New avenues in the directed deprotometallation of aromatics: recent advances in directed cupration
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Recent advances in the selective deprotometallation of aromatic reagents using alkali metal cuprates are reported. The ability of these synergic bases to effect deprotonation under the influence of a directing group is explored in the context of achieving new and more efficient organic transformations whilst encouraging greater ancillary group tolerance by the base. Developments in our understanding of the structural chemistry of alkali metal cuprates are reported, with both Gilman cuprates of the type $\text{R}_2\text{CuLi}$ and Lipshutz and related cuprates of the type $\text{R}_2\text{Cu(X)Li}_2$ ($X =$ inorganic anion) elucidated and rationalized in terms of ligand steric. The generation of new types of cuprate motif are introduced through the development of adducts between different classes of cuprate. The use of DFT methods to interrogate the mechanistic pathways towards deprotonative metatation is described. Theoretical modelling of in situ rearrangements undergone by the cuprate base are discussed, with a view to understanding the relationship between $\text{R}_2\text{CuLi}$ and $\text{R}_2\text{Cu(X)Li}_2$, their interconversion and the implications of this for cuprate reactivity. The advent of a new class of adduct between different cuprate types is developed and interpreted in terms of the options for expelling LiX from $\text{R}_2\text{Cu(X)Li}_2$. Applications in the field of medicinal chemistry and (hetero)arene derivatization are explored.

1. General introduction

Deprotolithiation has proved to be an enormously powerful method by which to effect the regioselective functionalization of aromatics.1 Historically, bases such as organolithiums and lithium dialkylamides have typically been employed for this purpose. However, either because they are highly polar or

because they generate polar aryllithiums, their use for the functionalization of sensitive substrates (e.g. bearing functional groups that are prone to nucleophilic attack, rearrangement or elimination) requires strictly controlled conditions. In addition, organolithium compounds react with electrophiles, such as aryl chlorides, through double addition, limiting synthetic possibilities by rendering the direct synthesis of ketones unlikely.

Recently, the use of organic bases containing two metals has come to the fore. These so-called synergic reagents exhibit behaviour that is different from that of the corresponding monometal bases. Whilst the field is a challenging one, since its inception in 1999 with the development of the putative lithium zinate $\text{Bu}^\prime_2\text{Zn(TMP)Li}$ [$\text{TMP} = 2,2,6,6$-tetramethylpiperidine], a variety of complexes of the type ($\text{R}_2\text{Cu(X)Li}_2$) ($X =$ alkali metal, $M =$ lower polarity metal; $R =$ alkyl, amido, chloro, etc.), and in particular those containing the very hindered TMP ligand, have been reported to act as efficient and
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selective superbases. These complexes—which now feature Zn, Al, Cu, Mn and Mg as the lower polarity metal—also exhibit anionic activation and reduced aggregation when suitable reaction media are employed. Recently, more elaborate versions of these complexes have appeared; these include salt-activated organometallic compounds (e.g. “turbo”-Grignard reagents), and synergic pairs of metal amides that complement each other in deprotonation reactions.

The present Perspective depicts the behaviour towards aromatic lithium cuprates specifically, and the development of the concept of Directed ortho Cupration (DoC) that was first reported in 2007. Though they were first prepared in the 1950s, evidence for the actual nature of lithium cuprates has been slow to be forthcoming and it is only recently that solid-state structural data has appeared for examples of these complexes designed to behave as deprotonating agents. The present article is divided into five main parts. After this introductory discussion, cuprate synthesis and structural characterisation are detailed in the second part. Understanding of the structural principles at work in lithium cuprates is important in order to fully appreciate differences in their reactivities. As is discussed below, there has been much debate into whether such differences in reactivity can be explained in terms of reagent structure. Recently, however, very interesting work has gone a long way to explaining the contrasting activities of cuprates (e.g. 7 and 12, see below) that are now known to be structurally analogous.7

While this structural discussion, the scope and applications of aromatic directed cupration are presented. Discussion then shifts to the theoretical interrogation of these systems with a view to understanding their mechanistic chemistry. Finally, the concluding section seeks to draw together structural evidence and theoretical work with a view to rationalising the reported reactions and identifying future directions.

2. Advances in the solid-state structural chemistry of alkali metal amidocuprates

2.1. Lipshutz cuprates: the solid-state evidence

The most investigated method by which organocopper compounds can provide reactive organic anions is via organocopper cuprates. First reported in 1952, Gilman noted that the addition of 1 equiv. MeLi to CuI resulted in the formation of a highly reactive and ether-insoluble yellow product thought to be (MeCu)2. This dissolved upon addition of further MeLi to give (empirically) the lithium cuprate Me2CuLi. In 1981, the replacement of CuI with CuCN to give more reactive cuprates for halide substitution reactions was proposed. At this time it was argued that the cyanide anion σ-bonded to Cu, though while some NMR studies were interpreted in terms of the presence of such a motif in solution, solid-state evidence for the exact nature of so-called ‘Lipshutz cuprates’ remained elusive.

Initial crystallographic evidence for the structures of lithium cuprates appeared only in the early 1980s, with publication of the structures of [Cu2Ph2][Li4THF]2 and [Li2(CuMe2)4][Li4Cl2·10Et2O]3.12,13 Shortly thereafter, van Koten revealed the solid-state structure of the dimer of (C6H4{CH2NMe2}-2)2CuLi. This structure, in which an 8-membered metallocycle was supported by amine chelation of the alkali metal centres agreed well with that previously proposed based on a combination of multinuclear and variable-temperature NMR spectroscopic studies. This period also saw the publication of evidence suggestive of the true nature of Lipshutz cuprates in particular. Attempts to fabricate lithium cuprates from CuI and (halide-free) organolithiums in the presence of a crown ether yielded both [CuMe2]- and [CuPh2]- ions.16 These linear cuprate ions have been defined as so-called ‘lower-order’, with the possibility of ‘higher-order’ cuprate formation, in which copper is 3-coordinate, having been previously invoked to explain the enhanced reactivity of Lipshutz species.10,17 By 1990 the lack of data supporting such higher-order cuprates prompted the publication of NMR spectroscopic studies on mixtures of the bis(organyl)cuprates R2CuLi with LiX (R = Me, Ph; X = I, CN). The identical nature of the resulting data was argued to be consistent with lower-order structures in solution.18 However, the controversy continued with the presentation of contrary spectroscopic evidence, with the chemical shifts of the Me2CuLi/LiI system changing upon the addition of LiCN and the postulated formation of a Cu-CN interaction.19 That said, alternative explanations, e.g. LiCN coordination to an R group, remained, and this ambiguity led to the theoretical investigation by Snyder of possible structural candidates (see section 4). This led to the conclusion that higher-order structures were less stable than their lower-order analogues.20 While in response to these finding it was
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Cu(2) further theoretical work suggested the former coordination mode to be more likely than the latter. It is only since 1998 that unambiguous structural evidence for the behaviour of cyanide ligands in the presence of Cu(I) organometallics has appeared in the literature. Initial reports verified the solid-state structures of ion-separated [Bu’2Cu]−([μ-CN](Li·THF·PMDTA))2+ (PMDTA = N,N’,N”,N”-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine) and the polymer of closely related [(2-Me2NCH2CH2H)2Cu][μ-CN](Li·2THF)2+ (Fig. 1).21,24 In the first of these examples, the use of a strong Lewis base (PMDTA) has induced ion-separation by extruding Li+ from the cuprate moiety. Concordant with this and, as is described in section 4, based both on crystallographic and theoretical studies, the ability of the cuprate component of the structure to interact with an alkali metal is contingent not only on the Lewis basicity of the solvent but also on the nature of the Cu-bonded organyl groups. In the case of 5, the adoption of a Cu(μ-Bu)2Li motif is not strongly favoured by the choice of potential bridging group. Accordingly, therefore, separated and linear [Bu’2Cu]− and [μ-CN]Li2+ ions are noted. In contrast, the absence of PMDTA and the utilisation of bifunctional organyl groups in the cuprate ion instead lead to ion-association in 6 via the formation of N[Me2]−Li interactions.

The issue of cyanide incorporation by cuprates has only recently been revisited. This work first appeared in 2007, with attempts to fabricate and structurally elucidate the proposed Lipshutz cuprate afforded by treating TMPLi with 0.5 equiv. of CuCN (Scheme 1). The imperative for this investigation came from the first reports of Directed ortho Cupration (DoC) facilitated by the use of cuprate moieties that incorporate sterically demanding amide ligands.6 As noted in section 3.2, a reagent with the putative (Lipshutz) formulation (TMP)2Cu(CN)Li2+ was found to offer significant potential for aromatic homocoupling processes. X-ray diffraction on the isolated crystals established the structure as being a dimer based on monomers of (TMP)2Cu(CN)Li2+/THF 7 (Fig. 2). The core is based on a classical [NLi]2 cyclic architecture synonymous with the metallacycle formation of Snaith’s vertical25 and lateral26 ring aggregation principles. Peripheral to this core are two cuprate components, based on approximately linear N-Cu-N motifs. The metal-bridging potential of the amido ligands is clearly demonstrated. Crucially, in terms of understanding the structural properties of cyanide-containing cuprates, the ion-associated species formed does not contain a bonded CuCN component in the solid-state. Instead, it closely approximates to the most stable of the structures computed by Snyder in 1994.20 with the cyanide group bridging between alkali metal ions. The manner in which it does this is different for the various metals in the aggregated structure, with each cyanide interacting with three counterions.
different alkali metal centres; spanning two metal ions in near linear σ-fashion and exhibiting an offset side-on bond to the third.

2.2. Extending the principle: Lipshutz-type structures

The structural motif revealed by 7 turns out to have a great deal in common with the 6-membered metallocyclic lithiocuprate (Ph₂N)₂Cu(NPh₂)Li₂·2OEt₂ 8. This species revealed a solid-state monomer comprising a near linear geometry at Cu and both Cu–(μ-N)–Li and Li–(μ-N)–Li bridges (Fig. 3). Taken together, the observation of 7 and 8 suggests that there is nothing unique in the inter-lithium bridging activity of cyanide in the former complex. This idea led us to propose the creation of a series of what might be called ‘Lipshutz-type’ cuprates. These have the formulation R₂Cu(X)Li₂, such that for 7 X = CN. This idea has been tested by the replacement of CuCN with CuHal (Hal = Cl, Br, I) in essentially the same synthetic sequence as that which yielded 7 (Scheme 2). In the first instance, CuCl was investigated (our interest in this deriving from its ability to promote the deprotonative metallation of 2-chloropyridine as a precursor to benzoylation, see section 3.3).

Initial work towards the isolation and characterisation of halide-containing reagent mixtures focused on the deployment of TMPLi and CuCl, with data quickly establishing that solvent conditions capable of promoting the retention of in situ-formed LiCl in solution (where it is capable of combining with putative R₂CuLi) promoted cuprate reactivity. Importantly, attempts to replicate organic transformations using pre-

Andrew Wheatley obtained his BSc from the University of Kent at Canterbury in 1995. He then worked at Cambridge under the guidance of Dr Ron Snaith, receiving his PhD in 1999 and an MA (Cantab) in 2002. After his PhD studies he undertook a Research Fellowship at Gonville & Caius College before becoming a University Lecturer at Cambridge (2000) and a Fellow of Fitzwilliam College. He has received the Harrison Memorial Medal from the RSC and more recently he has been a Visiting Associate Professor at Tohoku University, Japan. He is now a University Senior Lecturer at Cambridge.
formed (TMP)$_2$CuLi (section 3) produced distinctly inferior reactivity. Overall, therefore, it could be posited that the optimal reagent adhered to the putative formulation (TMP)$_2$Cu(Cl)Li$_2$. Corroboration came from subsequent studies conducted on crystalline material isolated from reaction of TMPLi with CuCl in THF. The resulting structure, of (TMP)$_2$Cu(Cl)Li$_2$·THF 9 (Fig. 4), revealed a solid-state dimer based on a (CLi)$_2$ core. Superficially, the structure is highly analogous to that revealed by both 7 (albeit the Cu-containing rings are now 6- rather than 7-membered) and 8 (albeit the structure is dimeric). As with these structures, 9 incorporates lower-order, near-linear Cu centres.

Comparative research on the synthesis of diaryl ketones using lithiocuprates prepared with LiCl and LiBr has been reported and is discussed in section 3.3. As with chloride-based 9, the dimer of (TMP)$_2$Cu(Br)Li$_2$·THF 10 proved straightforward to isolate and characterise. The dimeric structure of this species contrasted with that noted previously for monomeric (C$_6$H$_4$(CH$_2$NMeCH$_2$CH$_2$NMe$_2$)$_2$)$_2$Cu(Br)Li$_2$ 11 in which aggregation was inhibited by internal coordination of the alkali metals (Fig. 5). Finally, the iodide analogue of 9 and 10, (TMP)$_2$Cu(I)Li$_2$·THF 12, was also prepared (Fig. 6).

The Cu-containing metallocycles in 7 are 7-membered and show near perpendicular geometry at the bridging nitrogen centres, there being only a slight asymmetry here (N1/2–Li2/1 1.995(4)/1.982(5) Å; Cu1–N1/2–Li2/1 91.13(13)/94.92(14)°). Although N–Li–Li interactions reveal a final point about the cuprate metallocycles in 12. At 1.965 Å (mean) these are shorter than those in 7 (mean 1.989 Å), consistent with the preference of hard Li$^+$ for hard nitrogen over soft iodide.

In a similar vein to the synthesis of THF-solvates 7, 9, 10 and 12, the extension in Li–X bonding interactions as Group 17 is descended is noted also for the employment of OEt$_3$ as Lewis base. The resulting lithiocuprates 13–16 (for X = CN, Cl, Br and I, respectively; Fig. 7) have all been found to be essentially analogous to their THF-solvated counterparts. Poor quality data prevents detailed discussion of 13. However, analysis of the bonding in 14–16 reveals that, in comparison to the THF-solvates discussed above, their 6-membered rings show a higher level of symmetry, in spite of the clearly different alkali metal environments therein.
2.3. Gilman reagents

Of course, as noted above, the first lithium cuprates to be prepared were not Lipshutz or Lipshutz-type but rather were considered to have the formulation $R_2CuLi$ (e.g. $R = Me$). These have come to be known as Gilman reagents. In the context of deprotonative cupration, we describe elsewhere in this article (section 3.2) synthetic transformations done in hydrocarbon media that drive the removal of lithium salt from the reaction and which, therefore, promote Gilman cuprate activity. Specifically, lithium cuprates of the type $R_mCu(NR_2)_nLi$ ($m = 1$, $n = 1$; $m = 0$, $n = 2$) are relevant to the organic transformations described here and these have not been thoroughly studied historically. The structural possibilities for these systems have only begun to be elucidated in the last few years and this has led the field to be the subject of review.33

Concerning lithium (TMP)cuprates, the treatment of TMPLi with CuCN and various CuX ($X =$ halide) reagents in THF has been described above as yielding 7 and 9, 10 and 12 (and their OEt$_2$-solvated analogues 13–16). Bis(amido)cuprate formulations such as these have been shown (see section 3.2) to affect DoC with the TMP ligand acting as a base, enabling homocoupling to give 2,2-biaryls. However, the solution structures of these systems are still not fully established. This study involves not only the elucidation of aggregation state but also understanding of the affinity of (TMP)$_2CuLi$ for LiX; that is, the question of whether a Lipshutz-type cuprate may interconvert with a Gilman cuprate through the inclusion/exclusion of LiX. As the discussion of recent theoretical studies in section 4 makes clear, this question is one of enormous importance in understanding DoC reactivity. By way of an example, whereas the previous sections of this structural discussion focus on Lipshutz cuprates [(TMP)$_2Cu$(CN)Li$_2$S 7 and 13 ($S =$ THF, OEt$_2$) and also Lipshutz-type reagents (TMP)$_2Cu(X)Li$_2$S 9, 10, 12 and 14–16 ($X =$ halide; $S =$ THF, OEt$_2$), a bis(TMP) Gilman cuprate has been prepared using remarkably similar chemistry. Hence, the reaction of TMPLi with CuI in the presence of THF (in the ratio 2 : 1 : 1) has given (TMP)$_2Cu(I)Li_2$:THF 12 (see above). In contrast, similar reaction in the absence of THF represents a strategy designed to allow the precipitation and removal of lithium halide. This has borne fruit as discussed in the organic synthesis section of this review, where the efficiency with which deprotonative transformations have been achieved was found to correlate with whether or not lithium salt was abstracted from the system. In the present case, LiI precipitation facilitated the isolation of Gilman bis(amido)cuprate (TMP)$_2CuLi$ 17. Crystallography reveals a cyclic dimer in the solid-state (Fig. 8 and Scheme 3). In spite of the known ability of organolithium and organocopper species to exchange (see below) the metal sites in the dimer of 17 revealed hardly any substitutional disorder and the structure compares closely with the 8-membered metallocyclic dimer of MesNHCu(PhNH)Li·DME 18 (DME = 1,2-dimethoxyethane; Fig. 9). The isolation of 17 proved useful because it provided pure Gilman bis(TMP)cuprate for comparative tests of Lipshutz-type and Gilman cuprates in organic synthesis (section 3.3).

As noted above, the article in which DoC was first presented discussed the synthesis of homocoupled products.5 However,
it was also suggested that the synthesis of heterocoupled products could be achieved by using the putative cuprates R(TMP)-Cu(CN)Li₂ (R = alkyl, aryl). To investigate, CuCN was treated with RLi and TMPLi. For R = Ph crystallography now revealed the formation of monomeric PhCu(TMP)Li·3THF 19 (Scheme 4 and Fig. 10). In a similar vein, the ability of putative cuprate Me(TMP)Cu(CN)Li₂ to achieve products in which aryl substrates were methylated led to investigation of the MeLi–CuCN–TMPLi mixture. With crystallisation being aided by the introduction of TMEDA (N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethylenediamine) it proved possible to isolate cuprate MeCu(TMP)Li·TMEDA 20. The observation of a terminal Cu–Ph interaction in 19 is unusual. Whilst it is known from other types of organocopper compound,35 the only lithiocuprate examples are [Ph₂Cu][Li·2(12-crown-4)] 21 and [(Ph₂Cu)[Cu(2PPh₃)][(CN)Li]₂] 22, whilst the remaining reported terminal Cu–Ar bonds in this area all feature bulky Mes,36 C₆H₃-2,6-Mes2,38 C₆H₃-2,6-Trip2 (Trip = C₆H₂-2,4,6-Pri39 or ferrocenyl groups.40 Moving to the similarly underpopulated field of heteroleptic organyl(amido)cuprate structural chemistry,31 19 fails to demonstrate the aggregation behaviour of aryl(amido)cuprate MesCu[NBz₂]Li 23 (Bz = benzyl; Fig. 11),42 the head-to-tail dimeric structure of which confirmed theoretical expectations. Even in solution, the dimer showed negligible signs of deaggregation.43 However, it was clear that 23 represented the only compound isolable from a Schlenk equilibrium involving a variety of structural isomers in solution.42 Methylcuprate ions are even less well documented, having been noted only in [Me₂Cu][Li·nS] 24 (n = 2, S = 12-crown-4; n = 3, S = DME) 36,44 and the alkyl(phosphido)cuprate MeCu{P(Bu't)₂}–Li·3THF 26 (Fig. 12).45 The observation of a single N-atom bridge between metals in 19 and 20 is interesting in the wider context of synergic amido-reactents. Hitherto, these have frequently revealed metalloccyclic M(µ-R)(µ-N)Li cores, even after isolation from (and including within their structures) Lewis base media. Hence, metalloccycle formation has proved unambiguous in aluminates (where M is Group 13)36 and zinicates (where M is Group 12 and therefore stands comparison with Group 2).47 However, in recently observed manganates (where M is Group 7 and therefore stands comparison with Groups 2 and 12),48 closure of the ring via the formation of an agostic bridge49 to match the amido one was much more ambiguous (Scheme 5). Finally, in the present case (where M is Group 11
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Scheme 4  The formation of Gilman cuprates 19 (R = Ph, n = 3, S = THF) and 20 (R = Me, n = 1, S = TMEDA).

Fig. 10  Structures of 19 (left) and 20 (right) with H atoms and disorder omitted.

Fig. 11  The dimeric aggregate of MesCu(NBz₂)Li 23.

Fig. 12  Alkyl(phosphido)cuprate 26.
and stands comparison with Group 1) the essentially linear geometry at Cu$^{50}$ clearly prevents the formation of a metallocycle.

2.4. Amidocuprate adducts: the abstraction of lithium halide

Recent studies in the rapidly evolving field of so-called synergic base chemistry have focused on minimizing the costs of reagent preparations.$^{51}$ This has led to the deployment of DMPH (= cis-2,6-dimethylpiperidine) in place of TMPH. At time of writing, the relative costs of these two amines are £2.62 g$^{-1}$ (TMPH) and £0.20 g$^{-1}$ (DMPH), making the potential savings of developing DMP-ligated synergic bases significant.$^{52}$ The adoption of much cheaper amide ligands has gone hand-in-hand with the removal of some of the significant steric hindrance associated with TMP ligands, allowing fundamental changes to the orientation of the amide group and enabling the observation of new amidocuprate structure-types.$^{53}$

As noted above, the use of diethyl ether as a solvent enabled the synthesis and isolation of Lipshutz-type (TMP)$_2$Cu(Cl)Li$_2$·OEt$_2$$_{14}$. However, upon exchanging the amide component for DMP a dramatic effect was observed. Initially, the combination of DMPLi with CuCl was attempted in limited (2 equiv.) Et$_2$O. Reaction led to the isolation of crystalline material that analyzed as a triangulated structure based on a LiCl core and having the formulation [(DMP)$_4$CuM(Li·OEt$_2$)$_2$]·LiCl$_{27}$ where optimal refinement of multiple crystallographic datasets suggested a pattern of disorder consistent with M = 0.3:0.7 Cu:Li (Scheme 6).

The disorder at one of the metal sites complicates interpretation of the nature of 27 but is interesting because it is suggestive of the phenomenon of exchange between organocupper and organolithium species that has been directly observed spectroscopically in the past.$^{24}$ Overall, two views of 27 present themselves. For M = Li the complex could be viewed as a 1:2 adduct between Lipshutz-type (DMP)$_2$Cu(Cl)Li$_2$ and DMPLi. However, for M = Cu it can be argued that 27 represents an adduct between Gilman cuprate (DMP)$_2$CuLi·OEt$_2$ and Lipshutz-type cuprate (DMP)$_2$Cu(Cl)Li$_2$·OEt$_2$. To overcome this ambiguity and to remove the observed metal disorder, solvent mixtures were varied. Ultimately, the use of bulk Et$_2$O served to reinforce the interpretation of 27 as an adduct between two cuprates, with [(DMP)$_2$CuLi·OEt$_2$]$^2$.LiCl$^28$ being isolated (Scheme 6 and Fig. 13).

It proved possible to replicate the formation of 28 using CuBr (Scheme 7 and Fig. 14). A number of iterations were required to allow the isolation of [(DMP)$_2$CuLi·OEt$_2$]$^2$.LiBr$^29$. Hence, the reaction of Bu$^t$Li (1 eq. wrt amine) with DMPLi to give DMPLi was initially attempted in limited (2 equiv. Et$_2$O). However, this afforded [(DMP)$_2$Cu-Li·OEt$_2$]$^2$.Li[(DMP)$_2$CuLi·DMPH]$^3$.LiBr$^30$. This problem could be overcome, in two ways: the use of excess Bu$^t$Li (wrt amine) or the deployment of bulk Et$_2$O as solvent. Variation in solvent conditions has therefore been shown to have an effect on the production of adducts 28 and 29, with bulk Et$_2$O working best for X = Cl and either bulk or 2 equiv. Et$_2$O best for X = Br. Attempts to extend the new chemistry to X = I demonstrated a need to more stringently control the quantity of Et$_2$O, with the

Scheme 5 The cores of synergic bases containing Al, Zn, Mn and Cu. (a) and (b) metallocycle completion via short Li···C approaches of 2.258(4)$^{46b}$ and 2.374(3)$^{46d}$ Å, respectively; (c) the central ring fails to form, with Li···C relatively extended at 2.742(6) Å$^{47a}$; (d) Cu exhibits a near linear geometry, precluding agostic stabilisation of the alkali metal.

Scheme 6 Synthesis of 27 (M = 30:70 Cu:Li, n = 2 equiv. wrt Cu) and 28 (M = Cu, n = bulk).
of metal–nitrogen bonding as a function of halide hardness is also reflected in the Li2–N–Cu angles, which increase in response to the presence of a softer halide. Moreover, a persistent feature of these ether-solvated adducts is distinct asymmetry in both the N–Li bond lengths and bridging angles at nitrogen. This contrasts with the much more symmetrical rings in diethyl ether solvates 14–16 (see section 2.2) in spite of the observation of essentially the same Cu-containing 6-membered rings in which only one of the two Li+ ions is solvated by OEt2. For example, angles at either N in a 6-membered ring deviate by <3° in 14–16 whereas in adducts 28, 29 and 31 they differ by 4.2–6.6°.

The observation of a transition in structure-type from Lipshutz-type dimers to adducts is intriguing. It is also potentially important in terms of rationalising DoC. As is explained in sections 3 and 4 of this review, DoC requires reagents that are formally Lipshutz(-type) but which may generate active monomeric Gilman bases. This revelation – that generation of the active base takes place in situ – has prompted an examination of structure-types synonymous with the abstraction of LiX from Lipshutz-type dimers, and it is clear that adducts of the type now discovered can be viewed in these terms.

Since 14–16 and 28, 29 and 31 are all (mono)ether solvates it stands to reason that the choice of amide ligand must be having a significant effect on structure. This idea bears fruit if the steric constraints associated with TMP and DMP ligands are considered. In, for example, the ether-solvated Lipshutz-type chloride dimer of (TMP)2Cu(Cl)Li2·OEt2 14 it is clear that the use of 1 equiv. wrt Cu yielding [(DMP)2CuLi·OEt2]2LiI 31 (Scheme 8 and Fig. 15).

The metal disorder in 27 notwithstanding, the adduct structures all approximate to C2 symmetry about a core lithium halide axis. The metal–halide bonds in adducts 28, 29 and 31 extend as Group 17 is descended. Though this is unsurprising in itself, the extension proves not to be consistent. At 1.10, the ratio between Li2–X and the mean of Li1/3–X is greatest for X = I. For both the smaller halides it is 1.04, and this can be rationalised in terms of the relative preference of the alkali metal for interaction with hard and soft donors. The extension
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**Scheme 8** Synthesis of 31 (n = 1 equiv. wrt Cu).

**Scheme 7** Synthesis of 29 (n = bulk; L = OEt2) and 30 (n = 2 equiv. wrt Cu; L = [OEt2]0.45(DMPH)0.55).

**Fig. 15** Adduct [(DMP)2CuLi·OEt2]2LiI 31. H atoms and minor disorder omitted.

**Fig. 14** Adduct [(DMP)2CuLi·OEt2]2LiBr 29. H atoms omitted.

**Fig. 13** Adduct [(DMP)2CuLi·OEt2]2LiCl 28. H atoms and minor Et2O disorder omitted.
the two TMP ligands bonded to either Cu atom project away from one another so that the two 6-membered piperidine rings lie in a fashion best described as endo,endo relative the (CCLI)$_2$ aggregate core (Fig. 16, top). The need for this to happen is rationalized by considering the positions of the 8 methyl groups associated with this pair of ligands. Four of these methyl groups (blue in Fig. 16) exhibit equatorial positions relative to the piperidine rings while the remaining four are axially disposed. Clearly, the axial Me-groups (red in Fig. 16) would interact with each other in the event that the piperidine ligands lie in a fashion best described as exo,exo or face-on. Such an arrangement is, however, enabled by the replacement of TMP by DMP in 28. In this case the two DMP ligands bonded to a given Cu centre can now exhibit a face-on motif that is exo,exo with respect to the structure core (the LiCl vector in 28) without there being steric interaction between the methyl groups (Fig. 16, bottom).

The fact that, in the absence of steric interaction between methyl groups, the piperidine rings adopt a face-on configuration vexes the question: is this a preferred arrangement? This unfortunately cannot be answered by an analysis of the adduct structures reported here because the ability of the piperidine ligands to adopt a face-on orientation confers significant stability on the structure in terms of steric interactions not only within the monomeric building block but also between Lipshutz-type and Gilman components of the triangulated structure. As suggested by Fig. 17, the exo,exo orientation of the amide ligands allows steric congestion at the unsolvated Li$^+$ (Li$_2$ in Fig. 13–15) to be acceptable. An endo,endo structure would be precluded for obvious reasons while the inability to observe exo,exo TMP-based analogues of DMP-based adducts 28–31 may also be explicable on steric grounds.

The adducts so far prepared have demonstrated a significant tendency to retain their structures in hydrocarbon solution. This has best been evidenced by $^7$Li NMR spectroscopy. For the chloride adduct [(DMP)$_2$CuLi·OEt$_2$]$^2$LiCl 28 the bis(amide)-complexed alkali metal is seen at more positive chemical shift (δ 1.83 ppm) than the externally solvated metal ions, which resonate at δ 1.48 ppm, with the two peaks exhibiting a 1 : 2 ratio. Identical trends are observed for 29 and 31. The incompletely deprotonated complex [(DMP)$_2$Cu-Li·OEt$_2$]$_{1.45}$[(DMP)$_2$CuLi·DMPH]$_{0.55}$LiBr 30 presents a yet more interesting spectrum. The unique bis(amide)-complexed alkali metal ion is seen at δ 1.83 ppm, while equivalent integration signals are now also seen at δ 1.66 ppm and δ 1.48 ppm, and these are attributable to Li·DMPH and Li·OEt$_2$.

Lastly, recent work on the new class of cuprate adduct discussed here has sought to extend our understanding of the generality with which they form by varying the solvent. Reaction of DMPLi with CuBr followed by recrystallization in the presence of THF has afforded [(DMP)$_2$CuLi·2THF]$_2$LiBr 32 (Fig. 18 and Scheme 9). In so doing the ability of THF to furnish an adduct has been demonstrated along with the observation that much more extensive solvation of the alkali metals is possible in these structures.
3. Recent applications of lithium cuprates in organic synthesis

3.1. Gilman cuprates and structural interconversion

By employing a Gilman cuprate base, that is of the type $R_2CuLi$, Gais and co-workers noted in 2008 the attack of ($Z$)- and ($E$)-alkenyl sulfoximines at the $\alpha$-position and the 1,2-metallate rearrangement of the resulting copper derivatives prior to trapping. A pre-complex between the cuprate base and the sulfoximine group was proposed as a possible explanation for the reaction. However, with the exception of this study, other examples reported in the literature concerning deprotonation using cuprate bases have involved aromatic substrates. Moreover, it is noteworthy that these studies have focused on Lipshutz cuprates and, as defined in section 2 of this review, Lipshutz-type cuprates. This emphasis is borne of studies on the Gilman cuprates $RCu(TMPLi)nS$ ($R = Ph$, $n = 3$, $S = THF$ 19, $R = Me$, $n = 1$, $S = TMEDA$ 20) and their tendency to include or exclude LiCN. Crucially, the same work established that 20 was relatively inactive in DoC. Thus, $N,N$-diisopropylbenzamide was treated both with pre-isolated 20 and a solution containing 20 and LiCN, prior to electrophilic quenching with I$_2$. Results showed that iodination proceeded in both cases, but yielding only 37% 2-iodo-$N,N$-diisopropylbenzamide when employing pre-isolated 20. This improved to 89% using the solution containing 20 and LiCN. Clearly, these data pointed to the importance of the presence of a Lipshutz or Lipshutz-type formulation base in solution, albeit the relationship between Gilman cuprate and LiX ($X = CN$, halide) remained complex.

3.2. Lipshutz formulations: efficient DoC reagents

The ability of several lithium cuprate bases to deprotonate aromatic compounds was first evidenced in 2007 by Usui et al. The efficiency of the bases was evaluated by reacting benzonitrile with a variety of amidocuprates (formally 2 equiv. of cuprate monomer wrt benzonitrile, the cuprate being prepared in situ by the treatment of amidolithium, organolithium and copper cyanide in appropriate ratios) at 0 °C in THF. This was followed by iodolysis after stirring for 3 h at room temperature (in Table 1 the cuprates are expressed formally as monomers). Whereas amidocuprates prepared from Cul failed to give the 2-iodo derivative in satisfying yields (see next section), it was clear that cuprates of Lipshutz formulation promoted DoC of the aromatic in generally high yields (70–93%). In particular, the TMP ligand, one of the bulkiest amide ligands available, was identified as being crucial for both good reactivity and chemoselectivity.

The putative cuprate MeCu(TMP)(CN)Li$_2$, identified in Table 1 as a very efficient lithium cuprate base for the deprotonation-iodolysis of benzonitrile, was next employed in the attempted functionalization of a variety of other aromatic substrates (Table 2). In this way, the reaction was extended with success to the elaboration of $N,N$-diisopropylbenzamide, 3-cyananisole, 1,3-dimethoxybenzene and 1,3-dicyanobenzene. Notably, the presence of a bromo or iodo group on the benzene ring was tolerated provided that a lower reaction temperature was used (−78 °C, cf. 0 °C in Table 1). Lastly, five-membered aromatic heterocycles could be similarly regioselectively converted at 0 or −40 °C.

Table 1 Screenin of amidocuprates for benzonitrile-directed ortho cupration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Cuprate</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TMPCu(CN)Li</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[TMP]$\cdot$Cu(Ph)Li$_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MeCu(TMPLi)$_2$</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MeCu(NMe$_2$)(CN)Li$_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MeCu(NPr$_2$)(CN)Li$_2$</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[TMP]$\cdot$Cu(CN)Li$_2$</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MeCu(TMPLi)$_2$</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bu$^+$Cu(TMPLi)(CN)Li$_2$</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bu$^+$Cu<a href="CN">TMP</a>Li$_2$</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PhCu(TMP)[CN)Li$_2$</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* After purification.
Besides iodine, electrophiles such as D$_2$O, methyl iodide, allyl bromide, benzoyl chloride and chlorotrimethylsilane were all successfully used to efficiently intercept the arylcuprate intermediate generated by ortho deprotometallating N,N-diisopropylbenzamide. These electrophiles afforded the expected deuterated, methylated, allylated, benzoylated and silylated derivatives in yields ranging from 84 to 100% (Table 3). The introduction of a hydroxyl group was achieved in 56% yield by exposure of the cuprate to molecular oxygen at room temperature in the presence of CuCN (1 equiv.).

When the arylcuprates prepared from N,N-diisopropylbenzamide using different putative R Cu(TMP)(CN)Li$_2$ bases were treated with nitrobenzene, selective oxidative ligand coupling took place to furnish either the 2-methylated (R = Me) or the 2-phenylated (R = Ph) cross-coupled derivative, or even the homocoupled product (R = TMP) (Scheme 10).

### Table 2  DoC of aromatic substrates using MeCu(TMP)(CN)Li$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Temp.</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield$^a$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>![PhCNPr$_2$I]</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>![PhCNMeO]</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>![PhCNMeO]</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>![PhCN]</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−78°C</td>
<td>![BrPhCN]</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$After purification.

### Table 3  Electrophilic trapping of the arylcuprate generated from N,N-diisopropylbenzamide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Electrophile, conditions (E)</th>
<th>Yield$^a$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D$_2$O, rt, 0.5 h (D)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mel, rt, 16 h (Me)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AllylBr, rt, 16 h (Allyl)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PhCOCl, 80°C, 16 h (COPh)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TMSCl, 50°C, 16 h (TMS)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$After purification.

3.3  Replacing cyanide with halide: Lipshutz-type cuprates

Since 2009, Mongin and co-workers have extensively documented the use of a cuprate of (TMP)$_2$Cu(Cl)Li$_2$ formulation, which has been structurally characterised as both a THF$^{29}$ and a diethyl ether$^{53}$ solvate (dimers of 9 and 14, respectively). In synthetic studies, the chloride-containing cuprate was generated either from air-stable CuCl$_2$-TMEDA by reduction of Cu$^{11}$ to Cu$^0$ using butyllithium (1 equiv.) followed by the addition of TMPLi (2 equiv.)$^{56}$ or, more simply, from CuCl by the addition of TMPLi (2 equiv.)$^{57}$ In spite of the clear structural similarities between the chloride- (9/14) and iodide-containing...
(12/16) lithium cuprates, it is clear that the (TMP)_2Cu(Cl)Li_2 formulation base prepared in situ behaves significantly differently to the (TMP)_2Cu(I)Li_2 formulation base in these systems and, instead, acts rather more like the Lipshutz formulation cuprate (TMP)_2Cu(CN)Li_2 (see above) inasmuch as it is capable of deprotonating a large range of aromatic substrates. Similar results were achieved recently utilising the bromide-containing Lipshutz-type system (viz. 10 and 15 above) though with less impressive conversions than were typically attainable with the chloride. Taken together, these data are consistent with the view, expressed in the structural discussion above, that there is no fundamental change incurred to the cuprate on replacing X = CN (Lipshutz formulation) with X = halide (Lipshutz-type formulation) but rather, as the halide gets softer there is a general trend away from the reactivity patterns seen for the Lipshutz system. Overall, therefore, while structural evidence so far suggests the adherence of both Lipshutz and Lipshutz-type species to the general formula R_2Cu(X)Li_2, reactivity studies suggest that as the softness of X is increased it becomes reasonable to propose a gradual progression in solution to R_2CuLi·LiX.

Reaction conditions were optimized for the chloride-containing cuprate using 2,4-dimethoxypyridine as substrate. Using THF as solvent, the best yield (60%) was recorded by carrying out the reaction with the base (1 equiv.) for 2 h at room temperature before interception with benzoyl chloride. The reaction could be efficiently carried out in toluene containing TMEDA (5 equiv.), but to the detriment of the regioselectivity. Using diethyl ether instead of THF led to the expected ketone in an improved 99% yield (Scheme 11). Meanwhile, the use of mixed organo/amido lithiocuprates resulted in lower yields due to a reduced ability to effect deprotonation and the occurrence of competitive side reactions.

The cuprate generated regioselectively from 2,4-dimethoxypyrimidine could be converted into ketones using 2- and 4-chlorobenzoyl chlorides. The 5-methyl derivative was synthesized in a reasonable yield using methyl iodide whereas a low 19% yield was noted for the 5-allylated derivative (a result that could have been due to the presence of the nucleophilic diazine nitrogen) (Table 4). Using nitrobenzene as an oxidant resulted in formation of the corresponding 5,5'-bipyrimidine in 22% yield.

Reaction conditions for ketone formation were carefully optimized, taking account of both steps, using 2-methoxypyridine as substrate and benzoyl chloride to intercept the intermediate arylcuprate (Table 5). Under the conditions used above for reaction of 2,4-dimethoxypyrimidine, the expected 3-benzoylpyridine was isolated in 82% yield. By using 0.5 equiv. of cuprate base, the yield was reduced by half, while reducing or extending the deprotonation time was deleterious for the yield.

Interestingly, the temperature at which the quenching step was conducted in the deprotocupration–benzoylation of 2-methoxypyridine was found to have an impact on the course of
the reaction. Hence, when trapping was performed at 60 °C the yield decreased on account of the competitive degradation (as observed for extended deprotonation times at room temperature). In contrast, at 0 °C the reaction proved slower. In the case of 2-methoxypyridine, switching solvent medium from THF to diethyl ether or toluene containing TMEDA did not improve the yield. A good yield was restored by using diethyl ether containing dimethyl sulfide (5 equiv.), a cosolvent which has been proven to be useful in deprotonation reactions.

Besides arylic halides, other electrophiles were also evaluated for their room temperature-reactivity towards the pyridyl-cuprate intermediate (Table 6). Employing 2-phenylxirane led to both regioisomeric alcohols. Meanwhile, the use of diphenyl disulfide afforded the expected functionalized pyridine more efficiently. Reactions involving conjugate addition were only found to be possible using alkyl propionates, affording the products as (Z) and (E) mixtures in only moderate yields. By using iodine, the expected 3-iodo derivative was formed, but again in a moderate (28%) yield on account of competitive homocoupling taking place. 4-Chlorobenzensulfonyl chloride and, to a greater extent, dimethylsulfamoyl chloride and tetramethylthiuram behaved as oxidative agents, furnishing the 3,3′-dimer. From 2-fluoropyridine, the 3,3′-dimer formation was similarly noted using chlorotrimethylsilane at 50 °C (52% yield), chloranil at 60 °C (94%) and nitrobenzene at room temperature (84%).

### Table 6 Electrophilic trapping of the cuprate generated from 2-methoxypyridine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Electrophile (E)</th>
<th>Yield(s)* (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2-CIC₅H₄COCl (CO₂C₅H₄₂-Cl)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2-BrC₅H₄COCl (CO₂C₅H₄₂-Br)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cl₃CCl₃C₈H₄N₂⁺</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4-ClC₅H₄SO₂Cl (SO₂C₅H₄-4-Cl)</td>
<td>22 and 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhSPh (Ph)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PhC≡CCO₂Et [C(Ph)≡C]CO₂Et</td>
<td>39 (Z) and 10&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PhC≡CETCO₂Et [C(Ph)≡C]CO₂Et</td>
<td>26 (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MeC≡CMeCO₂Me [Me≡C]CO₂Me</td>
<td>30 (Z) and 17 (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I₂ [I]</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4-CIC₅H₄SO₂Cl (SO₂C₅H₄-4-Cl)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Me₂NSO₂Cl</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>[Me₂NC(S)S]</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*After purification. <sup>a</sup> Base prepared in the presence of TMEDA (1 equiv.). <sup>b</sup> Estimated yield.

That azines and diazines can be functionalized through deprotonative metallation at room temperature makes the method described above of interest. Indeed, such π-deficient heterocycles are sensitive to nucleophilic attacks on their C=N bond due to their low LUMO levels. As a consequence, traditional lithium bases, when they can be employed, in general require very low temperature reaction conditions. The optimized deprotoncupration–arylation conditions were thus applied to the synthesis of different azinic and diazinc ketones (Table 7).<sup>28−30,36,37</sup> Good results were observed using 2-substituted pyridine substrates (with the exception of 2-bromopyridine, from which elimination of metal halide to give 2,3-pyridine was suspected),<sup>60</sup> with TMEDA favouring the reaction and regioselectivities being analogous to those observed using TMPLi.<sup>59</sup> Except for 4-methoxypyridine and 3,5-dichloropyridine, the reaction required the presence of a substituent at the position adjacent to the ring nitrogen.

In the framework of recent studies, the behaviour of 1,4-dimethoxybenzene and five-membered aromatic heterocycles towards (TMP)_2Cu(Cl)Li₂ was also investigated (Table 8). Under the reaction conditions used for the functionalization of pyridines described above, 1,4-dimethoxybenzene was converted to its 2-allylated derivative and to diarylketones in medium yields. No improvement was noted by increasing the deprotonation temperature to 40 °C.

Continuing in the same vein, N-Boc indole, furan and thiophene were similarly reacted in the deprotocupration–arylation sequence to afford the expected ketones in approximately 50% yield. In order to reach higher yields, THF had to be replaced by either diethyl ether or diethyl ether containing dimethyl sulfide (5 equiv.). As expected, when this was undertaken, higher conversions were noted, although mixtures of 2-substituted and 2,5-disubstituted thiophenes also formed. From ethyl 2-thiophene-carboxylate and 3-thiophene-carboxylate, the reactions took place at the 5 and 2 position of the aromatic ring respectively, but with a lower chemoselectivity in the latter case. Finally, it was noted that the trapping temperature had an important impact on the efficiency on the reaction, with the best yields being obtained at 60 °C (Table 9).

Whereas the arylcuprates prepared from N,N-diisopropylbenzamide using different RCu(TMP)CNLi₂ bases were converted to the 2-methylated (R = Me) or the 2-phenylated (R = Ph) cross-coupled derivative upon treatment by nitrobenzene (Scheme 10),<sup>4</sup> the arylcuprates obtained by deprotocupration using (TMP)_2Cu(Cl)Li₂ could be utilised in palladium-catalysed cross-couplings with aryl halides such as 4-idoanisole and 2-bromopyridine in the presence of catalytic amounts of PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ and dpff (1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene) (Table 10).<sup>57</sup>

Compared with the reactivities of previously reported lithium–zinc bases, it is clear that the lithiocuprate (TMP)_2Cu(Cl)Li₂ behaves more like a lithium amide, as it leads to deprotonation of the most acidic hydrogen atoms and is incompatible with reactive functional groups. This, however, is offset by a satisfying reactivity towards a larger range of electrophiles.
3.4. New amidocuprate adducts and the formation of reactive species

Lastly, and in line with the investigation of less expensive amide ligands, the effect of replacing TMP with DMP has been studied by Harford et al. As noted above, attempts to fabricate Lipshutz-type cuprates analogous to instead afforded the series of complexes that have been interpreted as adducts between Lipshutz-type and Gilman cuprates. The potential importance of this new structure-type is explained fully in the final section of this review where DFT analysis has suggested that the abstraction of LiX from Lipshutz or Lipshutz-type cuprates is fundamental to incurring formation of the reactive base in DoC. It is clear, therefore, that these new adducts can all be viewed in terms of the elimination of 1 equiv. LiX having occurred from the corresponding Lipshutz-type dimer (e.g. \(14 \rightarrow 28 + LiCl\)). Crucial to this view of their importance, using the base prepared in situ from DMPLi (4 equiv.) and CuBr (2 equiv.) in THF, \(N,N\)-diisopropylbenzamide was readily converted to the corresponding 2-metallated derivative, as shown by subsequent trapping with iodine (80% yield). An essentially...
identical result (82% yield) was recorded using pre-isolated \((\text{DMP})_2\text{CuLi(OEt}_2\text{)}_2\text{LiBr} \text{ 28 (1 equiv.)}. \) It is clear, therefore, that efficient DoC is achievable not only by applying bases of Lipshutz(-type) formulation but also by using newly reported adducts.

### 4. DFT modelling of cuprate structure and DoC reaction pathways

As noted in section 2 of this review, there has been controversy in the literature for some time regarding the behaviour of cyanide ligands in so-called Lipshutz cuprates. Spectroscopic data were used in 1990 to support both the view that the structure might be lower-order (with no copper–cyanide interaction\(^{18}\)) and that it might be higher-order (Cu–CN bonded\(^{19}\)). This led to theoretical studies on a variety of solvated lithium dialkylcuprates of formulation \(\text{Me}_2\text{Cu(CN)Li}_2\cdot2\text{OH}_2\).\(^{20,22,61}\)

### Table 8 Electrophilic trapping of the cuprate coming from 1,4-dimethoxybenzene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Electrophile (E)</th>
<th>Yield(^a) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PhCOCl (COPh)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-ClC(_6\text{H}_4)COCl (COC(_6\text{H}_4)-4-Cl)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AllylBr (Allyl)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I(_2) (I)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) After purification. \(^b\) Deprotonation step performed at 40 °C.

### Table 9 Deprotocupration–arylation of five-membered aromatic heterocycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>ClCOAr(^\prime), temp.</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield(s)(^a) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOPh, 60 °C</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>50(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOPh, 60 °C</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOPh, 60 °C</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>48 (Ar(^\prime) = Ph)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOC(_6\text{H}_4)-4-Cl, 60 °C</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>58 (Ar(^\prime) = C(_6\text{H}_4)-4-Cl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Et(_2)O</td>
<td>ClCOPh, rt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>31 and 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Et(_2)O + Me(_2)S (5 equiv)</td>
<td>ClCOPh, rt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>53 and 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOPh, rt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOPh, rt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>26, 40(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOC(_6\text{H}_4)-2-Cl, rt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>35(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOC(_6\text{H}_4)-2-Cl, 60 °C</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>57(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>ClCOPh, 60 °C</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) After purification. \(^b\) After removal of the tert-butoxycarbonyl (Boc) group. \(^c\) Metallation step performed at 0 °C. \(^d\) Base prepared in the presence of TMEDA (1 equiv.).
Results suggested higher-order models to be at least 20 kcal mol$^{-1}$ higher in energy than their lower-order counterparts and that spectroscopic data suggesting higher-order structures could be accommodated by metallocyclic bridged structures containing linear, lower-order copper. Of most interest, a tandem EXAFS/$ab initio$ study assessed a variety of structural possibilities for the formulation Me$_2$Cu(CN)Li$_2$ and concluded that there was a strong likelihood that the structure was as shown in Fig. 19. Of most interest, the tandem EXAFS/$ab initio$ study assessed a variety of structural possibilities for the formulation Me$_2$Cu(CN)Li$_2$ and concluded that there was a strong likelihood that the structure was as shown in Fig. 19.

More recently, among the organocuprate(i) complexes receiving increased attention have been heteroleptic cuprates ([R-Cu-R']$^-$). Organyl(amide)cuprates represent an important class of these, particularly since they have now been harnessed to achieve a variety of organic transformations. Thus, 2007 saw publication of the first of several reports that TMP-cuprates of the type R(Cu(TMP))(CN)Li$_2$ (R = alkyl, phenyl, TMP) could promote the highly chemoselective DoC of multifunctionalized aromatic compounds. The arylocuprate intermediates presumed to result from deprotonmetallation of the aromatic substrate were effectively employed not only in the trapping of electrophiles, but also in oxidative ligand coupling to form new C-C bonds with alkyl/aryl groups (see section 3.2).

The chemistry of organocuprates(i) has traditionally been dominated by two structure types: Gilman cuprates and Lipshutz(-type) cuprates. As revealed above, these have now been structurally elucidated, with the former capable of exhibiting a homodimeric structure and Lipshutz-type cuprates demonstrating heteroaggregate structures based on metallocycles that are either 6-membered (halide-containing) or 7-membered (cyanide-containing, Scheme 12). Overall, with the help of X-ray crystallography, structure-reactivity relationship studies of TMP-cuprates have revealed that (1) the cyclic dimer of Gilman cuprate (TMP)$_2$CuLi is unreactive in DoC and (2) a variety of Lipshutz-type amidocuprates, including (TMP)$_2$Cu(CN)Li$_2$, exhibit enhanced deprotonative reactivity.

Further insights into the natures of active cuprate species and the mechanisms by which they exhibit DoC have been gained through DFT study of the derivatisation of $N,N$-dimethylbenzamide. The deprotonation process using MeCu(NMe$_2$)(CN)Li$_2$·2OMe$_2$ as a model Lipshutz cuprate is summarized in Scheme 13.

### Table 10 Palladium-catalysed cross-coupling of arylcuprates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>XAr’</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield$^a$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64 (X = I, R = OMe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46 (X = Br, R = OMe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47 (X = Br, R = F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64 (X = Br, R = CO$_2$Et)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51 (Y = CH, Z = N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42, 46$^b$ (Y = Z = N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63 (R = H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54 (R = OMe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ After purification. $^b$ Deprotonation step performed at 40 °C.
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Scheme 13 Proposed reaction pathways for the DoC of N,N-diethylaniline using Lipshutz cuprate MeCu(NMe2)(CN)Li2·2OMe2. Energy changes and bond lengths at the B3LYP/6-31+G* and SVP (Cu) level of theory are shown in kcal mol⁻¹ and Å, respectively.

In common with the reaction pathways previously proposed for TMP-zeolites and TMP-aluminates, two plausible pathways were discovered for regioselective DoM (Directed ortho Metallation). These differ in terms of whether the deprotonation step is triggered by the amido or the alkyl ligand in the cuprate. Although in Scheme 13 the formation of IM2, represents a thermodynamically favorable outcome, the pathway by which the alkyl ligand promotes the ortho deprotonation (IM1 – TS1 – IM2) is kinetically unfavorable on account of there being a relatively high energy transition state (TS1, ΔG° = 40.8 kcal mol⁻¹). In contrast, TS2 represents the transition state immediately preceding amido ligand-promoted deprotonation (IM3 – TS2 – IM4). While the activation barrier to TS2 formation is somewhat high (+27.7 kcal mol⁻¹) relative to reaction pre-complex IM3, it is still reasonably accessible and can be viewed as allowing metalated intermediate IM4 to form. The ligand exchange step (IM5 – TS5 – IM2), a process seen in TMP-zeolite chemistry but not in TMP-aluminate chemistry, has a higher activation barrier (+31.3 kcal mol⁻¹). Taken together with the observation of heterocoupling processes achieved using organyl(amido)cuprate reagents (discussed in section 3.2) it is reasonable to conclude that the ligand exchange step would be difficult in DoC.

Interestingly, investigations into possible DoC pathways using the Lipshutz reagent MeCu(NMe2)(CN)Li2·2OMe2 have led to the identification of another ortho cupration pathway that involves an active monomeric Gilman complex (Scheme 12, bottom). Importantly, the monomeric structure-type of this so-called mono-Gilman species has been established experimentally using TMP-cuprates isolated from various Lewis basic media. Calculations that take the Lipshutz reagent MeCu(NMe2)(CN)Li2·2OMe2 as a starting point suggest that the mono-Gilman complexes IM1 and IM3 can be formed by the elimination of solvated LiCN (Scheme 14). Although IM1 is more stable than IM3, its alkyl ligand fails to promote the deprotonative step in the reaction (IM1 – TS1 – IM2), this sequence having a very high activation energy (+40.3 kcal mol⁻¹). In contrast, the pathway IM3 – TS3 – IM4, in which deprotonation is promoted by the amido ligand in the mono-Gilman base, has a very low activation barrier (+14.9 kcal mol⁻¹ with respect to IM3) en route to IM4. Although the total activation energy (26.0 kcal mol⁻¹) from RT2 to TS2 is comparable to that from RT2 to TS3 (23.8 kcal mol⁻¹, in Scheme 13), it is noteworthy that the mono-Gilman-pathway does not require more than 15 kcal mol⁻¹ for any individual step, and this contrasts with the Lipshutz pathway (which requires more than 27 kcal mol⁻¹ for the deprotonation step IM3 – TS3). As with the Lipshutz system, quenching of the amine Me2NH (IM5 – TS5 – IM2) appears to be unlikely to proceed on account of the rather high energy difference between RT5 and TS5 (more than 28 kcal mol⁻¹), though the activation barrier to reach TS3 is entropically permissible at 22.4 kcal mol⁻¹.

Oxidative ligand coupling involving the putative arylcuprate intermediate generated from the DoC of N,N-diisopropylaniline...
mide by MeCu(TMP)(CN)Li₂ has been discussed in section 3.2 – with the use of nitrobenzene affording 2-methyl-N,N-diisopropylbenzamide (Scheme 15). This result clearly shows that the methyl ligand is retained by the copper centre in the aryl-cuprate intermediate and this bears out the theoretical work described here. A significant increase in the activation energy of deprotonation starting from a Gilman dimer has been found theoretically, again in agreement with experimental findings described in this section.

The most recent structural studies into bis(amido)cuprates have revealed the formation of triangulated complexes best viewed as adducts between Gilman and Lipshutz-type monomers. These are discussed in detail in section 2.4. Moreover, the adduct [[(DMP)₂CuLi·OEt₂]₂LiBr 29 has been shown (see section 3.4) to be an effective DoC reagent. In the wake of recent DFT work, this has led to speculation that the adduct can deaggregate to give an active monoGilman base. In this vein, preliminary calculations on the simplified Lipshutz-type...
amidocuprate adducts can be viewed as proceeding via the formation of a Gilman dimer $G_D$ via adduct $L_M G_{M}$, though the effects of entropy mean that the system is a finely balanced one, as reflected by the very small values of $\Delta G$ (Scheme 16).

A further important step that it is necessary for $L_M G_{M}$ to participate in if it is to be viewed as a contender for DoC is its dissociation to generate $L_M$ and the participation of this in a pre-reaction complex with, for example, $N,N$-dimethylbenzamide. Data point to this sequence increasing $\Delta G$ by 6.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ with the initial dissociation of $L_M G_{M}$ raising $\Delta G$ by 15.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (Scheme 17). In comparison to the IM$_3$-$C$-$T$S$_2$-$G$-$M$ pathway modelled above (see Scheme 14) for sourcing monoGilman agents from a Lipshutz cuprate starting material, it is clear that the recently reported cuprate adducts can also be viewed as viable sources of monoGilman active species. This is consistent with their demonstrated ability (discussed in section 3.4) as DoC reagents.\textsuperscript{51}

To summarize, DoC using Lipshutz-type amidocuprates or amidocuprate adducts can be viewed as proceeding via the formation of a monoGilman intermediate, and in organyl(amido)-cuprate systems the alkyl ligand is retained by the copper centre in the intermediate arylcuprate species, indicating that ligand scrambling does not occur.

5. Conclusions

The relatively new field of directed aromatic ortho metallation using synergetic reagents has been significantly expanded through the deployment of lithium cuprates. Though these systems are not new in themselves it is only recently that unambiguous structural evidence for their nature has been forthcoming. Subsequent to spectroscopic and theoretical investigations on cyanide-containing lithium cuprates, it was only in 1998 that the first clear evidence for the behaviour of the cyanide ion was presented.\textsuperscript{23,24} More recently, the crystallographic elucidation of 7 revealed that the classic Lipshutz system ($\text{TMP}_2\text{Cu(CN)Li}_2\cdot\text{THF}$) incorporated bonds between cyanide and lithium, with the lower polarity metal being ligated only by two diametrically opposed amide ligands.\textsuperscript{5} Gratifyingly, this observation was concordant with calculations reported as long ago as 1995.\textsuperscript{61} Subsequent to this, it rapidly became apparent that the replacement of cyanide with halide as X in ($\text{TMP}_2\text{Cu(X)Li}_2\cdot\text{THF}$) had no very significant effect on lithium cuprate structure. This allows cyanide- and halide-containing TMP-cuprates to be discussed in terms of the existence of a series of cuprates that can be regarded as, to a greater or lesser extent, Lipshutz-type. This can be interpreted in terms of the elimination of LiX to give a Gilman cuprate (which is highly active, see below), though we have also seen that if X is very soft then unreactive Gilman dimers may be isolated. It should also be noted, though, that the observation of a common Lipshutz-type cuprate dimer for various X groups is also consistent with the recent suggestion that differing reactivities of cyanide and iodide complexes may be defined by the structures of reaction intermediates rather than those of the substrates themselves.\textsuperscript{7} That said, the general inefficiency of Gilman reagents in DoC is clear. The implication of these data is that for DoC to proceed with optimum efficiency, a Lipshutz-type cuprate is necessary as the starting point.

Recent calculations have added a remarkable new insight to the structural and synthetic data noted above. Namely, that whereas the employment of a Lipshutz-type cuprate is necessary for efficient DoC to proceed (as evidenced synthetically and also proposed on the basis of reaction coordinates plotted in the previous section), the active species is a Gilman monomer created in situ by the expulsion of LiX from the Lipshutz-type substrate. DFT analysis reveals a compelling reaction pathway...
for the elimination of LiX prior to formation of a pre-reaction complex between aromatic substrate and Gilman monomer and, interestingly, also suggests that the formation of a reactive Gilman monomer from the corresponding dimer is, in fact, relatively unlikely. Again, this is borne out by structural and synthetic work.

Most recently, the isolation and characterisation of a new class of lithium cuprate that is best viewed as representing an adduct between Lipshutz-type and Gilman monomers has presented a new way of envisaging the in situ generation of reactive cuprates for DoC. The abstraction of LiX from a Lipshutz-type dimer turns out to be enthalpically plausible. Moreover, calculations suggest that deaggregation of the resulting adduct and coordination of the formed (active) Gilman monomer by an aromatic substrate provides another compelling pathway for DoC. Overall, it is clear that, like Lipshutz-type dimers, the triangulated adducts reported here can be interpreted as plausible sources of the active cuprate species required for DoC.

The interpretation of theoretical data in terms of solid-state structures has afforded significant new insights into routes towards active monoGilman species. For example, adducts such as 28 have introduced new possibilities regarding the elimination of LiX from Lipshutz-type cuprates. Of course, these data have implications for our view of the solution behaviour of lithium cuprates and direct monitoring of the aggregation behaviour of these systems in solution, examples of which have been reported recently,42 will represent an increasingly significant part of forthcoming work. Attention is also turning to heteroleptic bis(amido)cuprates represent the next target for DMP in 14 and DMP in 25; these will be mixed dimers or adducts such as (AM)2Cu(X)Li2·(AM′)2Cu(X)Li2 and (AM)2Cu(X)Li2·(AM′)2CuLi while the second will take the form of structures that incorporate heteroleptic monomers such as AMCu(X) where AM and AM′ are different amides). This work is rendered particularly important by the observation of distinctively contrasting amide orientations ([cf. TMP in 14 and DMP in 28] and attempts to fabricate mixed DMP/TMP-cuprates are ongoing. Moreover, the ability of DMP to result in relatively inexpensive cuprating agents opens up new possibilities in terms of varying the amide components of cuprate bases (e.g., different ring size, substitution pattern, introducing chirality) in order to develop a fuller understanding of the steric requirements necessary for effective DoC.
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