

Catalytic metal-free Si–N cross-dehydrocoupling†

Lutz Greb,‡ Sergej Tamke,‡ and Jan Paradies*

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 2318Received 17th December 2013,
Accepted 10th January 2014


DOI: 10.1039/c3cc49558b

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

The metal-free $B(C_6F_5)_3$ catalyzed dehydrocoupling of hydrosilanes with anilines, carbazoles and indoles is reported. For anilines and carbazoles the reaction proceeds by the liberation of H_2 as the sole Si–N coupling byproduct. Indoles react with diphenyl(methyl) hydrosilane to give *N*-silyl indolines with high diastereoselectivity (d.r. 10 : 1) in excellent yields. A mechanism for this Si–N coupling/hydrogenation sequence is proposed.

The cross-dehydrocoupling is an efficient methodology for the connection of two molecular entities.¹ Especially the dehydrocoupling of Si–H and N–H fragments provides an environmentally benign access to silyl-protected amines.² These ubiquitous structural motifs are usually obtained by the reaction of halosilanes with deprotonated amines, the generation of which often requires strong bases.³ This is not only of concern for atom efficiency but also for functional group tolerance. In light of this, the Si–N dehydrocoupling proved very useful, e.g. for the protection of indoles using $Zn(OTf)_2$ (10 mol%) in the presence of 0.5–1.0 equiv. of pyridine.⁴ Oestreich's sulfur-bridged Ru–arene complex⁵ is particularly effective in the base-free dehydrocoupling of silanes with other nitrogen-containing heterocycles, e.g. indole, carbazole and pyrrole derivatives using only 1 mol% of catalyst loading.⁶ However, a metal-free variant has not yet been disclosed.⁷

We have shown earlier that the H_2 -activation product 1 of the frustrated Lewis pair (FLP) consisting of 2/3 is a transient species which readily releases H_2 at room temperature (Scheme 1, top).⁸ Accordingly, the isostructural intermediate iso-1, generated through the silyl-transfer from the silane 4 to the aniline 5a, should readily liberate H_2 with concomitant release of the Si–N coupling product 6 (Scheme 1, bottom). As a potential silyl-transfer catalyst, borane 2 has attracted significant attention in hydrosilylation of aldehydes, ketones, imines and olefins.⁹ An analogous mechanism was only recently proposed by Oestreich as a competing pathway in the borane-promoted imine reduction with hydrosilanes.^{9a}



Scheme 1 Conceptual outline for the Si–N dehydrocoupling.

Indeed, when bis(4-toloyl)amine (5a) was reacted with diphenyl(methyl) silane (4a) in the presence of 5 mol% $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (2) at room temperature, the silylamine 6a was obtained in 95% yield accompanied with the evolution of H_2 (Table 1, entry 1). In the absence of the catalyst, the formation of 6a was not observed even when a mixture of 5a and 4a was heated to 90 °C for 12 h (Table 1, entry 2). The catalyst loading was reduced to 1 mol% with slight erosion in yield (73%, entry 3). Lower catalyst loadings of 0.1 mol% led to significantly reduced yields (entry 4). Further experiments were carried out with 1 mol% of 2 as catalyst.

The reaction displays a remarkable substrate scope. Besides diphenylamine derivatives (5a and 5b, entries 3 and 5), carbazole derivatives 5c–f also proved to be viable substrates and the products 6c–f were obtained in 83–97% yields (entries 6–9). The dibromo derivative required 70 °C to undergo Si–N cross-dehydrocoupling in 51% yield without the observation of dehalogenation (entry 8). The reduced yield was attributed to the very low solubility of 5e in toluene. Other silanes were also useful in the Si–N coupling reaction. Triethylsilane (4b) or 1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane (4c) readily reacted with carbazole (5c) or bis(4-toloyl)amine (5a) in high yields (entries 9 and 10). The silylation of primary aniline derivatives proceeded at 60–70 °C in excellent yields (88–97%, entries 11–15).¹⁰ The electron-deficient anilines 5m and 5n were reactive even at room temperature and 6m and 6n were obtained in 88% and 97% yields (entries 16 and 17). Also the two diamines *N,N'*-(diphenyl)-1,4-phenylene diamine (5o) and *N,N'*-(diphenyl)ethylene diamine (5p) underwent silylation with diphenylmethyl silane (4a) in high yields (entries 18 and 19).

Institute for Organic Chemistry, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT),
Fritz-Haber-Weg 6, D-76131 Karlsruhe, Germany. E-mail: jan.paradies@kit.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, analytical data. See DOI: 10.1039/c3cc49558b

‡ Both authors contributed evenly.



Table 1 Si–N cross-dehydrocoupling of aromatic amines with hydrosilanes^a

1.0 equiv. $\begin{matrix} R & R' \\ & \\ N \\ \\ H \end{matrix}$ 5		+ 1.0 equiv. $H-SiR_3$ 4		cat. $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (2) (1 mol%) CH_2Cl_2 , temp.	$\begin{matrix} R & R' \\ & \\ N \\ \\ SiR_3 \end{matrix}$ 6	+ H_2	
Entry	<i>t</i> [h]	<i>T</i> [°C]	Product	Yield [%]			
Diarylamines	1	1	25		95 ^b		
	2	12	90		0 ^c		
	3	1	25		73		
	4	10	25		32 ^d		
	5	1	25		91		
	6	1	25		97		
	7	1	25		83		
	8	24	25		95 ^e		
	9	1	25		95 ^e		
	10	1	25		97		
Anilines	11	72	70		90 ^b		
	12	48	70		90		
	13	48	70		93		
	14	36	60		97		
	15	24	60		91		
	16	36	25		88		
	17	24	25		97		
Diamines	18	24	25		26 ^f		
	19	24	70		92 ^b		
	20	24	60		83		
Indoles	21	144	70		50 ^e		
	22	24	70		81		
	23	24	70		96		
	24	24	70		97		

Table 1 (continued)


1.0 equiv. $\begin{matrix} R & R' \\ & \\ N \\ \\ H \end{matrix}$ 5		+ 1.0 equiv. $H-SiR_3$ 4		cat. $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (2) (1 mol%) CH_2Cl_2 , temp.	$\begin{matrix} R & R' \\ & \\ N \\ \\ SiR_3 \end{matrix}$ 6	+ H_2
Entry	<i>t</i> [h]	<i>T</i> [°C]	Product	Yield [%]		
25	24	70		92		

^a Reactions were performed on a 1.0 mmol scale, 3 M in CH_2Cl_2 . ^b 5 mol% **2**. ^c Absence of **2**. ^d 0.1 mol% $B(C_6F_5)_3$. ^e 10 mol% **2**, 0.1 mmol scale, 3 M in CD_2Cl_2 , yield determined by 1H NMR. ^f 2 mol% **2**.

Accordingly, the reaction of **5p** with phenylsilane (**4d**) provided the cyclic product **6q** in 83% yield (entry 20).


Finally, we investigated the potential of the Si–N dehydrocoupling for pyrrole and indole derivatives. While pyrrole-derivatives were unreactive under our reaction conditions,¹¹ the indole-derivatives **5r–v** displayed high reactivity. The indoles **5r–v** were chemospecifically converted into the 1-silylated indoline derivatives **6r–v** (entries 21–25) without the formation of unsaturated side products arising from N or C3-silylation.¹² Indole (**5r**) required prolonged reaction time (144 h, entry 21) for the domino silylation/reduction sequence and indoline (**6r**) was obtained in 50% yield. The less electron-rich 6-chloroindole (**5s**) was transformed into **6s** in excellent yield in only 24 h (95%, entry 22). Substituents in position 2 were well tolerated and the 2-methyl and 2-phenyl indolines **5t** and **5u** were obtained in quantitative yields (96% and 97%, entries 3–5). 2,3-dimethylindole (**5v**) was diastereoselectively reduced to *cis*-2,3-dimethyl indoline (**6v**) in quantitative yield (98%, d.r. 10 : 1).¹³

The high chemospecificity and diastereoselectivity prompted us to investigate the Si–N cross coupling/hydrogenation reaction of **5v** with **4a** in detail (Scheme 2). Only resonances of the starting materials and the product **6v** were observed when the reaction was monitored by 1H NMR (1 mol% **3**, $[D_8]$ -toluene). Neither the resonance of FLP-activated H_2 nor the resonance of dissolved H_2 was observed by 1H NMR. Deuterium labeling experiments were conducted to investigate the fate of the hydridic and protic hydrogen atoms in silane **4a**



Scheme 2 Isotope labelling experiments with (a) 1-D-2,3-dimethylindole (1-D-**4v**), with (b) D-SiMePh₂ (D-**4a**) and (c) cross experiment.





Scheme 3 Proposed catalytic cycle for the Si–N coupling/hydrogenation domino reaction.

and indole **5v**. The reaction of 1-D-2,3-dimethyl indole (1-D-**5v**, 95% D) with H-SiMePh₂ (**4a**) gave exclusively *cis*-3-D-2,3-dimethyl indoline (3-D-**6v**) in high yields (97%, 92% D-incorporation, Scheme 2a). The reaction of D-SiMePh₂ (D-**2a**, 95% D) with **5v** provided exclusively *cis*-2-D-2,3-dimethyl indoline (2-D-**6v**) in 96% yield with 92% D-incorporation at position 2. Together the chemo-selective deuteration and the absence of dissolved or FLP-activated H₂ or HD¹⁴ strongly support a *N*-silylation/rearrangement/reduction mechanism (Scheme 3). The product of the B(C₆F₅)₃-catalyzed silyl-transfer to **5v** is 1-silyl-1-*H*-indol-1-ium **6**, which rearranges to the more stable 1-silyl-3-*H*-indol-1-ium **7**. Alternatively, an intermolecular proton-transfer might be conceivable. However, according to our cross experiment using **5t–u** and 1-silyl-indole **8**, the sigmatropic rearrangement mechanism is more likely (Scheme 2c). The indole derivatives **5t** and **5u** were equally reactive as **5v** (96–98%, 24 h, see Table 1, entries 23–25) and should be readily protonated by transiently formed **6** (formed by the reaction of **5** and **4a**, compare Scheme 3). However, the reaction of an equimolar mixture of **8**, **5t–u**, and **4a** in the presence of 10 mol% **2** produced **6t** or **6u** as the product (**6u/6v** >95 : 5; **6t:6v** >90 : 10). This is a strong indication that intermolecular proton-transfer is not operative in the silylation/hydrogenation reaction sequence. The final step in the catalytic cycle is the hydride transfer from [H–B(C₆F₅)₃] to the highly electrophilic iminium species **7** from the least hindered side liberating *cis*-**6v** and the catalyst **2**.

In summary, we have developed the metal-free Si–N cross-dehydrocoupling for primary and secondary aryl amines having solely molecular hydrogen as byproduct. Indole derivatives undergo *N*-silylation followed by a rearrangement/reduction sequence to furnish indolines in high yields and high diastereoselectivity (d.r. 10 : 1).

Notes and references

- For reviews, see: (a) C. S. Yeung and V. M. Dong, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1215; (b) C. J. Scheuermann, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2010, **5**, 436; (c) G. E. Dobreiner and R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 681; (d) C. J. Li, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 335; for recent examples, see: (e) X. M. Jie, Y. P. Shang, P. Hu and W. P. Su, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3630; (f) N. Kuhl, M. N. Hopkinson and F. Glorius, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 8230.

- For reviews, see: (a) R. Waterman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 5629; (b) E. M. Leitao, T. Jurca and I. Manners, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 817; (c) M. S. Hill, D. J. Liptrot, D. J. MacDougall, M. F. Mahon and T. P. Robinson, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 4212; (d) J. Y. Corey, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 863; (e) J. F. Harrod, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **206**, 493; (f) J. A. Reichl and D. H. Berry, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, 1998, **43**, 197; for pioneering work, see: (g) E. Matarasso-Tchiroukhine, *Chem. Commun.*, 1990, 681; for recent examples, see: (h) J. F. Dunne, S. R. Neal, J. Engelkemier, A. Ellern and A. D. Sadow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 16782; (i) C. K. Toh, H. T. Poh, C. S. Lim and W. Y. Fan, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2012, **717**, 9; (j) F. Buch and S. Harder, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 5132; (k) A. Iida, A. Horii, T. Misaki and Y. Tanabe, *Synthesis*, 2005, 2677; (l) F. Lunzer, C. Marschner and S. Landgraf, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1998, **568**, 253; (m) H. Q. Liu and J. F. Harrod, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1992, **70**, 107; (n) H. Q. Liu and J. F. Harrod, *Organometallics*, 1992, **11**, 822; (o) W. D. Wang and R. Eisenberg, *Organometallics*, 1991, **10**, 2222; (p) Y. D. Blum, K. B. Schwartz and R. M. Laine, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 1989, **24**, 1707; (q) Y. Blum and R. M. Laine, *Organometallics*, 1986, **5**, 2081.
- (a) P. G. M. Wuts and T. W. Greene, *Protective Group in Organic Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 4th edn, 2007; (b) S. Djuric, J. Venit and P. Magnus, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1981, **22**, 1787.
- T. Tsuchimoto, Y. Iketani and M. Sekine, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 9500.
- (a) T. Stahl, H. F. T. Klare and M. Oestreich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1248; (b) T. Stahl, K. Muether, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi and M. Oestreich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10978; (c) C. D. F. Konigs, H. F. T. Klare, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi and M. Oestreich, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 2842; (d) H. F. T. Klare, M. Oestreich, J.-i. Ito, H. Nishiyama, Y. Ohki and K. Tatsumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 3312; (e) Y. Ohki, Y. Takikawa, H. Sadohara, C. Kesenheimer, B. Engendahl, E. Kapatina and K. Tatsumi, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2008, **3**, 1625.
- C. D. F. Konigs, M. F. Mueller, N. Aiguabella, H. F. T. Klare and M. Oestreich, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 1506.
- For metal-free silylation of OH-groups, see: (a) D. J. Gao and C. M. Cui, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 11143; (b) for metal-free silylation of P–P bonds, see: S. J. Geier and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1026.
- (a) L. Greb, S. Tussing, B. Schirmer, P. Oña-Burgos, K. Kaupmees, M. Lokov, I. Leito, S. Grimme and J. Paradies, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2788; (b) L. Greb, P. Oña-Burgos, B. Schirmer, S. Grimme, D. W. Stephan and J. Paradies, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10164.
- (a) J. Hermeke, M. Mewald and M. Oestreich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17537; (b) L. Greb, P. Oña-Burgos, A. Kubas, F. C. Falk, F. Breher, K. Fink and J. Paradies, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **40**, 9056; (c) W. E. Piers, A. J. V. Marwitz and L. G. Mercier, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 12252; (d) A. Berkefeld, W. E. Piers and M. Parvez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 10660; (e) J. M. Blackwell, D. J. Morrison and W. E. Piers, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 8247; (f) M. Rubin, T. Schwier and V. Gevorgyan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 1936; (g) D. J. Parks, J. M. Blackwell and W. E. Piers, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 3090; (h) J. M. Blackwell, E. R. Sonmor, T. Scoccitti and W. E. Piers, *Org. Lett.*, 2000, **2**, 3921; (i) W. E. Piers and T. Chivers, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1997, **26**, 345; (j) D. J. Parks and W. E. Piers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 9440.
- The elevated temperature of 60–70 °C was required to thermally cleave the aniline/B(C₆F₅)₃ adduct as evidenced by ¹¹B NMR.
- Neither *N*- nor C3-silylation products were observed, see: L. D. Curless, E. R. Clark, J. J. Dunsford and M. J. Ingleson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/c3cc47372d.
- For B(C₆F₅)₃-catalyzed 1,4-hydrosilylation of 2-phenyl chinoline, see: S. J. Geier, P. A. Chase and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 4884.
- Determined by deprotection of **6v** and comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of the resulting 1-*H* indoline with literature reported NMR data: F. O. Arp and G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14264.
- Although the hydrogenation of *N*-methyl indoles is reported (10 mol% **2**, 103 bar H₂, 80 °C, see: D. W. Stephan, S. Greenberg, T. W. Graham, P. Chase, J. J. Hastie, S. J. Geier, J. M. Farrell, C. C. Brown, Z. M. Heiden, G. C. Welch and M. Ullrich, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 12338) the hydrogenation of 1-silyl-2,3-dimethyl indole (4 bar H₂) in the presence of 5 mol% **2** in toluene at 70 °C did not furnish indoline **6v**.

