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Wound healing results from complex signaling between cells and their environment in response to injury. Fibroblasts residing within the extracellular matrix (ECM) of various connective tissues are critical for matrix synthesis and repair. Upon injury or chronic insult, these cells activate into wound-healing cells, called myofibroblasts, and repair the damaged tissue through enzyme and protein secretion. However, misregulation and persistence of myofibroblasts can lead to uncontrolled accumulation of matrix proteins, tissue stiffening, and ultimately disease. Extracellular cues are important regulators of fibroblast activation and have been implicated in their persistence. Hydrogel-based culture models have emerged as useful tools to examine fibroblast response to ECM cues presented during these complex processes. In this Mini-Review, we will provide an overview of these model systems, which are built upon naturally-derived or synthetic materials, and mimic relevant biophysical and biochemical properties of the native ECM with different levels of control. Additionally, we will discuss the application of these hydrogel-based systems for the examination of fibroblast function and fate, including adhesion, migration, and activation, as well as approaches for mimicking both static and temporal aspects of extracellular environments. Specifically, we will highlight hydrogels that have been used to investigate the effects of matrix rigidity, protein binding, and cytokine signaling on fibroblast activation. Last, we will describe future directions for the design of hydrogels to develop improved synthetic models that mimic the complex extracellular environment.

A. Introduction

Wound healing is the dynamic and multistage process of replacing injured tissue and is characterized by sequential phases of inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling.\textsuperscript{1–3} Upon tissue injury, clotting occurs, creating a highly-
signals inherent to the native ECM while other materials can ECM. Some hydrogel materials naturally present biological
activities between fibroblasts and the temporally changing matrix lead to
cytoskeletal reorganization, including expression of α-smooth muscle actin (αSMA) stress fibers (red), as well as alterations in gene expression and secretion of enzymes and proteins for matrix remodeling. The presence of organized αSMA is considered a conclusive indicator of fibroblast activation.

In healthy tissues, myofibroblasts often undergo programmed cell death (apoptosis) after a wound is healed.

However, in fibrotic tissue, myofibroblast persistence leads to continued ECM deposition, causing tissue stiffening and fibrosis, and eventually loss of organ function. While the exact cause of myofibroblast persistence remains unclear, the dynamic interplay between these wound-healing cells and the local ECM has been implicated in fibrosis progression. To examine the complex processes of wound healing and fibrosis
in vitro, hydrogels have emerged as valuable tools because they allow for the two- and three-dimensional (2D and 3D) culture of fibroblasts in defined extracellular environments with varied degrees of static and temporal property control.

Hydrogels are water-swollen crosslinked polymer networks that are often used as in vitro models to mimic the native ECM. Some hydrogel materials naturally present biological signals inherent to the native ECM while other materials can be engineered to mimic the mechanical properties (e.g., modulus) and biochemical properties (e.g., proteins and peptides) of the ECM. For the culture of fibroblasts in vitro, hydrogels have been formed from naturally-derived native ECM components, such as collagen, fibrin, and hyaluronic acid, or synthetic materials functionalized with bioactive moieties, such as polyacrylamide modified with whole ECM proteins or
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poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) modified with protein mimetic peptides. As will be elaborated below (Section B), fibroblasts traditionally have been cultured in fibrin and type I collagen to mimic the matrix present during different phases of wound healing. In these models, cell proliferation, activation, matrix synthesis, and contractility have been examined as signs of fibroblast activation. More recently, polysaccharides and synthetic polymers have been functionalized with reactive moieties and bioactive groups to form hydrogels with enhanced control of matrix mechanics and biochemical content (Sections B & C) (Fig. 1C).

The usefulness and versatility of hydrogel in vitro models have allowed for creative and informative studies of fibroblast activation, which are essential for developing an improved understanding of the interplay between the ECM and cell function and fate in healing. For example, the modulus of fibrotic tissue has been observed to be higher than the modulus of healthy tissue in vivo, owing to increased deposition and crosslinking of collagen by myofibroblasts; this difference in tissue mechanics is thought to play a role in myofibroblast persistence. Recently, several studies in hydrogel model systems have confirmed that matrix stiffness, both initially and over time, does have a significant effect on fibroblast activation within in vitro cell culture, as will be discussed further (Section D). Fibroblasts have responded to increased matrix stiffness by proliferating, secreting collagen, and expressing αSMA fibers. Conversely, reducing the modulus of a hydrogel from that of diseased tissue (E > 15 kPa) to that of healthy tissue (E < 10 kPa) through matrix degradation resulted in a decreased number of activated fibroblasts. A variety of hypotheses beyond the role of matrix stiffness have been and can be tested using well-defined hydrogel in vitro models owing to user control over material properties (Sections D & E).

In the following sections, we will discuss (B) common materials for the formation and functionalization of hydrogels, and (C) techniques for imparting biologically relevant and biomimetic properties to hydrogel models. Further, (D) recent advances and (E) future directions for the field in utilizing these model systems to understand ECM-related regulation of myofibroblastic activation and persistence will be explored.

B. Base materials for the construction of hydrogels for fibroblast culture

A variety of materials have been used as the base component for hydrogels within in vitro culture models. These materials can be roughly divided into two categories, naturally-derived and synthetic. Generally, naturally-derived materials mimic more of the biophysical and biochemical complexity of tissues than synthetic materials. While it is informative to use complex models, their complexity can present challenges in individually tuning matrix structure (e.g., fibrillar structure), mechanical properties (e.g., modulus), and biological cues (e.g., integrin binding sites) to assess the individual or synergistic effects of each property on fibroblast function. Additionally, the purification of ECM components can be difficult, leading to variability between batches of an isolated protein, or undesirable biological signals that can remain in the material as a result of incomplete purification. Synthetic materials offer a higher degree of control, as they are initially biologically inert and can be modified to present relevant physical and chemical ECM cues. However, these materials must contain peptides or proteins that promote cell adhesion and survival, and the resulting synthetic matrix may still lack key elements of the native ECM (e.g., heterogeneous structure, particular integrin binding sites, or appropriate polarization).

Naturally-derived and synthetic base materials also offer different degrees of property control in time or in space depending on their modification with reactive functionalities. This section will cover a variety of hydrogels based on different naturally-derived or synthetic structural components that have been used in vitro to study fibroblast function and activation in wound healing or fibrosis, including collagen I, fibrin, hyaluronic acid, polyacrylamide, and poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG).

Collagen

In the body. Collagen represents 20–30% of all proteins in the human body, occurring in 19 different forms. It is an important structural component of interstitial and connective tissues, making it particularly relevant to models of wound healing and fibrosis. Collagen I (Fig. 2A), which is commonly found in skin, bone, and interstitial tissues, is the most abundant type of collagen in the body. Collagen contains repeating proline-hydroxyproline-glycine (POG) sequences that allow the protein to form a continuous fibrillar structure through hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions with amino acids on neighboring protein strands.

Collagen I deposition and crosslinking significantly increase during wound healing and fibrosis. As noted in the Introduction, when fibroblasts are activated into myofibroblasts, they secrete large quantities of collagen I that are deposited into the ECM to form a highly crosslinked environment. Collagen I also plays a key role in cell adhesion to the ECM by presenting several integrin-binding sites, including the glycine-phenylalanine-hydroxyproline-glycine-glutamic acid-arginine (GFOGER) sequence and the well-known arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) sequence. Fibroblasts can respond to changes in the modulus of the extracellular matrix by exerting force on the matrix through these integrin binding sites. These cell–matrix interactions are involved in mechanotransduction pathways that contribute to the acquisition of the myofibroblast phenotype.

In hydrogels. Hydrogels formed from collagen I have been a popular model for 3D fibroblast culture for several reasons: collagen is prevalent in relevant tissues, is cytocompatible, self assembles into hydrogels with appropriate matrix density and stability for cell encapsulation, and presents native sites for cell adhesion. In these models, hydrogel contraction is a popular measure of cell activation. Contraction of collagen...
hydrogels is the result of fibroblast extension, and interaction with the gel through integrin binding. Subsequent organization of the fibroblast cytoskeleton, including αSMA stress fibers, leads to the exertion of contractile forces on the hydrogel. The organization of αSMA stress fibers can be visualized via immunostaining and is the most well accepted marker of myofibroblast activation. These myofibroblast behaviors are associated with the wound healing process, where contraction leads to wound closure, so overall contraction of collagen hydrogels has been used to assess the effect of potential fibrotic triggers, such as increasing matrix stiffness or protein composition, on myofibroblast function. For example, specific cytokines, like tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and interferon-γ (IFNγ) have been observed to reduce the contraction of human fetal lung fibroblast-seeded collagen gels formed from 0.75 mg mL⁻¹ rat tail collagen I cast in 24 well tissue culture plates. Collagen hydrogel contraction was measured using an image analysis system, where the addition of any one of the three cytokines was found to inhibit hydrogel contraction (p < 0.01). IL-1β had the greatest effect on hydrogel contraction. On average, hydrogels to which IL-1β was added retained roughly 80% of their original area while control hydrogels (with no cytokines added) retained roughly 40% of their original area.

Collagen hydrogels also are useful for studying the effects of physical activation triggers. Fibroblasts cultured in mechanically-loaded collagen gels formed from 0.3 mg mL⁻¹ rat tail collagen I in 100 mm diameter cell culture dishes were

Fig. 2 Naturally derived base materials for the construction of hydrogels used in fibroblast culture. (A) Collagen I forms hydrogels through self-assembly at a physiological pH. SEM was used to observe assembled collagen fibrils (top). These fibrils are composed of triple helical polymers formed from repeating amino acids sequences, such as GPO (glycine-proline-hydroxyproline) monomer. Collagen has been widely used to coat materials like polyacrylamide for 2D fibroblast studies or as the major component in hydrogels for 3D fibroblast culture. Here, fibroblasts are shown cultured on a coated coverslip (2D) or encapsulated within the gel (3D). (B) Adapted from references 145 and 146. (B) The pendant carboxylic acid groups of hyaluronic acid are typically functionalized with thiols or methacrylates for polymerization and hydrogel formation for fibroblast culture. The modulus of hyaluronic acid gels has been controlled by altering the concentration of functionalized HA or crosslinker in solution. Fibroblasts cultured on methacrylate functionalized HA hydrogels and are observed to adhere and spread over the course of 4 days (scale bar, 100 μm). (C) Adapted from reference 52. (C) For naturally derived hydrogel scaffolds, tissues have been isolated from healthy and diseased patients and stripped of cells (decellularized), to provide a base material with appropriate mechanics, structure, and some biochemical cues for fibroblast cell culture. Here, lung tissue was isolated from a patient with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), decellularized, and subsequently seeded with fibroblasts for in vitro culture studies. Adapted from reference 15. (D) The Young’s modulus (E) of normal and IPF decellularized lungs was analyzed using atomic force microscopy. The moduli of samples taken from the lungs of four individuals (two healthy and two with IPF) are shown, with each color representing a different individual. The average modulus of IPF lungs (E ~ 16 kPa) was significantly higher than that of normal lungs (E ~ 2 kPa) (p < 0.0001). Normal human pulmonary fibroblasts were seeded onto these decellularized normal or diseased lungs. Cells cultured on decellularized IPF lung expressed αSMA to a greater degree than cells cultured on decellularized normal lung, indicating increased cell activation into wound-healing myofibroblasts. (D) Adapted from reference 15. Reprinted with permission of the American Thoracic Society. Copyright © 2014 American Thoracic Society. Cite: Booth et al. (2012) Acellular Normal and Fibrotic Human Lung Matrices as a Culture System for In Vitro Investigation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 186 866–876. Official Journal of the American Thoracic Society.
observed to enter an activated state while fibroblasts cultured in unloaded collagen gels enter a quiescent state.\textsuperscript{14,15} Here, the term ‘mechanically-loaded’ refers to hydrogels that are somehow attached to a surface, commonly a cell culture plate so that the gels resist forces exerted by fibroblasts. Alternatively, free floating gels do not resist the forces exerted by encapsulated fibroblasts cultured within them.\textsuperscript{14,27,28} The mechanisms by which these fibroblasts translate ‘mechanical loading’ to activation have been the subject of further study.\textsuperscript{36} This phenomenon is particularly interesting given more recent results linking the differences in the stiffness of synthetic hydrogel matrices to fibroblast activation.\textsuperscript{16,31,37} and the observed increase in stiffness in fibrotic tissue compared to healthy tissue.\textsuperscript{15}

Design considerations and potential limitations. Collagen’s native-like fibrillar structure and inherent presentation of relevant integrin binding sequences make it a useful material for mimicking the native ECM. However, care must be taken to tune the mechanical properties of collagen hydrogels. Batch-to-batch variation between different protein isolations can make it difficult to repeatedly achieve the same gel structure and mechanical properties.\textsuperscript{38} Further, one cannot explicitly decouple changes in mechanical properties from changes in integrin binding. Mechanical properties can only be tuned over a limited range, from a shear modulus ($G$) ~ 1 to 100 Pa,\textsuperscript{39} which is quite low relative to that of fibrotic tissues ($E$ ~ 15 kPa), making these hydrogels less attractive for studying regulated healing and disease.\textsuperscript{15} Collagen gels also are subject to significant degradation and remodeling by enzymes secreted by fibroblasts, including matrix metalloproteinases (e.g., MMP-2, MMP-9), making them unstable for long-term cell culture ($t > 1$ week).\textsuperscript{40} Remodeling and contraction of collagen gels by encapsulated cells can significantly alter the mechanical properties, and therefore cell response.\textsuperscript{41} However, this dynamic interplay between cells and the matrix over shorter time scales may be appropriate and attractive for studying myofibroblasts in normal wound healing.

**Fibrin**

In the body. Fibrin is a large glycoprotein that plays an active role in the clotting and wound healing process. During injury, fibrinogen, the soluble fibrin precursor that circulates in the blood, is cleaved by thrombin as part of a cascade of enzymatic reactions. The result is insoluble fibrin that assembles into branched fibrils to form a hydrogel structure. This process promotes blood clotting. In normal wound healing, fibroblasts migrate into the provisional matrix of the fibrin clot and deposit ECM to rebuild tissue. Fibrin binds other ECM proteins, including fibronectin and a number of cytokines, and provides cell adhesion sites, such as RGD, for activation of integrins on the fibroblast cell surface.\textsuperscript{42}

In hydrogels. Generally, fibrin hydrogels are formed by the addition of thrombin to a suspension of cells in a fibrinogen mixture. Similar to blood clotting, thrombin promotes the cleavage of fibrinogen to fibrin, which self-assembles into a hydrogel. There is some evidence to suggest that the ratio of fibrinogen to thrombin affects fibroblast behavior, specifically proliferation and migration, where fibroblast proliferation was observed to be greater in hydrogels containing less fibrinogen (5–17 mg mL$^{-1}$ as opposed to 34–50 mg mL$^{-1}$). Increased proliferation and migration are important characteristics of fibroblasts during the wound healing process, which suggests that fibrin gel composition could play a role in fibroblast activation, although more definitive studies are required.\textsuperscript{43}

Traditionally, fibrin has been a popular material for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine applications. It has been used in approaches aimed at regenerating a number of tissues \textit{in vitro}, or to mimicking tissues in biological implants\textsuperscript{44} and in wound healing applications. Fibrin also has been used as a sealant for open wounds,\textsuperscript{44} to deliver proteins such as thrombin or cytokines associated with wound healing,\textsuperscript{45} or cells like fibroblasts or cardiomyocytes\textsuperscript{46,47} to promote the wound healing process. This wide range of healing-related applications has motivated additional studies on fibroblast culture in hydrogels. Fibrinolysis of fibrin clots is a critical step in the wound healing process and for this reason fibrin clots are sometimes included within \textit{in vitro} models. These models have been used to understand what drives migration and to elucidate the causes of improper wound healing. Fibronecint and PDGF play a role in fibrinolysis of fibrin clots in collagen hydrogels.\textsuperscript{48} Further, plasminogen expression aids in fibrinolysis, and fibrin degradation may be essential. Evidence suggests that the expression of other proteases can overcome plasminogen deficiency.\textsuperscript{49}

Design considerations and potential limitations. Fibrin’s critical role in the wound healing process has led to its use in many \textit{in vitro} models to examine fibroblast function in healing. However, fibrin hydrogels have some limitations in this application. For example, fibrin is highly susceptible to enzymatic cleavage, so fibrin hydrogels must often be treated with a protease inhibitor to delay complete degradation\textsuperscript{40} and allow for long term \textit{in vitro} culture (>2 days). Furthermore, commercially available fibrinogen often contains cytokines that can introduce undefined and uncontrolled biological cues into the hydrogel model, making it difficult to isolate the effect of individual matrix properties on fibroblast migration or activation.\textsuperscript{44}

**Hyaluronic acid**

In the body. Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a glycosaminoglycan that is found in the ECM of most tissues (Fig. 2B). The structure of HA consists of two repeat units: N-glucuronic acid and N-acetyl-D-gluocosamine.\textsuperscript{51} The degradation and synthesis of HA is an essential part of the regular maintenance of the ECM and may be critical for appropriate wound healing.\textsuperscript{52} HA binds a number of ECM proteins, including fibronectin,\textsuperscript{52} as well as cell surface receptors, including CD44.\textsuperscript{52} Low molecular weight HA fragments are associated with inflammatory response in the lung, and it has been hypothesized that improper HA clearance is a contributing factor in interstitial lung diseases, such as pulmonary fibrosis.\textsuperscript{51}

In hydrogels. In the native ECM, HA interacts with proteins like collagen and fibronectin to create a complex multicompontent environment. Recent work has aimed to mimic this
native multicomponent structure by incorporating HA within collagen hydrogels. The addition of HA alters the viscoelastic properties of collagen gels, but does not appear to affect the microstructure. Reports on the effect of HA addition on fibroblast activation, proliferation, or cytoskeletal organization are somewhat varied, from reports of HA having no effect on fibroblast behavior to reports of HA increasing myofibroblast activity. While it is generally accepted that HA contributes to wound healing via cell surface interactions and related signaling, it remains unclear whether or not HA affects wound healing or fibrosis via physical interactions.

HA also has been modified with various reactive functional groups to allow formation of covalently crosslinked hydrogels. Synthetically modified HA enables the formation of hydrogels with controllable modulus and the potential for in situ photopatterning, affording control of matrix properties in space and in time. For example, HA has been modified with thiol groups by reaction with dithiobis(propanoic dihydrazide) or dithiobis(butyric dihydrazide) followed by reduction with diithiothreitol. This method has been used to modify up to 70% of the available carboxylic acid groups with thiols that then participate in a number of reactions to form hydrogels, including a Michael-type addition reaction with acrylates or a free-radical initiated chain polymerization with acrylates or methacrylates. As an alternative to the addition of thiol groups, HA has been reacted with methacrylic anhydride under basic conditions (pH ~ 8) to add methacrylate groups. These free radical chain polymerization reactions enable gel formation and modification upon application of cytocompatible doses of long wavelength UV light in the presence of a photoinitiator. HA gels have been used to culture a number of fibroblast cell lines including human dermal fibroblasts, vocal fold fibroblasts, and valvular interstitial cells (VICs). For example, elastin synthesis by vocal fold fibroblasts was found to be inversely related to the molecular weight of HA included in HA-polyacrylamide gels.

Design considerations and potential limitations. HA hydrogels are useful tools because they mimic aspects of the structure and chemistry of the native ECM. However, appropriate cell interactions are not guaranteed. For example, VICs have been observed to adhere to pure HA hydrogels, but adult dermal fibroblasts require the addition of adhesive proteins like fibronectin to adhere. The inclusion of other proteins can make the structure of HA hydrogels more complex and less well-defined, and, like other natural materials, HA must be purified before being used for in vitro cell culture. Furthermore, the effect of HA addition on fibroblast function within in vitro models remains unclear. Future studies with these gel-based in vitro model systems may help identify the specific role of HA natively during wound healing.

Other natural materials

Many additional components of the ECM offer favorable hydrogel properties for fibroblast in vitro culture beyond the more commonly used scaffold materials that have been described in detail. For example, the protein elastin lends the native ECM much of its elasticity. While native elastin is highly crosslinked and can be difficult to handle in vitro, recombinantly expressed elastin and electrospun elastin have been used to form hydrogels and could be promising for fibroblast culture. Similarly, elastin-like polypeptides have been used to form hydrogels for the culture of fibroblasts, although specific investigations of wound healing related properties are currently limited.

Decellularized tissue is another interesting material that has been recently used to culture fibroblasts. Decellularized tissue consists of tissue samples that have been stripped of cells such that only extracellular matrix components remain, including structural proteins, glycosaminoglycans, and cytokines. While perhaps not traditionally considered a hydrogel, these complex crosslinked protein scaffolds closely resemble the native ECM experienced by fibroblasts in vivo. Characterizing the mechanical properties and protein composition of normal and fibrotic decellularized tissues has been a helpful step toward identifying pro-fibrotic signals. In particular, decellularized fibrotic lungs were found to have a significantly higher modulus than decellularized healthy lungs (E ~ 15 kPa vs. 3 kPa, respectively), and human pulmonary fibroblasts reseeded on these fibrotic matrices showed an increase in αSMA expression as compared to cells seeded on normal lungs (Fig. 2D). This result supports the hypothesis that signals from the extracellular environment, especially changes in the structure and concentrations of ECM proteins, promote activation of fibroblasts and significantly contribute to fibrosis. While these are informative studies, decellularized tissue can be difficult to obtain, purify, and characterize, limiting its broad use as a culture model.

Polyacrylamide

In hydrogels. Polyacrylamide hydrogels have been a popular material for in vitro cell culture in two dimensions for a number reasons: they provide a biologically neutral (bioinert) base for the controlled presentation of added biochemical cues, are formed from commercially available monomers, and allow straightforward control of mechanical properties by controlling crosslinker concentration. In particular, a large portion of the in vitro studies related to fibroblasts have been conducted on polyacrylamide coated with collagen I, where the effects of matrix rigidity or stiffness on fibroblast morphology and activation have been examined.

Polyacrylamide gels with stiffness gradients, typically achieved by varying crosslink density and modulus, have been particularly useful for assessing the effects of matrix stiffness on fibroblast activation. Notably, a polyacrylamide gel with a gradient in stiffness and coated with collagen I was used to examine the effect of matrix rigidity on the activation of human pulmonary fibroblasts. Human pulmonary fibroblasts proliferated to a greater extent on the stiffer portion of the gradient (Fig. 3A). Additionally, on discrete stiffness gels, little to no procollagen I and low levels of αSMA expression were observed on lower stiffness materials (Young’s modulus E < 0.5 kPa), while greater levels of procollagen I and αSMA expression were observed on higher stiffness materials.
Expression were observed on high stiffness materials (\( E > 10 \) kPa). This is one of several studies utilizing polyacrylamide\(^\text{16,70} \)) that indicates that the mechanics of the extracellular environment play a significant role in fibroblast activation, furthering our understanding of the complex signals that contribute to fibrosis and wound healing.

**Design considerations and potential limitations.** While polyacrylamide is a versatile 2D culture substrate, it does not inherently present biological cues, requiring the addition of cell adhesive peptide sequences to terminal acrylate groups or inherently present biological cues, requiring the addition of polyacrylamide is a versatile 2D culture substrate, it does not contribute to fibrosis and wound healing.

**Description of the image:**

**Fig. 3 Synthetic base materials for the construction of hydrogels used in fibroblast culture.** (A) Polyacrylamide hydrogels (right) are commonly formed from acrylamide and N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide and often used in 2D fibroblast culture. For example, human pulmonary fibroblasts (HFF) have been observed to proliferate on a collagen-coated polyacrylamide substrate with a stiffness gradient. As the modulus of the polyacrylamide substrate increased, fibroblasts proliferated to a greater degree and had highly organized cytoskeletons (red f-actin). Adapted from references 16 and 147. (B) Polyethylene glycol (PEG) has been used in 2D and 3D fibroblast culture. PEG hydrogels, like the one shown on the right, can be formed by functionalizing PEG with acrylate end groups and then polymerizing with an acrylate-functionalized peptide. Proteinase-degradable peptides often have been used in PEG hydrogels for 3D cell culture because they allow the matrix to be degraded and invaded by the encapsulated fibroblasts. Here, 3T3 fibroblasts are observed spreading in degradable PEGDA hydrogel containing basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) (time lapse images). bFGF was found to induce cell spreading within the gel over the course of 15 days. Adapted from references 81 and 147.

**Poly(ethylene glycol)**

**In hydrogels.** Initially bioinert, PEG is easily functionalized for controlled gel formation and modification. PEG provides a blank slate for the presentation of specific biophysical and biochemical cues present in the wound healing environment. The modulus of PEG hydrogels can be tuned over a broad range to mimic the moduli of a healthy and fibrotic soft tissues (e.g., \( E \sim 5 \) kPa to \( E \sim 900 \) kPa)[17,18,73] and whole proteins or peptides have been added to mimic the chemical composition of the ECM in those tissues (e.g., hyaluronic acid, fibronectin, and protein mimetic peptides RGD and DGEA have all been conjugated to PEG hydrogels).[17,32,62] For hydrogel formation, the hydroxyl end groups of PEG, either linear or multi-arm, have been modified with a number of reactive functionalities, including acrylates, methacrylates, and various ‘click’ groups.[18,72] Within *in vitro* model systems to study fibroblast function and fate, PEG diacrylate or dimethacrylate based gels have been formed from free radical chain growth polymerization, enabling spatiotemporal control of gel formation in the presence of light and a photoinitiator. These matrices degrade very slowly in aqueous solution unless cleavable groups are introduced in addition to the monomers (e.g., poly(lactic acid) or enzymatically cleavable peptide blocks)[73] and, consequently, these matrices have been used primarily for 2D healing or disease models.[17,18] A variety of ‘click’ chemistries also have been used in conjunction with functionalized multi-arm PEG monomers and enzymatically-degradable peptides decorated with cysteines to create cell-degradable hydrogels by a step growth mechanism, including the reaction of thiols with vinyl sulfones[25,76] by Michael-type addition or norbornene by radially-mediated thiol–ene click chemistry.[77] Step growth hydrogels have been shown to have a more homogenous network structure with improved mechanical properties.[78]

PEG hydrogels have been used to culture several types of fibroblasts, including VICs,[18] human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF),[76,79] and pulmonary fibroblasts.[17] These hydrogels have been used to study the effects of matrix stiffness,[17,74,77,80] cell adhesion sites,[77] and growth factor presentation[75,81] on fibroblast adhesion and activation. For example, PEG hydrogels have been used to study the individual and synergistic effects of matrix modulus and TGFβ1 on fibroblast activation. It has been observed that pulmonary fibroblasts cultured on lower modulus PEG hydrogels (\( E \sim 20 \) kPa) do not express αSMA fibers when TGFβ1 is introduced, even at concentrations as high as 100 ng mL\(^{-1}\). On more rigid substrates (\( E \sim 900 \) kPa), αSMA expression can be induced through the addition of TGFβ1, but higher concentrations are needed on less rigid substrates.[17] The conclusion of this study, that low modulus substrate can inhibit αSMA expression, is supported by a number of studies.[77,82]

In another investigation into the effect of matrix stiffness, PEG hydrogels were used to study fibroblast activation in response to dynamic changes in substrate modulus during culture. Changes in gene expression, proliferation, and
expression of αSMA have been observed in VICs grown on photodegradable PEG substrates where modulus was decreased after three days of culture. These changes suggest that, on the time scale observed (5 total days of culture), decreases in modulus from that of fibrotic tissue (E $\sim$ 32 kPa) to that of healthy tissue (E $\sim$ 7 kPa) led to fibroblast de-activation and quiescence,\(^{18,74}\) indicating that matrix modulus alone can alter fibroblast fate over relatively short times. Additionally, growth factors like FGF-1 have been shown to increase fibroblast invasion into PEG hydrogels (Fig. 3B)\(^{81}\) of varying moduli.

**Design considerations and potential limitations.** PEG hydrogels are versatile models that can be used in combination with a variety of chemistries, enabling the probing of fibroblast response to initial and temporal differences in specific mechanical and biochemical matrix cues. However, for 3D culture, the synthesis of PEG hydrogels is more complex than many of the self-assembling natural materials. PEG hydrogels also must be engineered to present biological cues like proteins or protein mimetic peptides and to be enzymatically degradable if they are to be used for 3D cell culture; this is in contrast to synthetically modified HA hydrogels, which can afford spatiotemporal property control, cell adhesion, and some degradability without significant alteration.\(^{95}\) Finally, the methods used to initiate PEG hydrogel formation must be carefully selected to avoid cytotoxicity or long-term damage to cells (e.g., free radicals or significant doses of UV light).\(^{72}\)

**Other synthetic materials**

Polyacrylamide and PEG are the most commonly used synthetic hydrogel scaffold materials for in vitro studies of healing and disease. However, there are many other synthetic materials that have been used to form hydrogels for general cell culture. For an excellent review of materials for hydrogel formation and their properties see Slaughter et al.\(^{10}\) Briefly, fibroblasts have been successfully cultured on poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), which offers many of the benefits of PEG hydrogels, but is difficult to control, on the microscale.\(^{84,85}\) Poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA) historically has been used in biological implants\(^{10}\) and with limited success to culture fibroblasts in vitro.\(^{56}\) Additionally, poly(dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS), which can be used to form polymer networks with soft tissue-like moduli, has been applied in fibroblast culture to study cell contraction. Wrinkling of the PDMS substrate has been correlated to the contraction force that the fibroblast or myofibroblast exerts on its environment, where cells cultured on stiff surfaces (E $\sim$ 100 kPa) exhibit enhanced wrinkle formation, indicating greater cell contraction and pro-fibrotic behavior.\(^{7}\)

### C. Biological functionalization of hydrogels for fibroblast culture

The bioinert nature of synthetic hydrogels allows user control over the addition of various biochemical ECM cues to enable the examination of their effects on fibroblast proliferation, migration, and activation in 2D and 3D culture and to facilitate appropriate cell adhesion. In this section, materials that are commonly incorporated into hydrogel models of wound healing or fibrosis for the purpose of biological functionalization are overviewed, including whole ECM proteins, protein functional domains, protein mimic peptides, and growth factors. This section also will discuss common methods for bioconjugation of the hydrogel base materials discussed in Section B.

**Whole ECM proteins and protein functional domains**

**Fibronectin.** Fibronectin is a V-shaped ECM protein composed of modular domains known to bind important ECM components involved in fibroblast function, including collagen, heparan sulfate, fibrin,\(^{24}\) and hyaluronic acid.\(^{62}\) Fibronectin and its derivatives also promote the adhesion of fibroblasts,\(^{52,62}\) as well as other cell types.\(^{87}\) Increases in adhesion, spreading, and proliferation of human dermal fibroblasts on hyaluronic acid gels have been correlated with the incorporation of increasing concentrations of fibronectin functional domains.\(^{62}\) Similarly, fibroblast adhesion and proliferation has been observed on fibronectin-coated polyacrylamide gels\(^{88}\) and gelatin.\(^{89}\) Additionally, VICs have been observed to proliferate to a greater degree on tissue culture-treated polystyrene (TCPS) coated with fibronectin as compared to laminin or collagen I.\(^{52}\)

In addition to promoting cell adhesion, the Extra Type III A (EDA) domain of fibronectin has been implicated in fibroblast activation to myofibroblasts.\(^{89,90}\) Primary lung fibroblasts isolated from patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) express EDA at a higher concentration (as observed by RT PCR and western blotting) than primary lung fibroblasts isolated from healthy patients.\(^{90}\) Further, bleomycin treated mice, a common in vivo pulmonary fibrosis model, do not develop fibrosis when they are EDA deficient.\(^{90}\) Dermal fibroblasts cultured on gelatin expressed lower levels of αSMA when treated with an anti-EDA antibody and TGFβ1, which is known to activate fibroblasts, when compared to a control fibroblasts cultured without the antibody.\(^{59}\) These results suggest that, while fibronectin is often included in hydrogel in vitro models to promote cell adhesion, fibronectin also contributes to TGFβ1-induced fibroblast activation.

**Laminin.** Laminin is a cross-shaped ECM protein ubiquitous throughout the basement membrane, a layer of proteins that support epithelial cell growth and provide a molecular barrier between organ tissue and capillaries or organ compartments.\(^{91}\) Laminin binds itself as well as other common basement membrane proteins, including collagen IV\(^{24,91}\) Basement membrane disruption often occurs with tissue injury and is commonly observed in fibrotic organs,\(^{4}\) and consequently, laminin is an important component to consider in the design of relevant culture models. TCPS and glass cover-slips coated with laminin enable adhesion of both human embryonic skin fibroblasts and VICs.\(^{72,94}\) However, in several fibroblast cell lines, laminin has been shown to promote adhesion to a lesser degree than fibronectin.\(^{52,93,94}\) Ultimately,
cell adhesion is determined by the integrin profile of the cell and may vary from cell line to cell line. Laminin also may affect fibroblast protein expression. For example, the α3 chain of laminin has been observed to promote MMP-1 expression in human neonatal dermal fibroblasts which is critical in tissue remodeling during wound healing. While the effect of laminin on fibroblast activation is not well described in the literature, a peptide derived from laminin has been shown to increase collagen synthesis in fibroblasts, as will be discussed later in this section.

**Heparin.** Heparan sulfate is a structurally variable, highly charged linear polysaccharide in the ECM that participates in protein and growth factor binding. Due to difficulties in the isolation of heparan sulfate, heparin is often used to represent heparan sulfate within *in vitro* models. Some studies have shown that heparin displays antifibrotic properties, although these properties may be tissue specific. For example, injections of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) and PEG-conjugated low molecular weight heparin reduced liver fibrosis in rats treated with dimethylNitrosamine (DMN). Liver samples from these rats showed decreases in mRNA and protein expression of αSMA, collagen I, and other profibrotic proteins, as assessed by RT PCR and western blotting. However, heparin has also been shown to increase αSMA expression, as assessed by flourophore-linked immnosorbonce assay quantification, of VICs cultured on heparin-coated TCPS by over 200% compared to an uncoated TCPS when cells were cultured with TGFβ1. Additionally, soluble heparin has been shown to increase αSMA expression in VICs in a dose dependent manner. Thus, the effect of heparin on fibroblasts may be highly dependent on the presence of particular growth factors. Heparin is known to bind and stabilize basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), PDGF, TGFβ1, and others.

Growth factor sequestration may explain heparin’s observed antifibrotic effects *in vivo*, and its simultaneous ability to stimulate fibroblast activation *in vitro*. Similarly, heparin growth factor sequestration affects fibroblast adhesion onto hydrogel scaffolds containing heparin. Human aortic adventitial fibroblasts adhered at higher rates to hydrogels formed from PEG conjugated with RGD peptides than the same base hydrogel formed with LMWH (Fig. 4A). This result indicates that LMWH had an adverse effect on cell adhesion. However, this adverse effect was counteracted by the addition of bFGF.

**Conjugation methods.** Various methods have been used to incorporate whole ECM components into hydrogels. Laminin and fibronectin have been incorporated into collagen IV and I hydrogels without modification, at concentrations between 10 μg mL⁻¹ and 100 μg mL⁻¹, due to their natural binding affinities. To allow the modification of synthetic materials with whole proteins, appropriate chemical functionalization is required. Whole proteins have been treated with N-sulfosuccinimidyl-6-(4'-azido-2'-nitrophenylamino) hexanoate (sulfo-SANPAH), a heterobifunctional reagent that provides a N-hydrosuccinimide ester that reacts with a primary amine on the protein and a nitrophenyl azide group that reacts with an amide on the gel surface upon exposure to UV light. This method often has been used to conjugate whole proteins to polyacrylamide gels. Fibronectin domains have been conjugated to PEG by adding a cysteine tag and then reacting the free thiol with acrylate-functionalized PEG. Fibronectin also has been biotinylated for conjugation to streptavidin-functionalized PEG gels and polyacrylamide gels. Heparin has been conjugated to PEG hydrogels by functionalizing the polysaccharide with a maleimide group that is reacted with thiol-functionalized PEG monomer. These protocols are not protein specific, however, and could be applied to any number of whole ECM proteins for biological functionalization of hydrogel scaffolds. For additional information on bioconjugation approaches, in-depth reviews can be found by Slaughter *et al.* and Kharkar *et al.*
Protein mimetic peptides

Synthesis and function. Protein mimetic peptides are short sequences of amino acids derived from the functional sites of whole ECM proteins and have become popular replacements for whole proteins in hydrogel scaffolds. Integrin binding protein mimetic peptides are generally more stable than whole proteins, which may be degraded by fibroblasts. They also offer the advantage of tight control over the concentration of available cell interaction sequences since they mimic only a portion of the entire protein. However, the simplicity of protein mimetic peptides can lead to the exclusion of beneficial sequences and reduced functionality. For example, adult human dermal fibroblasts adhere to HA-PEG-fibronectin hydrogels to a much greater degree than HA-PEG-RGD hydrogels.

Protein mimetic peptides can be synthetically produced and modified with various functional chemistries, allowing for the construction of well-defined and highly controlled biomimetic hydrogels. Specifically, peptides have been synthesized to contain terminal thiol groups that are then reacted with ‘ene’-functionalized PEG monomers. Peptides also have been functionalized with acrylate groups for reaction with acrylate functionalized PEG as well as acrylamide. As will be discussed below, protein mimetic peptides are most often incorporated into synthetic hydrogel systems to enable appropriate fibroblast adhesion, although they also can be used to elicit specific cellular responses, including activation. Protein mimetic peptides allow the isolation of integrin binding from the other functions of whole proteins, such as growth factor sequestration, and enabling the testing of hypotheses concerning integrin binding without the addition of confounding factors.

Common sequences. Peptide sequences that are commonly incorporated into hydrogel scaffolds for fibroblast culture are listed in Table 1 along with the proteins they mimic, integrins they target, and their observed effects on fibroblast behavior. The RGD sequence is probably the most ubiquitous in fibroblast cell culture. It has been shown to promote fibroblast adhesion to biologically inert substrates, including PEG, PVA, and PDMS. RGD was able to stimulate human pulmonary fibroblast adherence at lower concentrations than DGEA (aspartic acid-glycine-glutamic acid-alanine) or VGVAPG (valine-glycine-valine-alanine-proline-glycine) in 0.5 to 1 MPa hydrogels. While RGD was initially derived from fibronectin, it is present in many ECM proteins and has been found to most strongly bind the αvβ3 integrin primarily associated with vitronectin; inclusion of the synergistic sequence PHSRN with RGD promotes activation the αvβ3 integrin primarily associated with fibronectin. The degree to which RGD promotes adhesion varies among fibroblast cell types. For example, almost 100% of adult human dermal fibroblasts adopt a rounded morphology on HA-PEG-RGD hydrogels, whereas roughly 50% of VICs appear to adopt a spreading morphology on PEG gels with relatively low concentrations (1 pmol cm−2) of RGD peptide.

In addition to promoting cell adhesion, some protein mimetic peptides have been observed to affect fibroblast behavior. For example, RGD was found to increase collagen synthesis in human skin fibroblasts cultured on PDMS, a function associated with activated fibroblasts during wound healing. Similarly, the sequence YIGSR (tyrosine-isoleucine-glycine-serine-arginine) which is derived from laminin has been shown to promote collagen synthesis in human dermal fibroblasts. In another example, VAPG (valine-alanine-proline-glycine), a peptide derived from elastin, was found to enhance

Table 1  Protein mimetic peptides and their function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Mimicked protein</th>
<th>Associated integrin</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Observed cell response</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RGD</td>
<td>Fibronectin, Collagen I, Laminin α5, Vitronectin</td>
<td>α5β1  104,137–139</td>
<td>Human pulmonary fibroblast</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human skin fibroblast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human periodontal ligament fibroblast</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human dermal fibroblast</td>
<td>Collagen I synthesis</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFOGER-(POG)n</td>
<td>Collagen I</td>
<td>α5β1, α5β2 23,142, 143</td>
<td>Human pulmonary fibroblast</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human periodontal ligament fibroblast</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGEA</td>
<td>Collagen I</td>
<td>α5β1, α5β2 143</td>
<td>Human pulmonary fibroblast</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIGSR</td>
<td>Laminin β1</td>
<td>α5β1, α5β2, α5β3 144</td>
<td>Human dermal fibroblast</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human periodontal ligament fibroblast</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKVAV</td>
<td>Laminin α5</td>
<td>α5β1, α5β2, α5β3 144</td>
<td>3T3 fibroblasts</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHSRN(SG)3RGDSP</td>
<td>Fibronectin</td>
<td>α5β1 104,138</td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>αSMA expression</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>αSMA expression</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15</td>
<td>Collagen I</td>
<td>α5β1, 73</td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>αSMA expression</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>αSMA expression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGVAPG</td>
<td>Elastin</td>
<td>affinity binding protein 73</td>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>αSMA expression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Most strongly bound amongst several integrins, which include α5β1, α5β2, α5β3, α5β6, & α5β3.
expression of αSMA by VICs cultured on PEG hydrogels, indicating increased activation.77

Cytokines

In the body. Cytokines and growth factors are small proteins (typically on the order of 10 kDa) that act as signaling molecules. During wound healing, cytokines are released by epithelial cells, inflammatory cells, and fibroblasts, resulting in phenotypic changes in those cells and cell migration to the site of wound healing.5 Several cytokines have been shown to affect fibroblast activation, including TGFβ1, connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), PDGF, Fizz1, and tumor necrosis factor alpha 1 (TNFα1).5,107 Of these, TGFβ1 is the most well studied. TGFβ1 is secreted by a number of cells at the site of wound healing and acts through the SMAD signaling pathway to induce the expression of αSMA stress fibers in fibroblasts, resulting in a contractile, myofibroblastic phenotype.5 TGFβ1 is often included within in vitro studies as a positive control for cell activation and has been shown to induce αSMA stress fiber formation on TCPS,108 collagen hydrogels (Fig. 4B),14 polyacrylamide coated with collagen,16 and PEG hydrogels modified with RGD adhesive peptide.17 Cytokines like TGFβ1 are most commonly included within in vitro models via addition to cell culture media at concentrations ranging from 1 ng mL−1 (ref. 17) to 100 ng mL−1 (ref. 17, 108). Other cytokines, including IL-1β and bFGF,109,110 have been observed to down regulate the expression of αSMA in fibroblasts. The effects of these cytokines have not been widely studied in hydrogel models, although their effects have been documented in 2D in vitro studies on TCPS109 and within in vivo studies.110

In hydrogels. While cytokines are most often introduced to in vitro models through cell culture media, some work has been done to immobilize cytokines within hydrogels to elicit a specific cellular response, such as fibroblast migration, which is associated with an activated fibroblast phenotype in wound healing.75,81 For example, epidermal growth factor (EGF) modified with an acrylate group using NHS chemistry was immobilized within enzymatically-degradable, cell-adhesive PEG hydrogels and shown to increase the migration of human dermal fibroblasts by roughly twenty percent as compared to a control hydrogel.75 A similar chemistry was used to conjugate TGFβ1 to PEG hydrogels and increased ECM synthesis in smooth muscle cells, which share many commonalities with fibroblasts.111 Additionally, the cytokine sequestering properties of heparin have been utilized in hydrogels for cell culture, although these gels are more often used to culture stem cells or endothelial cells.112,113

D. Increasing dimensionality towards a better understanding of activation

Designing materials that closely mimic the native ECM, from its 3D structure to dynamic nature, is critical in the development of hydrogel models to understand wound healing and disease. The move from hard plastic culture dishes to hydrogels has already advanced the study of wound healing and disease by revealing how matrix modulus plays a role in fibroblast activation. The move from 2D models to 3D models is allowing researchers to investigate how polarization and cell shape may influence fibroblast behavior in vitro and in vivo. The development of temporally changing models is also improving our understanding of the role of dynamic signaling in the wound healing process and the development of fibrosis. This section will discuss the exciting discoveries that have resulted from creating models with increased dimensionality starting with the use of models with varied stiffness and ending with temporal signaling.

2D: the move to biomimetic surfaces

‘Stiff’ or high modulus substrates have been observed to induce the expression of αSMA in a number of different cell-matrix systems: these include human lung fibroblasts and rat hepatic fibroblasts cultured on polyacrylamide coated with collagen16,31,70 and PEG hydrogels modified with RGD, DGEA, and IKVAV peptides,17 as well as VICs cultured on PEG hydrogels modified with fibronectin20 or RGDS, VGVAPG or P15.73 For example, rat portal (hepatic) fibroblasts cultured on discrete stiffness gels ranging from E = 400 Pa to 12 000 Pa exhibit increasing αSMA stress fiber expression with increasing stiffness (Fig. 5A). This result was quantified on the transcriptional level using RT-PCR.70 Additionally, work done in collagen hydrogels suggests that ECM protein secretion is higher when gels are ‘mechanically-loaded,’28 suggesting that fibroblast activation is influenced by matrix resistance to fibroblast contractile forces. Further, fibroblasts that have been cultured on stiff substrates for various periods of time express high levels αSMA when cultured on soft substrates compared to cells that were never cultured on a stiff substrate, indicating an important temporal component in cell response to matrix mechanical properties where cells have an increasing ‘memory’ of activation over time.7

ECM topography also has been observed to affect activation. In one study, PEG microrods were patterned on a 2D PEG-coated silicon wafer, allowing fibroblasts to attach and align along the rods without adhesive ligands. Microrods of varied moduli down-regulated expression of αSMA, cyclin D1, and integrin α3, and decreased proliferation, indicating that topography plays a role in fibroblast activation.114 Additionally, studies examining the combined effects of matrix rigidity and patterning of ECM proteins on fibroblast adhesion and organization have been performed to create better mimics of the in vivo microenvironment. For example, PDMS micropost arrays coated with ECM proteins were created for 2D culture.115 Fibroblasts seeded on top of the patterned surface exerted forces on the posts and spread over the surface of the material. The formation of focal adhesions on micropost arrays and flat surfaces varied depending on both the substrate stiffness (where shorter posts correspond to a more rigid substrate) and ECM protein pattern. Fibroblasts cultured on uniform ECM-coated flat surfaces exhibited the most spreading. The rigidity of the substrate and the patterning of the posts also affected...
There have been many efforts to study fibroblast behavior in 2D substrates, indicating that some portion of the cytoskeletal organization, as qualitatively observed by F-actin staining.

These results concerning the role of matrix stiffness and topography are informative, but often these studies are conducted on 2D substrates. In these cases, fibroblasts interact with the matrix on one side of the cell. This inappropriate polarization of the cell body may alter the cytoskeletal organization of the cell and consequently activation. For this reason, there have been many efforts to study fibroblast behavior in their native orientation in three dimensions.

2.5D and 3D cell culture

The move to 3D cell culture has taken two distinct forms (Fig. 5B): (i) ‘sandwich studies’ or 2.5D studies in which hydrogels are stacked on top of one another with a layer of cells in between them and (ii) 3D studies in which fibroblasts are suspended in a hydrogel precursor solution and encapsulated within the hydrogel network upon polymerization. The 2.5D cell culture geometry is relatively new for fibroblast culture, although relevant protocols have been published.\(^{71,116}\) The technique previously has been used to successfully culture salivary gland cells in hyaluronic acid hydrogels\(^ {117}\) and breast epithelial cells in an ECM derived matrix.\(^ {118}\) Additionally, human umbilical vein endothelial cells have been cultured in an interesting derivative of the 2.5D sandwich method where cells were seeded on polyacrylamide coated with collagen and then covered with another layer of assembled collagen. Although the layer above the cells has a much lower modulus, the cells can still interact with the collagen substrate on all sides, reducing polarization.\(^ {116}\) The benefit of the 2.5D cell culture geometry is that it allows for cell imaging without more specialized equipment (e.g., confocal microscope). Since the most commonly recognized marker of fibroblast activation is the organization of αSMA stress fibers, imaging is a critical aspect of these studies.
component of cell characterization and provides a strong argument for the utilization of this cell culture geometry. Another benefit to the 2.5D cell culture technique is that it can be used with polymerization techniques that are cytotoxic, as noted earlier with 2.5D polyacrylamide hydrogels for fibroblast culture.87

While there are benefits to ‘sandwich’ studies, the 3D cell culture geometry more closely mimics the in vivo environment. 3D cell culture can be achieved when using a cytocompatible material and polymerization chemistry. Historically, fibroblasts have been encapsulated within collagen hydrogels for 3D cultures because they offer the natural benefits of a cytocompatible polymerization (self-assembly), fibroblast adhesion sequences, and proteinase degradable properties for fibroblast driven remodeling.14 Cells have been suspended in collagen in slightly acidic conditions and encapsulated in collagen hydrogels as the pH is raised and hydrogels are formed.28 More recently, 3D cell culture studies of fibroblasts have been conducted using PEG hydrogels.12,119 For example, PEG-hyaluronic acid gels were formed using a photoinitiator and acrylate functionalized monomers to encapsulate vocal fold fibroblasts. Fibroblast response to HA of various molecular weights was assessed by measuring the synthesis of ECM proteins (elastin and collagen), proliferation, and αSMA expression. The molecular weight of the HA included in the gel was observed to affect fibroblast behavior, with intermediate molecular weight HA inducing activation.32 In addition to cell encapsulation, other methods of achieving 3D-like cell culture have been employed, including inducing fibroblast migration into the hydrogel81 and patterning hydrogels with pores into which fibroblasts are seeded.120 In order to conduct 3D studies using encapsulation and migration techniques, cellular remodeling of the hydrogel must be possible. One approach to engineering this property into gel systems is the incorporation of MMP degradable peptide linkers into the hydrogel network as described in Section B.77,79 For example, VICs have been cultured in PEG-MMP degradable peptide hydrogels formed by thiol–ene click reactions. In this gel system, crosslink density and RGD incorporation (100 μM to 2000 μM) was found to significantly affect cell morphology in long term culture (>10 days).82 In another example, the incorporation of MMP degradable peptide linkers allowed human foreskin fibroblast migration out of a fibrin clot into PEG hydrogel. Fibroblast migration is an important step in the wound healing process and must be enabled in 3D studies which are designed to better understand this stage of the wound healing process.76

E. Future directions

The sections above describe materials for hydrogel synthesis and functionalization that have been used in wound healing and disease models. This section will focus on novel materials and techniques that can be used to increase the complexity of these in vitro models. Three general topics will be covered: spatial patterning within hydrogels, temporal hydrogel stiffening, and novel materials for incorporation into hydrogel models.

Spatial patterning of hydrogels

Fibrotic tissue is significantly more heterogeneous than healthy tissue, due to the formation of fibrotic foci that unevenly deposit ECM within the tissue.15 The role of heterogeneous protein deposition has not been widely explored within the literature because technologies enabling spatial control of protein conjugation within hydrogels are only recently emerging. With newer technologies, whole proteins, peptide sequences, and cytokines can be conjugated to a specific location within a 3D hydrogel using a variety of methods. This technology could be used to examine the effect of heterogeneity on fibroblast activation. Also, spatial patterning of hydrogels can be employed to exert a high degree of control over cell migration, cell–protein interactions, and cell–growth factor interactions. Photoreactive chemistries and photomasked UV light or two-photon absorption photolithography can be applied to control the site of the reaction, as demonstrated in previous work where patterns of conjugated peptides124,125 and whole proteins126 have been created within
hydrogels. These techniques also can be used to change substrate properties or control the internal geometry of the hydrogels. In the future, these technologies may prove useful to study fibroblast activation within patterned hydrogels to elucidate the role of ECM heterogeneity or spatially controlled integrin binding.

**Temporal hydrogel stiffening**

In Section D, fibroblast response to a decrease in substrate modulus was highlighted. However, the temporal nature of fibroblast response to such decreases has not been fully explored. There have been recent efforts in designing materials that stiffen in response to an external trigger like UV light. HA modified with methacrylates was used to initially form gels crosslinked by diethytreitol (DIT). Subsequent stiffening was induced after the application of UV light and the polymerization of remaining methacrylate groups to form new crosslinks within the gel. Stiffening materials such as this could prove useful in new studies to mimic tissue stiffening during healing or fibrosis to better understand fibroblast activation in response to temporal changes in matrix mechanics.

**Novel materials**

Self-assembling peptides are exciting materials for producing hydrogels that enable the culture of cells within a dynamic structure. These peptides have the benefit of mimicking the temporally changing and heterogeneous structure of the native ECM while maintaining a high degree of control over the formation and structure of soft hydrogels. Peptide-based hydrogels have been used for cell culture, but few studies have utilized them for wound healing or fibrosis models. Similarly, engineered proteins or protein mimics are interesting tools for the formation of well-defined and tightly controlled hydrogel models that retain some of the complexity of the native ECM.

In addition to new synthetic materials, several natural materials that have not been previously included in hydrogel *in vitro* models may be of interest in future studies. For example, biglycan, a small leucine rich proteoglycan that interacts with ECM proteins and several growth factors, including TGFβ1, is suspected to play a role in wound healing, interacting with immune cells to recruit inflammatory cells to the site of injury. The role of biglycan in wound healing and fibrosis is supported by a number of studies, which show increased concentrations of biglycan in fibrotic disease; however, it has not yet been incorporated into hydrogel models. Biglycan is just one example of several proteins and cytokines that have been shown to play a role in the development of fibrosis through *in vivo* models, yet their exact roles are difficult to decipher within the complex *in vivo* environment. Other such proteins include surfactant protein A, serum amyloid P, and adenyl cyclase. The incorporation of these and other relevant cues could build upon prior work using hydrogel *in vitro* models and help bridge the gap between *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies of fibrosis.

**F. Conclusions**

Advances in our understanding of the mechanisms of wound healing and disease have made it apparent that the extracellular environment plays an essential role in these biological processes. *In vitro* models have been essential to developing this understanding and will continue to be important tools for the study of fibroblast function and phenotype in healing and disease. In this article, we have reviewed natural and synthetic materials that have been used within *in vitro* hydrogel-based cell culture models. We also have discussed materials and chemistries for biological functionalization of materials and emerging techniques that may prove useful in the development and advancement of new *in vitro* systems to further mimic key complexities of *in vivo* healing and disease progression.
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