ChemComm

Diastereoselective synthesis of a bicyclic β-lactam with penicillin G-like spectrum of activity by carbonylation of an acyclic diaminocarbene†

Tim Schulz,[a] Christian Färber,[a] Michael Leibold,[a] Clemens Bruhn,[a] Pascal Prochnow,[b] Julia E. Bandow,[b] Tanja Schneider,[c] Timo Porsch,[d] Max C. Holthausen*[d] and Ulrich Siemeling*[a]

Diisopropylamino-*cis*-2,6-dimethylpiperidinocarbene reacts regio- and diastereoselectively with CO to afford a bicyclic β-lactam with 100% atom efficiency, whose spectrum of activity resembles that of penicillin G or amoxicillin.

The chemistry of carbenes and ketenes has been intertwined for a century now.[1] In 1913 Staudinger described the thermal decarbonylation of ketenes, together with an analysis of the follow-up products of the resulting transient carbenes.[2] The decomposition of the parent ketene $H_2C{=}C{=}O$ to CO and CH_2 is one of the most extensively studied reactions in physical chemistry.[3] Conversely, a classic method for the detection of transient carbenes is their trapping by carbonylation.[4]

The advent of isolable N-heterocyclic carbenes in 1991[5] triggered the development of these and related persistent diaminocarbenes from laboratory curiosities to reliable workhorses in synthesis and catalysis.[6] Such carbenes are usually inert towards CO,[7] but exceptions occur with particularly electrophilic representatives such as, for example, acyclic diaminocarbenes (ADACs) 1.[8] $(iPr_2N)_2C$ (1a) was reported in 1996 as the first ADAC to be isolated and structurally characterised.[9] We found that its primary carbonylation product $(iPr_2N)_2C{=}C{=}O$ (2a) undergoes a remarkable intra-molecular follow-up reaction (Scheme 1).[8b,e] A *retro*-Wolff rearrangement leads to the (amino)(carboxamido)carbene 3a, which subsequently affords the β-lactam 4a by a C–H insertion. *Bona fide* examples of this reaction type are rare. Previously studied

Scheme 1 Carbonylation of 1a, leading to β-lactam 4a (racemic mixture) as the final product. $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298}$ values were calculated by DFT methods.

cases exhibit considerably higher calculated activation barriers (≥ 37 kcal mol^{-1}).[10] The reaction 1a + CO → 4a represents a new entry to the important β-lactam ring system[11] and proceeds with 100% atom efficiency.[12] As a first milestone of a systematic study to probe the limitations of this new synthetic method, we have shown that β-lactam formation requires very bulky ADACs.[8b] We here address the question whether this reaction can be applied to the synthesis of bicyclic β-lactams, using bulky ADACs with cyclic amino groups. This is important in view of the bicyclic nature of the penicillins and cephalosporins, which are the most widely used β-lactam antibiotics.[13] Diisopropylamino-*cis*-2,6-dimethylpiperidino-carbene $(iPr_2N)C(PipMe_2)$ (1b)[14] is the only ADAC known to date which meets the requirements for this investigation. Just like 1a, it is very bulky. In addition, it contains a cyclic amino group $(PipMe_2)$, which incidentally may be viewed as a conformationally constrained version of the iPr_2N group.

We have shown previously that 1a and 1b are very similar in terms of stability, both undergoing a slow β-fragmentation reaction in solution.[15] Indeed, we have found such a chemical similarity also in their carbonylation. The reaction of 1b with CO proceeds smoothly and swiftly at room temperature, cleanly affording the

[a] *Institute of Chemistry, University of Kassel, Heinrich-Plett-Str. 40, D-34132 Kassel, Germany. E-mail: siemeling@uni-kassel.de*

[b] *Biology of Microorganisms, Ruhr University Bochum, Universitätsstr. 150, D-44801 Bochum, Germany*

[c] *Pharmaceutical Microbiology, University of Bonn, Meckenheimer Allee 168, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

[d] *Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Str. 7, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. E-mail: max.holthausen@chemie.uni-frankfurt.de*

Scheme 2 Carbonylation of **1b**, leading to the bicyclic β-lactam *cis*-**4b** as the final product. The isomers *trans*-**4b** and **4c** shown in grey are not observed. Only one enantiomer is shown in each case. Calculated ΔG_{298} values (kcal mol^{-1}) are given in parentheses.

bicyclic β-lactam derivative *cis*-**4b** (Scheme 2). This process is regioselective, since only the PipMe$_2$ unit undergoes the rearrangement and concomitant C–H insertion. The monocyclic β-lactam **4c**, which contains an intact PipMe$_2$ unit, is not observed. Equally remarkable is the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. The diastereomer of **4b**, which exhibits a *trans* orientation of the iPr$_2$N group with respect to the methyl substituents (*trans*-**4b**), is not observed.

The all-*cis* arrangement of the substituents at the bicyclic core of the final product is unequivocally demonstrated by the structure of the hydrochloride [*cis*-**4b**H]Cl, which we were able to determine by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1).

We have investigated the formation of *cis*-**4b** using high-level DFT calculations (B2GP-PLYP-D/def2-QZVP//B97-D/SVP, see ESI† for details), with special attention to the axial (ax) and the equatorial conformation (eq) of the PipMe$_2$ group, including in our analysis also possible boat (b) conformations of the six-membered ring (Fig. 2). First of all, we address thermodynamic aspects concerning the final carbonylation product. The energy of the experimentally observed bicyclic β-lactam *cis*-**4b** is 0.7 kcal mol^{-1} lower than that of its diastereomer *trans*-**4b** and 0.8 kcal mol^{-1} higher than that of the monocyclic β-lactam **4c** (Scheme 2). These small


Fig. 1 Molecular structure of [*cis*-**4b**H]Cl in the crystal (ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level). The broken line indicates a hydrogen bond between the chloride anion and the cationic iPr$_2$HN substituent.

energy differences indicate that the intramolecular follow-up reaction of **2b**, which exclusively gives rise to *cis*-**4b**, is governed by kinetic, rather than thermodynamic, factors. Therefore, we now turn our attention to the course of the reaction. The axial carbene conformer **1b**$_{ax}$ is more stable than the equatorial one (**1b**$_{eq}$) by 6.8 kcal mol^{-1} (not shown in Fig. 2; see ESI†). This significant contrasteric bias is due to the anomeric effect.[16] It is less pronounced for the ketene **2b**, whose axial conformer is only 0.9 kcal mol^{-1} lower in energy than the equatorial one, which corresponds to a value of the equilibrium constant of *ca.* 5 at room temperature. For each calculated reaction pathway, the first step, *viz.* the *retro*-Wolff rearrangement, is rate-determining. This rearrangement can involve either the PipMe$_2$ or the iPr$_2$N group. For the dominant conformer **2b**$_{ax}$ the activation barrier has a value of 21.3 kcal mol^{-1} for the process which involves the PipMe$_2$ group, leading to the transient carbene **3b**$_{ax}$. The corresponding process which involves the iPr$_2$N group and would finally lead to **4c** has a significantly higher barrier ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ = 25.6 kcal mol^{-1}, see ESI† for details). In the case of the less abundant conformer **2b**$_{eq}$ too, the *retro*-Wolff rearrangement involving the PipMe$_2$ unit is kinetically favoured over the alternative process involving the iPr$_2$N group ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ = 20.1 *vs.* 23.7 kcal mol^{-1}). For both ketene conformers the activation energy differences ($\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ = 4.3 kcal mol^{-1} and 3.6 kcal mol^{-1} for **2b**$_{ax}$ and **2b**$_{eq}$, respectively) are sufficiently large to be compatible with an essentially exclusive formation of *cis*-**4b** *via* the kinetically favoured carbene **3b**. At the same time, the energy barrier differences for the various calculated pathways are small enough to suggest that subtle changes in the periphery of a bulky ADAC may have a dramatic influence on the outcome of its carbonylation. A comprehensive study will be required to develop a rationale for the reactivity of the primary carbonylation product, *viz.* the diaminoketene **2**.

We have investigated the antimicrobial activity of the monocyclic β-lactam **4a** and its bicyclic relative *cis*-**4b** against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria by determining their minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) (see ESI†). The bicyclic β-lactam *cis*-**4b** exhibits significant activity against the Gram-positive bacteria *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*. MIC values are 64–128 μg mL^{-1} for the *S. aureus* type strain and 128 μg mL^{-1} for *B. subtilis* 168 and a methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) strain. The antibiotic activity of **4a** is lower by a factor of 2 (MIC = 256 μg mL^{-1} in all cases). Both compounds are inactive against Gram-negative bacteria. Their spectrum of activity resembles that of penicillin G or amoxicillin, whose activities, however, are higher than that of *cis*-**4b** by *ca.* two orders of magnitude.

In conclusion, the efficient and highly selective synthesis of *cis*-**4b** from **1b** and CO under mild conditions opens up new possibilities to access unprecedented bicyclic β-lactams with useful antibiotic properties. We will continue our study with new ADACs containing bulky cyclic amino substituents, which we are currently developing.

Fig. 2 Computed kinetically favourable reaction pathways for diaminoketene **2b** (shown for one enantiomer only). Pathways potentially leading to *trans*-**4b** and **4c** (Scheme 2) are kinetically less favourable and have been omitted for clarity (see ESI† for details).‡

Investing in your future). Quantum-chemical calculations were performed at the Center for Scientific Computing (CSC) Frankfurt on the LOEWE-CSC high-performance computing cluster.

Notes and references

‡ In the same vein, the nucleophilic addition of **1b** to **2b**, affording the oxyallyl species (**1b**)$_2$CO, turned out to be kinetically unfavourable and has therefore not been incorporated in Fig. S2 (see ESI† for details).

1 (a) A. D. Allen and T. T. Tidwell, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 7287; (b) A. D. Allen and T. T. Tidwell, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 1081; (c) T. T. Tidwell, *Ketenes*, Wiley, Hoboken, 2nd edn., 2006.
2 H. Staudinger and R. Endle, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1913, **46**, 1437.
3 (a) H. Xiao, S. Maeda and K. Morokuma, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 7001; (b) Y. Ogihara, T. Yamamoto and S. Kato, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 9981; (c) A. L. Kaledin, J. Seong and K. Morokuma, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2001, **105**, 2731; (d) C. G. Morgan, M. Drabbels and A. M. Wodtke, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1996, **105**, 4550; (e) K. Knox, R. G. W. Norrish and G. Porter, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1952, 1477; (f) W. F. Ross and G. B. Kistiakowski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1934, **56**, 1112; (g) R. W. G. Norrish, H. G. Crone and O. Saltmarsh, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1933, 1533.
4 (a) S. C. Reed, G. J. Capitosti, Z. Zhu and D. A. Modarelli, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **66**, 287; (b) W. Sander, R. Hübert, E. Kraka, J. Gräfenstein and D. Cremer, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 4567; (c) P. Visser, R. Zuhse, M. W. Wong and C. Wentrup, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 12598; (d) J. R. Ammann, R. Subramanian and R. S. Sheridan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 7592; (e) W. W. Sander, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1988, **53**, 121; (f) M. S. Baird, I. R. Dunkin, N. Hacker, M. Poliakoff and J. J. Turner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 5190.
5 A. J. Arduengo III, R. L. Harlow and M. Kline, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 361.
6 (a) M. Fèvre, J. Pinaud, Y. Gnanou, J. Vignolle and D. Taton, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 2142; (b) in N-Heterocyclic Carbenes, ed. S. Díez-González, RSC, Cambridge, 2011; (c) T. Dröge and F. Glorius, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 6940; (d) J. Vignolle, X. Cattoën

and D. Bourissou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 3333; (e) F. E. Hahn and M. C. Jahnke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3122; (f) D. Enders, O. Niemeier and A. Henseler, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5606.
7 D. Martin, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 389.
8 (a) D. Martin, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7014; (b) T. Schulz, C. Färber, M. Leibold, C. Bruhn, W. Baumann, D. Selent, T. Porsch, M. C. Holthausen and U. Siemeling, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6834; (c) U. Siemeling, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2011, **64**, 1109; (d) C. Goedecke, M. Leibold, U. Siemeling and G. Frenking, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 3557; (e) U. Siemeling, C. Färber, C. Bruhn, M. Leibold, D. Selent, W. Baumann, M. von Hopffgarten, C. Goedecke and G. Frenking, *Chem. Sci.*, 2010, **1**, 697.
9 R. W. Alder, P. R. Allen, M. Murray and A. G. Orpen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 1121.
10 (a) C. Wentrup, H. Bibas, A. Kuhn, U. Mitschke and M. C. MsMills, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 10705; (b) G. G. Qiao, W. Meutermans, M. W. Wong, M. Träubel and C. Wentrup, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 3852; (c) M. T. Nguyen, M. R. Hajnal and L. G. Vanquickenborne, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1994, 169; (d) M. T. Nguyen, M. R. Hajnal, T.-K. Ha, L. G. Vanquickenborne and C. Wentrup, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 4387.
11 (a) β-*Lactams: Unique Structures of Distinction for Novel Molecules*, Top. Heterocycl. Chem., ed. B. K. Banik, Springer, Berlin, 2013, vol. 30; (b) *Heterocyclic Scaffolds I: β-Lactams*, Top. Heterocycl. Chem., ed. B. K. Banik, Springer, Berlin, 2010, vol. 22; (c) T. T. Tidwell, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 1016.
12 (a) R. A. Sheldon, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2000, **72**, 1233; (b) B. M. Trost, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 259; (c) B. M. Trost, *Science*, 1991, **254**, 1471.
13 (a) J. F. Fisher, S. O. Meroueh and S. Mobashery, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 395; (b) R. P. Elander, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2003, **61**, 385.
14 G. D. Frey and W. A. Herrmann, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2005, **690**, 5876.
15 T. Schulz, M. Leibold, C. Färber, M. Maurer, T. Porsch, M. C. Holthausen and U. Siemeling, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 9123.
16 E. V. Anslyn and D. A. Dougherty, *Modern Physical Organic Chemistry*, University Science Books, Sausalito, 2006, p. 123.