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Over the recent years, several Re(I) organometallic compounds have been shown to be toxic to various cancer cell lines. However, these compounds lacked sufficient selectivity towards cancer tissues to be used as novel chemotherapeutic agents. In this study, we probe the potential of two known N,N-bis(quinolinoyl) Re(I) tricarbonyl complex derivatives, namely Re(I) tricarbonyl [N,N-bis(quinolin-2-ylmethyl)-amino]-4-butane-1-amine (Re–NH2) and Re(I) tricarbonyl [N,N-bis(quinolin-2-ylmethyl)amino]-5-valeric acid (Re–COOH), as photodynamic therapy (PDT) photosensitizers. Re–NH2 and Re–COOH proved to be excellent singlet oxygen generators in a lipophilic environment with quantum yields of about 75%. Furthermore, we envisaged to improve the selectivity of Re–COOH via conjugation to two types of peptides, namely a nuclear localization signal (NLS) and a derivative of the neuropeptide bombesin, to form Re–NLS and Re–Bombesin, respectively. Fluorescent microscopy on cervical cancer cells (HeLa) showed that the conjugation of Re–COOH to NLS significantly enhanced the compound’s accumulation into the cell nucleus and more specifically into its nucleoli. Importantly, in view of PDT applications, the cytotoxicity of the Re complexes and their bioconjugates increased significantly upon light irradiation. In particular, Re–Bombesin was found to be at least 20-fold more toxic after light irradiation. DNA photocleavage studies demonstrated that all compounds damaged DNA via singlet oxygen and to a minor extent, superoxide production.

Introduction

Over the last few years, an impressive amount of organometallic compounds has been screened for cytotoxicity to various cancer cell lines.1–6 Among them, several organometallic rhenium complexes have been reported to possess an anti-proliferative activity comparable to or even exceeding that of cisplatin.7–13 However, to avoid the severe side-effects affecting patients during chemotherapy, high cytotoxicity is not enough – an improved selectivity towards cancer cells is required.14 One of the possible strategies to overcome this important problem is to identify a target specific to cancer cells – e.g. an overexpressed receptor – and to conjugate the active compound to a moiety recognized by that target. Rhenium compounds such as Re(I) tricarbonyl N,N-bis(quinolinolyl) complex derivatives can easily be coupled to potential targeting vectors, as previously reported by Valliant, Zubieta, Doyle, Metzler-Nolte and our group.15–23 Due to their long lifetimes, polarized emission and large Stokes shifts, these organometallic complexes have been initially developed as luminescent probes24–28 and proven themselves particularly useful to study the behaviour of bioconjugates in cells. As mentioned earlier, these probes have been coupled to numerous biologically relevant molecules, such as formyl peptide receptor targeting peptide,15 biotin,16 glucose,17 β-breaker peptide derivatives,18 folate,19 cell permeation peptides,20 vitamin B12,21 and peptide nucleic acids.22,23 In addition to their imaging properties, some of the conjugates have shown therapeutic potential. For instance, the Re–β-breaker peptides inhibit amyloid plaque formation associated with Alzheimer’s disease.18 The Re–B12 derivative showed only a moderate cytotoxicity to the chorionicarcinoma cell line (BeWo),21 but the Re–folate conjugate was found to be strongly toxic to human multidrug-resistant ovarian carcinoma (A2780/AD) and Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell lines.19 Of note, the Re(I) complexes used in these studies also possess a certain anti-proliferative activity on their own. Importantly, due to the isostructurality of Re(I) with Tc(I), the 99mTc bioconjugate analogues could be prepared.
in a few of these studies allowing radioimaging to be performed.15,16,18,29

Another approach to specifically kill cancer cells is to use an external trigger to select the area where an initially inactive compound can be activated. A good example of such an approach is photodynamic therapy (PDT). This medical technique is based on the ability of certain molecules called photosensitizers (PS) to generate highly reactive singlet oxygen (1O₂) upon light irradiation. Although some PS, such as certain porphyrin derivatives, tend to accumulate more in tumour tissues,30 other PS often lack selectivity for cancer cells. This can lead to accumulation of PS in healthy tissues rendering patients photosensitive, in some cases, up to several weeks after the end of the treatment.31 Although many Re complexes are known to be efficient triplet PS,32-35 so far, most of them have been applied in photocatalysis and, to the best of our knowledge, the first anti-cancer application of rhenium(i) complexes with light was only recently reported by the group of Meggers.36 This is very surprising since, given their high activity as triplet PS in photocatalysis, such compounds should be excellent 1O₂ generators in cells.

In this article, we present the characterization of 1O₂ production of two Re(i) organometallic complexes, the preparation of nuclear and bombesin receptor targeting peptide conjugates of a Re(i) tricarbonyl N,N-bis(quinolinyl) complex, as well as the biological activity to human cancer cells (HeLa) and fibroblasts (MRC-5) of the Re(i) complexes and conjugates thereof.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization

Re(i) tricarbonyl [N,N-bis(quinolin-2-ylmethyl)amino]-4-butane-1-amine (Re–NH₂) and Re(i) tricarbonyl [N,N-bis(quinolin-2-ylmethyl)amino]-5-valeric acid (Re–COOH) complexes (Fig. 1) were prepared via reductive amination of quinoline-2-carboxaldehyde with the corresponding amine, followed by complexation with [Re(CO)₅Br₂][NEt₄], as previously reported.19,21 Re–COOH was then successfully coupled to two different targeting peptides on the solid phase using a similar experiment described by Metzler-Nolte et al.37,38 to obtain the bioconjugates Re–NLS and Re–Bombesin, respectively (Fig. 1). Re–NLS contains a short nuclear localization signal (NLS) peptide39 in order to bring the Re complex to the nucleus in close proximity of DNA. Most approved PS localize in other organelles rather than the nucleus (e.g. membranes, mitochondria, lysosomes, endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus).40 However, many PS have long been known to damage DNA upon light irradiation.41,42 The second conjugate, Re–Bombesin, holds a derivative of the neuropeptide bombesin, known for its excellent in vivo stability and biodistribution.43,44 The purpose of this peptide is to selectively transport the complex to cancer cells (over healthy cells), as it targets a receptor overexpressed in certain types of cancer. Both Re–NLS and Re–Bombesin were purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC and lyophilized to obtain light-yellow powders. The presence of the two expected bioconjugates was ascertained by HR ESI-MS and MALDI-TOF (Fig. S8, S10, S12, S13). Several charge states, namely at m/z 342.69 [M + 3H]⁴⁺, 456.85 [M + 2H]²⁺ and 684.78 [M + H]⁺, were observed by HR ESI-MS for Re–NLS, as can be expected for such a highly positively charged peptide conjugate. By contrast, only charge state 929.88 [M + H]⁺ was detected for the less charged Re–Bombesin conjugate. Characteristic Re isotopic pattern was present in both HR ESI-MS and MALDI-TOF spectra. The purity of Re–NLS and Re–Bombesin was further confirmed by LC-MS (Fig. S9 and S14) and elemental analysis.

Singlet oxygen production

In order to assess the phototoxic potential of our Re(i) organometallic complexes, Re–NH₂ and Re–COOH were assayed in water and acetonitrile for 1O₂ production using both an indirect method – an N,N-dimethyl-4-nitrosoaniline (RNO)/histidine test15 – and a direct detection by near-infrared luminescence.46 In the first assay, histidine quenches 1O₂ and the resulting trans-annular peroxide bleaches RNO absorbance at 440 nm (Fig. S15 and S16). In acetonitrile, imidazole instead of histidine was used due to the poor solubility of histidine in this solvent. To verify the indirect method results, direct measurements of characteristic 1O₂ near-IR luminescence at 1270 nm were performed (Fig. S17). For both methods, 1O₂ quantum yields were then calculated using phenalenone as the reference.47-49 As can be seen in Table 1, the two methods yielded similar results. The nature of the solvent strongly affected the 1O₂ quantum yields of the Re complexes. Although they are mediocre PS in water (quantum yields of about 25%), these compounds are excellent 1O₂ producers in more lipophilic solvents such as acetonitrile with quantum yields of about 75%. Since cells provide not only polar, but also lipophilic environments, our compounds could prove to be efficient PS in vitro as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Singlet oxygen production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re–NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re–COOH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ Measured by the RNO/histidine assay.ᵇ Measured by luminescence.
Intracellular localization

To understand the behaviour of the organometallic complexes Re–NH$_2$ and Re–COOH and of the bioconjugates Re–NLS and Re–Bombesin, their cellular localization was evaluated in HeLa cells. For this purpose, the cells were incubated with the compounds, fixed with formaldehyde and imaged by fluorescence microscopy. As shown in Fig. 2, Re–NH$_2$ alone is observed exclusively in the cytoplasm and Re–COOH is homogeneously distributed throughout the cell. Conjugation to an NLS peptide greatly enhances Re complex accumulation in the nucleoli. These subnuclear domains mainly house ribosomal RNA transcription, processing and assembly, but they have other important functions, such as cell cycle and mitosis regulation, stress response and assembly of non-ribosomal ribonucleoprotein particles. Multifunctional, nucleoli contain both DNA (mostly ribosomal) and RNA, as well as proteins, which are either dynamic or immobilized. Re–NLS could potentially interact with one or several of these components. While NLS sequences have been well characterized, nucleolar localization signals (NoLS) have been far less defined. They do seem to share structural motifs with NLS, such as the presence of Arg and Lys residues, and are even sometimes part of NLS. Hence, it is also possible that the combination of NLS with the Re complex is recognized as a NoLS. Of note, a Ru complex conjugated to an octaarginine peptide with fluorescein has been found to be mainly localized in nucleoli. On the other hand, some proteins are only captured by nucleoli as part of the cellular stress response that can be caused by toxins. Thus, it cannot be excluded that the compound initially interacts with one of these proteins and is later transported with them to nucleoli. Overall, given the importance of nucleoli in proper cell function, the production of singlet oxygen by Re–NLS should severely affect cell health. Since it has been reported that the nucleoli localization could sometimes be observed as an artefact of cell fixation, the results were confirmed in living cells (Fig. S22†). Re–Bombesin gave a very weak luminescence signal and therefore could not be localized. This could either be due to its poor uptake by cells or the quenching of the fluorescence inside the cell. Indeed, the luminescence of similar complexes has already been reported to be quenched by vitamin B$_{12}$ or in living cells.

Cytotoxicity studies

With this information in hand, we then assessed the cytotoxicity of the Re complexes Re–NH$_2$ and Re–COOH and of bioconjugates Re–NLS and Re–Bombesin on two different cell lines, namely the cervical cancer (HeLa) and human fibroblast (MRC-5) cell lines. As shown in Table 2, Re–NH$_2$ and Re–COOH alone only affected HeLa and MRC-5 cells at concentrations higher than 100 μM. Conjugation of the bombesin derivative to Re–COOH did not affect its toxicity to HeLa cells, but made Re–Bombesin moderately toxic to MRC-5 cells. The latter have already been reported to be slightly affected by bombesin derivatives, albeit less than the cancer cells. The difference in Re–Bombesin cytotoxicity to HeLa and MRC-5 could also be due to the fact that the bombesin derivative used in this study has been optimized on the androgen-independent human prostate cancer (PC-3) cell line.

![Fig. 2](image-url) Fluorescence microscopy images showing HeLa cells fixed after treatment with: (a) Re–NH$_2$ 100 μM 2 h; (b) Re–COOH 2.5 μM 2 h; (c) Re–NLS 100 μM 1 h; (d) Re–Bombesin 50 μM 2 h.

Table 2 Cytotoxicity to on HeLa and MRC-5 cell lines after 4 h treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>HeLa dark IC$_{50}$ (μM)</th>
<th>HeLa UV IC$_{50}$ (μM)</th>
<th>MRC-5 dark IC$_{50}$ (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NLS</td>
<td>&gt;100$^a$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;100$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombesin</td>
<td>&gt;100$^a$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;100$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re–NH$_2$</td>
<td>187.1 ± 17.9$^a$</td>
<td>17.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>&gt;100$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re–COOH</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>&gt;100$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re–NLS</td>
<td>35.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>18.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>17.8 ± 1.8$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re–</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>44.1 ± 9.9$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombesin</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.6$^a$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10.5 ± 2.8$^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ IC$_{50}$ after 48 h treatment incubation.
mitochondria-disrupting peptide derivative.\textsuperscript{58} Coupling of the NLS peptide increased dark toxicity of \textit{Re–NLS} to both HeLa and MRC-5, probably due to the compound’s interactions with nucleoli. Of note, both peptides, namely NLS and Bombesin alone, were found to be non-toxic to cells at concentrations up to 100 \( \mu \)M. To probe the light toxicity, HeLa cells incubated with our compounds were irradiated with UVA light (emission maximum at 350 nm, 2.58 J cm\(^{-2}\)). Little changes were observed for \textit{Re–NLS} that was already cytotoxic in the dark. All other compounds became more cytotoxic upon light irradiation. The most pronounced increase (20-fold) was observed for the \textit{Re–Bombesin} derivative that had a cytotoxicity comparable to cisplatin after a 2.58 J cm\(^{-2}\) irradiation dose – an amount smaller than or comparable to that normally used for UVA activated compounds, such as certain platinum complexes.\textsuperscript{60–65}

DNA photo-cleavage

Encouraged by the promising results obtained on cancer cells, we decided to obtain more insight into the potential mode of action of our compounds by evaluating the possible damage induced by \textit{Re–NH\(_2\)}, \textit{Re–NLS}, \textit{Re–COOH} and \textit{Re–Bombesin} to nucleic acids. DNA photo-cleavage experiments were performed on closed circular plasmid DNA (pcDNA3) as previously reported by Barton \textit{et al.}.\textsuperscript{66} pcDNA3 was incubated with various concentrations of our compounds and irradiated for 10 min (2.58 J cm\(^{-2}\)) at 350 nm. Upon light irradiation, all compounds converted the supercoiled DNA form to circular/nicked forms, albeit at different concentrations (Fig. S18 and S20†). While \textit{Re–NH\(_2\)}, \textit{Re–COOH} and \textit{Re–Bombesin} displayed an effect for high concentration (50 \( \mu \)M–100 \( \mu \)M), \textit{Re–NLS}, for instance, already extensively damaged DNA at a concentration of 5 \( \mu \)M (Fig. 3), 5–10-fold lower than the other compounds. Such a strong effect is possibly due to its high positive charge (5+) at physiological pH that allows a stronger interaction of \textit{Re–NLS} with the negatively charged DNA backbone. Interestingly though, \textit{Re–NLS} has the lowest photo-toxicity of all compounds. Further investigation on pcDNA3 treated with \textit{Re–NLS} and reactive oxygen species quenchers, namely KI (superoxide: \textit{O}\(_2^{-}\)), NaN\(_3\) (1O\(_2\)) and mannitol (hydroxyl radical: OH\(^{-}\)), revealed a major involvement of \textit{O}\(_2^{-}\) and, to a lesser extent, \textit{O}\(_2\)\(^{-}\) in DNA photo-cleavage (Fig. S19†). DNA incubated with our compounds in the dark did not show a significant effect up to the highest concentration used.

Conclusions

In this article, we present one of the first studies on the use of \textit{Re} \((\text{I})\) organometallic complexes as photosensitizers for PDT purposes. We demonstrated that \textit{Re} \((\text{I})\) tricarbonyl \(N,N\)-bis(quinolino|l|y) complexes could efficiently produce \( \text{O}_2\) in lipophilic environments and induce DNA damage. Importantly, the localization or cellular uptake of the organometallic complexes could be controlled by attachment to targeting molecules. Although the irradiation wavelengths used in this study are not ideal since they only slightly penetrate through the skin, this work opens new avenues for the search for metal-containing photosensitizers. Indeed, of the 14 PDT agents accepted by the FDA, two already contain a metal ion (lutetium and tin) and another one containing a palladium ion (Tookad) is in clinical trial phase III.\textsuperscript{40,67} We believe that the specific physico-chemical properties of metal complexes in particular of organometallic complexes will have an important role to play in this field of research. In addition, since \( ^{99}\text{Tc} \) analogues of \textit{Re} \((\text{I})\) tricarbonyl \(N,N\)-bis(quinolino|l|y) can be easily prepared, \textit{in vivo} imaging studies could be performed, shedding light on important biodistribution data.

Experimental section

Materials

Chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade or better and were purchased from commercial suppliers. They were used without further purification unless otherwise specified. The plasmid pcDNA3 was obtained from Invitrogen.

Instrumentation and methods

\(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker 400 and 500 spectrometers. Elemental microanalyses were obtained on a LecoCHNS-932 elemental analyser. High-resolution accurate mass spectra were performed on a Bruker maXis QTOF high-resolution mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). UV spectra were recorded using a Carry 50 Scan Varian spectrophotometer. ESI-MS and LC-MS spectra were obtained using a Bruker Daltonics HCT 6000 mass spectrometer. LC-MS spectra were measured using an Acquity™ from Waters system equipped with a PDA detector and an auto sampler using an Agilent Zorbax 300SB-C18 analytical column (3.5 \( \mu \)m particle size, 300 \( \AA \) pore size, 150 × 4.6 mm). The LC run (flow rate: 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\)) was performed with a linear gradient of A (double distilled water containing 0.1% v/v formic acid) and B (acetonitrile containing 0.1% v/v formic acid); \( t = 0 \) min, 5% B; \( t = 3 \) min, 5% B; \( t = 17 \) min, 100% B; \( t = 20 \) min, 100% B; \( t = 25 \) min, 5% B. HPLC purification was performed using a Varian ProStar system and an Agilent Zorbax 300 SB-C18 prep column (5 \( \mu \)m particle size, 300 \( \AA \) pore size, 150 × 21.1 mm; flow rate: 20 mL min\(^{-1}\)). The runs were carried out with a linear gradient of A (double distilled water containing 0.1% v/v TFA) and B (acetonitrile containing 0.1% v/v TFA, Sigma-Aldrich HPLC-grade). Preparative runs: \( t = 0 \) min, 5% B;
Synthesis and characterization

Re-NH$_2$. The complex was synthesised by following a previously published procedure. The analytical data matched those previously reported.$^{21}$

Re-COOH. The complex was synthesised by following a previously published procedure. The analytical data matched those previously reported.$^{19}$

Peptide synthesis

The peptide synthesis was performed similarly to a procedure reported by Metzler-Nolte.$^{37}$ More specifically, the peptides with C-terminal amide were prepared manually in one-way polypropylene syringes (5 or 10 mL) equipped with a frit using a standard solid-phase peptide synthesis procedure. All reactions were carried out using a mechanical shaker. Polystyrene resin beads of TentaGel S RAM Lys(Boc)Fmoc (capacity 0.23 mmol g$^{-1}$) or TentaGel S RAM (capacity 0.24 mmol g$^{-1}$) were swollen in DMF for 1 hour before use. The resin was deprotected with piperidine (20% v/v in DMF) for 2 + 10 min. The resin was then washed with DMF (5×), DCM (5×), and DMF (5×). A Fmoc-protected amino acid was first pre-activated (4.5 equiv. amino acid, 4 equiv. TBTU, 8 equiv. DIPEA in DMF) for 2 min and then reacted with the resin for 30 min. Afterwards, the resin beads were washed with DMF (5×) and DCM (5×), and a Kaiser test was performed to check if the coupling was complete. Prior to cleavage, the resin was shrunk in methanol and dried. The peptides were cleaved using a mixture of trifluoroacetic acid-water-trisopropylsilane, 38:1:1 v/v/v (3 x 1 mL, for 1.5 h each). The collected solutions were combined and dried and the crude peptides were precipitated with cold ether. They were then purified by preparative HPLC.

Characterization data for NLS. ESI-MS $m/z$ 359.2 [M + 2H]$^{2+}$, 717.4 [M + H]$^+$. HR ESI-MS found $m/z$ 604.3241, calcld for [C$_{48}$H$_{66}$N$_{16}$O$_{14}$S]$^{2+}$ $m/z$ 604.3245. MALDI-TOF $m/z$ 1207.6 [M + H]$^+$. Anal. Found: C, 50.02; H, 5.99; N, 15.33. Calc. for [C$_{48}$H$_{66}$F$_{2}$N$_{16}$O$_{14}$S]: C, 50.27; H, 6.05; N, 15.63.

Re-NLS and Re-Bombesin

Re-COOH was coupled to NLS or bombesin derivative peptide by solid-phase synthesis prior to peptide cleavage as described above. Characterization data for Re-NLS. ESI-MS $m/z$ 342.9 [M + 3H]$^{3+}$, 456.8 [M + 2H]$^{2+}$, 684.6 [M + H]$^+$. HR ESI-MS found $m/z$ 342.8947, 456.8573, 684.7820, calcld for [C$_{55}$H$_{29}$N$_{19}$O$_{13}$ReS]$^{3+}$ $m/z$ 342.8956, 456.8582, 684.7832. MALDI-TOF $m/z$ 1368.4 [M]$^+$. Characterization data for Re-Bombesin. ESI-MS $m/z$ 620.3 [M + 2H]$^{2+}$, 929.8 [M + H]$^+$. HR ESI-MS found $m/z$ 929.8817, calcld for [C$_{90}$H$_{109}$N$_{19}$O$_{22}$ReS$^+$] $m/z$ 929.8825. MALDI-TOF $m/z$ 1858.04 [M]$^+$. Anal. Found: C, 49.41; H, 5.09; N, 12.03. Calc. for [C$_{90}$H$_{109}$F$_{13}$N$_{19}$O$_{22}$S]: C, 49.17; H, 5.00; N, 12.11.

Singlet oxygen production

$N,N$-Dimethyl-4-nitrosoaniline/histidine assay. The singlet oxygen production was measured by the $N,N$-dimethyl-4-nitrosoaniline/histidine assay based on the oxidation of histidine by singlet oxygen and the subsequent reaction of the oxidised histidine with $N,N$-dimethyl-4-nitrosoaniline as previously described.$^{45,68}$ The absorbance of the compound was adjusted to approximately 0.2 at the irradiation wavelength. In practice, 20 mM DMSO stock solution of compound to measure were run by repeating the measurements in the absence of histidine. $N,N$-Dimethyl-4-nitrosoaniline/histidine assay based on the oxidation of histidine with $N,N$-dimethyl-4-nitrosoaniline was followed by monitoring of the absorption at 440 nm. Negative control experiments were run by repeating the measurements in the absence of histidine. The same conditions were also used for singlet oxygen production in acetonitrile, except that imidazole was used instead of histidine due to the low solubility of histidine in this solvent. In addition, the absorbance peak of $N,N$-dimethyl-4-nitrosoaniline shifts to 415 nm in acetonitrile. The absorbance at 440/415 nm was then plotted as a function of irradiation time and the quantum yields of singlet oxygen formation ($\Phi_{\text{sample}}$) were calculated using phenalenone as the standard (reference$^+$) with the following formula:

$$\Phi_{\text{sample}} = \Phi_{\text{reference}} \frac{S_{\text{sample}}}{S_{\text{reference}}} \frac{I_{\text{sample}}}{I_{\text{reference}}}$$

where $S$ is the slope of the absorbance vs. irradiation time and $I$ is the rate of light absorption calculated as the overlap of the lamp emission spectra and the absorption spectra of the compound according to the following formula:

$$I = \int_\lambda I_\lambda [1 - 10^{-A(\lambda)}] d\lambda$$

where $I_\lambda$ is the light-flux intensity of the lamp and $A$ is the absorbance of the compound.
Near-infrared luminescence

A 20 mM stock solution in DMSO of the compound to measure was diluted in D_{2}O or acetonitrile to reach approximately 0.2 absorbance at the irradiation wavelength. This solution was then irradiated in fluorescence quartz cuvettes (width 1 cm) using a UV lamp (350 nm, slit 29.4 nm). Singlet oxygen near-IR luminescence at 1271 nm was measured by recording spectra from 1200 to 1350 nm (emission slit 5 nm, detector sensitivity 100, integration 3 (1)). The intensity of irradiation was varied via neutral density filters. Singlet oxygen luminescence peaks at different irradiation intensities were integrated and the resulting areas were plotted vs. irradiation intensities. The quantum yields were then calculated by applying the same formulas as those for the N,N-dimethyl-4-nitrosoaniline/histidine assay.

DNA photo-cleavage

The DNA photo-cleavage effect provoked by complexes Re−NH_{2}, Re−NLS, Re−COOH and Re−Bombesin was investigated by electrophoresis. Supercropped pcDNA3 plasmid [0.10 μg] was treated with increasing concentrations of the rhenium compound in a buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, 18 mM NaCl, pH 7.2), incubated 20 minutes at 25 °C and irradiated at 350 nm for 10 minutes (Rayonet Chamber Reactor Complex, 2.58 J cm^{-2}). Moreover, a further series of positive controls of pcDNA3 plasmid treated with 2 in the presence of mannitol (15 mM, to quench ‘OH), NaNO_{2} (15 mM, to quench ‘O_{2}) and KI (15 mM, to quench O_{2}−) was also performed (see Fig. S19†). A series of negative controls of the plasmid treated with different concentrations of Re−NH_{2}, Re−NLS, Re−COOH and Re−Bombesin in the dark was used for comparative purposes. The cleavage of the target plasmid not photo-mediated was also studied at different incubation temperatures and in the presence of the restriction enzyme BstXI (1 h incubation at 37 °C) which linearized pcDNA3 (see Fig. S20†). Upon irradiation, loading buffer (2.5% Bromophenol Blue, 1% SDS, 0.1 M EDTA, 20% Ficoll 400 in 100 mM of H_{2}O) was added to samples and they were analyzed by electrophoreses in 0.8% agarose in TBE 1× (diluted from a 10× solution of 108 g of Tris/HCl, and 55 g of H_{3}BO_{3} in 900 mL of H_{2}O) at 70 °C (BioRad Powerpack 1000, BioRad) for 2 h. The gel was prestained with 0.5 μg mL^{-1} ethidium bromide, photographed and worked out with an AlphaDigiDoc 1000 CCD camera (Buchner Biotec AG) and AlphaImager software.

Cell culture

Human cervical carcinoma cells (HeLa) were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS, Gibco), 100 U mL^{-1} penicillin, and 100 μg mL^{-1} streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO_{2}. Normal lung fibroblasts (MRC-5) were maintained in F-10 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS, Gibco), 100 U mL^{-1} penicillin, and 100 μg mL^{-1} streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO_{2}.

Cytotoxicity studies

The cytotoxicity of the rhenium complexes and their bioconjugates non-UV-irradiated or UV-irradiated to HeLa cells was measured by a fluorometric cell viability assay using Resazurin (Promocell GmbH). Cells were seeded in triplicates in 96-well plates at a density of 4 × 10^{5} cells per well in 100 μL 24 h prior to treatment. To assess the cytotoxicity, cells were treated with increasing concentrations of compounds for 48 h. For phototoxicity studies, cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the compounds for 4 h only. After that, the medium was removed and replaced by complete medium prior to 10 min UV-A irradiation (30 kJ m^{-2}). Cells were then returned to the incubator for 48 h. After incubation, the medium was replaced by 100 μL complete medium containing resazurin (0.2 mg mL^{-1} final concentration). Upon 4 h of incubation at 37 °C, the fluorescence of the highly red fluorescent resorufin product was quantified at 590 nm emission with 540 nm excitation wavelength using a SpectraMax M5 microplate reader.

In vitro fluorescence evaluation

Cellular localization of the luminescent rhenium complexes and bioconjugates was assessed by fluorescence microscopy. HeLa cells were grown on 18 mm Menzel-Glaser coverslips in 2 mL complete medium at a density of 1 × 10^{5} cells per mL and incubated for 1 or 2 h with Rhenium complexes at their IC_{50} or at 100 μM for nontoxic complexes. Cells were fixed in a 4% formaldehyde solution in PBS and mounted on slides for viewing by confocal microscopy using a CLSM Leica SP5 microscope. The rhenium complexes were excited at 405 nm and the emission above 420 nm was recorded. For living cell imaging, cells were grown on 35 mm Cellview glass bottom dishes (Greiner), washed and kept in 1× PBS prior to imaging using an Olympus IX 81 motorized inverted microscope (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) equipped with a 60× oil-immersion lens and a digital camera. The Rhenium complex was visualized using the Cy3 filter set of the Olympus microscope (ex., 550 nm; em., 570 nm).
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Notes and references

Dalton Transactions


38 A cysteine amino acid was added to the N-terminus of the peptide to allow further coupling with different biomolecules. This work will be reported in due course. Importantly, no S–S bridge formation was observed by LC-MS in this study.


