti-cancer drugs. Hyperthermia combined with chemotherapy has the potential to reduce the risk of treatment-induced secondary cancer because hyperthermia seems to lower oncogenic transformations. Indeed, hyperthermia converts sublethal damage induced by the drug to lethal damage and/or inhibits DNA repair, therefore reducing the expression of transformation. Moreover, cells in S-phase are particularly sensitive to heat, and transformation mainly occurred when cells are in S-phase. Thus, hyperthermia could reduce the frequency of transformation induced by chemotherapy. A study dedicated to the oncogenic potential of hyperthermia combined with either actinomycin D, mitomycin C or carmustine showed a reduction of the drug-induced transformation for a given level of cell killing compared to the administration of the drug alone. A reduction of oncogenic transformations was also reported in the case of melphalan and cis-platinum.

### 3.2 Nanosystems for simultaneous hyperthermia and drug release

Anti-cancer agents are highly cytotoxic for both cancer and healthy tissues. The controlled release of drugs in a localised, targeted area is an important stake in chemotherapy, as it would allow the reduction of systematic exposure therefore minimizing the side effects, and also the reduction of the amount of drug used during the therapy. This becomes possible using nanosystems as a vehicle for drug delivery. The chemotherapeutic drug is stored and protected in the nanocarrier until it reaches the cancer site, where it is released. The drug is thus harmless to healthy tissues during its circulation in the body, and provided in high concentrations at the desired location.

Different nanoformulations incorporating both MNPs and drugs have been created for the purpose of thermo-chemotherapy. A growing area in drug and gene delivery is the use of stimuli-responsive compounds, and especially thermo-sensitive polymers, to trigger the release of drugs. The use of MNPs for local hyperthermia is therefore particularly relevant to this purpose. Indeed, the heat produced by the MNPs under application of an AMF will trigger the fast release of the drug, and increase the effectiveness of the treatment due to the synergistic effect of the simultaneous application of hyperthermia and chemotherapy. The incorporation of MNPs also offers the possibility of guiding the magnetic nanosystems in the body to target the tumour by the application of a static external magnetic field.
Stimuli-responsive polymers, also called smart polymers, have the ability to change properties in response to a change in their environment such as the temperature, pH, light, magnetic field, etc. Thermo-responsive polymers respond to temperature: they undergo a conformational change at a specific temperature, called the lower critical solution temperature (LCST). Below the LCST, the polymer is in a swollen hydrophilic state and transforms to a shrunken hydrophobic state above the LCST by expelling the aqueous content. The most studied polymer for thermo-responsive drug delivery systems is poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAAm) which has a LCST of 32 °C in water. By varying the ratio of the hydrophilic/hydrophobic co-monomer, the LCST of a given polymer can be tuned. This behaviour is therefore used to remotely trigger the release of the drug: by tuning the LCST to be in the hyperthermia temperature range, the drug will be retained at physiological temperature, and release as a consequence of hyperthermia. pH-responsive polymers are also often exploited for drug delivery applications because of the pH changes found in the human body. The pH in the tumour environment is often more acidic than the pH in the blood or healthy tissue. pH-sensitive drug delivery systems can target the tumour site and release their payload due to the pH difference.

The approach of simultaneous thermo-chemotherapy using magnetic nanosystems is relatively new. Kumar and Mohammad recently reviewed and proposed extending the meaning of the term hyperthermia to cover both hyperthermia therapy and magnetically modulated controlled drug delivery through heating. In this case, heat is only used as a trigger for drug release. In our context, hyperthermia and chemotherapy therapeutic functions are combined in the same nanosystem taking advantage of the great potential of MFH and controlled drug delivery in the treatment of cancer, and also benefiting from the synergetic effect of the combined therapy. The most relevant organic/inorganic designs developed so far will be presented, focusing on the most encouraging results of pH- and/or thermo-sensitive systems for the triggerable delivery of drugs under application of an external AMF. Among them, we can find core/shell NPs, liposomes, micelles, and polymeric carriers such as hydrogels or polymeric NPs. Figure 5 displays the most important biologically useful nanosystems for simultaneous thermochemotherapy, which are usually administered intratumourally or intravenously.

3.3 Different nanosystem designs

3.3.1 Liposomes. Liposomes are spherical vesicles composed of an aqueous core surrounded by a lipid bilayer. Liposomes are one class of the most attractive and studied systems for drug delivery. Hydrophilic chemotherapeutic drugs can be entrapped in the aqueous compartment, while hydrophobic drugs can be encapsulated in the lipid membrane. Liposomes change the pharmacokinetics of the drug and lower its systemic delivery and toxicity. With the major advancement of long circulation liposomes (by incorporating PEG-chains in the lipid bilayer), liposomal formulation is now able to increase the circulation time of the drug in the body (avoiding clearance by the reticuloendothelial system and renal filtration), and enhance the drug deposition in the tumour via the EPR effect.

Magnetoliposomes are formed by incorporating magnetic nanoparticles into the liposome with the molecules of the drug, either in the core for NPs stable in aqueous solution or in the lipid membrane for NPs in a hydrophobic state. Temperature-sensitive liposomes use specific lipid and polymers to achieve
temperature-sensitive release of drugs. Under the phase transition temperature of the bilayer, drugs are retained inside the liposome, until they are released in tumour tissue or vasculature as a consequence of heat causing bilayer disruption. As thermo-sensitive liposomes have been widely investigated for drug delivery, we refer the reader to several well-documented reviews on the subject.154–156 Thermo-sensitive magnetoliposomes have shown potential efficacy for the combined treatment of cancer. For example, liposomes with MNPs encapsulated in the lipid bilayer and calcine (as a model of hydrophilic drug) loaded into the aqueous compartment have shown interesting “on-demand” release properties.157 The release can be triggered repetitively, by switching ON and OFF the AMF, with almost inexistent cargo release when the AMF is turned OFF. This property is due to the reversible permeability change of the lipid bilayer rather than the destruction of the liposome, and is really interesting to control dose, location and time of drug release, with simultaneous magnetic nanoparticles’ hyperthermia.

Kulshrestha et al. developed paclitaxel loaded magnetoliposomes and achieved a better cell killing efficacy than magnetoliposomes or paclitaxel loaded liposomes alone.158 The liposome lipid bilayer was formed from 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-rac-glycerol (PG) (9 : 1, w/w). The transition temperature of DPPC is 41 °C, while the one of PG is 1 °C. However, adding a small amount of PG proteins to the DPPC lipid bilayer has shown to increase the stability of the bilayer, without lowering too much the transition temperature and thereby minimizing the release of the drug at temperatures lower than the transition temperature. Magnetite NPs coated with citric acid and paclitaxel were successfully encapsulated (83 ± 3% and 74.6 ± 5%, respectively) into the liposome aqueous compartment. The drug release behaviour was studied under an AC magnetic field at 37 °C and 43 °C. At 37 °C, only 1.2% of paclitaxel were released compared to 55.6% at 43 °C. At 37 °C, the magnetic NPs as well as the different weight ratios (1 : 0, 1 : 0.2, 1 : 0.5, 1 : 1, 1 : 2, 1 : 3, 1 : 4, and 1 : 5) of P(NIPAAm-co-AAm)-b-PCL and SPIONs and loaded with doxorubicin (DOX) with a 66% drug-loading efficiency. It appears that only the weight ratios from 1 : 1 to 1 : 3 gives stable micelle structures. The drug release abilities by heating the micelles (with a 1 : 1 weight ratio of polymer to SPION) via a water bath or magnetic heating were compared. At physiological temperature, the release of DOX was not significant. The amount of DOX released at 43 °C is at least three times higher when the micelles were subjected to an AMF than heated with a water bath which is due to the direct thermal energy transfer from the SPIONs to the lipid layer. The trigger drug release at 43 °C (LCST) is due to the dehydration of the polymer outer shell and the relaxation of the PCL core resulting in the shrinkage of the micelle and the expulsion of the drug molecules. This micelle formulation could therefore be employed for the combination of thermo-chemotherapy.

3.3.3 Polymeric nanoformulations. In this section, magnetic NPs encapsulated in either polymeric NPs or hydrogels will be detailed.

Polymeric nanoparticles are of great interest for biomedical applications due to the possibility of controlling and tuning their compositions, structures and properties (i.e. shape, size, surface charge, functionalisation) due to a controlled reaction of polymerisation.164 Several MNPs and drug molecules can be encapsulated in the same polymeric NP, to be used as agents for thermo-chemotherapy. From the choice of the polymers comprising the NPs as well as the different polymer ratios, new or improved properties can arise, such as thermo-sensitivity, biocompatibility, etc.

An interesting study developed a pH- and temperature-sensitive nanosystem composed of 10 nm SPIONs and two anti-
cancer drugs encapsulated in a poly(ε,ε-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) shell for the combined therapy. The anti-cancer agents, curcumin and 5-fluorouracil (5FU), were loaded with a 71% and 63% efficiency, respectively. Curcumin causes a decrease in the mitochondrial membrane potential while 5FU inhibits the synthesis of DNA and RNA. Finally, the NPs were functionalised with folate (its receptors are highly displayed in several carcinomas) and transferrin (transferrin receptors are highly overexpressed in cancer cells) to obtain dual targeted, dual drug loaded MNP-encapsulated PLGA nanovectors (150 nm). The drug release percentage was found higher for both drugs under acidic pH, and reached more than 70% in the case of curcumin and 80% in the case of 5FU after about 80 h. Three different cell lines were used for the in vitro studies: a human breast cancer cell line (MCF7 cells), a human glial cell line (G1 cells) and a mouse fibroblast cell line (L929 cells, control cells). The better targeting efficacy of the dual-targeted NPs (higher specificity and uptake than single-targeted NPs) was clearly evidenced by the internalisation studies and cytotoxicity assays. The latter also demonstrated the synergistic effect of using curcumin and 5FU together, therefore resulting in an enhanced therapeutic effect compared to the use of a single drug. The effects of simultaneous drug release and magnetic hyperthermia (frequency = 305 kHz; amplitude = 18.3 kA m$^{-1}$) were evaluated after 60 and 120 min of treatment. The use of non-targeted NPs resulted in a high reduction of the cell viability for both healthy and cancer cells, due to the lack of specificity of the nanovector. In contrast, treatment performed with the dual-targeted NPs shows a radical cytotoxic effect on cancer cells, without really damaging the healthy cells. Thanks to the dual targeting ability, both heat and drugs are targeted to cancerous tissue. The cell viability after 60 min and 120 min of treatment was 41% and 28% for the MCF7 cells, and 38% and 26% for the G1 cells, respectively. Even after removal of the AMF, the cell viability continues to decrease. 12 h after the hyperthermic treatment, the cell viability was 16% and 11% for MCF7 and G1 cells, respectively, while 24 h after, the cell viability was reduced to 7% and 4% for MCF7 and G1 cells, respectively, which clearly demonstrates the synergistic effect of the combined treatment.

In another recent study, the authors chose to use Mn–Zn ferrite NPs for their $T_c$ close to body temperature and adjustable (by varying the ratio of Zn$^{2+}$ to Mn$^{2+}$), which enables the control of the maximum heating temperature reached during the hyperthermia experiment. Mn$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ NPs (7 nm) were encapsulated in a thermo-sensitive copolymer composed of N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAm) and N-hydroxymethylacrylamide (HMAAm). A $T_c$ of 42 °C, optimised for MCF-7 cells (human breast cell line) and HeLa cells (cervical carcinoma cell line). The combined treatment yielded up to 85% cell death, which was significantly higher than the cell death efficacy achieved by hyperthermia alone.

3.3.4 Core/shell nanoparticles. Core/shell NPs are particularly relevant for combined modalities of cancer therapy. Indeed, a metallic core can endow the NPs with the desired magnetic properties for hyperthermia applications, while an organic shell can provide drug loading capability. Moreover, the coating can also provide increased colloidal stability (prevents anisotropic magnetic dipolar attractions between MNPs), chemical stability (i.e. prevents any further oxidation), biocompatibility and reduce toxicity. The polymer can be grafted onto the MNP surface (functionalising the MNPs by covalently binding the polymer) by two different approaches. In the first one, called “grafting to or grafting onto”, an end-functionalised polymer is used with suitable terminal groups to directly bind to the MNP surface. The second one, called
“grafting from”, the polymerisation proceeds from the surface thanks to an initiator molecule preliminary fixed on the MNP surface. Finally, simpler but less reliable, the polymer can be attached to the MNP surface by non-covalent interactions, such as electrostatic or van der Waals interactions. There are two major types of core/shell NP delivery system: the drug is physically loaded into the polymer shell and the drug is covalently bonded to the polymer/ligand forming the shell of the MNPs. In the latter, the drug release mechanism often involves heat inducing bond cleavage. In either case, it has been demonstrated in vitro that DOX has higher cytotoxicity toward cancer cells when it is conjugated to iron oxide NPs covalently or electrostatically compared to free DOX, most likely due to higher cellular uptake through endocytosis compared to passive diffusion.29

3.3.4.1 Physical drug loading. Physical drug loading in the polymer shell of the MNPs is generally obtained by hydrophobic/electrostatic interactions and is generally quite simple to realise. For efficient nanovektors, a high drug loading efficiency and rapid “on demand” release are desirable while drug leakage through the polymer layer should be avoided. A recent study has shown promising results with a pH-responsive system in the drug release behaviour and cell killing effect with the combined therapy in the treatment of ovarian cancer.271 Iron oxide NPs (28.5 nm) were synthesised and coated with three different layers, forming a reservoir for drug loading ability: oleic acid, poly(maleic anhydride-alt-1-octadecene) (PMMAO) and poly(ethylene-imine) (PEI). DOX was then encapsulated into the MNP polymeric shell and the MNPs were further modified with a polyethylene glycol (PEG) layer for improved colloidal stability and increased blood circulation time. Finally, the MNPs were functionalised with a targeting ligand to human ovarian cancer cells: Luteinizing Hormone–Releasing Hormone (LHRH) peptide. This system will be called LHRH-PEG-DOX-IONPs (97.1 nm). The nanoformulation is pH-sensitive, and therefore the drug release behaviour was evaluated at pH 5.5 (pH found in tumour) and pH 7.4 (physiological pH). At pH = 7.4, only 17.6% of the loaded DOX were released after 72 h of incubation. However, at pH 5.5, 91.1% of the loaded DOX were released after 72 h of incubation, with burst release within the first 2 h (44.7%). Indeed, DOX is loaded through electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged carboxyl groups of the amphiphilic polymer. The release of DOX is accelerated at acidic pH because it reduces the interaction with protonated carboxylic groups. It also increases the solubility of DOX in aqueous solution due to the protonation of the primary amine of DOX molecules. This drug release behaviour enables limited release of the drug into the blood stream, while quick release can be obtained at cancer sites. The hyperthermia potential of the DOX free system was evaluated in vitro on an A2780/AD human ovarian carcinoma cell line, with an iron concentration of 15 μg mL⁻¹. The experiments were carried out at two temperatures, 40 °C and 44 °C, with an AMF of constant frequency (393 kHz) and the magnetic field amplitude set at 33.5 kA m⁻¹ (for 44 °C) and 21.2 kA m⁻¹ (for 40 °C), and an exposure time of 30 min. The experiments resulted in 95% and 72% cell death for hyperthermia at 44 °C and 40 °C, respectively. Thus, hyperthermia at 44 °C has a direct cell killing effect. In order to assess the efficacy of the combined treatment, 15 μg Fe/mL of LHRH-PEG-DOX-IONPs were loaded with 1 μg mL⁻¹ of DOX and subjected to an AMF under mild hyperthermia conditions (40 °C). The effect of DOX alone resulted in a cell viability decrease by 70% while the combined treatment had a 95% cell killing effect. Thus, the combined treatment shows a superior efficacy to either chemotherapy or mild hyperthermia as stand-alone therapy and is preferable in many ways because of the lower temperature and drug concentration used, thereby minimizing side effects and damage to healthy tissues. Electrostatic interactions were also used to load DOX into MgFe₂O₄ magnetic nanoassemblies coated with PEG–diacid.272 A loading efficiency of 80% was achieved and 75–80% of DOX was released under acidic pH (5.4) compared to only 20–25% at physiological pH (7.4), within 24 h. The synergistic effect of DOX combined with hyperthermia was once more observed, with up to 90% cell death (against 45% and 65% cell death for magnetic hyperthermia alone and chemotherapy alone, respectively).

Barick et al. developed pH-responsive peptide mimic shell cross-linked magnetic nanocarriers (PMNCs) for combination therapy.273 Magnetite NPs (10 nm) were functionalised with glycine and the free amine groups are utilised for further conjugation with the peptide l-arginine to obtain PMNCs with both amine and carboxylic terminal groups (50 nm). The NPs were coated with a peptide, because peptides can enhance the cellular uptake, have low toxicity and are pH-responsive. The pH of zero point charge of PMNCs was estimated to be 5.4, meaning that the surface is charged positively at pH < 5.4 and negatively at pH > 5.4. The reversal of the surface charge can be exploited for the purpose of drug delivery: the drug, loaded in the shell, is retained via electrostatic interactions, and released upon the change of surface charge. DOX is used as a model of cationic chemotherapeutic agents to study the drug loading and release behaviour at pH 4, 5 and 7.3. They observed that the loading efficiency was strongly dependent on the ratio of PMNCs to DOX and achieved a maximum DOX loading efficiency of 80% at PMNCs to DOX ratio of 10. The DOX release studies show an increase in the release rate with a decreasing pH: only 7% of DOX was released at pH 7.3 while the release was complete at pH 4. The time needed for the release of 50% of DOX was found to be around 30 min and 45 min at pH 4 and 5, respectively. The potential of the DOX loaded PMNCs was investigated on HeLa cells for the combined therapy, after only 10 min of AMF exposure (frequency = 265 kHz; amplitude = 335 Oe) and a DOX concentration of 4 μM. The chemotherapy treatment alone (free DOX or DOX-loaded PMNCS) resulted in a cell viability decrease by 10%, and hyperthermia alone (PMNCs subjected to AMF) had a 13% decreased effect on the cell viability. The cell killing effect was higher in the case of the combined therapy, as a 28% decrease in cell viability was observed. This system is interesting for the combined therapy giving the results obtained with a short time of AMF exposure and low DOX concentration. Moreover, it exhibits quasi-inexistent drug leakage through the peptide mimic shell and the amine and carboxylic terminal groups of the nanocarriers gives a lot of possibility for the conjugation of targeting ligands.
While being efficient, the use of pH-sensitive drug delivery systems does not allow the remote control of the drug release. Thermo-sensitive drug delivery vehicles can be used for hyperthermia-triggered drug release which offer spatial and temporal control over the drug release but also offer the possibility of “ON-OFF” release by switching ON and OFF the AMF. For example, magnetite NPs coated with N-isopropylacrylamide-co-acrylamide (P(NIPAm-co-Am)) with a LCST of about 40 °C show almost complete drug release after three AMF ON-OFF cycles (frequency = 60 kHz; amplitude = 6.5 kA m⁻¹).¹⁷⁴

3.3.4.2 Covalent drug loading. The advantage of the conjugated drugs to the MNP surface via a labile bond is that no leakage of the drug through the polymer shell is possible. This design involves the cleavage of the bond used to conjugate the drug to the MNP in response to a stimulus. The drug can therefore be magnetically guided at the right location and activated at the right time, limiting systematic effects to a great extent. For the purpose of thermo-chemotherapy, heat labile bonds are particularly relevant, because the heat produced by the MNPs subjected to an AMF would induce the bond cleavage. For example, in the following study, the authors have designed a magnetic nanocarrier for thermo-chemotherapy composed of an iron oxide core functionalised with a ligand allowing the release of the drug through a combination of click reactions.¹⁷⁵ The ligand contains a phosphonic acid group which gives strong binding to the NPs, and an alkene group which allows a copper catalysed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (CuAAC) with an azide. This reaction is used to link an azide terminated poly(ethylene oxide) monomethyl ether which provides biocompatibility, stability and antifouling properties to the NPs. The ligand also contains a furan ring making possible a Diels-Alder (DA) reaction used to link a drug. In this study, a dye (tetramethylrhodamine-5-C2-maleimide (Rhd-M)) was used to investigate the potential of the nanosystem for drug delivery. The DA reaction occurs between an alkene and a diene and is thermoreversible. Therefore, the biologically active molecule can be released by provoking the retro-DA (rDA) reaction. The rDA reaction generally occurs at temperatures around 90–110 °C. Even though those temperatures can seem really high for biological purposes, recent studies have shown the existence of local heating effects in the vicinity of MNPs leading to high temperatures at the NP surface.¹⁷⁶,¹⁷⁷ This phenomenon can be exploited to kill more effectively cancer cells without a significant increase of temperature in the biological medium, or in the case of this study, trigger the drug release by initiating the rDA reaction. Indeed, the ligand has been designed to provide the cleavable bond close to the NP surface, allowing the exploitation of the local heating phenomenon. The study shows that, indeed, upon application of an AMF, the rDA reaction occurs, leading to the release of the dye Rhd-M, and thereby this design offers possibility for thermo-chemotherapy applications.

Even if heat labile bonds are particularly convenient for hyperthermia with combined chemotherapy, acid labile bonds are also widely used for drug delivery applications, as the pH in the tumour environment is more acidic than in blood or healthy tissue. A recent study used an acid labile imine bond to conjugate doxorubicin to magnetite NPs.¹⁷⁸ The mechanism by which the drug is released was assumed as follows: the MNPs are taken up by endocytosis by the cancer cells, and the drug is released in acidic lysosomes due to the bond cleavage in acidic conditions (pH in lysosomes is between 3.5 and 5). Other acid cleavable bonds have been used recently for drug delivery purposes and could be used for the combined therapy, such as hydrazone bonds to conjugate DOX to magnetite NPs¹⁷⁹,¹⁸⁰ or nanocrystal clusters¹⁸¹ or else ester bonds to conjugate paclitaxel to iron oxide NPs.¹⁸²

Finally, other possible cleavable bonds that can be used for drug delivery are redox labile bonds such as disulfide bonds.¹⁸³ Indeed, the reductive tumour intracellular environment due to the elevated level of reductive glutathione in many tumour cells enables redox bond cleavage thus releasing the drug. Acid and redox cleavable bonds for use in drug delivery applications have another important advantage. Indeed, it has been noticed that a high amount of glutathione is often associated with chemotherapeutic drug-resistant cancer.¹⁸⁴ However, by releasing drugs intracellularly (as in the case of drugs conjugated by pH or redox cleavable bonds), drug resistance can be overcome.¹⁸⁵

If the drug used also have a targeting ability, no cleavage of the bond conjugating the drug molecules to the MNPs is necessary. This is the case of the drug methotrexate which has been used in a recent study for thermo-chemotherapy.¹⁸⁶ Magnetite NPs were prepared by the co-precipitation method and coated with poly(ethyleneimine) (PEI). PEI is used to improve colloidal stability, and to conjugate methotrexate (MTX) through an amide bond. MTX is used for its targeting ability on the folate receptor (over-expressed in many types of cancers) and its therapeutic effect. MCF-7 cells (positive to reduced folate carriers) were used for the in vitro studies and L929 cells as control. The cellular uptake shows a more efficient and selective internalisation in the cell cytoplasm of MTX-MNPs compared to non-conjugated MNPs. The relative cell viability was estimated for MNP hyperthermia or chemotherapy alone (MTX-MNPs without application of a magnetic field) and the combined treatment. 200 μL of MTX-MNPs with a MNP and MTX concentration of 25 mg mL⁻¹ and 100 μM, respectively, were used for the measurement, at a temperature of 43 °C for 20 min (magnetic field frequency: 300 kHz; and maintaining the temperature by adjusting the amplitude of the magnetic field). The cell viabilities for the MNPs alone, MTX-MNPs without application of a magnetic field and MTX-MNPs with application of a magnetic field were decreased to 87.6 ± 10.8%, 64.5 ± 7.2% and 13.3 ± 1.3%, respectively. A true synergistic effect between hyperthermia and MTX is thus observed, demonstrating the efficiency of the MTX-MNP design.

3.4 Examples of in vivo applications of thermo-chemotherapy

To the best of our knowledge, there has been no clinical trial performed on drug-loaded MNP-based nano-systems for simultaneous thermo-chemotherapy. However, the fate and effectiveness of different magnetic carriers have been tested in vivo. In vivo experiments are important because the overall effects can be observed on a living subject and it is therefore the
best way to get close from the conditions found in a human body (pH, complicated tumour microenvironment, etc.) which can interfere to a great extent with the fate of the nano-systems (i.e. drug pharmacokinetic, NP distribution pattern, etc.). Yoo et al. introduced resistance-free apoptosis-inducing magnetic nanoparticles (RAIN) based on 2Zn0.4Fe2O4 MNPs for simultaneous hyperthermia therapy and release of geldanamycin (GM).187 GM is a heat shock protein (HSP) inhibitor and is used to prevent thermo-resistance. Indeed, consecutive hyperthermia treatment often results in the development of resistance to the cytotoxic effect of heat.188 This phenomenon is called theromoresistance and arises from the synthesis of HSPs as a response of thermal stress. Indeed, the presence of HSPs has been correlated with the natural adaptation to heat of a living organism. GM is conjugated to the MNPs via a heat labile azo linker, and complete release occurs as a consequence of magnetic hyperthermia after 60 min of exposure at 43 °C with an applied field of frequency = 500 kHz and amplitude = 37.4 kA m⁻¹. No release is observed at 37 °C. The in vitro studies on MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells revealed a 100% cell killing efficiency after 70 min of treatment (43 °C) with the RAIN, against only 25% after 80 min of treatment for magnetic hyperthermia alone. A strong expression of HSPs was observed after the hyperthermic treatment, while after exposure to RAIN hyperthermia, the expression of HSP was comparable to the control. Finally, MDA-MB-231 cells were transplanted into the right hind legs of nude mice and 50 μg of RAIN were directly injected into the tumour. Hyperthermia at 43 °C was maintained for 30 min. Magnetic hyperthermia was not sufficient to inhibit the tumour growth, but RAIN hyperthermia was found to completely suppress the tumour 8 d after single AMF exposure. The in vitro and in vivo tests confirmed that HSPs are responsible for the thermo-resistance phenomenon and that their inhibition is critical for hyperthermia induced apoptosis.

Li et al. developed truncated octahedral magnetite NPs (edge length: 22 nm) coated with poly(styrene-alt-maleic acid) (PSMA) and further functionalised with PEG and poly-A polynucleotide.189 PEG chains are used to increase the blood circulation time and to bind a cancer cell targeting moiety: the anti-human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (anti-HER2) monoclonal antibody, while the polynucleotide has the ability to absorb the chemotherapeutic drug 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) by forming a hydrogen bond between the adenine group of the polynucleotide and 5-FU (5-FU loaded Fe₃O₄@anti-HER2 NPs). They demonstrated the superiority of the targeted nano-system in vitro on MBT-2 cells, a mouse bladder cancer cell line which over-expresses HER2 antigen, compared to the non-targeted one (triple the number of NPs targeting MBT-2 cells and enhance cellular uptake). MBT-2 cells were transplanted into C3H/HeN mice to induce bladder cancer in mice for in vivo experiments. Firstly, 50 μL of a solution of 5-FU loaded Fe₃O₄@anti-HER2 NPs were injected intratumourally to assess the efficacy of the combined therapy on small size (<50 mm³) and large size (>50 mm³) tumours. The tumours were exposed to an AMF for 15 min (frequency: 1.3 MHz; amplitude: 33 kA m⁻¹) 2 h later. In the case of small tumours, hyperthermia alone as well as the combined treatment led to almost total tumour regression. However, for large tumours, hyperthermia alone was not sufficient enough to significantly inhibit tumour growth, and only hyperthermia with combined chemotherapy resulted in a significant anti-cancer effect. Secondly, the efficacy of the combined treatment was evaluated using the systematic delivery method through tail-vein injection. 200 μL of 5-FU loaded Fe₃O₄@anti-HER2 NPs with a concentration of 500 μg mL⁻¹ were injected once per day for four consecutive days and the hyperthermia treatment was applied 24 h after the NPs had been injected. The combined treatment resulted in a prominent cancer regression compared to either hyperthermia or 5-FU alone. Interestingly, vital organs showed no detectable pathological findings 48 h after tail-vein injection while higher accumulation of 5-FU loaded Fe₃O₄@anti-HER2 in tumours was detected 48 h rather than 24 h post-injection. Moreover, after hyperthermia treatment, relocation of the NPs from other organs to the tumour was observed, probably due to the change in tumour vasculature in response to hyperthermia which facilitates NP extravasation. This information is highly valuable in maximising the therapeutic effect and minimizing the side effects of the treatment. It also suggests that pre-hyperthermia treatment could be applied before injection of the NPs to increase their accumulation at the cancer site.

Wang et al. evaluated the therapeutic efficacy of Mn₁₀/Zn₅/Fe₃O₄ (MZF) NPs and arsenic trioxide (As₂O₃) in vivo. MZF NPs encapsulated in thermosensitive magnetoliposomes (TSMs) composed of 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), cholesterol and vitamin E (54 : 6 : 1, m/m) (128.2 nm) in rabbits with a 2.0 cm diameter VX2 tumour in the left liver lobe.188 As₂O₃ has a powerful anti-tumour effect on malignant solid tumours and was used in this study as an anti-cancer agent. The crystalline phase transition temperature of the liposome was estimated to be 42.7 °C. The drug release between 37 °C and 39 °C was inexistant and very low at 40 °C and 41 °C. Then, the release percentage jumped to 65.55% at 42 °C, 78.66% at 43 °C and finally reached 98.32% at 44 °C. The liposomes were injected into the tumour feeding artery. Hyperthermia treatment was applied for 60 min with an AMF (frequency = 230 kHz; I = 30 A). The temperature reached 44 °C within 30 min and remained stable for the next 30 min, benefiting from the self-heating control behaviour of MZF NPs due to their low Curie temperature. The combined treatment had the most effective anti-cancer effect, with a cell growth inhibition rate of 85.22%, against 52.68% for liposomes entrapping MZF and 44.96% for liposomes entrapping As₂O₃.

4. Conclusions and perspectives

Water colloidal dispersion of MNPs has shown great potential for use as heat-mediators agents, and efforts in the field have led to promising preclinical and clinical studies. However, MFH is still far from achieving its full clinical potential. Most of the research on magnetic nanoparticle-mediated hyperthermia therapy has been directed toward MNPs exhibiting good biocompatibility and an improved SAR value. However, as the SAR increases with increasing frequency and amplitude of the applied field, one needs to pay careful attention to these values. Indeed, a too strong AMF may generate eddy currents and cause...
non-specific heating and therefore damaging of both healthy and cancer tissues. Nonetheless, many of the research groups used magnetic fields with an Hf factor exceeding the determined biologically safe threshold, which is unfortunate because the heating potential could be in fact insufficient for any applications under clinical conditions. Indeed, the higher the SAR, the lower is the MNP concentration needed to achieve the temperature range for full therapeutic efficacy, which is crucial as a too high NP concentration may have significant toxicity.

Magnetic hyperthermia combined with drug delivery does not require temperatures as high as those used for hyperthermia therapy alone. Indeed, as discussed previously, the thermal enhancement of drug cytotoxicity is maximized at mild hyperthermia temperatures, meaning that the MNPs do not need to possess such an exceptional SAR value for use in the combined therapy. Moreover, it has been proven many times through in vitro as well as in vivo studies that the combination therapy is far more effective than either hyperthermia or chemotherapy alone. As the combination of hyperthermia and chemotherapy in a same MNP-based nano-therapeutic system is relatively new, there are still some obstacles to tackle before clinical trials can be carried out. A high drug loading should be achieved and drug diffusion from the nanocarriers should be suppressed to limit systematic delivery. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic of the nanosystem needs to be studied for a better comprehension and a possible increase in the treatment efficacy. However, thermo-chemotherapy by means of a magnetic nano-system gathers all the numerous advantages of MFH over traditional thermo-chemotherapy by means of a magnetic nano-system. The nano-systems can be functionalised with an active targeting moiety to increase the spatiotemporal release of the drug. The nano-systems can be targeted a site of interest cellular uptake. The use of MNPs also gives the opportunity to enhance their overall performance and move over understanding the mechanisms of nucleation and growth of NPs is important to obtain control over the nanoparticle synthesis and overcome current methods of trial and error.
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