ChemComm

View Article Online

COMMUNICATION



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 11347

Received 17th June 2014, Accepted 30th July 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4cc04610b

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

The phosphaethynolate anion reacts with unsaturated bonds: DFT investigations into [2+2], [3+2] and [4+2] cycloadditions;

Liu Leo Liu,^{ac} Jun Zhu*^b and Yufen Zhao*^{ad}

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out to investigate the [2+2], [3+2] and [4+2] cycloadditions of the phosphaethynolate anion (PCO⁻). The results reveal that the electronic properties of different unsaturated compounds play a crucial role in reactivity and regioselectivity.

Since the discovery of $H-C \equiv P$ in 1961,¹ the number of derivatives of phosphaalkynes ($R-C \equiv P$) has increased.² Among them, the simplest isolated phosphaethynolate anion (PCO^{-}), the phosphorus-containing analogue of cyanate (NCO^{-}), was first reported by Becker and co-workers in 1992.³ Based on natural resonance theory (NRT) calculations, this anion is mainly described as a hybrid between the phosphaethynolate (51.7%) and phosphaketenide (40.2%) resonance structures (Fig. 1),⁴ and was also found to be an ambident nucleophile depending on reaction conditions.⁵

However, the chemistry of phosphaethynolate has been quite limited owing to the technical difficulties associated with

:P≡C-Ö: ←	⊖ :P=C=O:
51.7 %	40.2 %
Phosphaethynolate	Phosphaketenide

Fig. 1 The resonance structures of PCO⁻.

^a Department of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Key Laboratory for Chemical Biology of Fujian Province, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, Fujian, China. E-mail: yfzhao@xmu.edu.cn; Web: http://chem.xmu.edu.cn/group/yfzhao/zhao-home.html

^b State Key Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces and Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, Fujian, China. E-mail: jun.zhu@xmu.edu.cn; Web: http://junzhu.chem8.org

- ^c Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0343, USA
- ^d Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Phosphorus Chemistry and Chemical Biology (Ministry of Education), Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Computational details.
- See DOI: 10.1039/c4cc04610b

its synthesis. Recently, Grützmacher and co-workers found that PCO⁻ reacted with an imidazolium salt as a P⁻ transfer reagent with concomitant loss of CO.6 Furthermore, Goicoechea and Jupp obtained the first phosphorus-containing analogue of urea by treatment of PCO^- with $NH_4^{+,7}$ More interestingly, several phosphorus-containing heterocycles were generated by [2+2], [3+2] and [4+2] cycloadditions of PCO⁻ with different unsaturated bonds (Scheme 1).8 Thus, one can realize that PCO⁻ is indeed a valuable building block with great potential for the construction of structurally sophisticated organophosphorus compounds. Very recently, Grützmacher and co-workers reported a simple one-pot procedure to prepare larger quantities of pure Na(PCO)(dioxane)_{2.5},⁹ which could readily combine with CO₂ followed by dimerization. This was shown to serve as a rare example of a dianionic transfer species $(P_2C_2O_2)^{2-}$. The mechanistic study indicated that the CO2-triggered dimerization does not proceed in a concerted fashion, but is stepwise and initiated by a nucleophilic attack of the phosphorus centre of PCO-. This poses an interesting question as to whether the reported reactions between PCO⁻ and unsaturated bonds proceed in a formal stepwise fashion or by concerted cycloaddition. Moreover, with unsymmetrical alkynes or



Scheme 1 Cycloaddition chemistry of PCO⁻.

different types of double bonds, the reaction proceeds with high regioselectivity and sometimes removal of CO occurs (Scheme 1). However, the mechanisms of these reactions are not well understood.

Inspired by the intriguing results,⁸ we performed theoretical calculations to investigate the detailed reaction mechanisms of the cycloaddition chemistry of PCO⁻ (Scheme 1), including the reactivity and selectivity of substrates with different unsaturated bonds. Our findings may open a new avenue for further development of PCO⁻ chemistry.

On the basis of the experimental results, the cycloadditions of PCO⁻ with different unsaturated compounds proceed with high regioselectivity. For example, Na(PCO) was treated with 2.0 equiv. of an asymmetrical alkyne (EtO₂CC \equiv CPh) to form **1** as the only product (Scheme 1, right).^{8b} More importantly, the more electron-deficient alkyne (EtO₂CC \equiv CCO₂Et) did not generate only **2**, but also 2' with the loss of CO (Scheme 1, left).^{8b} Significant uncertainties exist concerning the observed chemical selectivities, in particular on the high regioselectivity and the process of removal of CO from the formed heterocycle. Thus, we first investigated the cycloadditions of PCO⁻ and alkynes through DFT calculations at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,p)//B3LYP-D/6-31+G(d) level (see the ESI† for details).

The proposed reaction pathway for the first [2+2] cycloaddition of $EtO_2CC \equiv CPh$ and PCO^- , including the computed free energies is shown in Fig. 2. All efforts made towards locating a transition state for a concerted [2+2] cycloaddition reaction failed. Instead, a nucleophilic attack of the phosphorus atom in PCO⁻ on C1 and C2 of the alkyne could be identified. Interestingly, the nucleophilic attack on C1 (TS1A', 27.1 kcal mol^{-1}) is significantly higher than that on C2 (TS1A, 19.4 kcal mol^{-1}), which could be mainly attributed to the electronic effects (Fig. 3). For instance, natural population analysis (NPA) indicates that the charges of C1 and C2 in $EtO_2CC \equiv CPh$ are -0.10 and 0.07e, respectively, whereas that of P in PCO⁻ is -0.44e, indicating that PCO⁻ is more favoured to attack C2. This is in line with the experimental observations that only 1 was formed (Scheme 1).^{8b} It is important to note that the charge of oxygen in PCO^- is -0.70e, indicating that the oxygen might attack C2. However, no stable intermediate of C2-O bond formation could be identified.¹⁰ Indeed, the HOMO of PCO⁻ is mainly localized at the π orbital of the P centre. The absolute maximum of the coefficient at P(0.51) is much larger than that at O (0.30). Therefore, attacking alkynes via the P centre could lead to favorable orbital overlap between the PCO⁻ π orbital and the alkyne π^* orbitals (LUMOs).



Fig. 3 HOMO of PCO⁻ and LUMOs of unsaturated compounds (isovalue = 0.05). NPA partial charges are given in *e*. Absolute maxima of the coefficients at the reacting termini are given in parentheses.

Subsequently, **IN1A** is formed with the CO₂Et group on the same side of PCO, which is sterically unfavourable for the next C–C bond formation. Thus, an isomerization step was located with an activation energy of 6.6 kcal mol⁻¹. From **IN2A** (15.7 kcal mol⁻¹), a fourmembered transition state **TS3A** (18.4 kcal mol⁻¹) occurred, leading to the first [2+2] cycloaddition product **IN3A** (-13.6 kcal mol⁻¹).

Fig. 4 depicts the second [2+2] cycloaddition of EtO₂CC \equiv CPh and PCO⁻. In a similar way, very stable 1 (-88.9 kcal mol⁻¹) is generated *via* nucleophilic attack of the P atom, isomerization and C-C bond formation. It is important to note that the final process is highly exergonic (**TS6A** \rightarrow 1). According to the computed NICS(1)_{zz}¹¹ value of 1 (-13.4 ppm), the significant stability is mainly attributed to the release of ring strain and the gain of aromaticity in 1.

We next turned our attention to the loss of CO, which is observed by using the more electron-deficient alkyne (EtO₂CC \equiv CCO₂Et) as the substrate (Scheme 1, left). Similar to 1, a six-membered aromatic product 2 is formed (-100.5 kcal mol⁻¹) (see the ESI[†] for details). Direct removal of CO from 2 is found to be too energy demanding to take place (Fig. 5, right). Alternatively, from **IN5B**, a formal [3+2] cycloaddition with the loss of CO could be located *via* two steps (Fig. 5, left). The activation barriers for the transformation are only 2.2 and 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹. The free energies of **TS5B** and **TS7B** are -34.0 and -35.2 kcal mol⁻¹, which are 3.4 and 2.2 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than that of **IN5B**, respectively, indicating that both processes could readily occur, in agreement with the experimental observations that 2 and 2' were generated. In addition, when EtO₂CC \equiv CPh was used as the substrate (Fig. 4), the activation energy of C3 attacking C5



Fig. 2 Free energy profile for the first [2+2] cycloaddition of $EtO_2CC \equiv CPh$ and PCO^- . The values are given in kcal mol⁻¹.



Fig. 4 Free energy profile for the second [2+2] cycloaddition of $EtO_2CC\equiv CPh$ and PCO^- . The values are given in kcal mol⁻¹.



Fig. 5 Free energy profile for the CO removal process using $EtO_2CC \equiv CCO_2Et$ and PCO^- as substrates. The values are given in kcal mol⁻¹.



Fig. 6 Free energy profile for the [4+2] cycloaddition using 2*H*-pyran-2-one and PCO^{-} as substrates. The values are given in kcal mol⁻¹.

is 6.0 kcal mol⁻¹ (**TS6A**'), which is approximately 2 times higher than that of C3 attacking C4 (**TS6A**, 2.2 kcal mol⁻¹), explaining why **1** was formed exclusively during the reaction.

To gain more insight into the cycloaddition chemistry of PCO⁻, the regioselectivities^{8a} of its outcome with Ph₂C==O on and DIPPN=C=NDIPP were also studied (see the ESI† for details). The results showed that these two transformations do not proceed in a concerted fashion, but are stepwise and initiated by a nucleophilic attack of the phosphorus centre of PCO⁻, which is similar to what was discussed above. The regioselectivities were determined by the nature of electronic properties of substrates as illustrated in Fig. 3. For example, it is much easier for PCO⁻ to attack C7 (0.77*e*) over C6 (-0.37e). The activation energy is 4.6 kcal mol⁻¹, which is significantly lower than that of attacking C6 (37.0 kcal mol⁻¹).

Finally, we examined the [4+2] cycloaddition between 2*H*-pyran-2one and PCO⁻ (Fig. 6).^{8b} According to the experimental study, a distinct gas evolution (CO₂) is observed. Very interestingly, the transformation involves two concerted steps, including a Diels–Alder-type cycloaddition and a rearrangement involving the removal of CO₂. The electronic effects also play a key role in this reaction. The favourable reactive sites lead to the lower barrier process (**TS1E**, 20.6 kcal mol⁻¹). Our calculations showed that the NICS(1)_{zz} value of **IN2E** is -15.2 ppm and the entropy change of the rearrangement step is 43.3 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ (**IN1E** \rightarrow **IN2E**), indicating that the resulting aromaticity and entropy increase are the driving force for the transformation.

We have computationally characterized the mechanisms for the [2+2], [3+2] and [4+2] cycloaddition chemistry of PCO⁻ with different unsaturated compounds, including alkynes, ketenes, carbodiimides and 2*H*-pyran-2-one. The results showed that the [2+2] and [3+2] cycloaddition of PCO⁻ favoured stepwise processes, whereas [4+2] cycloaddition is a concerted process. More importantly, electronic effects play a key role in the regioselectivities of cycloadditions. Our findings can serve as a clue for further development of PCO⁻ chemistry.

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB821600, 2013CB910700 and 2011CB808504), the Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (21232005, 21375113, and 21103142), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-13-0511), and the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2012121021). Thanks are also given to the China Scholarship Council for a Graduate Fellowship (L.L.). L. L. thanks the D. A. Ruiz from UCSD for valuable discussion.

Notes and references

- 1 T. E. Gier, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 1769.
- 2 F. Mathey, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1578.
- 3 G. Becker, W. Schwarz, N. Seidler and M. Westerhausen, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1992, 612, 72.
- 4 S. Alidori, D. Heift, G. Santiso-Quinones, Z. Benkő, H. Grützmacher, M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin and M. Peruzzini, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, 18, 14805.
- 5 D. Heift, Z. Benko and H. Grützmacher, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 5920.
- 6 A. M. Tondreau, Z. Benko, J. R. Harmer and H. Grützmacher, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 1545.
- 7 A. R. Jupp and J. M. Goicoechea, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 19131.
- 8 (a) A. R. Jupp and J. M. Goicoechea, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 10064; (b) X. Chen, S. Alidori, F. F. Puschmann, G. Santiso-Quinones, Z. Benkő, Z. Li, G. Becker, H.-F. Grützmacher and H. Grützmacher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 1641; (c) D. Heift, Z. Benkő and H. Grützmacher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 6757.
- 9 D. Heift, Z. Benko and H. Grützmacher, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 831.
- 10 All efforts failed to locate an intermediate of C2–O bond formation. The bond breaks up into $EtO_2CC \equiv CPh$ and PCO^- immediately during the optimization process.
- 11 (a) P. v. R. Schleyer, C. Maerker, A. Dransfeld, H. Jiao and N. J. R. v. E. Hommes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 6317; (b) H. Fallah-Bagher-Shaidaei, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta and P. v. R. Schleyer, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 863; (c) J. Zhu, K. An and P. v. R. Schleyer, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2442; (d) K. An and J. Zhu, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 2764.