Dalton Transactions

PAPER

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 5847

Anion exchange in $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_4R)(Cl)(NHC)]$. Counterion effect on the structure and catalytic activity⁺

Włodzimierz Buchowicz,* Łukasz Banach, Joanna Conder, Piotr A. Guńka, Dominik Kubicki and Piotr Buchalski

A series of novel complexes $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_4R)(L)(NHC)]^+A^- 2a-2j$ and $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(A)(NHC)]$ **3a-3c** has been obtained by anion metathesis from the corresponding chlorides **1a-1d**, depending on the anion binding properties and reaction conditions. Solid-state structures of two cationic complexes (**2c**, **2j**) and two complexes with a coordinated anion (**3a**, **3c**) have been determined by X-ray diffraction revealing a trigonal planar geometry in all cases. Unexpectedly, **3c** displayed unprecedented for this type of compounds temperature-dependent NMR spectra that were interpreted in terms of spin equilibrium. The cationic complexes **2** were less efficient in styrene polymerization than the parent chlorides **1**. However, the activity of **2** and **3** in Suzuki cross-coupling did not depend considerably on the counterion.

Received 27th November 2013, Accepted 24th January 2014 DOI: 10.1039/c3dt53352b

www.rsc.org/dalton

Introduction

Transition metal N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) complexes are a growing field of interest in organometallic chemistry, homogeneous catalysis, and other areas of chemistry.¹ Nickel(0), nickel(1), and nickel(11) NHC complexes have attracted substantial attention in recent years, mainly due to their application as catalysts in a number of important organic transformations.²

Abernethy *et al.* discovered that reaction of nickelocene with 1,3-dimesitylimidazolium chloride in refluxing THF afforded diamagnetic complex $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(Cl)(IMes)]$; (1a) in high yield.³ Following this original communication, a considerable variety of NHC complexes of the general formula $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_4R)(X)(NHC)]$ (1, R = H or alkyl; X = Cl, Br, or I) has been prepared in this manner (Scheme 1, path a).⁴⁻¹⁰ These Ni(II) complexes display promising catalytic activity in several reactions, including amination of aromatic compounds,⁴ polymerization of styrene,^{5,8} polymerization of methyl



Scheme 1 Reactions of nickelocene with NHC salts: (a) THF, heating; (b) THF or CH_3CN , heating.

methacrylate,¹¹ Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling,^{9,12} regioselective hydrothiolation of alkynes,¹³ and hydrosilylation of aldehydes and ketones.¹⁴

Previously, we suggested that styrene polymerization catalysed by $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(X)(NHC)]$ in the presence of methylaluminoxane (MAO) proceeded *via* a cationic mechanism¹⁵ involving intermediate species $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(L)(NHC)]^+$ (L = styrene, solvent).⁵ In order to further elucidate this process, we sought to approach these tentative intermediates *via* a different route.

Halogen substitution in complexes 1 with ${\rm AgBF}_4$ or ${\rm KPF}_6$ has been recently reported. In the case of complexes bearing

View Article Online

Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Noakowskiego 3, 00-664 Warsaw, Poland. E-mail: wbuch@ch.pw.edu.pl; Fax: +48 22 234 5462; Tel: +48 22 234 5150

[‡]Standard abbreviations for NHC ligands are used throughout this manuscript: IMes = 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene, SIMes = 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-4,5-dihydroimidazol-2-ylidene, SIPr = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-4,5-dihydroimidazol-2-ylidene, and Bn₂-bimy = 1,3-dibenzylbenzimidazolin-2-ylidene.

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional tables and figures. CCDC 972867–972870. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c3dt53352b

N-allyl functionalized NHC ligands, intramolecular cationic π -complexes [Ni(η^5 -C₅H₅)(η^3 -NHC)]⁺A⁻ were obtained.⁶ However, reactions of complexes with *N*-aryl substituted NHCs resulted in cationic complexes [Ni(η^5 -C₅R₅)(L)(NHC)]⁺A⁻ (R = H or Me, L = CH₃CN or (CH₃)₂CO) in acetonitrile^{12,16} or acetone.¹⁷

In this contribution, we explore the scope of halogen substitution in complexes $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_4R)(Cl)(NHC)]$ with various metal salts, including non-coordinating and weakly-coordinating anions, and catalytic properties of the resulting complexes.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Nolan and co-workers reported that nickelocene did not react with NHC tetrafluoroborates or hexafluorophosphates in refluxing THF.^{4*a*} Indeed, when we attempted to synthesize $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CH_3CN)(NHC)]^+(BF_4)^-$ directly from nickelocene and an imidazolium tetrafluoroborate in refluxing acetonitrile, a gradual decomposition of nickelocene was observed (Scheme 1, path b).

Accordingly, complexes $[Ni(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_4R)(L)(NHC)]^*A^-$ (2) were obtained by the two-step route involving isolation of chlorides 1.

Complexes **1a–1e** reacted cleanly with KPF₆, AgClO₄, AgCF₃SO₃, AgCF₃CO₂, or AgNO₃ in a nitrile solution (acetonitrile, pivalonitrile) at room temperature. The expected cationic complexes **2** were obtained as yellow-brown solids in high yields for KPF₆, AgClO₄, and AgCF₃SO₃ (Scheme 2). However, reactions of **1a** or **1b** with $AgCF_3CO_2$ in acetonitrile or toluene afforded complexes **3a** and **3b** with the coordinated carboxylate (Scheme 3). Attempts to extend this methodology to other carboxylates (acetate, pivalate) were not successful.

In contrast to AgCF₃CO₂, reaction of **1a** with AgNO₃ in acetonitrile afforded cationic complex **2j**. However, when this reaction was repeated in toluene/THF, neutral complex **3c** with a coordinated nitrate was isolated. Moreover, complex **2j** could be also obtained by dissolving **3c** in acetonitrile (Scheme 4). In contrast to **2a–2i** that were stable in CDCl₃ solutions (see below), dissolving **2j** in CDCl₃ resulted in a red solution giving NMR spectra corresponding to **3c**. A detailed inspection of these spectra revealed also a residual broad singlet at 4.77 ppm that could be assigned to **2j**. This behaviour suggests that **2j** and **3c** exist in equilibrium in a polar solvent, with **3c** being the major species.¹⁸

Characterization

NMR studies. The NMR spectra of 2a-2i were routinely recorded in CDCl₃ at ambient temperature. These spectra featured all expected resonances, *i.e.* that of the cyclopentadienyl, of the carbene, and of the coordinated nitrile. The Cp protons appeared as singlets from 4.67 ppm to 4.76 ppm for 2a-2c and 2e-2g. For the weaker-donating benzimidazole-based NHC ligand Bn₂-bimy,¹⁹ the Cp resonances were shifted significantly downfield to 5.22 and 5.24 ppm for 2h and 2i. An interesting feature of the proton NMR spectra of these benzimidazole-based NHC complexes was the presence of the



Scheme 2 (a) The synthesis of cationic complexes 2a-2i (M = K or Ag; complex 2a has been reported by Chetcuti *et al.*¹²). (b) Structures of NHC ligands used in this work (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl; Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl).



Scheme 3 The synthesis of trifluoroacetate complexes 3a and 3b.



Scheme 4 The synthesis of nitrates 2j and 3c (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl).

Ph-C H_2 -signals as two doublets with chemical shifts in the range from 6.19 to 6.45 ppm (${}^2J = 13.5$ Hz) which suggests their diastereotopic character. The resonances of the co-ordinated acetonitrile molecule were observed as singlets from 2.03 to 2.26 ppm in CDCl₃.

The carbene carbon atom chemical shift varied from 159.9 ppm to 199.4 ppm, depending on the type of NHC ligand. For IMes complexes (2a, 2e-2g, 2j, and 3a), the carbene carbon atom signal appeared from 159.9 to 166.2 ppm. The ¹³C NMR spectra of Bn₂-bimy complexes 2h and 2i displayed their carbene atom signals at 174.1 ppm and 174.2 ppm, respectively. The highest chemical shift of the carbene carbon atom in the range of 195.6–199.4 ppm was observed for SIMes complexes (2b, 2d and 3b). While the spectra of trifluoro-acetates 3a and 3b were unexceptional, the spectra of nitrates 2j and 3c deserve a further comment.

In contrast to the other ionic complexes, NMR spectra of 2j could be recorded only in CD₃CN since 2j appeared to easily dissociate the nitrile ligand in a polar solvent (*e.g.* in CDCl₃) to form the neutral complex 3c (see Scheme 4). Thus, NMR spectra of 2j featured all expected signals within the usual ranges, *e.g.* a sharp singlet of Cp protons at 4.77 ppm.

However, NMR spectra of **3c** were far from routine: we first noticed rather unusual chemical shift and linewidth of the Cp signal: in CDCl₃ at ambient temperature it appeared at 3.53 ppm with $\nu_{1/2}$ = 5.6 Hz, and at 35 °C it appeared at higher field at 2.77 ppm with $\nu_{1/2}$ = 13 Hz. Moreover, the parameters



Fig. 1 VT ¹H NMR (500 MHz, toluene-d₈) spectra of complex 3c (high-field range) at temperatures: (a) -55 °C, (b) -30 °C, (c) 10 °C, (d) 70 °C, and (e) 100 °C. Asterisk (*) indicates the Cp resonance.

of the Cp signal varied considerably also with the solvent used: it appeared at 2.34 ppm ($\nu_{1/2}$ = 8.9 Hz) in C₆D₆ at ambient temperature.²⁰

In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3c no carbene carbon atom signal was detected; moreover, the Cp signal at 97.1 ppm was unusually broad with $\nu_{1/2}$ = 6.2 Hz, while for the other complexes $\nu_{1/2}$ was in the range 1.6-2.1 Hz. VT NMR studies in toluene-d₈ in the temperature range from -55 °C to 100 °C were therefore performed (Fig. 1). The Cp signal appeared as a singlet at 4.31 ppm at -55 °C and shifted to -3.01 ppm at 100 °C. This upfield shift with increasing temperature was accompanied by signal broadening from $\nu_{1/2}$ = 5 Hz to $\nu_{1/2}$ = 36 Hz. At the same time the imidazole singlet shifted downfield slightly from 5.81 ppm to 7.33 ppm. We explain this behaviour of 3c in terms of spin equilibrium, i.e. equilibrium between a diamagnetic singlet ground state and a paramagnetic triplet excited state.²¹ The absence of an observable carbene carbon atom signal might be explained by its merging with the baseline as a result of the paramagnetic broadening.

The spin equilibrium was further modelled by using a Boltzmann distribution of spins²² (for details, see the ESI[†]) and the thermodynamic parameters for 3c thus obtained were as follows: $\Delta H^{\circ} = (15.15 \pm 0.43) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta S^{\circ} = (16.7 \pm 4.0) \text{ J}$ $(mol K)^{-1}$. The value of the high-spin species to low-spin species equilibrium constant K_{eq} of 0.02 calculated at 298.15 K shows that at this temperature there is a large excess of the diamagnetic form of 3c. This value also explains why the magnetic susceptibility measurement by Evans' method²³ that we had attempted failed to give any significant result. At the same time such a placement of the equilibrium substantiates the observed chemical shift for Cp protons in 3c. For nickelocene (two unpaired electrons, $\mu_{eff} = 2.88 \mu_B$)²⁴ the magnitude of paramagnetic ¹H NMR chemical shift ($\delta = ca. -250 \text{ ppm}$)²⁵ is considerably larger than for 3c even though both in nickelocene and in 3c the distances between the nickel atom and the Cp plane are comparable (1.8177(4) Å²⁶ and 1.765(3) Å, respectively), and therefore the paramagnetic contribution to the

Paper



Fig. 2 The molecular structure of cations of complexes 2c and 2j and of neutral complexes 3a and 3c. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. In the case of complexes 2c and 3c, where two independent molecules are present in the asymmetric unit, only one of them is presented. See Fig. S3 in the ESI† for the second ones of 2c and 3c.

chemical shifts in both compounds should be of a similar order of magnitude. In the case of 3c this contribution is relatively small, creating a downfield shift of only several ppm. While it is not clear why the nitrate anion modifies the electronic properties of 3c in comparison with the other studied anions, the solid state structure of 3c (see below) revealed the expected, three-coordinate geometry.

Solid-state structures. We have focused our efforts to grow X-ray quality crystals on complexes with novel structural features, mainly on those with anions that have not been reported previously for $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(A)(NHC)]$ complexes. In particular, the intriguing solution properties of nitrates prompted us to study them in detail. Gratifyingly, the solid-state structures of complexes **2c**, **2j**, **3a**, and **3c** have been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 2). Selected crystallographic data, the parameters for data collection and refinement procedures

are presented in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2, and in Tables S2 and S3 in the ESI.†

Single crystal X-ray structure analysis reveals that compounds 2c, 3a and 2j crystallise in the triclinic $P\bar{1}$ (no. 2) space group whereas complex 3c is the only one to yield non-centrosymmetric crystal structure in the orthorhombic $Pca2_1$ (no. 29) space group. While crystal structures of compounds 3a and 2j contain one molecule and a pair of cation and anion, respectively, in the asymmetric unit, there are two independent molecules or two independent pairs of cations and anions in crystal structures of complexes 3c and 2c, respectively. The sum of bond angles around Ni atoms, that is, X–Ni–C_(NHC), C_(NHC)–Ni– C_g and C_g –Ni–X angles, where C_g denotes the centre of gravity of Cp rings and X stands for N or O, amounts to 360° within 3 s.u.'s in all studied compounds which indicates planar trigonal coordination of nickel atoms (see Table S2 in the ESI†).

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for complexes 2c, 3a, 2j, and 3c

Compound	2c	3a	2j	3c
Chemical formula	$NiC_{34}H_{46}N_3^+ \cdot PF_6^-$	NiC ₂₈ H ₂₉ F ₃ N ₂ O ₂	NiC ₂₈ H ₃₂ N ₃ ⁺ ·NO ₃ ⁻	NiC ₂₆ H ₂₉ N ₃ O
Formula mass	700.42	541.24	531.28	490.23
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Orthorhombi
a/Å	10.3048(8)	7.9276(3)	8.5478(3)	17.6218(3)
b/Å	18.3915(10)	9.6611(5)	8.8564(3)	12.2104(3)
c/Å	19.1066(10)	20.5983(8)	17.8908(5)	22.7534(4)
$\alpha / ^{\circ}$	75.910(5)	78.742(4)	89.233(3)	90
$\beta/^{\circ}$	86.934(5)	89.700(3)	80.886(3)	90
γ/°	81.371(5)	69.643(4)	85.015(3)	90
Unit cell volume/Å ³	3471.8(4)	1447.25(11)	1332.22(7)	4895.80(16)
Temperature/K	100(2)	100(2)	293(2)	293(2)
Space group	PĪ	$P\bar{1}$	PĪ	$Pca2_1$
Z	4	2	2	8
No. of reflections measured	97 217	55 160	26 005	53 880
No. of independent reflections	17 567	10 462	6117	9968
R _{int}	0.0708	0.0547	0.0361	0.0323
Final R_1 values $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.0468	0.0488	0.0386	0.0532
Final $wR(F^2)$ values $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.1051	0.1233	0.0964	0.1444
Final R_1 values (all data)	0.0646	0.0578	0.0488	0.0606
Final $wR(F^2)$ values (all data)	0.1151	0.1279	0.1020	0.1516
Goodness of fit on F^2	1.021	1.135	1.019	1.067

Table 2 Selected bond lengths involving nickel atoms in 2c, 3a, 2j, and 3c

d/Å	2c	3a	2j	3c
Ni1-C1	2.033(2)	2.0388(17)	2.002(2)	2.032(7)
Ni1-C2	2.157(2)	2.1252(17)	2.138(2)	2.123(7)
Ni1-C3	2.181(2)	2.1245(17)	2.174(2)	2.139(6)
Ni1-C4	2.122(2)	2.1980(17)	2.152(2)	2.181(7)
Ni1-C5	2.134(2)	2.1895(17)	2.125(2)	2.163(7)
Ni1-C6 (NHC)	1.9013(19)	1.8835(16)	1.9020(19)	1.874(5)
Ni1–X (N3, O1) ^{a}	1.8644(18)	1.9104(12)	1.8693(17)	1.910(4)

^{*a*} N3 denotes the nitrogen atom of the coordinated acetonitrile for 2c and 2j; O1 denotes the oxygen atom of the trifluoroacetate or nitrate anions for complexes 3a and 3c, respectively (see Fig. 2 for the atom numbering scheme).

The plane of the carboxylate group in complex 3a deviates considerably from the Ni coordination plane (Ni, C_{g} , X, $C_{(NHC)}$) as evidenced by the value of C6-Ni1-O1-C29 torsion angle equal to -153.50(14)°. This is even more pronounced for nitrate anions in compound 3c where C6-Ni1-O1-N3 and C36-Ni2-O31–N33 torsion angles are -116.2(4) and $115.2(5)^{\circ}$, respectively. This twist results in the monodentate binding of carboxylate and nitrate ligands to nickel (Ni-O distances for unbound oxygen atoms amount to 3.2238(13) Å in 3a and 2.905(6) Å on average in 3c). As shown in Table 2, the distances from nickel to Cp carbon atoms differ significantly from each other within every complex. The Ni-C_{Cp} bond trans to the L ligand (acetonitrile molecule for complexes 2c and 2j, trifluoroacetate anion for 3a and nitrate anion for 3c) is shorter by ca. 0.1 Å compared to the other ones. This variation in the Ni– C_{Cp} distances can be attributed to the trans effect of the NHC ligand which leads to the elongation of Ni-C_{Cp} bonds trans to the carbene and, consequently, shortening of the $Ni-C_{Cp}$ bonds trans to the L ligand. The C-C bond lengths in cyclopentadienyl ligands vary from 1.35 to 1.45 Å which is typical for Ni complexes comprising both Cp and NHC ligands deposited in the Cambridge Structural Database (1.35-1.46 Å).²⁷

Catalytic activity

Styrene polymerization. The activity of complexes 2a-3c in styrene polymerization was examined under conditions similar to those described in our previous reports.^{5,8} Briefly, an excess of MAO (Al:Ni = 100:1) was added to a toluene suspension of complex 2 or 3. After stirring for 30 min at ambient temperature, neat styrene (styrene:Ni = 1000:1) was added and the polymerization was run in a sealed Schlenk tube for 3 h at 50 °C. The results of styrene polymerization are summarized in Table 3.

Disappointingly, hexafluorophosphates 2a and 2b (entries 1 and 4) were one order of magnitude less active than the neutral parent complexes.⁵ In control experiments we established that 2a without MAO gave no polymer (entry 2). Similarly, MAO itself did not yield polystyrene (entry 3). Analogously to what was observed for the chloride series, introduction of the more bulky NHC ligand (SIPr *vs.* SIMes, entry 5) or a substituent on the Cp ligand (entry 6) resulted in significantly lower yields than for 2b.

Other weakly- or non-coordinating anions (ClO_4^- , $CF_3SO_3^-$, and NO_3^-) had no significant effect on the activity (entries 7, 8, and 11). Complex **2g** with the more bulky nitrile (entry 9) provided the same efficiency as **2a**. Introduction of the weaker donating benzimidazole-based NHC ligand resulted in a low yield of the polymer (entry 10). The highest activity was achieved with complexes **3a** and **3b** (entries 12 and 13) bearing covalently bound carboxylates; however, neutral nitrate **3c** was less effective (entry 14). These findings show that strongly

Table 3 Styrene polymerization catalysed by [Ni(Cp)(L)(NHC)]⁺A⁻ (2a-2j)/MAO or [Ni(Cp)(A)(NHC)] (3a-3c)/MAO^a



Entry	Complex (NHC)	Α	L	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$	$M_{ m n}{}^c$	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}^{c}$
1	2a (IMes)	$\mathrm{PF_6}^-$	CH ₃ CN	22	14 000	2.0
					1300	1.1
2^d	2a (IMes)	PF_6^-	CH ₃ CN	0	_	_
3 ^e	_	_		0	_	
4	2b (SIMes)	PF_6^-	CH ₃ CN	35	$13\ 000^{g}$	2.0
					800	1.2
5	2c (SIPr)	PF_6^-	CH ₃ CN	13	10 000	2.3
6	2d (SIMes) ^f	PF_6^-	CH_3CN	10	11 000	2.0
7	2e (IMes)	ClO_4^-	CH ₃ CN	31	1300^{g}	7.0
8	2f (IMes)	$CF_3SO_3^-$	CH_3CN	21	$1300^{g,h}$	11
9	2g (IMes)	PF_6^-	$(CH_3)_3CCN$	20	1000^g	6.9
10	2h (Bn ₂ -bimy)	PF_6^-	CH ₃ CN	14	$14\ 600^{g}$	1.6
					800	1.5
11	2j (IMes)	NO_3^-	CH_3CN	42	1500^g	6.7
12	3a (IMes)	$CF_3CO_2^-$		94	11 300	2.0
13	3b (SIMes)	$CF_3CO_2^-$	_	100	10 500	2.0
14	3c (IMes)	NO ₃ ⁻	_	45	1600^g	7.3

^{*a*} All reactions in duplicate; conditions: $[Ni] = 1.30 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$, 3 h, 50 °C, styrene : Ni = 1000 : 1, Al : Ni = 100 : 1. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Determined with GPC in CH₂Cl₂ (target $M_n = 100000$). ^{*d*} Control experiment without MAO. ^{*e*} Control experiment without Ni, styrene : Al = 10 : 1. ^{*f*} Allyl substituted Cp. ^{*g*} Bimodal distribution with low M_n oligomers. ^{*h*} High molecular fraction ($M_n 800000$) was also present.



Scheme 5 Proposed pathways of the styrene polymerization with complexes 1-3 (X = halogen or $CF_3CO_2^-$, A = non- or weakly-coordinating anions used in this study, L = RCN).

coordinating anions, *i.e.* chloride or trifluoroacetate, are the most efficient in this type of polymerization.

The obtained polystyrenes were examined by ¹³C NMR, GPC, and MALDI-TOF MS. The ¹³C NMR spectra were consistent with atactic microstructure of all polymers.²⁸ GPC analyses showed that in most cases M_n was lower than that obtained with the corresponding chlorides, while M_w/M_n was higher than for the chlorides. The trifluoroacetates **3a** and **3b** produced polystyrenes with similar M_n and M_w/M_n to those obtained with **1a**.⁵ MALDI-TOF MS (see the ESI†) suggested that the polystyrene chains were terminated with C==C double bonds.

Previously, we proposed that the initial reaction of complexes 1 with MAO resulted in cationic species $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)-(NHC)]^+$ (Scheme 5, path a).²⁹ Consequently, the efficiency of styrene polymerization with 1/MAO depended mainly on the stabilization of these intermediate species, meaning that the strongly coordinating chloride that irreversibly reacts with MAO was the most suitable counterion.⁵ In this study, we anticipated that the labile nitrile ligand^{12,30} in complexes 2 would be readily displaced with styrene (Scheme 5, path b) to produce the same intermediates as with 1. However, the low efficiency of complexes 2 in the styrene polymerization suggests that the nitrile binds to the Ni centre rather strongly and actually inhibits the polymerization. To further address this issue, we studied reactions of complexes 2 with styrene (Scheme 6).

However, despite our best efforts to use as many various reaction conditions as possible (type of the nitrile ligand, solvent and temperature), the exchange of the nitrile ligand



Scheme 6 Attempted reactions of complexes 2 with styrene (L = CH_3CN or (CH_3)₃CN).

with styrene has not been accomplished. Complexes **2b** and **2g** remained unchanged in the presence of an excess of styrene, while **2h** upon stirring in neat styrene at 35 °C partially transformed into an inseparable mixture of green nickel complexes. We note that an intramolecular analogue of $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)-(\eta^2-alkene)(NHC)]^+$ has been fully characterized by Hahn and co-workers.^{6,31}

Suzuki cross-coupling. The activity of neutral $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5R_5)-(Cl)(NHC)]$ and cationic $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5R_5)(CH_3CN)(NHC)]^+PF_6^-$ (R = H or Me) complexes in Suzuki cross-coupling has been recently reported.^{9,12} Surprisingly, chloride complexes and the corresponding hexafluorophosphates provided almost identical conversions. Encouraged by these results, we decided to test our new complexes 2 and 3 in the cross-coupling of 4'-bromoacetophenone with phenylboronic acid. The results are summarized in Table 4.

All studied complexes provided high yields of the expected cross-coupling product, *i.e.* 4-acetylbiphenyl (**A**), with excellent selectivity. The highest yield was achieved with cationic nitrate **2j** (entry 10); however, the advantageous effect of this counterion was not confirmed with neutral nitrate **3c** (entry 13). The weakly donating Bn₂-bimy ligand was consistently less

efficient (entries 8 and 9) than the other NHC ligands. With the more challenging substrate, 4'-chloroacetophenone, complex **2e** was significantly less efficient than with 4'-bromoacetophenone (entry 14). The absence of a pronounced structure-activity relationship for the studied series of complexes is consistent with previous hypothesis that the Ni(π) complexes **2-3** serve as a convenient source of Ni(0) in this catalytic reaction.^{9,12}

Conclusions

In summary, we have shown that, depending on the anion binding properties and reaction conditions, cationic 2 or neutral complexes 3 were obtained by anion metathesis in complexes 1. In the case of nitrate, both cationic complex 2j and neutral 3c could be isolated. Complexes 2j and 3c were found to easily interconvert with each other in a solution. This facile exchange of ligands opens up prospects for further optimization of electronic and catalytic properties of these complexes, in particular discovery of systems with switchable magnetic properties and plausible applications in spintronics.

Experimental section

General

All manipulations (except polymer separation and purification, and work-up of the Suzuki cross-coupling reactions) were performed under an inert atmosphere of argon using Schlenk techniques. Solvents were purified with conventional methods.³² Styrene (*ReagentPlus*®, Aldrich) was distilled from

Table 4Suzuki cross-coupling of phenylboronic acid with 4'-bromoacetophenone catalysed by $[Ni(Cp)(L)(NHC)]^+A^-$ (2a-2j) or [Ni(Cp)(A)(NHC)] $(3a-3b)^a$

B(OH) ₂ + Br	2 or 3 K ₃ PO ₄ toluene		
	90°C, 1 h	Α	В

Entry	Complex (NHC)	А	L	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$	Selectivity ^c
1	2a (IMes)	$\mathrm{PF_6}^-$	CH ₃ CN	78	99:1
2	2b (SIMes)	PF_6^-	CH ₃ CN	73	99:1
3	2c (SIPr)	PF_6^-	CH ₃ CN	69	98:2
4	2e (IMes)	ClO_4^-	CH ₃ CN	74	99:1
6	2f (IMes)	$CF_3SO_3^-$	CH ₃ CN	62	99:1
7	2g (IMes)	PF_6^-	$(CH_3)_3CCN$	67	99:1
8	$2\mathbf{\tilde{h}}$ (Bn ₂ -bimy)	PF_6^-	CH ₃ CN	56	97:3
9	2i (Bn ₂ -bimy)	ClO_4^-	CH ₃ CN	47	98:2
10	2j (IMes)	NO_3^-	CH ₃ CN	88	99:1
11	3a (IMes)	$CF_3CO_2^-$	_	57	99:1
12	3b (SIMes)	$CF_3CO_2^-$	_	60	99:1
13	3c (IMes)	NO ₃ ⁻	_	38	99:1
14	2e (IMes) ^d	ClO_4^-	CH ₃ CN	47	99:1

^{*a*} All runs in duplicate; reaction conditions: [Ni] = 10.2 mM (3 mol%), 90 °C, 1 h, toluene. ^{*b*} Determined with GC. ^{*c*} Determined with GC as the ratio A : B. ^{*d*} Run with 4'-chloroacetophenone.

Paper

 CaH_2 under reduced pressure and passed through a column with neutral Al_2O_3 . Other reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. Complexes **1a–1e** were prepared from nickelocene³³ or **1**,1'-bis-(allyl)nickelocene³⁴ and the appropriate imidazolium salt according to the published method with minor modifications.³

NMR spectra were recorded, unless otherwise noted, at ambient temperature on a Mercury-400BB spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for ¹H NMR, at 101 MHz for ¹³C NMR, at 376 MHz for ¹⁹F NMR, and at 162 MHz for ³¹P NMR. ESI MS were measured on a Mariner spectrometer. EI MS (70 eV) were measured on a AutoSpec Premier (Waters) spectrometer. MAL-DI-TOF MS of polystyrenes were acquired with a Bruker Daltonics ultrafleXtreme[™] mass spectrometer (DCTB matrix with AgCF₃CO₂). The average molecular weights of PS were measured on a LabAlliance liquid chromatograph equipped with a Jordi Gel DVB Mixed Bed column (250 mm × 10 m) using CH₂Cl₂ as the mobile phase at 30 °C and calibrated with standard PS. Conversion and selectivity of Suzuki reactions were determined on an Agilent Technologies 7820 GC System equipped with a FID detector and an Agilent 19091J-413 column. Tetradecane was used as an internal standard.

Synthesis of cationic complexes 2a-2j

General procedure (the reported method¹² was modified). To a solution of $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(Cl)(IMes)]$ (1a) (100 mg, 0.216 mmol) in acetonitrile (3.0 mL), solid AgClO₄ was added (44.8 mg, 0.216 mmol, 1 eq.). The colour of the reaction mixture changed immediately from red to yellow. After stirring for 1 h at room temperature (with protection from light when silver salts were used) the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness *in vacuo*. The resulting solid was washed with diethyl ether (2 × 6 mL) and dried *in vacuo* to give 120.3 mg (0.212 mmol, 98% yield) of $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(IMes)(CH_3CN)]^+$ (ClO₄)⁻ (2e) as a yellow solid.

[Ni(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CH₃CN)(IMes)][†](PF₆)⁻ (2a).¹² Obtained from 1a (186.0 mg, 0.401 mmol) and KPF₆ (73.0 mg, 0.397 mmol, 1 eq.) in acetonitrile (5.0 mL). Yield: 73%, yellow solid (180.0 mg, 0.293 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.20 (2H, s, *HC*=*CH*), 7.13 (4H, s, *m*-Ar*H*), 4.76 (5H, s, C₅*H*₅), 2.43 (6H, s, *p*-ArCH₃), 2.14 (3H, s, *CH*₃CN), 2.11 (12H, s, *o*-ArCH₃).¹² ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ (ppm): 7.43 (2H, s, *HC*=*CH*), 7.21 (4H, s, *m*-Ar*H*), 4.79 (5H, s, C₅*H*₅), 2.43 (6H, s, *p*-ArCH₃), 2.16 (3H, s, CH₃CN), 2.12 (12H, s, *o*-ArCH₃).

[Ni(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CH₃CN)(SIMes)]⁺(PF₆)⁻ (2b). Obtained from 1b (160.0 mg, 0.343 mmol) and KPF₆ (63.0 mg, 0.342 mmol, 1 eq.) in acetonitrile (5.0 mL). Yield: 62%, yellow-brown solid (130.0 mg, 0.211 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.06 (4H, s, *m*-ArH), 4.70 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 3.98 (4H, s, NCH₂-CH₂N), 2.38 (6H, s, *p*-ArCH₃), 2.33 (12H, s, *o*-ArCH₃), 2.20 (3H, s, CH₃CN). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 195.6 (NCN), 139.2 (^{Ar}C), 136.9 (^{Ar}C), 135.7 (^{Ar}C), 129.7 (^{Ar}C), 116.4 (CH₃CN), 93.8 (C₅H₅), 51.5 (NCH₂-CH₂N), 21.1 (*p*-ArCH₃), 18.5 (*o*-ArCH₃), 1.9 (CH₃CN). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -73.48 (d, *J* = 708.7 Hz). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm):

-143.47 (sep, J = 708.7 Hz). **ESI MS** m/z (⁵⁸Ni): 470 ([M - PF₆]⁺), 429 ([M - CH₃CN - PF₆]⁺). **Anal.** Calc. for C₂₈H₃₄F₆N₃NiP: C, 54.57; H, 5.56; N, 6.82. Found: C, 54.38; H, 5.87; N, 7.34%.

 $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CH_3CN)(SIPr)]^+(PF_6)^-$ (2c). Obtained from 1c (219.0 mg, 0.398 mmol) and KPF₆ (72.8 mg, 0.396 mmol, 1 eq.) in acetonitrile (5.0 mL). Yield: 74%, yellow-brown solid (260.0 mg, 0.371 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 7.50 (2H, m, I = 7.6 Hz, *p*-ArH), 7.35 (4H, d, I = 7.6 Hz, *m*-ArH), 4.67 (5H, s, C_5H_5), 4.10 (4H, s, NCH_2-CH_2N), 3.15 (4H, m, J =6.8 Hz, $CH(CH_3)_2$, 2.13 (3H, s, CH_3CN), 1.45 (12H, d, J =6.8 Hz, $CH(CH_3)_2$, 1.30 (12H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, $CH(CH_3)_2$). ¹³C{¹H} **NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 198.0 (NCN), 147.0 (^{Ar}C), 136.1 (^{Ar}C), 130.1 (^{Ar}C), 124.8 (CH₃CN), 94.1 (C₅H₅), 54.1 (NCH₂-CH₂N), 28.7 (CH(CH₃)₂), 26.8 (CH(CH₃)₂), 23.2 (CH- $(CH_3)_2$, 1.0 (CH_3CN) . ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -73.40 (d, J = 709.1 Hz). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -143.49 (sep, J = 709.1 Hz). ESI MS m/z (⁵⁸Ni): 513 $([M - CH_3CN - PF_6]^+)$. Anal. Calc. for $C_{34}H_{46}F_6N_3NiP$: C, 58.30; H, 6.62; N, 6.00. Found: C, 58.34; H, 6.66; N, 6.01%.

 $[Ni(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}CH_{2}CH=CH_{2})(CH_{3}CN)(SIMes)]^{+}(PF_{6})^{-}$ (2d). Obtained from 1d (104.0 mg, 0.206 mmol) and KPF_6 (37.0 mg, 0.203 mmol, 1 eq.) in acetonitrile (5.0 mL). Yield: 75%, yellowbrown solid (100.0 mg, 0.152 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) $\delta(ppm)$: 7.07 (4H, s, *m*-ArH), 5.42 (1H, m, =CH), 4.89, 4.91, and 4.93 (2H, m, $=CH_2$), 4.73 (2H, m, J = 2.2 Hz, C_5H_4), 4.30 (2H, t, J = 2.4 Hz, C_5H_4), 4.00 (4H, s, NCH₂-CH₂N), 2.38 (6H, s, p-ArCH₃), 2.32 (12H, s, o-ArCH₃), 2.26 (3H, s, CH₃CN), 2.19 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, $C_5H_4CH_2$). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 197.4 (NCN), 139.2 (^{Ar}C), 135.9 (*ipso*-^{Ar}C), 133.4 (^{Ar}C) , 130.2 (=*C*H), 129.7 (^{mAr}C) , 128.7 (CH₃CN), 116.8 $(=CH_2)$, 114.8 $(C_5H_4CH_2)$, 97.9 $(C_5H_4CH_2)$, 86.7 $(C_5H_4CH_2)$, 51.5 (NCH₂-CH₂N), 31.4 (C₅H₄CH₂), 21.1 (*p*-ArCH₃), 18.1 (o-ArCH₃), 3.9 (CH₃CN). ESI MS m/z (⁵⁸Ni): 510 ([M - PF₆]⁺). 469 ($[M - CH_3CN - PF_6^{-}]^+$). Anal. Calc. for $C_{31}H_{38}N_3NiPF_6$: C, 56.7; H, 5.79; N, 6.40. Found: C, 56.4; H, 5.75; N, 6.28%.

[Ni(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CH₃CN)(IMes)]⁺(ClO₄)⁻ (2e). Obtained from 1a (100 mg, 0.216 mmol) and AgClO₄ (44.8 mg, 0.216 mmol, 1 eq.) in acetonitrile (3.0 mL). Yield: 98%, yellow solid (120.3 mg, 0.212 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.21 (2H, s, *HC*=C*H*), 7.13 (4H, s, *m*-Ar*H*), 4.77 (5H, s, C₅*H*₅), 2.43 (6H, s, *p*-ArC*H*₃), 2.23 (3H, s, CH₃CN), 2.12 (12H, s, *o*-ArC*H*₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 161.5 (NCN), 140.4 (^{Ar}C), 135.6 (^{Ar}C), 135.0 (^{Ar}C), 129.8 (^{Ar}C), 130.1 (CH₃CN), 125.7 (HC=CH), 93.7 (C₅H₅), 21.4 (*p*-ArCH₃), 18.2 (*o*-ArCH₃), 4.5 (CH₃CN). Anal. Calc. for C₂₈H₃₁ClN₃NiO₄: C, 59.24; H, 5.50; N, 7.40. Found: C, 59.18; H, 5.64; N, 7.39%.

[Ni(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CH₃CN)(IMes)]⁺(CF₃SO₃)⁻ (2f). Obtained from 1a (100.0 mg, 0.216 mmol) and AgCF₃SO₃ (60.0 mg, 0.248 mmol, 1.15 eq.) in acetonitrile (3.0 mL). Yield: 98%, yellow solid (127.4 mg, 0.212 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.21 (2H, s, *H*C=C*H*), 7.13 (4H, s, *m*-Ar*H*), 4.76 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 2.43 (6H, s, *p*-ArCH₃), 2.22 (3H, s, CH₃CN), 2.11 (12H, s, *o*-ArCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 161.2 (NCN), 140.4 (^{Ar}C), 135.6 (^{Ar}C), 134.9 (^{Ar}C), 129.7 (^{Ar}C), 130.0 (CH₃CN), 125.7 (HC=CH), 93.7 (C₅H₅), 21.3 (*p*-ArCH₃), 18.2 (*o*-ArCH₃). 4.4 (CH₃CN). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): -78.65 (s). **Anal**. Calc. for C₂₉H₃₂F₃N₃NiO₃S: C, 56.33; H, 5.22; N, 6.80. Found: C, 56.31; H, 5.30; N, 6.79%.

[Ni(η⁵-C₅H₅)((CH₃)₃CCN)(IMes)]⁺(PF₆)⁻ (2g). Obtained from 1a (117.0 mg, 0.253 mmol) and KPF₆ (62.0 mg, 0.336 mmol, 1.33 eq.) in pivalonitrile (3.0 mL). Yield: 96%, yellow solid (159.3 mg, 0.243 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.26 (2H, s, *HC*=C*H*), 7.13 (4H, bs, *m*-Ar*H*), 4.75 (5H, s, C₅*H*₅), 2.44 (6H, s, *p*-ArC*H*₃), 2.09 (12H, s, *o*-ArC*H*₃), 1.24 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃CCN). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 159.9 (NCN), 140.2 (^{Ar}C), 138.2 (CN), 135.7 (^{Ar}C), 134.8 (^{Ar}C), 129.8 (^{Ar}C), 126.1 (*HC*=C*H*), 93.9 (*C*₅*H*₅), 30.9 ((CH₃)₃CCN), 27.6 ((CH₃)₃CCN), 21.4 (*p*-ArCH₃), 18.2 (*o*-ArCH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -73.55 (d, *J* = 709.0 Hz). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -143.35 (sep, *J* = 709.0 Hz). ESI MS *m*/*z* (⁵⁸Ni): 427 ([M - (CH₃)₃CN - PF₆]⁺). Anal. Calc. for C₃₁H₃₈F₆N₃NiP: C, 56.73; H, 5.84; N, 6.40. Found: C, 56.70; H, 5.77; N, 6.40%.

 $[Ni(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(CH_{3}CN)(Bn_{2}-bimy)]^{+}(PF_{6})^{-}$ (2h). Obtained from 1e (112.1 mg, 0.245 mmol) and KPF₆ (55.0 mg, 0.299 mmol, 1.22 eq.) in acetonitrile (2.5 mL). Yield: 41%, yellow solid (60.8 mg, 0.100 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 7.37 (4H, m, ArH), 7.33 (2H, m, ArH), 7.30-7.24 (4H, m, ArH), 7.11 (4H, bd, J = 7.1 Hz, ArH), 6.45 (2H, bd, J = 13.5 Hz, CH_2), 6.19 (2H, bd, J = 13.8 Hz, CH_2), 5.22 (5H, s, C_5H_5 , 2.03 (3H, s, CH₃CN). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 174.3 (NCN), 135.7 (^{Ar}C), 129.3 (^{Ar}C), 129.1 (CH₃CN), 128.23 (^{Ar}C), 126.2 (^{Ar}C), 125.4 (^{Ar}C), 124.2 (^{Ar}C), 111.5 (^{Ar}C), 93.82 (C₅H₅), 53.1 (CH₂Ph), 3.8 (CH₃CN). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): -73.06 (d, J = 709.2 Hz). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -143.36 (sep, J = 709.2 Hz). ESI MS m/z $({}^{58}Ni):$ 421 ([M - CH₃CN - PF₆]⁺). Anal. Calc. for C₂₈H₂₆F₆PN₃Ni: C, 55.30; H, 4.31; N, 6.91. Found: C, 55.14; H, 4.41; N, 6.90%.

[Ni(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CH₃CN)(Bn₂-bimy)]⁺(ClO₄)⁻ (2i). Obtained from 1e (70.0 mg, 0.153 mmol) and AgClO₄ (33.3 mg, 0.160 mmol, 1.05 eq.) in acetonitrile (1.5 mL). Yield: 68%, yellow solid (58.5 mg, 0.104 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.40–7.27 (10H, m, ArH), 7.12 (4H, bd, J = 7.2 Hz, ArH), 6.46 (2H, bd, CH₂), 6.23 (2H, bd, CH₂), 5.24 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 2.14 (3H, s, CH₃CN). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 174.2 (NCN), 135.7 (^{Ar}C), 129.6 (CH₃CN), 129.3 (^{Ar}C), 128.5 (^{Ar}C), 128.2 (^{Ar}C), 126.2 (^{Ar}C), 124.1 (^{Ar}C), 111.5 (^{Ar}C), 93.9 (C₅H₅), 53.2 (CH₂Ph), 4.4 (CH₃CN). Anal. Calc. for C₂₈H₂₆ClN₃NiO₄·2H₂O: C, 56.17; H, 5.05; N, 7.02. Found: C, 56.74; H, 4.95; N, 7.23%.

[Ni(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CH₃CN)(IMes)]⁺(NO₃)⁻ (2j). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure for cationic complexes from 1a (150.0 mg, 0.324 mmol) and AgNO₃ (55.5 mg, 0.327 mmol, 1 eq.) in acetonitrile (4.5 mL). Yield: 68%, yellow-green solid (116.7 mg, 0.220 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ (ppm): 7.42 (2H, s, *HC*=C*H*), 7.19 (4H, s, *m*-Ar*H*), 4.77 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 2.42 (6H, s, *p*-ArC*H*₃), 2.21(1.6H due to exchange with CD₃CN, bs, CH₃CN), 2.11 (12H, s, *o*-ArC*H*₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₃CN) δ (ppm): 160.0 (NCN), 140.8 (^{Ar}C), 136.8 (^{Ar}C), 136.3 (^{Ar}C), 130.2 (^{Ar}C), 127.0 (HC=CH), 94.24 (C₅H₅), 21.2 (*p*-ArCH₃), 18.3 (*o*-ArCH₃). Anal. Calc. for

C₂₈H₃₂N₄NiO₃: C, 63.30; H, 6.07; N, 10.55. Found: C, 62.99; H, 6.07; N, 10.64%.

 $[\mathrm{Ni}(\eta^5 - \mathrm{C}_5\mathrm{H}_5)(\mathrm{CF}_3\mathrm{COO})(\mathrm{IMes})]$ (3a). To a solution of $[\mathrm{Ni}(\eta^5 - \mathrm{C}_5\mathrm{H}_5)(\mathrm{IMes})\mathrm{Cl}]$ (1a) (80 mg, 0.173 mmol) in acetonitrile (2.0 mL) a solution of $\mathrm{CF}_3\mathrm{CO}_2\mathrm{Ag}$ (39 mg, 0.173 mmol, 1 eq.) in THF (1.0 mL) was added. The colour of the reaction mixture changed immediately from red to yellow. After 1 h of stirring at room temperature with protection from light the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated *in vacuo*. The resulting red solid was washed with diethyl ether (2 \times 3 mL) and dried *in vacuo* to give 63.5 mg of [Ni(C_5\mathrm{H}_5)-(\mathrm{CF}_3\mathrm{COO})(\mathrm{IMes})] as a red solid (0.117 mmol, 68%). Toluene could be used instead of acetonitrile, providing 3a in 46% yield.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.11 (6H, s, *m*-ArH and *H*C=C*H* overlapping), 4.62 (5H, s, C₅*H*₅), 2.44 (6H, s, *p*-ArC*H*₃), 2.09 (12H, s, *o*-ArC*H*₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 166.2 (NCN), 163.8 (q, *J* = 35.6 Hz, CO), 139.34 (^{Ar}C), 136.3 (^{Ar}C), 135.6 (^{Ar}C), 129.3 (^{Ar}C), 124.6 (HC=CH), 114.1 (q, *J* = 291.4 Hz, CF₃), 91.3 (C₅H₅), 21.5 (*p*-ArCH₃), 18.0 (*o*-ArCH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -74.73 (s). EI MS (70 eV) *m/z* (⁵⁸Ni): 540 (M⁺, 24%), 475 ([M - Cp]⁺, 29), 427 ([M - CF₃CO₂]⁺, 29), 303 (IMes⁺, 100). Anal. Calc. for C₂₈H₂₉F₃N₂NiO₂: C, 62.14; H, 5.40; N, 5.18. Found: C, 62.18; H, 5.51; N, 5.20%.

[Ni(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CF₃COO)(SIMes)] (3b). This compound was prepared similarly as described for 3a from 1b (90.0 mg, 0.194 mmol) and CF₃CO₂Ag (43.0 mg, 0.195 mmol, 1 eq.). Yield: 63%, red solid (66.3 mg, 0.122 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.07 (4H, s, *m*-ArH), 4.62 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 3.93 (4H, s, H₂C-CH₂), 2.40 (6H, s, *p*-ArCH₃), 2.31 (12H, s, *o*-ArCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 199.4 (NCN), 163.8 (q, *J* = 35.4 Hz, CO), 138.5 (^{Ar}C), 136.6 (^{Ar}C), 136.5 (^{Ar}C), 129.6 (^{Ar}C), 114.1 (q, *J* = 291.5 Hz, CF₃), 91.6 (C₅H₅), 51.2 (NH₂C-CH₂N), 21.4 (*p*-ArCH₃), 18.0 (*o*-ArCH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -74.30 (s). EI MS (70 eV) *m/z* (⁵⁸Ni): 542 (M⁺, 17%), 477 ([M – Cp]⁺, 16), 429 ([M – CF₃CO₂]⁺, 26), 305 (SIMes⁺, 100). Anal. Calc. for C₂₈H₃₁F₃N₂NiO₂: C, 61.91; H, 5.75; N, 5.16. Found: C, 61.71; H, 5.83; N, 5.18%.

 $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(NO_3)(IMes)]$ (3c). This compound was prepared similarly as described for 3a from 1a (64.0 mg, 0.138 mmol) and AgNO3 (24.0 mg, 0.141 mmol, 1 eq.) in toluene-THF (1.8 mL/1.8 mL) with overnight stirring. Yield: 98%, red solid (66.1 mg, 0.135 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 7.24 (2H, s, HC=CH), 7.12 (4H, s, m-ArH), 3.53 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 2.43 (6H, s, p-ArCH₃), 2.16 (12H, s, o-ArCH₃). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ (ppm): 6.86 (4H, s, *m*-ArH), 6.40 (2H, s, HC=CH), 2.34 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 2.15 (6H, s, p-ArCH₃), 2.06 (12H, s, o-ArCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 139.5 (^{Ar}C), 136.5 (^{Ar}C), 135.5 (^{Ar}C), 129.4 (^{Ar}C), 126.8 (HC=CH), 97.1 (C_5H_5) , 21.4 $(p-ArCH_3)$, 18.1 $(o-ArCH_3)$. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 139.5 (s, ^{Ar}C), 136.5 (s, ^{Ar}C), 135.5 (s, ^{Ar}C), 129.4 (d, J = 151.8 Hz, Mes), 126.6 (d, J = 195.1 Hz, HC=CH), 96.9 (d, J = 169.3 Hz, C_5H_5), 21.4 (q, J =127.3 Hz, p-ArCH₃), 18.1 (q, J = 127.5 Hz, o-ArCH₃). EI MS (70 eV) m/z (⁵⁸Ni): 424 ([M - Cp]⁺, 80%), 378 ([M - Cp - NO₂]⁺,

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Paper

19), 320 (100), 302 (84). **Anal.** Calc. for $C_{26}H_{29}N_3NiO_3 \cdot H_2O$: C, 61.44; H, 6.15; N, 8.27. Found: C, 61.85; H, 5. 92; N, 8.52%. Magnetic susceptibility measurements by Evans' method in toluene-d₈ up to 100 °C gave $\mu \approx 0$.

Attempted exchange of nitrile with styrene in 2

Complex $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(SIMes)(CH_3CN)]^+PF_6^-$ (2b) (40.0 mg, 65.0 µmol) was dissolved in THF (1.0 mL) in a Schlenk tube and styrene (neat, 0.3 mL, 2.6 mmol, 40 eq.) was added. This solution was stirred at 50 °C for 4 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo and the solid residue was washed with hexane (2.0 mL) and diethyl ether (2.0 mL), and dried in vacuo at room temperature. The solid thus obtained, according to ¹H NMR analysis, appeared to be substrate 2b. When CH_2Cl_2 (0.3 mL) was used instead of THF at 35 °C, no reaction occurred. Stirring of 2b (107.0 mg, 173.9 µmol) in styrene (neat, 1.5 mL, 13.0 mmol, 74.8 eq.) at 50 °C gave the same result. Attempts to exchange the pivalonitrile ligand in 2g under conditions analogous to those for 2b in THF also failed. Complex 2h (20.0 mg, 32. 9 µmol) stirred in styrene (neat, 1.0 mL, 8.7 mmol, 967 eq.) at 35 °C underwent transformation to an inseparable mixture of green nickel complexes (by ¹H NMR).

Catalytic activity

General procedure for styrene polymerization. To a suspension of $[Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(IMes)(CH_3CN)]^+(ClO_4)^-$ (2e) (9.3 mg, 16.4 µmol) in toluene (10.0 mL) a solution of MAO in toluene (10% wt. from Aldrich) was added (1.15 mL, Al/Ni = 100). The colour of the reaction mixture changed immediately from pale yellow to brown and white fumes appeared. After stirring for 30 min at room temperature, styrene was added (neat, 1.95 mL, 17.0 mmol). The resulting mixture was immersed in a preheated oil bath maintained at 50 °C and stirred vigorously for 3 h at this temperature. After cooling to the room temperature the reaction mixture was poured into methanol (ca. 200 mL). The resulting polystyrene was isolated by filtration, washed with methanol, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.77 g, 31%. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 146.3-145.1 (*ipso-C*₆H₅), 128.6-126.7 (*C*₆H₅), 126.1-125.6 (C₆H₅), 44.1-41.5 (CH), 40.5, 40.3 (CH₂). MALDI-TOF MS (DCTB, AgCF₃CO₂) m/z: 1459.7 $[(C_8H_8)_{13}^{107}Ag]^+$, 1563.8 $[(C_8H_8)_{14}^{107}Ag]^+, 1667.8 [(C_8H_8)_{15}^{107}Ag]^+.$

General procedure for Suzuki cross-coupling. 4'-Bromoacetophenone (55.1 mg, 0.277 mmol) and phenyl-boronic acid (44.0 mg, 0.361 mmol, 1.3 eq.) were dissolved in toluene (0.80 mL) in a Schlenk tube. Solid K_3PO_4 (153 mg, 0.722 mmol, 2.6 eq.) and tetradecane (internal standard, 7.0 μ L) were then added, followed by 2e (5.0 mg, 8.8 μ mol, 3.2%_{mol}). The tube was immersed in a preheated oil bath maintained at 90 °C and stirred for 1 h at this temperature. After cooling to the room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether, washed with water and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The substrate conversion (74%) and selectivity were determined with GC.

X-ray diffraction studies

Single crystals of 2c suitable for X-ray studies were obtained from a CH_2Cl_2 -hexanes (1:2) solution; single crystals of 3a and 3c were obtained from saturated toluene-hexane solutions at 4 °C; compound 2j was crystallized from a mixture of acetonitrile and diethyl ether at 4 °C. Diffraction data of suitable single crystals were measured on an Agilent K-CCD Gemini A Ultra diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-Ka radiation at 100(2) K for compounds 2c and 3a, and at 293(2) K for 2j and 3c. Cell refinement and data collection as well as data reduction and analysis were performed with the CrysAlis^{PRO} software.35 The structures were solved by direct methods and subsequent Fourier-difference synthesis with ShelXS and refined by full-matrix least-squares against F^2 with ShelXL within the Olex2 program suite.^{36,37} All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and refined as riding atoms with isotropic displacement parameters related to that of the parent atoms. Asymmetric unit of complex 3a contained one half of a severely disordered toluene molecule which was treated with the SQUEEZE procedure implemented in PLATON.³⁸ The structure model of compound 3c was refined as an inversion twin with the twin ratio refined to 43:57. Attempts to refine the crystal structure in centrosymmetric space group failed giving unphysical ADPs. Data analysis was carried out using Olex2 and PLATON. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters are given in Table 1 and CCDC 972867-972870.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the National Science Centre for financial support of this work (grant DEC-2011/01/B/ST5/06297). Financial support was also provided by the Warsaw University of Technology (P.A.G.).

Notes and references

- (a) W. A. Hermann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1290;
 (b) L. Cavallo, A. Correa, C. Costabile and H. Jacobsen, J. Organomet. Chem., 2005, 690, 5407; (c) F. E. Hahn and M. C. Jahnke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3122;
 (d) S. Diez-Gonzales, N. Marion and S. P. Nolan, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 3612.
- 2 For selected recent examples, see: (a) C. J. E. Davies, M. J. Page, C. E. Ellul, M. F. Mahhon and M. K. Whittlesey, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 5151; (b) S. Miyazaki, Y. Koga, T. Matsumoto and K. Matsubara, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 1932; (c) K. Zhang, M. Conda-Sheridan, S. R. Cooke and J. Louie, *Organometallics*, 2011, 30, 2546; (d) C. Lohre, T. Dröge, C. Wang and F. Glorius, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 6052; (e) B. Liu, X. Liu, C. Chen, C. Chen and W. Chen, *Organometallics*, 2012, 31, 282; (f) H. Song, D. Fan, Y. Liu, G. Hou and G. Zi, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2013, 729, 40;

(g) K. Vin Tan, J. L. Dutton, B. W. Skelton, D. J. D. Wilsom and P. J. Barnard, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 1913; (h) E. A. Bielinski, W. Dai, L. M. Guard, N. Hazari and M. K. Takase, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 4025.

- 3 C. D. Abernethy, A. H. Cowley and R. A. Jones, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2000, **596**, 3.
- 4 (a) R. A. Kelly, N. M. Scott, S. Diez-Gonzalez, E. D. Stevens and S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics*, 2005, 24, 3442;
 (b) A. R. Martin, Y. Makida, S. Meiries, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics*, 2013, 32, 6265.
- 5 W. Buchowicz, A. Kozioł, L. B. Jerzykiewicz, T. Lis, S. Pasynkiewicz, A. Pęcherzewska and A. Pietrzykowski, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2006, 257, 118.
- 6 E. F. Hahn, B. Heidrich, A. Hepp and T. Pape, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **692**, 4630.
- 7 V. Ritleng, C. Barth, E. Brenner, S. Milosevic and M. J. Chetcuti, *Organometallics*, 2008, **27**, 4223.
- 8 W. Buchowicz, W. Wojtczak, A. Pietrzykowski, A. Lupa, L. B. Jerzykiewicz, A. Makal and K. Woźniak, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 648.
- 9 A. M. Oertel, V. Ritleng and M. J. Chetcuti, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 2829.
- 10 O. R. Luca, B. A. Thompson, M. K. Takase and R. H. Crabtree, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2013, **730**, 79.
- 11 W. Buchowicz, J. Conder, D. Hryciuk and J. Zachara, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2014, **381**, 16.
- 12 V. Ritleng, A. M. Oertel and M. J. Chetcuti, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 8153.
- 13 D. A. Malyshev, N. M. Scott, N. Marion, E. D. Stevens, V. P. Ananikov, I. P. Beletskaya and S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics*, 2006, 25, 4462.
- 14 (a) L. Postigo and B. Royo, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2012, 354, 2613; (b) L. P. Bheeter, M. Henrion, L. Brelot, C. Darcel, M. J. Chetcuti, J.-B. Sortais and V. Ritleng, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2012, 354, 2619.
- 15 D. Gareau, C. Sui-Seng, L. F. Groux, F. Brisse and D. Zargarian, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 4003.
- 16 A. M. Oertel, J. Freudenreich, J. Gein, V. Ritleng, L. F. Veiros and M. J. Chetcuti, *Organometallics*, 2011, 30, 3400.
- 17 A. M. Oertel, V. Ritleng, A. Busiah, L. F. Veiros and M. J. Chetcuti, *Organometallics*, 2011, 30, 6495.
- 18 As suggested by a referee, we tried to observe both 2j and 3c in coexistence; in a mixed solvent CDCl₃-CD₃CN both species were observed by ¹H NMR in a *ca.* 1 : 1 ratio (according to Cp integration).
- 19 H. V. Huynh, Y. Han, R. Jothibasu and J. A. Yang, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 5395.

- 20 Typical values of ¹H NMR chemical shifts for η^5 -Cp ligands in reported [Ni(Cp)(X)(NHC)] complexes are in the range from 4.5 to 4.8 ppm. See ref. 4–10.
- 21 For an example of spin equilibrium in a half-sandwich nickel complex [Cp*Ni(acac)] see: M. E. Smith and R. A. Andersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, 118, 11119; for spin equilibria in square-planar/tetrahedral complexes [NiX₂L₂] see: R. G. Hayter and F. S. Humiec, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1963, 2, 1701; G. R. van Hecke and W. D. Horrocks, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1966, 5, 1968; G. N. La Mar and E. O. Sherman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, 92, 2691.
- 22 P. Gütlich, B. R. McGarvey and W. Kläui, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1980, **19**, 3704.
- 23 D. F. Evans, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 2003.
- 24 G. Wilkinson, P. L. Pauson, J. M. Birmingham and F. A. Cotton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1953, 75, 1011.
- 25 F. H. Köhler, J. Organomet. Chem., 1976, 110, 235.
- 26 P. Seiler; and J. D. Dunitz, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem., 1980, 36, 2255.
- 27 The CSD (version 5.34, May 2013) search was restricted to complexes containing Ni cations with both Cp and NHC ligands. Only structures with *R* factor lower than 0.05 and containing neither errors nor disorder were considered. The resulting data set comprises 20 entries.
- 28 (a) L. F. Johnson, F. Heatley and F. A. Bovey, *Macromolecules*, 1970, 3, 175; (b) N. Ishihara, T. Seimiya, M. Kuramoto and M. Uoi, *Macromolecules*, 1986, 19, 2464.
- 29 In this "classical" cationic polymerization propagation occurs through addition of a monomer to the carbocation.
- 30 A. M. Oertel, V. Ritleng, L. Burr and M. J. Chetcuti, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 6685.
- 31 A related Ni(0)-NHC-(styrene)₂ complex has been also reported; see M. J. Iglesias, J. F. Blandez, M. R. Fructos, A. Prieto, E. Álvarez, T. R. Belderrain and M. C. Nicasio, *Organometallics*, 2012, 31, 6312.
- 32 D. D. Perrin and W. L. F. Armarego, *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1988.
- 33 K. W. Barnett, J. Chem. Educ., 1974, 51, 422.
- 34 W. Buchowicz, L. B. Jerzykiewicz, A. Krasińska, S. Losi, A. Pietrzykowski and P. Zanello, *Organometallics*, 2006, 25, 5076.
- 35 CrysAlisPro Software system, Agilent Technologies UK Ltd, Oxford, UK, 2011.
- 36 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112.
- 37 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2009, 42, 339.
- 38 A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2003, 36, 7.