

Cite this: *Analyst*, 2014, 139, 4903Received 19th June 2014  
Accepted 21st July 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4an01102c

www.rsc.org/analyst

# Counting cell number *in situ* by quantification of dimethyl sulphide in culture headspace

Thomas W. E. Chippendale,<sup>ab</sup> Patrik Španěl,<sup>ac</sup> David Smith<sup>\*a</sup> and Alicia J. El Haj<sup>a</sup>

A novel, non-invasive technique is reported for determining the numbers of cells in a culture by quantifying dimethyl sulphide (DMS) in the culture headspace as produced by the cellular enzymatic reduction of dissolved dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO). Measured DMS concentrations, as performed using selected ion flow tube mass spectrometry (SIFT-MS), in the headspace of 2D and 3D cultures of four cell lines, *viz.* HEK293 (kidney), MG63 (bone), hepG2 (liver) and CALU-1 (lung), linearly correlate with starting cell number. Clear differences in the rates of production of DMS by the four cell types in both the 2D and 3D situations are seen. This novel analytical technique for cell enumeration offers a significant contribution to quality assessment across cell-based research and industry, including analysis of large scale culture systems, and for routine cell biology research.

## 1 Introduction

Mammalian cell cultures are involved in a range of applications, including the production of viral vaccines, recombinant proteins and cell therapies, as well as for various strands of biological research. In order to determine the number of cells present in a culture, be they grown adherently or suspended by agitation in liquid media, it is usually required that all or a portion of the cells be removed from the culture vessel for assay. This can be performed by manually counting the cells using optical microscopy and by more complex methods such as MTT-type colorimetric assays<sup>1</sup> or by automated computational image analysis systems such as Cell-IQ.<sup>2</sup> Well-established culturing in two dimensions (2D) is now being expanded into the three dimensional (3D) systems in order to mimic physiological environs, to assess the behaviour of cells in this setting<sup>3</sup> and to develop high-throughput low volume tissue-engineered products for clinical purposes.<sup>4</sup> However, sampling of cultures for cell counting,<sup>1,2,5,6</sup> colorimetrics or imaging<sup>1</sup> can be challenging and presents a risk of contamination. Thus, we have investigated whether it is possible to monitor cell viability and proliferation by analysing in real time the concentrations of volatile metabolites (VOCs) released by the cells. Direct mass spectrometric analysis of VOCs emitted by mammalian and bacterial cells *in vitro* is a rapidly growing field that has recently been thoroughly reviewed.<sup>7</sup> A major aspect of this work is to direct the search and quantification of biomarkers of disease

and bacterial infection in exhaled breath. This we are pursuing with some vigour by real time quantification and monitoring of the VOCs emitted by the common respiratory pathogens *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,<sup>8</sup> *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae*<sup>9</sup> and *Aspergillus fumigatus*.<sup>10</sup>

The unique cell assay described in this report is based on the enzymatic conversion of dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO), one of the most commonly used amphipathic solvents,<sup>11</sup> to volatile dimethyl sulphide (DMS) in cell cultures by the actions of the ubiquitous enzyme methionine sulphoxide reductase A (MsrA) that has been identified in both the mitochondria and the cytosol of animal cells.<sup>12</sup> Thus, it is seen that the rate of DMS emission from DMSO-spiked cultures, as measured by the concentration of DMS in the culture headspace, is related to the number of cells in the culture. This new method of cell enumeration is analogous to the aforementioned MTT assays except that the analysis can be performed non-invasively on a bulk population of cells.

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Cell culture and sample preparation

HEK293 embryonic kidney cells were first cultured adherently in tissue culture (T-) flasks to confluence in DMEM supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (PBS), 2 mM L-glutamine and 1% penicillin–streptomycin. For 2D experiments, cells were removed using trypsin, counted and transferred to T75 flasks (300 mL total volume) in 15 mL of medium additionally supplemented with 0.1% v/v DMSO and 10 mM HEPES. For 3D experiments, cells were removed, counted and seeded to hydrogels of rat tail collagen I (3 g L<sup>-1</sup>; BD Biosciences). The gels were formed by neutralising the acetic acid–collagen solution

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Science and Technology in Medicine – Keele University, Guy Hilton Research Centre, Stoke-on-Trent, ST4 7QB, UK. E-mail: d.smith@keele.ac.uk

<sup>b</sup>Cobra Biologics, Stephenson Building, The Science Park, Keele ST5 5SP, UK

<sup>c</sup>J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Dolejškova 3, Praha 8, Prague, Czech Republic



using 1 M sodium hydroxide and adding 10× PBS (10%), the cell suspension (in culture medium; 10%), DMSO (0.1%) and sterile deionised water. The mixture was sealed inside clean, sterile 10 mL glass containers and allowed to set for approximately 30 minutes before a further 1 mL of culture medium containing 0.1% DMSO and 10 mM HEPES was added. In both 2D and 3D experiments, the T75 flasks and the glass vessels were sealed with septa-caps and incubated at 37 °C for 16 hours, after which the headspace above the cells was analysed for trace volatile compounds using selected ion flow tube mass spectrometry (SIFT-MS; see below). These methods are adapted from cell culture headspace analysis methods developed by our group previously.<sup>3,13,14</sup>

Three other cell lines, namely MG-63, hepG2 and CALU-1, were included in this study. In the 2D experiments, following the initial culture in T-flasks, the cells were transferred to 150 mL glass bottles, sealed using septa caps and incubated overnight at 37 °C in media (DMEM with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine and 1% penicillin–streptomycin) containing 0.1% DMSO and 100 mM HEPES. This method more closely follows the previously published work wherein the headspace of cell cultures was analysed for volatile organic compounds using SIFT-MS.<sup>3,13,14</sup> The 3D cultures were prepared in the manner described above and the headspace again analysed by SIFT-MS.

## 2.2 Headspace analysis by SIFT-MS

The SIFT-MS analytical technique can be used to quantify, in real time, several trace gas compounds in gaseous, humid samples; it has been described previously in several review articles.<sup>15–17</sup> Briefly, a mixture of ions is generated by a microwave discharge through humid air, and a desired ionic species is selected from the mixture using a quadrupole mass filter and injected into the flowing helium carrier gas of the SIFT-MS instrument to act as precursor (reagent) ions for the analysis. Concurrently, the sample vapour (headspace) to be analysed is introduced into the carrier gas *via* a calibrated, heated capillary at a known flow rate, when the composite trace compounds of the sample undergo reactions with the injected reagent ions. The product (analyte) ions of these analytical reactions are sampled into a downstream quadrupole mass spectrometer, where a signal is generated in terms of ion counts-per-second ( $c s^{-1}$ ). The reagent ions employed in SIFT-MS are always  $H_3O^+$ ,  $NO^+$  or  $O_2^+$ ; these have been chosen because they do not react significantly with the major compounds of air (nitrogen, oxygen, argon), and so only the trace gas compounds are reactive and can be analysed. When the reaction kinetics (rate coefficients and product ions) of each trace compound are fully characterised, their concentrations can be obtained.

In order to perform an analysis, the cell culture headspace it is sampled by piercing the septa that close the culture containers with a hypodermic needle attached to the sampling arm of the SIFT-MS instrument. In the present experiments, the DMS concentration in the headspace matrix was determined with  $NO^+$  reagent ions from the count rates of the  $m/z$  62 isotope of the product ion  $(CH_3)_2S^+$  as described previously.<sup>18,19</sup> It should be noted that due to the sample flow rate

( $\sim 30 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$ ) and the relatively small headspace volume of the vessels used to perform the 3D experiments (8 mL), it was necessary to balance the atmospheric pressure inside the bottles by using a flow of clean cylinder air from a flexible bag coupled to the bottle *via* a second hypodermic needle. The finite dilution of the headspace sample due to this procedure can readily be accounted for by extrapolation of the analytical curve to the onset of sampling.<sup>20</sup> This sampling procedure has been described in detail in previous publications.<sup>3,13,14,21,22</sup>

## 2.3 Colorimetric cell counting assay

Following the headspace analysis by SIFT-MS, the total cell number in the culture was assessed by the water-soluble tetrazolium salt WST-8 assay<sup>23</sup> using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; NBS Biologicals). Due to the relatively large volumes of the cell samples and the presence of the collagen in the 3D experiments, the protocol was modified from that recommended by the manufacturer. To the T75 cultures used for the 2D experiments (15 mL liquid volume), 150  $\mu\text{L}$  of CCK-8 solution was added and the vessels were incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C. To the 10 mL vessels containing the 3D collagen scaffold cultures, 40  $\mu\text{L}$  of CCK-8 solution was added, and the vessels were incubated for 4 hours. In each case, following the incubation period, 100  $\mu\text{L}$  media samples were extracted from the cultures and transferred to a 96-well plate ( $n = 3$ ). A Synergy 2 spectrophotometer (BioTek) was then used to measure the absorbance of the samples at 450 nm, with the absorbance at 650 nm subtracted, as recommended by the manufacturer. The absorbance reading at 450 nm correlates with the production of formazan dye by the cells that, in turn, is related to both the number of viable cells in the sample and to the metabolic activity of the cells.

# 3 Results & discussion

## 3.1 Non-cytotoxicity of DMSO

This novel approach to cell number assessment obviously requires that the toxicity of DMSO be addressed if the proposed method is to be utilized. DMSO is commonly used as a cryopreservant for human cells at a concentration of 10% v/v (ref. 24) and is used clinically as a palliative treatment for interstitial cystitis.<sup>25</sup> A study by our group revealed no change in the viability of both primary human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and hepG2 hepatocyte-like cells following 16 hours incubation in medium containing 0.1% DMSO.<sup>21</sup> In addition, a study by Da Violante and co-workers found no significant cytotoxic effects in Caco2/TC7 colon tumour cells cultured with DMSO concentrations of up to 10%.<sup>24</sup> Whilst these data are persuasive as far as they go, more work is desirable to fully validate the non-toxicity of DMSO at the low concentrations needed for this novel cell assay method.

## 3.2 HEK293 embryonic kidney cells

**3.2.1 2D cultures.** DMS concentrations were measured in the headspace of sealed HEK293 cultures following 16 hours of incubation at 37 °C in media containing DMSO at the low



non-toxic concentration of 0.1% using SIFT-MS.<sup>15–17</sup> Due to the volatility of DMS, it is distributed between both the gas and liquid phases in equilibrated proportions that are readily determined. For example, the headspace of the T75 flasks used to conduct the 2D experiments described in this study accounted for 95% of the total volume of the vessel, which according to Henry's Law considerations for DMS<sup>26</sup> reveals that 71% of the total DMS in the system was contained as gas in the headspace. The headspace of the 10 mL containers used to conduct the 3D experiments accounted for 80% of the total volume and contained only 34% of the DMS. Thus, an accurate value for the molecular production rate by the cells can be determined by calculating the sum of the rates of emission into both the liquid and vapour phases.


The plot in Fig. 1A shows the results obtained for 2D (sealed T75 tissue culture flasks) cell cultures for the DMS concentrations in ppbv as a function of the starting cell number density. The linearity of the plot suggests that cell number can be accurately derived using this non-invasive method. Given the gas and liquid phase volumes, the incubation period and the Henry's Law coefficient of DMS,<sup>20,26</sup> the mean DMS production rate of the HEK293 cells can be determined from the slope of the line in this figure. Thus, the mean production rate for these 2D cultures is  $8.9 \times 10^5$  DMS molecules per cell per minute (also given in Table 1). Immediately following the analyses by SIFT-MS, the cell numbers were counted by the established WST-8-based colorimetric assay as mentioned above, which additionally provides an indication of metabolic activity<sup>23</sup> as discussed in Section 2.3. Once again, a linear relationship between the absorbance readings and cell count was obtained as shown in Fig. 1B, but in this case note the relatively large intercept on the absorbance axis indicating the "background" absorbance of the phenol red that is present in the culture medium. More importantly, as the starting cell number density doubles the relative increase in the absorbance is small, of the order of 10% only, which in some cases is barely within the error of the measurements, whereas the increase in headspace DMS concentration clearly indicates the greater sensitivity of the DMSO/DMS method of cell enumeration. Nonetheless, given the linear relationships in Fig. 1A and B, the equivalence of these two different assays is clear for cells cultures in the 2D

**Table 1** The mean DMS production rates, given in molecules per cell per minute, of four established cell lines, HEK293, MG-63, hepG2 and CALU-1, cultured in 2D and 3D systems. The HEK293 cells were cultured in T75 flasks (95% headspace) for the 2D experiments and 10 mL glass containers (80% headspace) for the 3D experiments. The MG-63, hepG2 and CALU-1 cells were each cultured inside 150 mL vessels (~90% headspace). These were sealed and incubated for 16 hours prior to headspace analysis by SIFT-MS

Cell type		Mean DMS production rate ( $\times 10^6$ DMS molecules per cell per min)	
		2D	3D
HEK293	Embryonic kidney	0.89	0.44
MG-63	Osteoblast-like	3.2	4.2
hepG2	Hepatocyte-like	0.99	0.67
CALU-1	Lung epidermoid	9.9	1.8

situation, but this DMS method has the distinct advantage that it is completely non-invasive, given that the DMSO is seemingly non-toxic at the 0.1% v/v level, and the culture need not be manipulated.

**3.2.2 3D cultures.** The DMS production of HEK293 cells in 3D cultures contained inside sealed 10 mL glass vessels in 1 mL of rat tail collagen type I with 1 mL of medium is again linearly related to the seeding cell number density, as can be seen in Fig. 2A. It was observed (see Table 1) that the rate of production of DMS is about two times slower that in the 2D culture; this could possibly be explained by interactions between the DMSO or DMS with the collagen scaffolds, inhibiting the DMSO from making contact with the cells. However, the plot obtained for the WST-8 absorbance against initial cell number is far from linear, as can be seen in Fig. 2B, which reveals a slow increase at low cell number that rapidly increases at higher cell numbers. The overall metabolic activity of the cells is known to influence expression of the dehydrogenase and other reductase enzymes that underpin WST-8 and similar colorimetric assays. Therefore, a likely explanation for the shape of the curve in Fig. 2B is that the cells cultured at the highest seeding density were simply more metabolically active. Note again the background absorbance due to the medium/collagen alone caused by the presence of phenol red in the culture medium. Once again, at



**Fig. 1** (A) DMS concentrations, given in parts-per-billion by volume of headspace (ppbv), measured in HEK293 cultures inside sealed T75 tissue culture flasks (2D cultures) following incubation for 16 h at 37 °C, plotted against the starting cell number density. The error bars indicate the uncertainty of the mean of 3 DMS concentrations. (B) Absorbance measurements at 450 nm (background absorbance at 650 nm subtracted) obtained from the WST-8-based cell counting assay performed immediately after the SIFT-MS headspace analysis.






Fig. 2 (A) DMS concentrations, given in parts-per-billion by volume of headspace (ppbv), measured in HEK293 cultures sealed in 10 mL glass vessels containing 1 mL of medium and 1 mL of rat tail collagen type I (3D cultures) following incubation for 16 h at 37 °C, plotted against the starting cell number density. (B) Absorbance measurements at 450 nm (background absorbance at 650 nm subtracted) obtained from the WST-8-based cell counting assay performed immediately after the SIFT-MS headspace analysis. The error bars indicate the uncertainty of the mean of 3 DMS concentrations. The dashed line in (B) is an "eye-ball" variation following the data points.

the lower starting cell number densities ( $0\text{--}0.27 \times 10^6$  cells  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) the relative increase in the absorbance measurement as the cell number density is doubled is only 10–20%, whereas the increase in DMS headspace concentration approximately doubles. This increase in sensitivity suggests that the new DMSO/DMS reduction method can be superior to WST-8 and other MTTs for measuring cell number, especially in 3D cultures. What is exciting is that the combination of these methods can provide further insight into the behaviour of the cells in the 3D situation, perhaps providing additional information on the metabolic activities of the cells.

### 3.3 MG-63, hepG2 and CALU-1 cell lines

**3.3.1 2D cultures.** The rates of DMS production for three other cell lines, *viz.* MG-63 osteoblast-like, hepG2 hepatocyte-like and CALU-1 lung epidermoid carcinoma cells, cultured in 2D have also been derived; these are shown in the Table 1. These cell types have relevance to studies of bone implantology, liver disease and lung cancer respectively. Headspace analyses of DMS were performed as before for each of the cell lines contained inside sealed 150 mL vessels. Over the 16 hour incubation period, the MG-63 and CALU-1 cells produced DMS at a faster mean rate than the HEK293 cells, whilst production by the hepG2 cells was comparable with that of HEK293, but production rates of all four cell types were within an order of magnitude of  $10^6$  DMS molecules per cell per minute. It is also perhaps significant that the two most proliferative cell types MG-63 and CALU-1 produce DMS most rapidly. These collected data indicate that DMS production is cell specific and when the rate of production of DMS in a specific medium has been determined for a particular cell type then it can be exploited to non-invasively determine the number of the cells in the 2D culture.

**3.3.2 3D cultures.** The rates of production of DMS by these three cell lines cultured in 3D are also given in Table 1. It can be seen that for MG-63 the emission of DMS into the gas phase was more rapid when the cells were cultured in 3D compared to 2D, whereas the opposite is true for the CALU-1 cells. In fact, the DMS production rate by CALU-1 cells was more than 5 times greater when cultured in 2D compared to 3D. These results suggest that the interaction between the cells and the growth surface/matrix is an important factor in determining the rate of

DMS production. However, whether this is due to the metabolic state of the cells or a result of changes in the actual number of cells is a question that requires further investigation. Again, it is important to note that the volatility of DMS from aqueous solution is very high, so the volume of the liquid/collagen is not very important in establishing the headspace DMS concentration *vis-à-vis* the liquid phase concentration, since almost all the DMS generated by the cellular reduction of DMSO appears in the gaseous phase above the culture.

## 4 Concluding remarks

The experimental data presented in this short paper demonstrate that the measurement of headspace DMS concentration produced from DMSO by the cells can be a valuable non-invasive technique by which the number of cells in both 2D and 3D cultures can be determined. Indeed, its combination with the conventional assays may offer further insights into the behaviour of cells in the 3D situation. This new technique is applicable to cell and biochemical engineering applications as well as to tissue engineering and generic cell biological research. In fact, it has been hypothesised that the activity of the DMSO-reducing enzyme MsrA could be employed as a stem or progenitor cell marker for the isolation of cell populations.<sup>27</sup> Given the presence of DMSO-reducing enzymes in a variety of prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, the technique might also be applicable for monitoring the growth of microbial cell cultures in biopharmaceutical production processes. Thus, this novel approach appears to be promising for further application in a variety of biological fields.

## Competing financial interests

The authors have submitted a patent application relating to the work presented here (WO Application no. PCT/EP2013/054025).

## Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Bidesign project and the EPSRC Centre for Innovative Manufacturing in Regenerative Medicine Analysis Frameworks.



## References

- 1 *Mammalian Cell Viability: Methods and Protocols*, ed. M. J. Stoddart, Humana Press, 2011.
- 2 T. Toimela, H. Tahti and T. Ylikomi, *ATLA, Altern. Lab. Anim.*, 2008, **36**, 313–325.
- 3 A. V. Rutter, T. W. E. Chippendale, Y. Yang, P. Španěl, D. Smith and J. Sulé-Suso, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 91–95.
- 4 J. L. Drury and D. J. Mooney, *Biomaterials*, 2003, **24**, 4337–4351.
- 5 J. C. Y. Dunn, W. Y. Chan, V. Cristini, J. S. Kim, J. Lowengrub, S. Singh and B. M. Wu, *Tissue Eng.*, 2006, **12**, 705–716.
- 6 P. Thevenot, A. Nair, J. Dey, J. Yang and L. Tang, *Tissue Eng., Part C*, 2008, **14**, 319–331.
- 7 K. Chingin, J. C. Liang and H. W. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 5768–5781.
- 8 D. Smith, P. Španěl, F. J. Gilchrist and W. Lenney, *J. Breath Res.*, 2013, **7**, 044001.
- 9 T. W. E. Chippendale, F. J. Gilchrist, P. Španěl, A. Alcock, W. Lenney and D. Smith, *Anal. Methods*, 2014, **6**, 2460–2472.
- 10 T. W. E. Chippendale, F. J. Gilchrist, P. Španěl, A. Alcock, W. Lenney and D. Smith, *Anal. Methods*, 2014, in press.
- 11 N. C. Santos, J. Figueira-Coelho, J. Martins-Silva and C. Saldanha, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 2003, **65**, 1035–1041.
- 12 H. Weissbach, L. Resnick and N. Brot, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2005, **1703**, 203–212.
- 13 J. Sulé-Suso, A. Pysanenko, P. Španěl and D. Smith, *Analyst*, 2009, **134**, 2419–2425.
- 14 D. Smith, T. Wang, J. Sulé-Suso, P. Španěl and A. J. El Haj, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2003, **17**, 845–850.
- 15 P. Španěl and D. Smith, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 2011, **30**, 236–267.
- 16 D. Smith and P. Španěl, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 2009–2032.
- 17 D. Smith and P. Španěl, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 2005, **24**, 661–700.
- 18 A. Pysanenko, P. Španěl and D. Smith, *J. Breath Res.*, 2008, **2**, 046004.
- 19 D. Smith, T. W. E. Chippendale and P. Španěl, *Curr. Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **9**, 550–557.
- 20 P. Španěl, A. M. Diskin, S. M. Abbott, T. Wang and D. Smith, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2002, **16**, 2148–2153.
- 21 T. W. E. Chippendale, B. Hu, A. J. El Haj and D. Smith, *Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 4677–4685.
- 22 F. J. Gilchrist, H. Sims, A. Alcock, J. Belcher, A. M. Jones, D. Smith, P. Španěl, A. K. Webb and W. Lenney, *Anal. Methods*, 2012, **4**, 3661–3665.
- 23 H. Tominaga, M. Ishiyama, F. Ohseto, K. Sasamoto, T. Hamamoto, K. Suzuki and M. Watanabe, *Anal. Commun.*, 1999, **36**, 47–50.
- 24 G. Da Violante, N. Zerrouk, I. Richard, G. Provot, J. C. Chaumeil and P. Arnaud, *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 2002, **24**, 1600–1603.
- 25 J. Parkin, C. Shea and G. R. Sant, *Urology*, 1997, **49**, 105–107.
- 26 R. Sander, in *NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69*, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg MD, p. 20899.
- 27 B. Hu and A. J. El Haj, *Med. Hypotheses*, 2013, **80**, 663–665.

