Bias induced transition from an ohmic to a non-ohmic interface in supramolecular tunneling junctions with Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn top electrodes‡

Kim S. Wimbush,a Raluca M. Fratila,a Dandan Wang,b Dongchen Qi,c‡ Cao Liang,b Li Yuan,b Nikolai Yakovlev,c Kian Ping Loh,de David N. Reinhoudta, Aldrik H. Velders*ad and Christian A. Nijhuis*be

This study describes that the current rectification ratio, $R = |J|(-2.0 \, \text{V})/|J|(+2.0 \, \text{V})$ for supramolecular tunneling junctions with a top-electrode of eutectic gallium indium (EGaIn) that contains a conductive thin (0.7 nm) supporting outer oxide layer (Ga$_2$O$_3$), increases by up to four orders of magnitude under an applied bias of $>+1.0 \, \text{V}$ up to $+2.5 \, \text{V}$; these junctions did not change their electrical characteristics when biased in the voltage range of $\pm1.0 \, \text{V}$. The increase in $R$ is caused by the presence of water and ions in the supramolecular assemblies which react with the Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn layer and increase the thickness of the Ga$_2$O$_3$ layer. This increase in the oxide thickness from 0.7 nm to $\sim2.0 \, \text{nm}$ changed the nature of the monolayer–top-electrode contact from an ohmic to a non-ohmic contact. These results unambiguously expose the experimental conditions that allow for a safe bias window of $\pm1.0 \, \text{V}$ (the range of biases studies of charge transport using this technique are normally conducted) to investigate molecular effects in molecular electronic junctions with Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn top-electrodes where electrochemical reactions are not significant. Our findings also show that the interpretation of data in studies involving applied biases of $>1.0 \, \text{V}$ may be complicated by electrochemical side reactions which can be recognized by changes of the electrical characteristics as a function voltage cycling or in current retention experiments.

Introduction

The mechanisms of charge transport across organic electronic devices are important to understand in applications ranging from photovoltaics,1 organic light emitting diodes,2 flexible electronics,3 molecular electronics,4,5 to organic electronics.6–8 In general, the organic-metal, e.g., thiol–Au, and semiconductor–metal interfaces, e.g., Al$_2$O$_3$/Al, play a key role in the electrical properties and performance of these devices.9–11 In molecular electronics the nature of the metal–molecule interfaces has been of major concern,4,12–16 but difficult to address experimentally directly.26,27,28 It determines how molecular orbitals couple to the electrodes electronically,26,27,28–30 the value of the contact resistance,14,18,21,23,24 and the electronic function of the device. In addition, the nature of the contact may also determine whether the current vs. voltage characteristics are ohmic or non-ohmic.29 Especially the presence of (thin) layers of metal oxides in tunneling junctions is of concern and complicates the interpretation of data from junctions prepared with oxidizable electrodes.2,25–36

Every molecular electronic device is a physical-organic system with its unique electronic, chemical, and supramolecular structure consisting of at least two electrodes, the organic part, and two interfaces between the electrodes and the organic part.27 An “ideal” technique to investigate the contribution of each of the components of the junctions to the electrical characteristics is still not available.27,37–41 At best, the electronic properties of the junctions can be investigated as a function of one component while minimizing the potential changes to the other components.

Although there is no “ideal” technique to form electrical contacts to self-assembled monolayers (SAMs), methods have
been developed to avoid direct metal evaporation on SAMs. The latter gives rise to junctions that are prone to defects, such as metal filaments, or chemical changes to the SAM. Moreover, this gives a high percentage of short circuits (ohmic behavior). Alternative fabrication methods use liquid metal electrodes, protection layers such as graphene (derivatives), or conducting polymers, to protect the SAM against potential damage during the metal deposition step.

To avoid the problems associated with direct evaporation of metals on the SAM, we use a non-Newtonian liquid-metal top-electrode of a eutectic mixture of Ga and In (EGaIn; see below) that forms electrical contacts to SAMs in a non-invasive manner. This technique has three properties that make it an attractive platform to perform physical-organic studies of charge transport across SAMs. (i) It yields SAM-based junctions that are stable enough to withstand more than 100 J(V) scans in the bias range of ±1.0 V for long enough periods of time (up to 16 h), and allows to collect statistically large numbers of data (N > 400) and produces high yields of working junctions (80–100%). (ii) It is sensitive enough to perform detailed physical-organic studies of charge transport across SAMs as a function of the chemical and supramolecular structure of the SAMs. (iii) It avoids the use of photolithography or clean room conditions and is straightforward to setup in an ordinary lab. The EGaIn-technique has also disadvantages: it lacks the stability required for commercial applications and the thin layer of Ga2O3 of 0.7 nm adds complexity for the interpretation of the data. We believe that this thin layer of gallium oxide forms an ohmic contact with the SAMs (but with a yet unknown contact resistance) and as such can function as a non-invasive electrode-interface contact. The Ga2O3 layer is highly conductive (3.3 × 10⁻⁴ Ω cm²), and is highly defective and contains oxygen vacancies and indium oxides. Also it adds mechanical stability to the junctions and prevents the bulk EGaIn from alloying with the bottom-electrode material. Detailed investigations of charge transport using EGaIn on various kinds of SAMs include n-alkanethiolates and supramolecular assemblies. These studies have led to the conclusion that the electrical properties of these junctions are dominated by the chemical and supramolecular structure of the SAMs, and not by the thin conductive layer of 0.7 nm of predominantly Ga2O3 on the top-electrode.

This paper describes tunneling junctions with the Ga2O3/EGaIn top-electrode in contact with a SAM of well-defined hexagonally packed heptadecithiol-functionalized β-cyclodextrins (βCDI) on template-stripped gold (AuTS) onto which a monolayer of dendrimers can be adsorbed (Fig. 1). These supramolecular SAMs are relatively thick (~3 nm), and therefore the junctions that incorporated them withstand large applied biases; the junctions were stable against voltage cycling in the relatively large bias range of ±2.0 V for 100 cycles (one cycle = 0 V → 2.0 V → 0 V → −2.0 V → 0 V; each cycle took about 80 s to complete), and voltage pulses (Vpulse) stepped from 0 V up to +2.5 V for a period of up to one hour after which the voltage was stepped back to 0 V. Remarkably, the junctions described in this paper changed their electrical characteristics when the Ga2O3/EGaIn top-electrode was biased positively with a bias of ±1.0 V, regardless of the supramolecular structure of the SAM, with an increase in rectification ratio (R ≡ |J(+2.0 V)|/|J(−2.0 V)|, where J = current density) of up to four orders of magnitude. The SAM–top electrode interface was found to change from an ohmic to a non-ohmic contact, which, in turn, dominated the charge transport characteristics and we believe it was the origin of the observed increase in R. We note that non-ohmic contacts do not necessarily result in rectifying junctions, but we prefer to use this term as we do not know the exact nature of the SAM/top-electrode contact when the junctions rectify. The change from an ohmic to a non-ohmic contact is attributed to the in situ formation of a thick layer of gallium oxides (~2 nm) under applied bias due to the presence of water and ions, i.e., H2O, protonated amines and Cl−, in the supramolecular SAM. These findings are also supported by the work of Dickey and co-workers who reported that thick layers of gallium oxides can grow on EGaIn in acidic aqueous media.

The results described in this paper corroborate previous reports that the interpretation of data generated by molecular junctions should be performed with attention to constraints, and the voltage window in which the Ga2O3/EGaIn electrode can be used without concerns for modifying its properties. Our data, on the one hand, clearly demonstrate the practical usefulness of the “EGaIn technique” to conduct studies of charge transport across SAM-based junctions. On the other hand, studies focused on charge transfer characteristics involving supramolecular structures that contain chemisorbed water and ions should be limited to an applied DC bias of maximum +1.0 V to avoid oxidation of the top-electrode which is close to the break down field of approximately 0.8 GV m⁻¹ for junctions with SAMs of n-alkanethiolates. For SAMs that do not contain chemisorbed water, and/or ions, bias-induced changes of the electrical characteristics of the junctions have not been observed (but these studies also did not involve bias ranges larger than ±1.0 V). It is likely that bias-induced oxidation of the top-electrode with water from ambient air will require much larger biases (see below) than +1.0 V to induce anodic growth of the Ga2O3 layer at a rate relevant to the experimental time scales. Studies of charge transport using DC experiments involving bias ranges equal to or smaller than +1.0 V are not dominated by a non-ohmic contact; the thin gallium oxide layer of 0.7 nm acts as an ohmic contact (and does not influence R) and allows the molecular/supramolecular structure to dominate the junctions’ charge transport characteristics.

Results and discussion

The following sections describe the chemical structures of the supramolecular tunneling junctions, the J(V) characteristics of the junctions as a function of the number of voltage cycles (with one voltage cycle defined as a sweep from 0 V → 2.0 V → 0 V → −2.0 V → 0 V which was completed in about 80 s), and the J(V) characteristics as a function of the duration and height of the
voltage pulses \( V_{\text{pulse}} \); for one \( V_{\text{pulse}} \) the applied bias was stepped from 0 V to the desired applied voltage, and a predefined period of time \( t \) (up to 3600 s) the bias was stepped back to 0 V). We finally discuss the dependence of the increase of \( R \) on the molecular structure of the SAM and on the chemical structure of the bottom and top electrodes.

### Supramolecular tunneling junctions

Fig. 1 shows the supramolecular platform and junction schematically. We have adsorbed four different guest molecules on a well-defined hexagonally packed SAM of host molecules of heptathioether-functionalized \( \beta \)-cyclodextrin adsorbate (A) to form the \( \beta \)CD SAM, or host surface, the native \( \beta \)-cyclodextrin (B), the dendritic core of the poly(propylene) imine (PPI; C), poly(amido amine) (PAMAM; D) dendrimer functionalized with an adamantyl (Ad) with a tetra-ethylene glycol (EG) tether (E), Ad (F), or ferrocene (Fc) (G). (H–J) Schematic illustration of the assembly of the supramolecular junctions. The dendrimers were dissolved in an aqueous solution by complexation of the guest end group moieties to native \( \beta \)CD at \( \text{pH} = 2 \) to ensure protonation of the core amines and to force the dendrimers to adopt a fully extended conformation (H). The dendrimers were adsorbed on a pre-formed host-surface by simply immersing the host surface into the aqueous solutions of the dendrimers (I). The surfaces were rinsed with water to remove physisorbed dendrimers and native \( \beta \)CD. The Ga\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/EGaIn top-electrode was formed on the supramolecular assembly (J). By varying the core type and terminal functionality, we controlled the packing density, and the number of interactions of the dendrimers with the host surface. We formed junctions with four different types of dendrimers, i.e., G1-PPI–(Ad)\textsubscript{4}, G1-PPI–(Fc)\textsubscript{4}, G0-PAMAM–(Ad)\textsubscript{4}, and G0-PAMAM–(EG-Ad)\textsubscript{4}, and with the bare \( \beta \)CD SAM to control the number of interactions of the dendrimer guest molecules with the host surface. Here an example is given for a dendrimer with two moieties interacting with the host surface and two free moieties (see Table 1 for the numbers of interacting and free moieties for all dendrimers).
dendrimers to adopt fully extended conformations for complexion of the Ad or Fc termini (Fig. 1H) to native BCD (Fig. 1B). In a second step, the dendrimers were adsorbed on a pre-formed BCD Au surface to which the Fc and Ad moieties can bind (Fig. 1I). The major driving force for the adsorption of the dendrimers is the 100 times higher effective concentration of BCD hosts on the surface than in solution. The dendrimers form stable assemblies on the host surface because of the multiple host-guest interactions. The number of interactions of the dendrimer with the BCD host surface depends on the distance of the terminal functional moieties from one another. This can be controlled by using dendrimers with different distances between the core and terminal functional group, i.e., PPI (Fig. 1C) or PAMAM (Fig. 1D), or by simply introducing tethers of ethylene glycol (Fig. 1E). The dendrimers used in this study have two, three, or four Fc (Fig. 1E). The dendrimers to adopt fully extended conformations for complexion of the Ad or Fc termini (Fig. 1H) to native BCD (Fig. 1B). In a second step, the dendrimers were adsorbed on a pre-formed BCD Au surface to which the Fc and Ad moieties can bind (Fig. 1I). The major driving force for the adsorption of the dendrimers is the 100 times higher effective concentration of BCD hosts on the surface than in solution. Thus, the dendrimer–BCD supramolecular assemblies anchored on AuTS surfaces contain water and ions (i.e., H2O+, protonated amines and Cl– counter-ions). We formed electrical contact with the supramolecular BCD-dendrimer assemblies using Ga2O3/EGaIn as the top-electrode material following procedures previously reported (Fig. 1J).

The effects of voltage cycling and voltage pulses

Fig. 2 shows the change of the $|J|$ characteristics of a junction of AuTS–BCDSAM/G1–PPI–(Fc)$_4$/Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn as a function of voltage cycling ($N = 100$) in the range over $\pm 2.0$ V (Fig. 2A), and the values of $|J|$ measured at $+2.0$ V and $-2.0$ V (Fig. 2C), and $R$, as a function of $N$ (Fig. 2E). The junctions clearly changed their electrical characteristics as a function of $N$. The currents at a negative bias increased by about one order of magnitude, while the currents at a positive bias decreased by one order of magnitude (indicated by the red arrows), resulting in a large increase of the rectification ratio from 1.2 (at $N = 1$) to $2.4 \times 10^2$ (at $N = 100$). Fig. $S2^c$ shows similar results for junctions with the BCD SAM and with the G1–PPI–(Ad)$_4$ dendrimer adsorbed on a BCD SAM. Thus, subjecting these junctions with supramolecular assemblies to a large number of scans at relatively large applied voltages changed the electrical properties of the junctions.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Junction$^a$</th>
<th>Number of interactions$^b$</th>
<th>Number of free moieties$^c$</th>
<th>Surface coverage$^d$ (%)</th>
<th>$R$ before$^e$ $V_{pulse}$</th>
<th>$R$ after$^f$ $V_{pulse}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPI–Fc</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI–Ad</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAMAM–Ad</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\approx 100$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAMAM–EG–Ad</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\approx 100$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCD SAM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


$^b$ The number of Fc or Ad moieties that form host–guest interactions with the BCD SAM (out of four).

$^c$ Surface coverage (%) of the dendrimer adsorbed to the supramolecular platform taken from ref. 74 and 76.

$^d$ The value of $R$ measured at $+2.0$ V at the start of the experiment.

$^e$ The value of $R$ measured at $-2.0$ V after $V_{pulse} = +2.5$ V of $t = 3600$ s.
Fig. 2B shows the $j(V)$ characteristics of a junction of $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}\text{CDSAM}/\text{G1-PPI–(Fc)$_4$}/\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ before (■) and after (○) applying a voltage pulse ($V_{\text{pulse}}(V)$) of +2.0 V for $t = 900$ s. The rectification ratio increased from 0.3 (measured prior $V_{\text{pulse}}$, or at $t = 0$ s) to $1.1 \times 10^2$. In contrast, a modest change in the rectification ratio was observed from 0.8 to 9.4 when a voltage pulse at the opposite bias ($V_{\text{pulse}} = -2.0$ V for $t = 900$ s) was applied (Fig. 2D). The changes in the values of $R$ as a result of these voltage pulses were recorded as $j(V)$ curves before and after each $V_{\text{pulse}}$ in the bias range of ±2.0 V. We recorded five $j(V)$ traces to determine the changes in $R$, in order to minimize the impact of these ($R$-determining) scans on $R$ (vide supra, see Fig 2A, C and E). The modest change observed in $R$ when applying $V_{\text{pulse}} = -2.0$ V is likely due to the five $j(V)$ scans ±2.0 V rather than due to the voltage pulse itself (see below and Fig. 2F).

These supramolecular junctions can be subjected to biases of up to ~±2.5 V under DC conditions. Hence, we studied the changes in the electrical characteristics as a function of $V_{\text{pulse}}$ of +1.0, +1.5, +2.0, and ±2.5 V over time intervals, $t (s)$, of $t = 120, 300, 600, 900, 1800, 2700$, and 3600 s. Fig. 2F also shows the effect of the voltage cycling on the value of $R$ (indicated as $V_{\text{pulse}} = 0$ V) to discriminate between the changes of $R$ due to voltage cycling and $V_{\text{pulse}}$. The rectification ratio increases as a function of $V_{\text{pulse}}$ and $t$. The largest increase in the rectification ratio from 0.35 (at $t = 0$ s) to $3.3 \times 10^3$ was observed for a $V_{\text{pulse}}$ of +2.5 V for 3600 s. It seems that after 1800 s the maximum value of $R$ had been reached at a given value of $V_{\text{pulse}}$. These measurements were performed at least in duplicate to demonstrate their reproducibility (Fig. S3A†). In some of the duplicate measurements there was a sharp uncharacteristic decrease in the rectification ratio as a function of $V_{\text{pulse}}$ and $t$, i.e., in Fig. S3A† for $V_{\text{pulse}} = 2.0$ V (2) at $t = 45$ min. This is likely due to a small drift in the $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ tip during the two hour measuring period. This drift renders other areas of the $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ tip that are less oxidized, with a thinner $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ layer, in contact with the SAM, which decreases $R$.

The value of $R$ seems to increase exponentially as a function of $V_{\text{pulse}}$ (Fig. S3F†) and, currently, we do not know the details of this dependence of the increase of $R$ as a function of $V_{\text{pulse}}$. However, we note that the increase of the value of $R$, due to $V_{\text{pulse}}$ at +1.0 V and at $t = 3600$, is very similar to changes in the value of $R$ resulting from five voltage cycles of ±2.0 V, i.e., without applying a $V_{\text{pulse}}$ (Fig. 2F). Thus, a $V_{\text{pulse}}$ of +1.0 V has no effect on the values of $R$ (as expected considering the exponential dependence of the value of $R$ on $V_{\text{pulse}}$, see Fig. S3F†). This means that $R$ is dominated by the molecular properties of the supramolecular junctions and does not change its electrical characteristics at applied biases of ≤+1.0 V.

The dependence of the increase of $R$ on the molecular structure

As described above, we observed an increase of $R$ when performing extensive voltage cycling with relatively large voltage windows. In previous studies, we attributed the rectification with values of $R$ of up to two orders of magnitude observed in the supramolecular junctions of $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}\text{CDSAM}/\text{G1-PPI–(Fc)$_4$}/\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ and $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}\text{CDSAM}/\text{G1-PPI–(Fc)$_4$}$ to molecular effects involving the Fe functional groups of the dendrimer. The Fe groups have energetically accessible HOMO levels, i.e., close in energy to the Fermi-levels of the electrodes, and positioned asymmetrically inside the junction in close proximity to the $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ top electrode. In that particular study, 20 sweeps were taken to calculate $R$ and we could relate the observed $R$ to molecular effects based on the reference experiments with adamantane instead of ferrocene functionalized dendrimers. In a separate study, SAMs of more simple chemical structures, i.e., $\text{SC}_1\text{Fc}$ and $\text{SC}_2\text{Fc}_2$ (which do not contain chemisorbed water or ions), also rectified currents with values of $R$ of two orders of magnitude and did not change their $j(V)$ characteristics as a result of voltage cycling over the range of ±1.0 V for 100 times. The now presented large increase in the values of $R$ of three to four orders of magnitude for the supramolecular junctions induced by applying a $V_{\text{pulse}}$ of >+1.0 V for 1 h is therefore likely not a molecular property of the junctions.

We hypothesize that the increase in the values of $R$ in $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}\text{CDSAM}/\text{G1-PPI–(Fc)$_4$}/\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ originates from changes in the chemical composition of the tunneling junctions. These involve the heptathioether $\beta$CD SAM on the Au surface, the $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}$ bottom-electrode, or the $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ top-electrode, which in turn will also change the nature of the interfaces of the electrodes with the monolayers. To determine if the large increase in the value of $R$ as a result of $V_{\text{pulse}}$ is molecular in origin, we studied the electrical properties of junctions in which the Fe moieties were replaced by Ad, i.e., $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}\text{CDSAM}/\text{G1-PPI–(Ad)$_4$}/\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ and junctions that lack the dendrimer i.e., $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}\text{CDSAM}/\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$. The adamantane units are not providing HOMO levels close in energy to the Fermi levels of the electrodes and the corresponding two junctions did not rectify current in our previous studies, giving values of $R$ of $0.7 (\sigma_{\log} = 2.5)$ and $1.0 (\sigma_{\log} = 3.0)$, respectively, when performing 20 scans ±2.0 V. Fig. 3A shows that the values of $R$ of these three different supramolecular junctions increased as a function of the time of $V_{\text{pulse}}$ of +2.5 V.

We consecutively investigated the effect of the dendritic core, the number of interactions of the dendrimers with the host surface, and the difference of surface coverages of the dendrimers on the host surface on the changes of the electrical characteristics of the junctions during the experiments described above. We replaced the PPI core by a PAMAM core, i.e., $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}\text{CDSAM}/\text{G0-PAMAM–(Ad)$_4$}/\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ junctions, and formed junctions with tetra-ethylene glycol tetherers between the adamantyl groups and the dendritic core, i.e., $\text{Au}^{\text{b}}\text{CDSAM}/\text{G0-PAMAM–(EG-Ad)$_4$}/\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3/\text{EGaIn}$ junctions (Fig. 1 and S4†). The G0-PAMAM–(EG-Ad)$_4$ dendrimer binds to the supramolecular platform with all four Ad units and has no free terminal moieties available to form a contact with the top-electrode. Instead the contact is probably formed by the core/backbone of the dendrimer (Fig. S4†). The G1-PPI–(Ad)$_4$ dendrimer binds to the supramolecular platform with two (out of four Ad units) and has two free terminal moieties available to form a contact with the top-electrode (Fig. 1). The dendrimers that bind to the host surface with at least three out of four guest moieties form more densely packed monolayers than those dendrimers with only two interacting moieties (Table 1).
Fig. 3B shows the R values of the junctions with the PAMAM dendrimers measured before and after $V_{\text{pulse}} = +2.5\, \text{V}$ with $t = 300\, \text{s}$ (see Fig. 3F and G† for the $J(V)$ curves). As expected, the value of R increased modestly for both types of junctions during the first 20 scans ±2.0 V. In contrast, for both types of junctions the value of R increased by more than one order of magnitude due to $V_{\text{pulse}} = +2.5\, \text{V}$. These results indicate that the increase of the value of R is independent of the chemical and supramolecular structure of the SAMs in the tunneling junctions.

We further tested if the changes in the $J(V)$ characteristics are irreversible and stable. The AuTS–βCDSAM//G1-PPI–(Fc)$_d$//Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn junction was subjected to a $V_{\text{pulse}}$ with the top-electrode biased at −2.0 V for 1200 s, after it had already been subjected to $V_{\text{pulse}} = +2.0\, \text{V}$ for $t = 600\, \text{s}$ previously (Fig. 3C). In a second experiment, we measured the $J(V)$ characteristics of one junction directly after $V_{\text{pulse}} = +2.5\, \text{V}$ for $t = 3600\, \text{s}$ and again 16 hours later (Fig. 3D). Both experiments showed that the junctions did not change their $J(V)$ characteristics by applying negative bias or upon aging. We concluded that the changes induced by a large positive bias are stable (over a period of time of at least 16 h) and irreversible (over at least the experimental time scales and bias ranges used here).

Dependence of R on the chemical structure of the electrodes: the bottom-electrode

To determine if the chemical composition of the βCD SAM or if the Au–molecule interface changed during the experiments, we examined the SAMs on AuTS before and after they had been subjected to $V_{\text{pulse}} = +2.5\, \text{V}$ for $t = 900\, \text{s}$ by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). We used the flexibility of our approach to contact SAMs electrically which makes it possible to assemble a junction, lowering the cone-shaped Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn top-electrode using the micromanipulator (see Experimental). After performing the measurements, the junction was disassembled by lifting up the cone-shaped Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn top-electrode using the same micromanipulator. This exposes the SAM that had originally been embedded in the AuTS–βCDSAM//Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn structure. The XPS measurements were carried out on areas of the sample where the βCD SAM had been biased, and were compared to the areas on the sample that had not been biased. Fig. 4 shows the XPS maps (100 × 100 μm$^2$) of the S2p and C1s signals of the βCD SAM on AuTS (the Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn tip contacted the SAMs approximately in the middle of the image with a contact area of ~300 μm$^2$). These XPS maps show no features (the bright band in the C1s signal is an artifact from the analyzer) and we conclude that the voltage pulses in our experiments did not significantly change the chemical composition of the supramolecular system on AuTS during the experiments, or the nature of the AuTS–molecule interface.
EGaIn top-electrode after the \( J(V) \) experiments as described above for the SAMs on AuTS. Dickey and co-workers\(^{77,78}\) reported the electrochemical anodic growth of the gallium oxide layer on the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn alloy and the cathodic dissolution of this gallium oxide layer in slightly acidic electrolyte solutions. To study the role of the thickness of the gallium oxide layer on the electrical characteristics of the junctions, we used two different methods to prepare intentionally oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn cone-shaped tips. One method entailed electrochemical oxidation by once cycling the voltage between 0 and 1.0 V using water as the electrolyte and Pt as the counter electrode. The other method entailed oxidizing the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn tip suspended in air (with a relative humidity of 75%), using the same electrometer as was used to measure the electrical characteristics of the tunneling junctions, by simply applying a bias of 50 V to Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn for 2 or 4 hours with a Au surface as the grounded counter electrode.

We measured the \( J(V) \) characteristics of junctions of these intentionally oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn electrodes in contact with AuTS. Fig. 5A and B show the \( J(V) \) curves obtained for a junction with oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn top-electrodes in air for 4 hours and the histogram of the rectification ratios. These junctions rectified currents with \( R = 4.5 \times 10^5 \) determined at ±5.0 V.\(^{84}\) Fig. 5A† shows the results for junctions with a top-electrode with an electrochemically grown oxide layer with \( R = 2.2 \times 10^5 \) measured at ±1.5 V.\(^{85}\) Unfortunately, the cone-shaped tips with native Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) did not form stable contacts with the metal surface and resulted in shorts. These results suggest that the oxidation of the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn electrode occurs at a lower applied bias and at smaller time scales in a wet electrochemical environment than under ambient conditions in air using an electrometer. Thus, we do not expect the formation of a thick layer of Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) in tunnel junctions with SAMs that do not contain chemisorbed water, or ions, at the experimental time scales and at applied voltages below the breakdown voltage.

We hypothesize that top-electrodes of Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn that contains a thick layer of gallium oxides form non-ohmic contacts with the SAMs. To prove this hypothesis, we performed angle resolved XPS measurements on these oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn surfaces prepared in air for 4 hours and on native Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn surfaces. Fig. 5C and D show the XPS data before and after oxidation. We followed a previously reported method\(^{81}\) to analyze the XPS data and derive the thickness of the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer. Briefly, we determined from the relative intensities of Ga3d metal and oxide signals (Fig. 5C and D) and the corresponding Ga2p metal and oxide signals (see ESI, Fig. S6 and Table S1†) that we indeed form a thick layer of oxides of roughly 1.7 nm. We also estimated the increase of the thickness of the oxide layer during this experiment by ellipsometry and found that the oxide layer had a thickness of 2.3 nm (see ESI Fig. S8 and Table S2†). From these experiments, we conclude that the oxide layer in the tunneling junctions likely increased its thickness from 0.7 nm to roughly 2 nm (see ESI Fig. S8 and Table S2†).

To compare the electronic surface properties of the native and the oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn we measured ultra-violet photoelectron spectra (UPS) on drops of Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn before and after oxidation in air, with the zero energy normalized to the Fermi level of clean Au. From the UPS measurements it can be seen that the work function (\( \phi \)) of the oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn (\( \phi = 3.70 \) eV) is 0.1 eV higher than that for native Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn (\( \phi = 3.60 \) eV; Fig. 5E), and likewise, the valence band maximum energies (VBM) for the oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn (4.15 eV) is 0.3 eV higher than for native Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn (3.85 eV; Fig. 5F). Therefore, the valence band (\( \phi + \text{VBM} \)) of the native and oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn was determined to be 7.45 eV and 7.85 eV, respectively, with respect to the vacuum level. Bulk Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) fabricated under normal conditions, that is, not under highly controlled conditions to avoid defects, is an n-type transparent semiconductor with a band gap of about 4.8 eV.\(^{86-88}\) Considering this band gap, the conduction band of the native and oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn is only 0.95 eV and 0.65 eV, respectively, above the Fermi level. This implies that in both cases the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer is an n-type semiconductor, with the oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn sample being a higher doped n-type conductor than the native Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn sample. Therefore, the UPS data are consistent with the oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn sample having a thicker Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer, as the oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn sample is shown to be a higher doped n-type conductor and has a higher work function, VBM and thus valance band energy. This means that more energy is needed to eject an electron from the Fermi level/move an electron from the valence band to the conduction band of EGaIn. We note that the energy levels determined for the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn surfaces will shift once these surfaces are incorporated into a junction.\(^{89,90}\) We expect these shifts to be small as the GaO\(_2\)/EGaIn forms a van der Waals contact with most types of monolayers\(^{95,96,97}\) and therefore our UPS measurements provide reasonable description of the energy levels.

We believe that the oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer, with \( \phi = 3.70 \) eV, in contact with the Au bottom electrode, with \( \phi = 4.8 \) eV, will be positively charged because of the imbalance of the work function between Au and Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn. From Kelvin probe measurements on Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn, we obtained the work function (\( \phi \)) of the bulk EGaIn of 4.3 eV. This so-called “space charge” phenomenon could result in a non-ohmic contact between the oxidized Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)/EGaIn electrode and the Au, and the large observed rectification ratio of \( 4.5 \times 10^3 \) (Fig. 5A and B).

Thus, we believe that during our \( J(V) \) measurements of junctions of supramolecular assemblies, the native Ga\(_2\)O\(_3)/
EGaIn reacts with water and ions present in the junctions and forms a thick layer of gallium oxide when the tip is positively biased. This in situ formed layer of gallium oxide of ~2 nm on the top Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn electrode causes the top electrode to form a non-ohmic contact with the SAM resulting in values of $R$ exceeding four orders of magnitude. This hypothesis agrees with our observations: (i) a large increase in the value of $R$ is only observed when positive biases, and not when negative biases, are applied to the top-electrode which agrees with electrochemical measurements which show that the thickness of Ga$_2$O$_3$ only increases at a positive bias and (ii) the $J(V)$ characteristics of the junctions with thick layers of Ga$_2$O$_3$ are independent of the chemical composition of the supramolecular junctions as expected for junctions dominated by a non-ohmic contact [Fig. 3A].

**Conclusions**

Stable supramolecular tunneling junctions were formed with a top Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn cone shaped electrode suspended from a syringe in contact with a monolayer of dendrimers adsorbed on a well-defined hexagonally packed heptathioether βCD SAM on Au$^{15}$. The junctions were stable over periods of time of more than 16 h and could sustain applied voltages of up to +2.5 V. The rectification ratio $R$ of the supramolecular tunneling junctions increased by three to four orders of magnitude when the top-electrode was biased at +2.5 V for 3600 s. The change in the value of $R$ during these experiments is independent of the supramolecular and chemical structure of the SAMs inside the junctions, but depends on the applied bias to the Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn top-electrode and the duration of this voltage pulse.

The observed increase in the rectification ratio originates from changes in the chemical composition of the Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn top-electrode, where the thickness of the gallium oxide layer, that was initially only 0.7 nm thick, increased to ~2 nm when the top-electrode was positively biased, due to the presence of chemisorbed water and ions, i.e., $H_3O^+$, protonated amines with predominantly Cl$^-$ counter ions, in the supramolecular SAM. This in turn changes the originally ohmic SAM//Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn contact to a non-ohmic contact. This hypothesis was supported.

**Fig. 5** (A) Semi-log plot of averaged $|J|$ vs. $|V|$ measurements performed at ±5.0 V on junctions of Au$^{15}$/Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn. (B) Histogram of log rectification ratio ($R$), where $R = |J|(-5.0 V)/|J|(+5.0 V)$. Note that $R$ is only defined at ±5.0 V for the junction Au$^{15}$/Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn. (C and D) High resolution XPS spectrum of the Ga3d/In4d region for native (C) and oxidized (D) Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn samples. (E and F) UPS spectra for native and oxidized Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn samples, showing the difference in work function (E) and valence band (F) energies.
by the following experiments. (i) The rectifying supramolecular junctions were disassembled to investigate the chemical properties by XPS before and after the SAMs had been subjected to a voltage pulse of +2.5 V for 900 s. The XPS data recorded on both types of SAMs were indistinguishable, which meant that neither the SAMs nor the Au–molecule interface changed their chemical composition. (ii) A thick Ga2O3 layer was formed on a tip of Ga2O3/EGaIn. Junctions of these tips in contact with bare gold surfaces rectified currents with values of $R \approx 10^2$ to $10^3$. (iii) Ellipsometry and XPS measurements were performed on samples of intentionally oxidized Ga2O3/EGaIn and were compared to native Ga2O3/EGaIn samples. Each characterization technique showed that the oxidized Ga2O3/EGaIn had a thicker Ga2O3 layer (~2 nm) than the native Ga2O3/EGaIn (0.7 nm).

One of the major goals in molecular electronics is to disentangle the molecular contributions to the electronic characteristics from non-molecular contributions induced by the interfaces, electrode materials, and, in this case, the presence of metal oxides. The difficulty in doing so is that every molecular electronic device is a complex physical-organic system whose properties depends on all elements, including the organic/molecular parts, electrodes, and interfaces. Previous studies involving SAM-based junctions with Ga2O3/EGaIn top-electrodes using SAMs of the form of SC$n$-CH$_3$, SC$n$Fc, conjugated thiols, and n-alkanethiolas with various end groups, have been all conducted in the bias regime of ±1.0 V (because of the electric break down at higher biases resulting in shorts). In this low bias regime of ±1.0 V, the growth of the gallium oxide layer is very slow and time dependent changes in the electrical characterization of these junctions were not observed. In contrast, this study involved junctions with supramolecular SAMs that contain chemisorbed water and ions, in the high bias regime of >±1.0 V, the growth of the gallium oxide layer was significant resulting in an exponential increase of the rectification ratio as a function of bias (Fig. S2F). Currently, we do not know the kinetics of the growth of the Ga$_2$O$_3$ layer in our experimental conditions, but the maximum values of $R$ for a $V_{\text{pulse}}$ at a given voltage are reached in about 1800 seconds and increase with increasing value of $V_{\text{pulse}}$. Thus, we conclude that the electrical characteristics of junctions measured with the “EGaIn-technique” are dominated by the molecular properties of the junctions for biases ≤±1.0 V and that experiments involving large bias ranges should be performed with care and include appropriate control experiments.

We conclude that a thin Ga$_2$O$_3$ layer of 0.7 nm allows the top Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn electrode to form an ohmic contact with the SAM because as all studies involving SAMs in contact with Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn top-electrodes did not change their electrical characteristics as a function of voltage cycling at a range of applied voltages of ±1.0 V, and did show statistically significant molecular effects which would not be expected in the case of non-ohmic contacts. In other words, the values of $R$ in all of these studies were not influenced by the native layer of Ga$_2$O$_3$. Additionally, we conclude that a thick layer of Ga$_2$O$_3$ on the Ga$_2$O$_3$/EGaIn electrode of ~2 nm is thick enough to form a non-ohmic contact as junctions that contain chemisorbed water and ions did change their electrical characteristics as a function of the number of voltage cycles when biases ≥±2.0 were involved, and did not reveal molecular effects on the electrical characteristics of the junctions when voltage pulses of ±2.5 V (stepped from 0 V to 2.5 and stepped back to 0 V after a predefined time interval) were applied.

The presence of layers of metal-oxides in top contacts such as Al$_2$O$_3$/Al and TiO$_2$/Ti has been shown to be the source of rectification in molecular tunneling junctions in other systems. In all studies thus far involving the EGaIn-technique in the bias regime of ±1.0 V, no direct evidence was found that the Ga$_2$O$_3$ layer dominated the charge transport properties. For these reasons, the “EGaIn” technique is a very reliable technique to study charge transport across SAMs in the bias regime of ±1.0 V, and the gallium oxide layer does not dominate the mechanisms of charge transport. In one of our previous studies $J(V)$ measurements were performed in a bias range of ±2.0 V on SAMs that did contain chemisorbed water and a source of H$_3$O$^+$. However, that study did not involve more than 20 $J(V)$ scans on a single junction and therefore, it is safe to conclude that the $J(V)$ characteristics were dominated by the molecular structure within the junction. Our results discussed here demonstrate that studies involving large applied biases, and/or structures that contain chemisorbed water can be performed, but with constraints as anodic growth of gallium oxides may occur when large bias windows are used.
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84. The value of R was determined at ±0.5 V because the thick oxide layer does not form good conformal contact with the Au7S surface which causes a large contact resistance.

85. For these junctions R was determined at ±1.5 V as these junctions repeatedly shorted at a bias of ±2.0 V.


