





ChemComm

Chemical Communications

www.rsc.org/chemcomm



ISSN 1359-7345



COMMUNICATION

Michael Feierabend and Carsten von Hänisch
Branched tetrasilane substituted phosphines – synthesis and
characterisation of $\text{PhSi}(\text{SiPr}_2)_3\text{P}$ and $\{\text{PhSi}(\text{SiMe}_2)_3\}_2\text{P}_{14}$

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 4416

Received 8th January 2014,
Accepted 22nd February 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4cc00165f

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

The branched trichlorotetrasilane $\text{PhSi}(\text{SiMe}_2\text{Cl})_3$ reacts with $\text{P}_7(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ leading to formation of a new oligophosphane $\{\text{PhSi}(\text{SiMe}_2)_3\}_2\text{P}_{14}$ (**1**), which consists of two $\text{PhSi}(\text{SiMe}_2)_3$ substituted P_7 norbornane units. The phosphatetrasila[1.1.1]pentane derivative $\text{PhSi}(\text{SiPr}_2)_3\text{P}$ (**3**) was obtained from the reaction of $\text{PhSi}(\text{SiPr}_2\text{Cl})_3$ (**2**) with Li_3P .

Silicon–phosphorus compounds are still of considerable interest due to their versatile molecular structures and bonding properties. Moreover, they are useful synthons for the formation of several other phosphorus as well as silicon compounds.¹ Silyl groups are also able to stabilise highly reactive phosphorus species such as P_7^{3-} , the silylderivatives of which (e.g. $\text{P}_7(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ or $\text{P}_7\{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\}_3$) are much less reactive during oxidation or hydrolysis.² As shown in recent studies, bridging silyl substituents such as bidentate silyl or siloxane groups have a major impact on the structures and properties of oligophosphphanes.³ Thus, we decided to investigate the extent to which tripodal silyl groups are able to stabilise new P_n -compounds.⁴

Herein, we report on the application of the compound $\text{PhSi}(\text{SiMe}_2\text{Cl})_3$ as a substituent for P_7^{3-} . Moreover, we present the synthesis of the sterically demanding branched trichlorotetrasilane $\text{PhSi}(\text{SiPr}_2\text{Cl})_3$ as well as its reaction with Li_3P .

After work-up of the reaction of $\text{P}_7(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ with $\text{PhSi}(\text{SiMe}_2\text{Cl})_3$ in DME, $\{\text{PhSi}(\text{SiMe}_2)_3\}_2\text{P}_{14}$ (**1**) was obtained as yellow crystals in 53% yield. The latter was formed by dimerisation of the P_7 cage through substitution of the silyl groups and represents a silyl derivative of the so far unknown Zintl anion P_{14}^{6-} . The P_{14} framework of compound **1** consists of two P_7 norbornane cages. In both P_7 subunits, one P_5 ring is substituted by the branched silyl frame in 1, 2 and 4 positions (Fig. 1). These two P_5Si_4 fragments show the same structure as the P_9 cage in Hittorf's phosphorus, and they are

Fachbereich Chemie and Wissenschaftliches Zentrum für Materialwissenschaften (WZMW) of the Philipps-Universität Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Straße, D-35032 Marburg, Germany. E-mail: haenisch@chemie.uni-marburg.de

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: DFT calculations, crystallographic data of compound **2**, proposed mechanism for the formation of compound **1** and $^{31}\text{PNMR}$ spectrum of compound **1**. CCDC 978105 (**1**), 978107 (**2**) and 978106 (**3**). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4cc00165f





Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **1**; thermal ellipsoids represent a 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are not shown, selected bond lengths (pm) and angles (°): Si1–Si2 233.5(4), Si1–Si3 232.9(5), Si1–Si4 234.24(4), Si2–P1 229.3(4), Si3–P2 229.9(4), Si4–P4 228.3(4), P1–P2 225.4(4), P1–P5 221.0(4), P2–P3 221.65(4), P3–P4 217.6(4), P4–P5 219.9(4), P5–P6 218.6(4), P6–P7 229.4(4), P6–P7' 224.0(4); Si2–Si1–Si3 98.88(16), Si2–Si1–Si4 107.90(14), Si3–Si1–Si4 106.70(15), P1–Si2–Si1 102.70(15), P2–Si3–Si1 102.57(15), P4–Si4–Si1 110.70(16).

connected through a central P_4 ring. Until now, no comparable P_{14} substructure has been observed in molecular compounds. The known Zintl ion P_{14}^{4-} consists of two P_7 nortricyclan cages connected by one P–P bond.⁵ $\text{P}_{14}i\text{Pr}_4$, consists – like compound **1** – of two P_7 norbornane units, which are connected by three P–P bonds *via* P_5 rings in 1, 2, and 4 positions (Scheme 1).⁶ The P_{14} unit in **1**, however, represents a part within the phosphorus strands in $[(\text{CuI})_8\text{P}_{12}]$.⁷






Scheme 1 Structurally characterised ionic/molecular compounds with P_{14} units.

The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of **1** shows four signals at 50.9, 9.1, 2.4 and -42.6 ppm corresponding to the four different phosphorus positions within the molecule. Unfortunately, these signals are broad, independent of the temperature and solvent. So, the fine structure can be resolved only for the signal at 50.9 ppm, which shows a triplet structure and represents the symmetrically surrounded phosphorus atoms $\text{P}4$ and $\text{P}4'$.


Some years ago, several groups reported on their attempts to synthesise a 1-phospha-2,3,4,5-tetrasila[1.1.1]pentane.⁸ These experiments, however, always led to the formation of other bicyclic silylphosphines as shown in Scheme 2.

In order to avoid decomposition of the Si_4 substructure, we synthesised the sterically more demanding substituted branched trichlorotetrasilane $\text{PhSi}(\text{Si}i\text{Pr}_2\text{Cl})_3$ (**2**) as starting compound. **2** was obtained in a two-step synthesis by a reductive coupling of PhSiCl_3 and $i\text{Pr}_2\text{SiHCl}$ with elementary lithium and subsequent chlorination with trichloroisocyanuric acid (TCCA) (Scheme 3).⁹

After work-up, the reaction of **2** with Li_3P in THF provides the target molecule as a white crystalline solid in small but reproducible yields (Fig. 2). Other products could not be characterised to date. The crystal structure analysis shows the strained molecular structure with endocyclic bond angles all below 90° : $\text{Si}-\text{Si}-\text{Si}$: 81.6–82.5°, $\text{Si}-\text{Si}-\text{P}$: 80.2–80.6° and $\text{Si}-\text{P}-\text{Si}$: 83.3–83.6°. The bond lengths in compound **3**, however, are only slightly longer than the usual single bond between these elements (Fig. 2). Worth mentioning are the short distances between the atoms $\text{Si}2$, $\text{Si}3$ and $\text{Si}4$ (307.7(9)–309.0(12) pm) and between $\text{Si}1$ and P (301.2(10) pm), which are significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii.



Scheme 2 Reported attempts to synthesise a 1-phospha-2,3,4,5-tetrasila[1.1.1]pentane and the obtained products.⁸



Scheme 3 Synthesis pathway of compound **2**.




Fig. 2 Molecular structure of **3**; thermal ellipsoids represent a 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are not shown, selected bond lengths (pm) and angles (°): $\text{Si}1-\text{Si}2$ 235.46(8), $\text{Si}1-\text{Si}3$ 235.01(14), $\text{Si}1-\text{Si}4$ 233.61(12), $\text{Si}2-\text{P}$ 230.05(14), $\text{Si}3-\text{P}$ 232.56(9), $\text{Si}4-\text{P}$ 231.60(11); $\text{Si}1-\text{Si}1-\text{Si}$ 81.61(4)–82.52(4), $\text{Si}1-\text{Si}1-\text{P}$ 80.19(4)–80.68(4), $\text{Si}1-\text{P}-\text{Si}$ 83.30(4)–83.60(4).

The ^{31}P NMR spectrum of compound **3** shows a typical upfield shift for silylphosphines at -241.7 ppm. In the $^{29}\text{Si}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR, two doublet signals can be observed at 15.4 and -58.2 ppm. The signal at 15.4 ppm corresponds to the $\text{Si}i\text{Pr}_2$ groups and shows a remarkably large $^1J_{\text{Si},\text{P}}$ coupling constant of 53.2 Hz. This large coupling constant suggests a high s-orbital contribution to the $\text{Si}-\text{P}$ σ -bonds and matches the results of DFT calculations (see ESI†), which show a high p-orbital character of the phosphorus lone pair and a symmetric bonding orbital with significant contributions of $\text{Si}1-\text{Si}4$ and P atomic s-orbitals. For comparison, the similar substituted but planar compound $\text{P}(\text{Si}i\text{Pr}_3)_3$ shows a $^1J_{\text{Si},\text{P}}$ coupling of only 9 Hz.¹⁰

All working procedures were conducted under rigorous exclusion of oxygen and moisture using a Schlenk line and an argon atmosphere. Solvents were dried and freshly distilled before use. NMR spectra were recorded using a BRUKER AVANCE 300 or a BRUKER DRX 400. The structural analysis was carried out using appropriate single crystals on an automatic diffractometer (STOE-IPDS-2T, STOE-IPDS-2 or BRUKER D8-Quest). The structures were solved and refined using SHELXS-97¹¹ and SHELXL-2013.¹² The presentation of crystal structures was effected by DIAMOND3.2. IR vibrational spectra were recorded using the BRUKER ALPHA ATR-FT-IR. The starting materials $\text{PhSi}(\text{Si}i\text{Pr}_2\text{Cl})_3$,⁴ $\text{P}_7(\text{Si}i\text{Pr}_3)_3$,² and Li_3P ¹³ were prepared by reported methods.

Crystal data for **1**: $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{46}\text{Si}_8\text{P}_{14} \cdot 1.5 \text{C}_7\text{H}_8$, 100 K, triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, $a = 931.0(2)$, $b = 1656.6(4)$, $c = 1880.4(4)$ pm, $\alpha = 88.880(19)^\circ$, $\beta = 87.593(19)^\circ$, $\gamma = 77.702(18)^\circ$, $V = 2830.9(11)$ \AA^3 , $Z = 2$, density = 1.327 g cm^{-3} , $\mu = 0.619$ mm $^{-1}$, $F(000) = 1174$, GOF = 0.742, theta range: 1.26–24.00°, 12 809 reflections collected, 8177 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.1002$). R_1 (wR_2) = 0.0716 (0.1586) for 530 parameters and 3183 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$.

Crystal data for **3**: $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{47}\text{Si}_4\text{P}_1$, 100 K, triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, $a = 916.4(3)$, $b = 1020.7(3)$, $c = 1644.1(9)$ pm, $\alpha = 92.88(4)^\circ$, $\beta = 94.84(4)^\circ$, $\gamma = 110.34(2)^\circ$, $V = 1431.7(10)$ \AA^3 , $Z = 2$, density = 1.111 g cm^{-3} , $\mu = 0.273$ mm $^{-1}$,



$F(000) = 524$, GOF = 1.036, theta range: 1.25–25.00°, 9353 reflections collected, 4731 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0205$). R_1 (wR₂) = 0.0247 (0.0657) for 262 parameters and 4266 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$.

1: PhSi(SiMe₂Cl)₃ (0.42 g, 1.1 mmol) in dme (20 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of P₇(SiMe₃)₃ (0.48 g, 1.1 mmol) in dme (20 mL) at –45 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up within 4 h to ambient temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in 5 mL toluene. Subsequently, after 2 days yellow crystals of [PhSi(SiMe₂)₃P₁₄]_{1.5} to were obtained at 20 °C in a yield of 53% (0.29 g). Elemental analysis (%): calc. for C₂₄H₄₆Si₈P₁₄: C 29.03, H 4.67, found: C 29.09, H 5.07.

¹H-NMR (thf-d₈): δ /ppm = 0.35 (m, CH₃, 12H), 0.78 (m, CH₃, 12H), 0.92 (m, CH₃, 12H), 7.28 (m, C₆H₅, 6H), 7.39 (m, C₆H₅, 4H). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (thf-d₈): δ /ppm = 2.96 (m, CH₃), 3.68 (m, CH₃), 128.24 (s, C₆H₅), 128.66 (s, C₆H₅), 129.11 (s, C₆H₅), 136.56 (s, C₆H₅). ²⁹Si{¹H}-NMR (thf-d₈): δ /ppm = –4.3 (m, Si(CH₃)₂), –5.8 (m, Si(CH₃)₂), –77.5 (s, SiC₆H₅). ³¹P-NMR (thf-d₈): δ /ppm = 51.0 (t, $J_{\text{PP}} = 328.1$ Hz), 9.1 (m), 2.4 (m), –42.6 (m). MS(ESI⁺) m/z (%) calc.: 992.8153 [M⁺ + H], found: 992.8265 (45).

PhSi(Si*i*Pr₂H)₃: A solution of PhSiCl₃ (12.63 g, 0.06 mol) and *i*Pr₂HSiCl (44.96 g, 0.29 mol) in thf (250 mL) was slowly dropped at ambient temperature to a vigorously stirred suspension of Li cuts (3.32 g, 0.48 mol) in thf (250 mL) over 3 h, and stirring was continued for 24 h. The suspension was poured into a mixture of ice and HCl (200 mL, 1 M) and *n*-pentane (100 mL) was added. The organic phase was separated, the aqueous layer was extracted twice with *n*-pentane (100 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried with MgSO₄ and filtered. After evaporation of the solvents, the raw product was distilled fractionally under vacuum to afford PhSi(Si*i*Pr₂H)₃ (10^{–2} mbar, 120 °C) in a yield of 62.8% (16.9 g).

¹H-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = 1.18 (d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.4$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 18H), 1.20 (d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.4$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 18H), 1.42 (d, sep, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.4$ Hz, and 2.7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 6H), 4.18 (t, $J_{\text{HH}} = 2.7$ Hz, SiH, 3H), 7.11 (m, C₆H₅, 3H), 7.80 (m, C₆H₅, 2H). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = 13.96 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 21.40 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 22.98 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 128.51 (s, C₆H₅), 129.29 (s, C₆H₅), 135.52 (s, C₆H₅), 138.42 (s, C₆H₅). ²⁹Si-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = –6.4 (d, m, $J_{\text{SiH}} = 172.3$ Hz, SiCH(CH₃)₂), –81.2 (s, SiC₆H₅). MS(APCI⁺) m/z (%) calc.: 449.2906 [M⁺ – H], found: 449.2903 (15); IR [cm^{–1}]: 463(w), 584(w), 650(m), 698(s), 744(vs), 877(m), 917(m), 1003(m), 1067(m), 1233(vw), 1363(w), 1383(w), 1427(w), 1460(m), 2073(m, Si–H), 2861(m), 2940(m).

2: A solution of PhSi(Si*i*Pr₂H)₃ (16.9 g, 0.038 mol) in thf (250 mL) was cooled down to –20 °C. Afterwards, TCCA (10 g, 0.043 mol) was slowly added and the solution was warmed up to ambient temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in *n*-pentane (60 mL). The insoluble white precipitate was filtrated and washed two times with *n*-pentane (25 mL). The volume of the combined filtrates was reduced to 50 mL. After 4 days at –8 °C, colourless crystals of PhSi(Si*i*Pr₂Cl)₃ were obtained, the yield being 71.0% (14.9 g). Elemental analysis (%): calc. for C₂₄H₄₇Si₃Cl₃: C 52.00, H 8.55, found C 52.01, H 8.97.

¹H-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = 1.13 (d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.4$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 18H), 1.24 (d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.4$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 18H), 1.70 (sep, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.4$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 6H), 7.10 (m, C₆H₅, 3H), 8.14 (m, C₆H₅, 2H). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = 19.08 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 19.36 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 20.14 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 129.06 (s, C₆H₅), 129.32

(s, C₆H₅), 132.31 (s, C₆H₅), 138.85 (s, C₆H₅). ²⁹Si{¹H}-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = 33.4 (s, SiCH(CH₃)₂), –70.6 (s, SiC₆H₅). MS(APCI⁺) m/z (%) calc.: 517.2126 [M⁺ – Cl], found: 517.2126 [M⁺ – Cl] (100); IR [cm^{–1}]: 424(w), 463(s), 553(vs), 599(s), 621(m), 655(m), 700(m), 735(m), 760(w), 875(s), 991(m), 1233(vw), 1365(w), 1427(w), 1461(m), 2867(m), 2948(m).

3: PhSi(Si*i*Pr₂Cl)₃ (1.34 g, 2.42 mmol) in thf (10 mL) was added to a suspension of Li₃P (0.13 g, 2.50 mmol) in thf (100 mL) at –30 °C. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was first warmed-up to room temperature and stirred for 16 hours and then heated to reflux for 4 days. Thereafter, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in *n*-pentane (25 mL). After filtration, the volume of the solution was reduced to 2 mL and cooled down to –30 °C. Compound 3 was obtained as colourless crystals within 4 days in a yield of 8.8% (0.10 g). Elemental analysis (%): calc. for C₂₄H₄₈Si₄P: C 60.19, H 9.89, found: C 60.24, H 10.03.

¹H-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = 1.36 (d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 18H), 1.38 (d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 18H), 1.71 (sep, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 6H), 7.09 (m, C₆H₅, 3H), 7.70 (m, C₆H₅, 2H). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = 18.91 (d, $J_{\text{CP}} = 5.2$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 20.92 (d, $J_{\text{CP}} = 1.1$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 21.36 (d, $J_{\text{CP}} = 1.5$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 128.67 (s, C₆H₅), 129.22 (s, C₆H₅), 133.40 (s, C₆H₅), 138.25 (s, C₆H₅). ²⁹Si{¹H}-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = 15.4 (d, $J_{\text{SiP}} = 53.2$ Hz, Si₃P), –58.2 (d, $J_{\text{SiP}} = 8.5$ Hz, SiC₆H₅). ³¹P-NMR (C₆D₆): δ /ppm = –241.7 (s). MS(APCI[–]) m/z (%) calc.: 479.2565 [M + H], found: 479.2560 [M + H] (30).

This work was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from Evonik Industries AG, Creavis. The authors thank Mr Günther Thiele for his help with the cover picture and the DFT calculations.

Notes and references

- (a) T. Arnold, H. Braunschweig, J. O. C. Jimenez-Halla, K. Radacki and S. S. Sen, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 9114–9117; (b) R. Rodriguez, T. Troadec, T. Kato, N. Saffon-Merceron, J.-M. Sotiropoulos and A. Baceiredo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 7158–7161; (c) S. Khan, R. Michel, S. S. Sen, H. W. Roesky and D. Stalke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 11786–11789; (d) R. Rodriguez, D. Gau, Y. Contie, T. Kato, N. Saffon-Merceron and A. Baceiredo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 11492–11495; (e) G. Fritz and P. Scheer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 3341–3401.
- (a) W. Hönle and G. H. von Schnering, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1978, **440**, 171–182; (b) G. Fritz and W. Hölderich, *Naturwissenschaften*, 1975, **62**, 573–575; (c) H. Siegl, W. Krumlacher and K. Hassler, *Silicon Chem.*, 1999, 139–145.
- (a) A. Kracke and C. von Hänisch, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 3374–3380; (b) P. Kopecky, C. von Hänisch, F. Weigend and A. Kracke, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 258–265; (c) S. Traut, C. von Hänisch and H.-J. Kathagen, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 777–783; (d) C. von Hänisch, S. Traut and S. Stahl, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2007, **633**, 2199–2204; (e) C. von Hänisch and E. Matern, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2005, **631**, 1655–1659.
- C. von Hänisch and M. Feierabend, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2013, **639**, 788–793.
- (a) V. Miluykov, A. Kataev, O. Sinyashin, P. Lönnecke and E. Hey-Hawkins, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2006, **632**, 1728–1732; (b) N. Korber, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 1997, **124**, 339–346.
- M. Baudler, H. Jachow, B. Lieser, K.-F. Tebbe and M. Fehér, *Angew. Chem.*, 1989, **101**, 1245–1247.
- M. H. Möller and W. Jeitschko, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1986, **65**, 178–189.

8 (a) M. Driess, M. Reisgys and H. Pritzkow, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1998, **624**, 1886–1890; (b) G. M. Kollegger, U. Katzenbeisser, K. Hessler, C. Krüger, D. Brauer and R. Gielen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1997, **543**, 103–110.

9 S. Varaprat and D. H. Stutts, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **692**, 1892–1897.

10 (a) C. von Hänisch, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2001, **627**, 1414–1416; (b) M. Driess and C. Monsé, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2000, **626**, 2264–2268.

11 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 2008, **64**, 112–122.

12 G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 2013.

13 G. Fritz and R. Blaustoch, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1986, **535**, 63–85.

