Biobased furandicarboxylic acids (FDCAs): effects of isomeric substitution on polyester synthesis and properties†
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In this study we present the application of different isomers of furandicarboxylic acid, or FDCA, obtained from agro-residues, in polyester synthesis. New polyesters based on 2,4-FDCA and 3,4-FDCA isomers with (linear) diols were thoroughly characterised and compared with their as-synthesised 2,5-FDCA analogues. All polymers were obtained by melt polycondensation of linear diols with FDCA dimethyl esters and exhibit molecular weights in the range $M_w = 34,000$–$65,000$ and polydispersities close to 2.0. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the new polyesters shows that they have comparable or even higher thermal stability compared to the 2,5-FDCA polyesters. Interestingly, the glass-transition temperatures ($T_g$) of 2,4-FDCA derived polyesters are similar to those of the 2,5-FDCA isomers. Both differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) analyses showed that 2,4-PEF is amorphous, while 2,5-PEF and 3,4-PEF are semi-crystalline materials.

Introduction

Increasing awareness that the still growing use of fossil feedstocks and concomitant emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG) is affecting global climate change1 is one of the main drivers in the search for sustainable, renewable feedstocks for chemicals and materials. The increasing use of synthetic polymers can contribute to reducing GHG emissions due to the lower weight of those materials compared to e.g. steel, aluminum or glass. However, this trend can only become truly positive when these polymeric materials are based on renewable resources. Due to the high oxygen content of the most abundantly available biomass components like polysaccharides and lignin, one can debate whether it is viable to use such feedstock for the production of highly defunctionalised “drop-in” chemical building blocks like olefins. A different approach would be to transform biomass components into “new” chemical building blocks with unique structures and functionalities, following thermodynamically more favorable pathways.

One such “new” biobased building block is furan-2,5-dicarboxylic acid (or 2,5-FDCA) which is widely propagated as an alternative to the ubiquitous terephthalic acid (TA). 2,3 Recent reports on the application of 2,5-FDCA in a range of polyesters have shown that in comparison with TA, polymer properties are quite often (surprisingly) comparable. 3-5 Furthermore, preliminary reports on gas barrier properties indicate that PEF synthesized from 2,5-FDCA has superior performance compared to polyethylene terephthalate (PET) derived from TA. 6 Nevertheless, before 2,5-FDCA can become a viable alternative to TA, more challenges need to be met, including economic viability. Currently, most synthetic routes to 2,5-FDCA are based on the oxidation of 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde (HMF) which can be obtained by cyclodehydration of C6 sugars like fructose and glucose.7 In order to avoid possible competition with the food chain we have investigated several other strategies based on the use of non-digestible agro-residues like sugar beet pulp or bagasse. Pectinic sugars like galacturonic acid can be converted into galacticar boxylic acid8 and subsequently into 2,5-FDCA. Agro-residues like sugar beet pulp or bagasse are also a source of C5 sugars. In the latter case xylans and arabinans in hemi-cellulose can be cyclodehydrated to furfural, which can be subsequently oxidized to 2-furoic acid.9,10 Solid state disproportionation of the latter in a Henkel-type reaction then furnishes 2,5-FDCA together with furan.10 However, as we recently reported, during the Henkel reaction, depending on the reaction conditions, not only the 2,5-
The polycondensation was performed by a conventional two-step melt-polycondensation procedure using titanium(iii) isopropoxide as the catalyst (1.25 mol%). The pre-polymerization was performed at 160 °C for 12 h, followed by further polycondensation at 210–215 °C for 2 h under reduced pressure (0.02 mbar) in the second-step. The polystyres were obtained in excellent isolated yields (80–98%), while after precipitation from methanol all polystyres were obtained as white powders.

While the known polysters based on 2,5-FDCA merely served as benchmark, all 2,4- and 3,4-FDCA polysters (except for 2,4-PEF and 3,4-PBF) have not been described before. The structures of all the polysters were confirmed by NMR (H and 13C) (see ES†) and FTIR spectroscopy. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) of the furandicarboxylic polysters showed that all polysters were obtained in medium to high molecular weights, with polydispersity index (PDI) values close to 2 (Table 1).

**Table 1 Results of the polyester synthesis of dimethyl furandicarboxylates with various diols (polymerisation conditions are described in the experimental section)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry Code</th>
<th>GPC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;n&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (g mol&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (g mol&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>PDI&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Yield&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>Appearance&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol (EG)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,5-PEF</td>
<td>19 100</td>
<td>34 200</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Opaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,4-PEF</td>
<td>21 200</td>
<td>39 700</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,4-PEF</td>
<td>24 200</td>
<td>45 500</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Propane diol (PDO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,5-PPF</td>
<td>36 300</td>
<td>64 100</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,4-PPF</td>
<td>21 600</td>
<td>42 100</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3,4-PPF</td>
<td>24 400</td>
<td>44 700</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Butane diol (1,4-BDO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2,5-P14BF</td>
<td>21 400</td>
<td>35 400</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Opaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,4-P14BF</td>
<td>21 800</td>
<td>36 800</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Opaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3,4-P14BF</td>
<td>30 700</td>
<td>61 800</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Opaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Butane diol (2,3-BDO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,5-P23BF</td>
<td>80 000</td>
<td>12 200</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,4-P23BF</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3,4-P23BF</td>
<td>9100</td>
<td>18 100</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene terephthalate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PET&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11 400</td>
<td>22 500</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Opaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>PET&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>64 200</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Opaque</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Gel permeation chromatography performed on crude samples using HFIP as a solvent. <sup>b</sup>M<sub>n</sub>: number-average molecular weight. <sup>c</sup>M<sub>w</sub>: weight-average molecular weight. <sup>d</sup> PDI: polydispersity index. <sup>e</sup> Isolated yield (crude). <sup>f</sup> Appearance of the crude polyster in the reactor. <sup>g</sup> As-synthesised PET. <sup>h</sup> Commercial bottle-grade PET sample.

**Results and discussion**

2,5-FDCA and 2,4-FDCA were prepared and purified (>98%) according to reported procedures. All polysters were prepared according to the same protocol in order to compare the reactivity of the FDCA isomers. Melt polycondensation of the dimethyl esters of 2,5-, 2,4- or 3,4-FDCA with linear (potentially) biobased aliphatic diols (ethylene glycol (EG), 1,3-propanediol (PDO), 1,4-butanediol (1,4-BDO), and 2,3-butanediol (2,3-BDO)) yielded a set of 12 polysters, of which 6 are novel (Scheme 2).
Given the commercial importance of PET, the ethylene glycol (EG) polyesters or PEFs (Table 1, entries 1–3) are the main focus of this study. The reference polymer 2,5-PEF was obtained with an excellent M_n of 19 100 [PDI 1.8], which is comparable to literature values,\textsuperscript{5,14} thereby validating our polycondensation procedure. Polycondensation of either 2,4- or 3,4-FDCA with EG yielded materials with comparable molecular weights, polydispersities and crude isolated yields. This indicates that under comparable polycondensation conditions, the position of the carboxylic acid groups on the furan ring has no significant influence on reactivity. This is all the more obvious due to the higher thermal stability of the commercial sample is remarkable given the fact that these polymers have more pronounced ester linkages compared to the 2,5-FDCA polyesters. In the FDCA-based polyesters is remarkable given the fact that these results in a decrease in melting temperature of about 5 °C (for each percent increase in DEG content). DEG incorporation also affects other properties like mechanical, hydrolytic and light stability as well as the thermal and oxidative degradation behavior of the material.\textsuperscript{21}

We estimated the DEG incorporation in the PEF series to be less than 1% (based on \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR analysis, see ESI, Fig. 3†), which is lower than typically encountered during PET synthesis.\textsuperscript{22–26} Remarkably, DEG formation and incorporation into PEF has not been reported previously.\textsuperscript{5,27}

Sousa et al. reported DEG incorporation of up to 5.2% in EG copolymers derived from TA and 2,5-FDCA (80 : 20).\textsuperscript{16} Furthermore, these authors showed that the DEG content decreases with increasing 2,5-FDCA content (1.7% DEG for 2,5-FDCA:TA, 90 : 10), which is in agreement with our findings for the FDCA–EG homopolymers. NMR spectra of the other FDCA polyesters are given in the ESI†.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the FDCA polyesters shows that all new polyesters have comparable or even higher thermal stability compared to the 2,5-FDCA polyesters (Table 2). The consistently higher thermal stability of the 2,4-FDCA-based polyesters is remarkable given the fact that these materials have on average the lowest M_n according to GPC (see Table 1).

For all polyesters a single stage decomposition mechanism is observed between 350 and 425 °C. Representative TGA traces of poly(ethylene-furandicarboxylates) are shown in Fig. 2 (TGA curves for the other polymers are shown in the ESI†). Fig. 2 also includes the TGA traces of the two PET reference samples. The higher thermal stability of the commercial sample is probably due to the higher M_n and the presence of stabilisers. From Fig. 2 it is clear that the PEF series is intrinsically less thermally stable at temperatures in excess of 350 °C. Nevertheless, all polyfuranicarboxylates are stable up to 300 °C, which still allows for a very broad processing window for these materials.

Whereas TGA analysis showed no dramatic differences between the FDCA polyesters, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) revealed the remarkable effects of the position of the carboxylic groups in the FDA isomers (Table 2). In the PEF series the T_g of 2,4-PEF (Table 2, entry 2) is only 6 °C lower
Fig. 1 The representative $^1$H-NMR spectra of precipitated (a) 2,5-PEF, (b) 2,4-PEF and (c) 3,4-PEF in CDCl$_3$ and TFA-d (6 : 1). The asterisk indicates end groups.

### Table 2 Thermal properties of the FDCA polyesters obtained by TGA and DSC analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>TGA</th>
<th>DSC</th>
<th>Second heating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>DSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{5%}$</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$T_g$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^b$ (°C)</td>
<td>$^c$ (°C)</td>
<td>$^e$ (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene furandicarboxylate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,5-PEF</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,4-PEF</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,4-PEF</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-1,3-propylene furandicarboxylate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,5-PPF</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,4-PPF</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3,4-PPF</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-1,4-butylene furandicarboxylate</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2,5-P14BF</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,4-P14BF</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3,4-P14BF</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-2,3-butylene furandicarboxylate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,5-P23BF</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,4-P23BF</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3,4-P23BF</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene terephthalate</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>PET$^i$</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>PET$^j$</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ TGA was performed on crude materials recorded from 30 to 600 °C at 10 °C min$^{-1}$ under a N$_2$ atmosphere. $^b$ Temperature at 5% weight loss. $^c$ Temperature at maximum rate of decomposition. $^d$ DSC was performed from $-60$ °C to 230 °C with two heating and cooling runs at 10 °C min$^{-1}$. $^e$ Glass-transition temperature. $^f$ Melting temperature. $^g$ Melting temperature was determined after annealing. $^h$ No melting endotherm was observed up to the maximum temperature (230 °C). $^i$ As-synthesised PET. $^j$ Commercial bottle-grade PET.
than that of 2,5-PEF, while the \( T_g \) of 3,4-PEF is significantly lower (Table 2, entry 3). In the PPF series a similar trend can be observed. Again the difference in \( T_g \) between 2,5-PPF and the 2,4 isomer is small (5 °C). For 3,4-PPF the \( T_g \) is decreased significantly to \(-6 °C\) (Table 2, entry 6). Nevertheless, the observed drop in \( T_g \) (approx. 40 °C) is only slightly larger compared to the ones observed for the 2,5- and 2,4-isomers (approx. 30 °C). The 1,4-BDO series again displays a comparable trend; while the \( T_g \) decreases further, the difference between the 2,5- and 2,4-PBFs remains small, while no further decrease for the 3,4-isomer is observed. The observed values for 2,5-P14BF and 3,4-P14BF are in good agreement with those reported previously.\(^5,14,39\)

All polyesters based on 2,3-BDO were, as expected, fully amorphous. 2,3-BDO is known to increase the \( T_g \) due to hindered rotation about the C2–C3 axis (compared to EG). The \( T_g \) value of 87 °C (Table 2, entry 10) found for the 2,5-P23BF corresponds to the one reported recently by Gubbels et al.\(^16\) Again, the \( T_g \) values of the 2,5 and 2,4 isomers are within a 5 °C range, while the 3,4-isomer shows a considerable increase in \( T_g \). As the \( M_n\)s of the 2,3-BDO series are significantly lower compared to EG series, the \( T_g \) increasing effect of 2,3-BDO is quite dramatic.

The effect of the position of the carboxylic acid groups on the \( T_g \) of the polyesters is graphically represented in Fig. 3, which makes it even more clear that the 2,4-analogue is highly comparable to the 2,5-isomer. With regard to melting transitions the position of the carboxylic acid groups in FDCA has a dramatic impact. The observed melting points for the 2,5-FDCA based polyesters are all in good agreement with those reported in the literature.\(^5,14,39\) Whereas 2,5-PEF already has a substantially lower \( T_m \) than PET (209 °C vs. 245 °C), no melting point was observed in the case of 2,4-PEF even after annealing at 90 °C for 5 h. In contrast, for 3,4-PEF a melting point of 155 °C was recorded after annealing at 100 °C for 5 h. The surprising absence of melting point of 2,4-PEF is in sharp contrast to its high \( T_g \) of 73 °C. The \( T_g/T_m \) ratio (in K) of 2,5- and 3,4-PEF are 0.72 (in line with those reported for other semi-aromatic EG polyesters).\(^40\) In the PPF series the \( T_m \) of the 2,4-FDCA polyester is approx. 90 °C lower than that of the 2,5-analogue. Again, only after annealing a clear melting endotherm could be observed. Despite several attempts, no melting transitions could be recorded for the 3,4-PPF. In contrast, all polyesters based on P14BF are semi-crystalline. The 2,4-FDCA based polyester showed multimodal melting behavior after annealing at 60 °C for 5 h, with the lowest melting point again approx. 90 °C lower than for the 2,5-analogue. Comparable multimodal melting behavior was observed for 3,4-P14BF after annealing at 40 °C for 5 h.

Fig. 4(a and b) shows the DSC heating and cooling curves of all three PEF isomers. The absence of cold crystallization in the case of 2,4- and 3,4-PEF (as compared to the 2,5-analogue) further indicates that these polymers have very low rates of crystallization.

Fig. 4(a and b) shows the DSC heating and cooling curves of all three PEF isomers. The absence of cold crystallization in the case of 2,4- and 3,4-PEF (as compared to the 2,5-analogue) further indicates that these polymers have very low rates of crystallization.

In order to find an explanation for the observed differences between the FDCA polyesters, we compared the structural characteristics of the FDCA isomers in relation to their phthalic acid analogues, as well as the thermal properties of the ensuing EG polyesters in Table 3. The data are arranged in descending order with respect to the interatomic distance (D) between the carboxylic acid groups in the respective diacids.

With regard to symmetry, 2,4-FDCA is the least symmetrical diacid in the series under investigation. Comparison of the D and the projected angle between the C1–C2 bond and the C5–C6 bond (\( \alpha \)) leads to the conclusion that contrary to common opinion in the literature the 2,5-FDCA isomer is not similar to TA, but rather to IPA. While the 2,4-isomer is closer to TA, the 3,4-isomer has characteristics comparable to PA (Fig. 5). A plot of D vs. \( \alpha \), as expected, shows a nearly linear relationship (Fig. 6a). In contrast, no unambiguous correlation can be found between \( \alpha \) and \( T_g \) or \( T_m \) of the EG polyesters (Fig. 6b). Nevertheless, the 2,4-isomer clearly induces anomalous effects in the crystallinity. The main difference between 2,4-FDCA and the other diacids is the lower symmetry of the former. Other factors that could influence crystallinity are e.g. dipole moments and \( \pi \)-stacking. Further research is required here, but falls outside of the scope of the present study.
Fig. 7 shows the wide angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) patterns of the isomeric PEFs which were used to calculate the degree of crystallinity of these polymers after precipitation from methanol (see also Table 4). The 2,5-isomer showed only a surprisingly low degree of crystallinity of 14% (after subtraction of the amorphous halo, see ESI†). Dividing the melting enthalpy of the first DSC heating run (corrected for cold crystallization) by the degree of crystallinity of the sample allows for estimating the heat of fusion of the 100% crystalline material. When this is done for the precipitated 2,5-PEF an unrealistically high value of 314 J g⁻¹ is obtained (compared to reference values for e.g. PET and PBT, Table 4). This prompted us to anneal the sample (for 15 h at 175 °C), resulting in a significantly higher degree of crystallinity of 56%, and a heat of fusion of 109 J g⁻¹ (DSC traces for annealed 2,5-PEF are shown in the ESI†). In the case of 2,4-PEF, no melting was observed in the DSC of the precipitated material, which was supported by the absence of crystalline reflections in the WAXD diffractogram (Fig. 7). Attempts to induce crystallinity in 2,4-PEF by annealing for up to 5.0 h at 90 °C were unsuccessful. In contrast, precipitated 3,4-PEF proved to be highly crystalline from the WAXD analysis (55%), giving an estimated 116 J g⁻¹ for the heat of fusion at 100% crystallinity.

Systematic comparison with literature values of analogous phthalate based polyesters is hampered by the surprisingly scarce data on these polymers. Nevertheless, the estimated heat of fusion of 2,5-PEF and 3,4-PEF are comparable to those reported for PET and PBT. Unfortunately, since poly(ethylene phthalate) or PEP is reported to be amorphous,¹⁵ no comparison can be made with this structural analogue. While no literature value is known for the heat of fusion of poly(ethylene isophthalate) (PEI), reported values for poly(butylene isophthalate) or PBI differ strongly, ranging from 115 to 192 J g⁻¹,⁴²,⁴³ which makes it nearly impossible to compare.

Conclusions

We have successfully prepared and characterised a broad set of furandicarboxylic acid based polyesters by melt polycondensation, yielding medium to high molecular weight polymers. Three different FDCA isomers, products obtained by Henkel-type disproportionation of agro-residue based furoic acid, have been investigated. Contrary to expectations, the position of the carboxylic acid groups on the furan ring showed no clear correlation with regard to reactivity (yield, M_n) or selectivity (PDI, colour). TGA analysis showed that all FDCA polyesters had sufficient thermal stability, especially in relation to their respective melting points. Thermal analysis by DSC however revealed dramatic effects of the isomeric substitution. Whereas the T_g s of the 2,4-FDCA polyesters are very similar to
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Fig. 5 Atom labelling scheme for FDCAs and phthalates.
those based on the 2,5-isomer or even TA, no melting point of the former was observed. Furthermore, DSC analysis indicates a very low rate of crystallisation, which is apparent from the need for extensive annealing in order to induce crystallinity. Preliminary attempts to identify the parameters responsible for the observed differences in polymer properties by means of a structure–property analysis did not reveal any clear correlations. WAXD analysis confirmed the semi-crystalline nature of the 2,5- and 3,4-PEF isomers, and allowed us to estimate their respective heat of fusion for the first time. Overall we have shown that 2,4- and 3,4-FDCA polyesters can be made in sufficient mass by industrially applicable methods, and that these materials have (commercially) interesting, and sometimes outrightly intriguing properties. More in-depth analysis of these new materials, as well as application in specific product areas is part of ongoing investigations.

**Experimental section**

**Materials**

The synthesis of dimethyl furan-2,5-dicarboxylate and furan-2,4-dicarboxylate was described in recent publications.\(^5\)\(^9\)

Dimethyl furan-3,4-dicarboxylate (98%, Sigma-Aldrich), ethylene glycol (anhydrous, 99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich), 1,3-propane diol (≥99.6%, Sigma-Aldrich), 1,4-butane diol (≥99%, Sigma-Aldrich), 2,3-butane diol (≥99%, Sigma-Aldrich), titanium(IV) isopropoxide (≥97%, Sigma-Aldrich), toluene (anhydrous, 99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich), o-xylene (anhydrous, 97%, Sigma-Aldrich), trifluoroacetic acid (99%, Sigma-Aldrich), chloroform (Merck, p.a.), Methanol (Merck, p.a.) and chloroform-d (99.8 atom% D, Sigma-Aldrich). All the chemicals were used as received, unless denoted otherwise.

**Methods**

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were obtained on a Varian Scimitar 1000 FT-IR spectrometer equipped with a Pike MiRacle ATR Diamond/ZnSe single reflection plate and a DTSG-detector. The measurement resolution was set at 4 cm\(^{-1}\), and the spectra were collected in the range 4000–650 cm\(^{-1}\) with 32 co-added scans. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer operating at 400.17 MHz (\(^1\)H) and 100.62 MHz (\(^13\)C). Differential Scanning...
Calorimetry (DSC) measurements were conducted on a Perkin Elmer Diamond series DSC. The temperature range used was −60 °C up to 230 °C at a heating rate and cooling rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\). The thermal stabilities of the polyesters were determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) with a STA 6000 (Simultaneous Thermal Analyzer) from PerkinElmer Instrument. The samples were heated from 30 to 600 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) under a nitrogen flow of 40 mL min\(^{-1}\). The absolute molecular weights of the polyesters were determined by Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) on a Viscotek HP-SEC system, VE-2001 GPC max (pump and auto sampler) equipped with TDA305 Triple Detector Array (Right Angle Light Scattering (RLS) + Low Angle Light Scattering (LALS), Refractive Index (RI) Detector and Viscometer). 2X GPC column PSS PFG analytical linear M and guard column, molecular range \(\sim 250-2.5 \times 10^6\) D (PMMA in HFIP). Data were calculated with OmniSEC™, Version 4.6 software. Hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) containing 0.02 M potassium trifluoroacetate was used as an eluent with a flow-rate of 0.7 mL min\(^{-1}\). Control measurements were performed with Easy vial PMMA standards from Agilent. Wide angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) powder diffractograms were recorded on a Philips PC-APD diffractometer in the reflection geometry in the angular range 4–40° (2θ), with a step size of 0.02° (2θ) and an acquisition time of 1.0 s per step. The Cu Kα1 radiation from the anode, generated at 40 kV and 30 mA, was monochromatized using a 15 μm Ni foil (\(\lambda = 0.1542\) nm). The diffractometer was equipped with a 1° divergence slit, a 0.2 mm receiving slit, and a 1° scatter slit.

### General polycondensation procedure for FDCAs analogues

In a typical experiment, polycondensations were conducted in 100 mL three-neck round-bottom flasks equipped with a mechanical overhead stirrer, nitrogen inlet and water-condenser. Furandicarboxylic acid (1.5 g, 8.14 mmol) and diol (16.2 mmol) were charged into the reaction flask. The set-up was placed under vacuum and purged with nitrogen gas, and this cycle was repeated three times. The polycondensation method involves two stages. During the first stage, the reaction was carried out under nitrogen gas to form oligomers. The reaction mixture was heated in the silicone-oil bath at 115 °C for 15 min with constant stirring. After observing the complete reaction mixture was heated in the silicone-oil bath at 115 °C, was carried out under nitrogen gas to form oligomers. The method involves two stages. During the first stage, the reaction was carried out under nitrogen gas to form oligomers. The polycondensation procedure for PET synthesis

In a typical experiment, polycondensations were conducted in 100 mL three-neck round-bottom flasks equipped with a mechanical overhead stirrer, nitrogen inlet and water-condenser. DMT (10.6 g, 54.6 mmol) and diol (4.5 g, 72.5 mmol) were charged into the reaction flask. The set-up was placed under vacuum and purged with nitrogen gas, and this cycle was repeated three times. The polycondensation method involves two stages. During the first stage, the reaction was carried out under nitrogen gas to form oligomers. The reaction mixture was heated in a salt bath (a mixture of KNO\(_3\) (53 wt%), NaNO\(_2\) (40 wt%), and NaNO\(_3\) (7 wt%)) at 165 °C for 15 min with constant stirring. After observing the complete melt of the mixture, the catalyst Ti(OiPr)\(_3\) (0.02 mol%) in 2 mL of o-xylene was added into the flask under the continuous flow of nitrogen gas. The temperature was now increased to 165 °C and stirred for 12 h, and finally to 265 °C for 4 h to complete the first stage of the pre-polymerization reaction. The methanol and o-xylene were collected in the cooling flask. During the second stage of the polycondensation to obtain high molecular weight polyesters, a high vacuum of 0.1 mbar was applied gradually to the polycondensation set-up at 265 °C for 3 h. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The polymer was purified by dissolving in 10 mL of chloroform–TFA mixture (6:1) and precipitated in 100 mL of methanol, filtered and dried in vacuo at 40 °C for 12 h to yield a white powder.

### Poly(ethylene-2,5-furandicarboxylate) (2,5-PEF)

FT-IR (neat): \(\nu = 3126, 2966, 1722, 1582, 1269, 1021, 969, 829, 763 \text{ cm}^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \(\delta = 7.3\) (s, 2H), 4.7 (s, 4H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \(\delta = 159.5, 146.1, 121.1, 63.7\) ppm.

### Poly(ethylene-2,4-furandicarboxylate) (2,4-PEF)

FT-IR (neat): \(\nu = 3142, 2960, 1726, 1591, 1264, 1080, 978, 868, 760 \text{ cm}^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \(\delta = 8.1\) (s, 1H), 7.6 (s, 1H), 4.7 (d, 4H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \(\delta = 163.8, 160.0, 152.7, 144.8, 120.4, 118.8, 63.9, 63.6\) ppm.

### Poly(ethylene-3,4-furandicarboxylate) (3,4-PEF)

FT-IR (neat): \(\nu = 3144, 2948, 1726, 1545, 1268, 1064, 878, 835, 761 \text{ cm}^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \(\delta = 8.1\) (s, 2H), 4.6 (s, 4H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \(\delta = 163.0, 150.8, 116.7, 63.2\) ppm.

### Poly(propylene-2,5-furandicarboxylate) (2,5-PFF)

FT-IR (neat): \(\nu = 3121, 2950, 1723, 1581, 1272, 1035, 967, 828, 765 \text{ cm}^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \(\delta = 7.5\) (s, 2H), 4.7 (t, 4H), 2.4 (q, 2H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \(\delta = 154.6, 141.0, 114.6, 57.8, 22.0\) ppm.
Green Chemistry

Poly(propylene-2,4-furandicarboxylate) (2,4-PPF)
FT-IR (neat): \( \nu = 3143, 2958, 1718, 1590, 1260, 1076, 978, 827, 760 \) cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \( \delta = 8.2 \) (s, 1H), 7.5 (s, 1H), 4.5 (m, 4H), 2.2 (m, 2H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) + CF\(_3\)COOD): \( \delta = 163.7, 159.8, 151.9, 144.7, 120.4, 118.4, 62.9, 62.4, 27.4 \) ppm.
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