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Ditopic bis-terdentate cyclometallating ligands and their highly luminescent dinuclear iridium(III) complexes
A new family of bridged cyclometallating ligands is reported, which incorporate two terdentate N–C–N-coordinating binding sites linked via pyrazine, pyrimidine or pyridazine units. Dinuclear Ir(III) complexes of one ligand have been prepared and crystallographically characterised; they display intense red phosphorescence.

Multimetallic complexes formed by rigid ditopic ligands have become well-known in contemporary coordination chemistry. For example, polypyridine-type bridging ligands have underpinned the development of metallosupramolecular chemistry, leading to a wide variety of functional materials and unusual forms of chirality. Amongst the many useful properties of polymetallic complexes are their increased extinction coefficients compared to mononuclear analogues and, frequently, their lower-energy absorption maxima. Such improved light-harvesting features are particularly attractive in areas such as photoinduced electron- and energy-transfer for solar energy conversion and in photocatalysis. Meanwhile, for luminescence, the presence of additional metal centres may facilitate spin-orbit coupling (SOC) pathways and augment radiative rate constants, increasing the efficiency of phosphorescence from triplet states, as required for use in organic light-emitting diodes.

For example, efficient red emitters have been prepared using bicyclometallating ligands that bring together two N–C-coordinating sites to bind simultaneously to Pt(II) and Ir(III) ions. It is widely recognised that terdentate ligands can offer structural advantages over bidentate ligands, including the absence of chirality in some cases and greater rigidity. However, the classic N–N–N-binding ligand 2,2′,6′,2″-terpyridine, despite having been used to generate a plethora of structures with interesting geometries, rarely leads to systems that are strongly luminescent at room temperature, owing in part to the poor bite angle that leads to efficient non-radiative decay. In contrast, the isoelectronic N–C–N-binding ligand 1,3-bis(2-pyridyl)benzene (dpyb) and its derivatives have been used to prepare highly luminescent complexes of metals such as Ir(III), Rh(III) and Pt(II). The strong ligand field in combination with high rigidity inhibits non-radiative decay, whilst efficient spin-orbit coupling pathways promote triplet phosphorescence.

The synthetic strategy used to prepare the ligands is summarised in Scheme 1, and is based on a combination of boronic acid synthesis via ortho-lithiation and subsequent Pd-catalysed Suzuki cross-coupling reactions. The choice of central aryl synthon 1 was made on the basis that: (i) the hexyl group would improve the solubility of the intermediates and final products, since one of the drawbacks of rigid ligands is often poor solubility of products; (ii) fluorine atoms are ortho-directing in the lithiation with n-BuLi, which will ensure the necessary regiochemistry for synthesis of 1,3-diboronic acids; and (iii) the F atoms will block undesired, competitive metallation of the C4 and C6 positions of the aryl ring upon reaction with iridium(n) chloride, which is known to be the predominant mode of binding for unsubstituted dpyb. The key intermediate is the boronic acid 4, from which a variety of bridging bis-terdentate N–C–N–N–C=N coordination, and report on the preparation and luminescent properties of mono- and dinuclear Ir(n) complexes of one such ligand.

The synthetic strategy used to prepare the ligands is summarised in Scheme 1, and is based on a combination of boronic acid synthesis via ortho-lithiation and subsequent Pd-catalysed Suzuki cross-coupling reactions. The choice of central aryl synthon 1 was made on the basis that: (i) the hexyl group would improve the solubility of the intermediates and final products, since one of the drawbacks of rigid ligands is often poor solubility of products; (ii) fluorine atoms are ortho-directing in the lithiation with n-BuLi, which will ensure the necessary regiochemistry for synthesis of 1,3-diboronic acids; and (iii) the F atoms will block undesired, competitive metallation of the C4 and C6 positions of the aryl ring upon reaction with iridium(n) chloride, which is known to be the predominant mode of binding for unsubstituted dpyb. The key intermediate is the boronic acid 4, from which a variety of bridging bis-terdentate N–C–N–N–C=N coordination, and report on the preparation and luminescent properties of mono- and dinuclear Ir(n) complexes of one such ligand.
heterocycles, such as naphthyridines or phenanthrolines, can equally be introduced at this stage.

In the first instance, we have explored the complexation chemistry of the pyrimidine-based proligand \( \text{L}_1 \cdot \text{H}_2 \) with iridium(III). 1,3-Di(2-pyridyl)-2,6-difluorobenzene (\( \text{F}_2 \text{dpybH} \)) is known to react with \( \text{IrCl}_3 \cdot \text{C}_1 \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) in ethoxyethanol/water to give a dichloro-bridged dimer \( [\text{Ir}(\text{F}_2 \text{dpyb})\text{Cl}([\mu-\text{Cl}])]_2 \). Applying the same conditions to \( \text{L}_1 \cdot \text{H}_2 \) with 2 equivalents of \( \text{IrCl}_3 \cdot \text{C}_1 \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) led to a similarly bridged tetranuclear, dimeric complex \( [\text{Ir}_2 \text{L}_1 \text{Cl}_2 ([\mu-\text{Cl}])]_2 \) in which, according to NMR, \( \text{L}_1 \) is doubly metallated, bridging two metal centres (Scheme 2). Treatment of this intermediate with 2-(\( \text{p} \)-tolyl)pyridine (\( \text{ptpyH} \)) in toluene in the presence of silver triflate led to the cleavage of the chloro bridges and introduction of a \( \text{C}^1 \text{N} \)-bound tolylpyridine into the coordination sphere of each metal ion, generating \( \text{Ir}_2 \text{L}_1 \cdot \text{(ptpy)Cl}_2 \). The coordination sphere is completed by one monodentate chloride ligand remaining on each metal. When the reaction was carried out with only one equivalent of \( \text{IrCl}_3 \cdot \text{C}_1 \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) relative to \( \text{L}_1 \cdot \text{H}_2 \), the corresponding mononuclear complex \( \text{IrL}_1 \cdot \text{H} \cdot \text{(ptpy)Cl} \) was obtained, in which \( \text{L}_1 \cdot \text{H} \) is singly metallated only.

Owing to the strong trans effect of metallated carbon atoms, the ptpy ligand is introduced in such a way that its pyridyl ring, not the aryl ring, is disposed trans to the central aryl ring of the \( \text{N}^1 \text{C}^1 \text{N} \) unit.\(^{16,15} \) A single isomer of \( \text{IrL}_1 \cdot \text{H} \cdot \text{(ptpy)Cl} \) is therefore isolated (as a racemic mixture of enantiomers). In the case of the dinuclear \( \text{Ir}_2 \text{L}_1 \cdot \text{H} \cdot \text{(ptpy)Cl}_2 \), three stereoisomers are formed: one meso form with an internal mirror plane, and a racemic pair of enantiomers in which the two chloride ligands are disposed on opposite sides of the plane of \( \text{L}_1 \) (Scheme 2). The meso and rac isomers have different physical properties and were easily separated by conventional column chromatography. The isolated yields were in the region of 25% for each form. The structures of the meso form and the rac pair were determined by X-ray diffraction (Scheme 2).\(^{‡} \) The coordination of two \( \text{Ir}^{\text{III}} \) cations favours the adoption of a fully planar conformation by the bis-terdentate ligand \( \text{L}_1 \), and the \( \text{Ir} \cdot \text{Cl} \) bonds are almost perpendicular to the plane of \( \text{L}_1 \). The \( \text{L}_1 \) ligands in the two structures are essentially identical apart from the hexyl substituents, and the main differences are in the orientations of the ptpy ligands and the conformations of the flexible hexyl chains, most of which display disorder.

The absorption spectrum of the mononuclear complex \( \text{IrL}_1 \cdot \text{H} \cdot \text{(ptpy)Cl} \) displays very intense bands in the UV region, attributable to \( \pi \cdots \pi^* \) transitions within the ligand, together with a series of moderately intense bands in the visible region (Fig. 1, Table 1). By analogy with typical \( \text{Ir}^{\text{III}} \) complexes with cyclometallating aryl-heterocycle ligands,\(^{4d,16} \) the latter can be attributed to singlet and triplet charge-transfer transitions, involving filled orbitals localised predominantly on the metal.
and cyclometallating aryl rings, and vacant orbitals on the heterocycle. It is notable, however, that these bands extend to longer wavelength than the corresponding bands in, for example, Ir(F2dpyb)(ppy)Cl.14 This is readily rationalised on the basis that pyrimidine has a lower-energy π* orbital than pyridine and hence the energy of the charge-transfer transition will be lower.

The photophysical properties of the *meso* and *rac* forms of Ir3L1(ppy)Cl2 are very similar to one another: their absorption spectra are almost identical, with differences in *λ*max values being within the uncertainty of the measurement (Fig. 1). The introduction of a second metal ion into the system – *i.e.* going from IrL1H(ppy)Cl to *meso*- or *rac*-Ir2L1(ppy)Cl2 – is accompanied by a large red shift of the bands in the visible region (e.g. the lowest-energy band shifts by around 80 nm). Evidently, coordination of a second Ir(m) cation to the other N atom of the pyrimidine ring will stabilise further the pyrimidine-based π* orbital, leading to the observed red shift, irrespective of which isomer is formed. The behaviour in this respect is similar to that observed recently for multinuclear complexes with bis-N^*C-coordinating diphenylpyrimidine ligands,2a and is reminiscent of earlier studies on Ru(n) complexes of dipiryldipyrimidine.2a

All three complexes are intensely photoluminescent in solution at room temperature. The emission of the mononuclear complex is in the orange-red region of the spectrum, peaking at *λ*max = 595 nm, with a quantum yield of 0.59 and a luminescence lifetime of 2.8 μs under deoxygenated conditions (Fig. 1, Table 1). As in absorption, the introduction of the second metal ion to generate *meso*- or *rac*-Ir2L1(ppy)Cl2 is accompanied by a red shift (albeit a smaller one than in absorption), and the *meso* and *rac* emission spectra are essentially identical to one another. Remarkably, the quantum yield is enhanced for the dinuclear complexes, despite the red shift. Typically, quantum yields tend to drop off with decreasing excited state energy, as non-radiative decay is facilitated. Some insight into the possible reasons for the increase in Φ can be obtained by considering the rate constants of radiative and non-radiative decay, *k*φ and *k*nr, respectively. Assuming that the emissive excited state is formed with unitary efficiency, the rate constants can be estimated from the lifetime and quantum yield as follows: *k*φ = *τ*−1 and *k*nr = *τ*−1 − *k*φ. Such an analysis reveals that, although the non-radiative decay constants are indeed somewhat higher in the binuclear complexes, this is more than offset by a substantial 4-fold increase in *k*φ (Table 1).

The increase in *k*φ upon introduction of the second metal ion is intriguing. Other things being equal, a decrease in the radiative rate would be expected as the energy decreases, according to the Einstein coefficient which depends on *ν*2. At least two effects may be at work here. Firstly, the fact that *T*1 → *S*0 phosphorescence from such metal complexes is observed at room temperature is due to the high spin–orbit coupling constant of the heavy metal ion (ζ = 3909 cm−1 for Ir), which breaks down the Δ*S* = 0 spin selection rule for radiative decay. The presence of a second metal ion may be augmenting the spin–orbit coupling effect.3 Secondly, it may be noted that the red-shift in emission on going from mono- to dinuclear complexes is smaller than the red-shift of the lowest-energy absorption band, which indicates that the energy gap between *S*0 and *T*1 is lower in the dinuclear systems. Using the *λ*max values as a guide to the energies, we can estimate that ΔE(*S*1 − *T*1) is 3200 cm−1 for IrL1H(ppy)Cl but only around 1100 cm−1 for the dinuclear complexes. Spin–orbit coupling pathways are complicated and not fully understood, but it is well-established that the *T*1 state must mix with higher-lying 1MLCT states for phosphorescence to be promoted.17 The efficiency

**Table 1** Photophysical properties of the iridium(n) complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Isomer</th>
<th><em>λ</em>max/abs (nm [ε/M−1 cm−1])</th>
<th><em>λ</em>max/em (nm)</th>
<th>Φ</th>
<th>τ/ns</th>
<th>kφ/10−11 s−1</th>
<th>kν/10−11 s−1</th>
<th>Em. at 77 K (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IrL1H(ppy)Cl</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>242 (68 100), 283 (57 100), 385 sh (9410), 402 (9700), 441 (14 000), 501 (5400)</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>2800 [450]</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir2L1(ppy)Cl2</td>
<td><em>rac</em></td>
<td>250 (68 800), 287 (54 000), 339 (31 900), 398 (16 300), 448 (99 300), 498 (18 900), 537 (10 800), 583 (7530)</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>760 [520]</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir2L1(ppy)Cl2</td>
<td><em>meso</em></td>
<td>250 (69 700), 286 (52 900), 340 (32 800), 399 (16 500), 447 (10 700), 497 (19 700), 537 (10 900), 582 (7420)</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>730 [460]</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In deoxygenated CH2Cl2 at 298 K except where stated otherwise.*

1 Luminescence quantum yield determined using Ru(bpy)3Cl2 in water as the standard; estimated uncertainty in absolute values is ±20% or better, while the error on relative values amongst the three complexes is <5%.

2 Values in parentheses refer to air-equilibrated solutions; estimated uncertainty in lifetime values is ±10% or better.

3 *k*φ and *k*ν estimated as described in the text, assuming that the emissive state is formed with unitary efficiency upon light absorption.

4 In diethyl ether/isopentane/ethanol (2:2:1 v/v).
with which this occurs is inversely proportional to the energy gap between the $T_1$ state and the higher singlet state. The observation of a lower $\Delta E(S_1 - T_1)$ in the dinuclear complexes may thus be indicative of more efficient SOC pathways.

In summary, we have presented a new family of cyclometallating bis(N=C=N)-coordinating ligands and their application in the synthesis of dinuclear Ir(II) complexes. The synthetic methodology, centred around cross-couplings of synthon 4, allows facile variation of the bridging unit within the ligands, and provides access to a variety of possible structures. In comparison to classical coordination complexes of polypyridines, cyclometallating ligands offer both structural and photophysical advantages. The strong emissive properties of this new class of complexes are of interest for sensitisers in both dye-sensitised solar cells and in efficient OLEDs with Phosphorescent Materials, ed. H. Yersin, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2007; (c) Y. Chi and P. T. Chou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 638; (d) L. F. Gildea and J. A. G. Williams, Iridium and platinum complexes for OLEDs, in Organic Light-Emitting Diodes: Materials, Devices and Applications, ed. A. Buckley, Woodhead, Cambridge, 2013.
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