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Polycyanurate networks (PCNs), which form random networks in the bulk, are representative of an important class of thermosetting materials. We show that free surfaces of PCNs exhibit rigidity enhanced by one order of magnitude (quantified by Young’s modulus) if they are initially synthesized in the presence of hard confining interfaces, such as the pore walls of nanoporous anodic aluminum oxide (AAO). Using self-ordered AAO, which contains arrays of aligned cylindrical nanostructures in length and diameter as an inorganic model matrix, we could evidence interface-induced liquid-crystalline ordering of the liquid cyanate ester monomers (CEMs) at the pore walls. The interfacial ordering of the CEMs, which is conserved upon curing, is most likely the origin of enhanced rigidity of the free PCN surfaces after release of the one-dimensional PCN nanostructures from AAO. The results presented here should be of considerable relevance for the processing of industrially relevant thermosets, for the understanding of polymer/solid interfaces, for the design of advanced nanocomposites for applications in aviation and high-speed electronics, and for the design of mechanical hybrid nanostructures for advanced biomimetic adhesive systems.

Introduction

Polycyanurate thermosets are made from cyanate esters (CEs), a new generation of thermosetting resins.1,2 The polycyanurates derived from the CEs exhibit attractive dielectric properties, high thermal stability and low shrinkage, the single component curing releases almost no volatile components.3–6 CEs undergo thermally induced cyclotrimerization reactions resulting in the formation of three-dimensional polycyanurate networks (PCNs) which have much higher thermal resistance than epoxy resins.7

Typical fabrication processes involving CEs, such as resin transfer molding,8 nanostructure casting9 and prepregging10 onto fibers, inevitably involve direct interactions between the CEs and solid surfaces. Moreover, interfacial interactions between inorganic filler particles and the CEs will influence the properties of the derived composites. Hence, understanding the influence of hard confining interfaces on the physical and mechanical properties of thermosets is central to the rational design and performance optimization of thermoset resins. Self-ordered anodic aluminium oxide (AAO) containing arrays of aligned cylindrical nanopores with narrow size distribution (Fig. S1†) is an ideal model matrix for the investigation of the behaviour of thermosets at hard inorganic surfaces. AAO combines large internal interface area and, therefore, high interface volume fractions of infiltrated polymeric materials, with well-defined anisotropy. Hence, anisotropic features in interfaces at the pore walls can be probed, e.g., by X-ray texture analysis.10,11

Surface-induced order inside nanoporous templates at temperatures where bulk liquid phases are stable was previously reported for n-alkanes,12 non-entangled to weakly entangled polymers13 and liquid crystals in both experimental14–19 and theoretical20 studies. For example, in nanoporous matrices nematic liquid crystals form paranematic phases characterized by surface-induced ordering in the immediate vicinity of the solid/liquid interface. For long-chain n-alkanes rectified monolayers at pore walls were reported even at temperatures above the surface-freezing temperature.15,21
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We developed a cyanate ester monomer (CEM) based on oligomeric aryl ether derivatives of bisphenol AF which differs from commercially available and structurally related CEMs in that the oligomer backbone is functionalized with an additional cyanate group. CEM was derived from the phenolic precursors of oligomeric mixtures of linear polyethers bearing pendant phenolic groups as well as phenolic end groups. Commonly, phenols, such as the CEM precursors (phenolic oligomeric mixtures of aromatic polyethers), are solids because of the presence of hydrogen bonds. However, substituting the phenolic groups by cyanate groups results in the deprivation of hydrogen bonds and consequently in lower melting points. Like its phenolic precursors, CEM consists of a mixture of oligomers with different molecular weights. Missing repeat units of shorter CEM molecules can be considered as defects impeding defect-free crystallization of longer CEM. Thus, CEM is liquid at room temperature and exhibits pronounced stability against oxidation and moisture.1

Taking advantage of the liquid physical state of the employed CEM, we infiltrated CEM into self-ordered AAO (pore diameter 380 nm; pore depth 100 µm).2 Using AAOPCN hybrids as a model system, we show that PCNs obtained from CEM show liquid-crystalline near-ordering induced by hard inorganic surfaces. Free PCN surfaces with liquid-crystalline near-ordering exhibit, as compared to PCN surfaces cured in the absence of hard counterpart surfaces, significantly enhanced rigidity.

**Experimental details**

**Materials and methods**

The synthesis and properties of CEM were described elsewhere.1 CEM – an oligomeric aryl ether derivative of bisphenol A with pendant and terminal cyanate groups – is a viscous liquid (the viscosity at 25 °C is 3 Pa s). The glass transition temperature \( T_g \) of CEM is about −14.5 °C, as determined by differential scanning calorimetry (see below). Field desorption mass spectroscopy and gel permeation chromatography analyses revealed that CEM consists of a mixture of monomers (45%) and dimers (55%) with an average molecular weight of 1190 g mol \(^{-1}\).

**Preparation of bulk PCN thermosets**

CEM was degassed at 80 °C for 1 h. To prepare thin bulk PCNs, neat CEM was cured in a Teflon mold placed in a tube furnace under argon by applying the following temperature program: 180 °C/2 h → 260 °C/8 h → 290 °C/1 h → cooling to room temperature. For WAXS measurements, the obtained PCNs were cut to 150 µm thick films with a sharp blade.

**Preparation of CEM nanotubes and CEM nanorods**

CEM was deposited on the AAO membranes by spin coating (3000 rpm, 2 min) at room temperature. Then, the CEM-coated AAO membranes were heated to 80 °C for obtaining CEM nanotubes and to 120 °C for obtaining CEM nanorods for 12 h under vacuum. Then, the CEM-infiltrated AAO samples were quenched with liquid nitrogen in order to solidify the infiltrate, and residual CEM was removed with sharp razor blades and soft polishing paper (Buehler Microcloth).

**Preparation of PCN nanotubes (PCTs) and solid PCN nanorods (PCRs)**

Prior to the infiltration with CEM, empty AAO membranes were weighed using a microbalance (Mettler-Toledo, MX5) with an accuracy of ± 0.8 µg at least three times. CEM was deposited on the AAO membranes by spin coating (3000 rpm, 2 min) at room temperature. Then, the CEM-coated AAO membranes were heated to either 80 °C or 120 °C for 12 h under vacuum. For curing, CEM-infiltrated AAO was annealed at 260 °C for 8 h and at 290 °C for 1 h in a tube furnace purged with \( \text{N}_2 \). Then, the residual PCN was removed from the AAO surfaces with sharp razor blades and soft polishing paper (Buehler Microcloth). Finally, the PCN-containing AAO membranes were again weighed. The mass difference to empty AAO was assumed to correspond to the mass of the PCN located in the AAO membranes. Depending on the applied temperature, either PCTs or PCRs formed in the AAO pores (see below). Released PCTs and PCRs were obtained by selectively dissolving the AAO in aqueous 10 wt% NaOH solution at 25 °C for 6–8 h. The suspended PCTs and PCRs were centrifuged (20 000 rpm, 15 min) and the supernatant liquid was removed. The released PCTs and PCNs were redispersed in deionized water and again centrifuged. This procedure was repeated until the supernatant liquid became neutral.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigations were performed using a LEO Gemini 1530 SEM operated at acceleration voltages ranging from 0.75 to 6 kV.

**Measurements of Young’s modulus of individual PCTs**

Young’s modulus of individual PCTs was measured by AFM (Veeco Dimension 3100) force spectroscopy with cantilevers (Olympus OMCL-AC 240 TS) having a spring constant of 2.0 ± 0.2 N m \(^{-1}\), as determined by the thermal noise method.23 A drop of an aqueous suspension containing 1 wt% PCTs was deposited on a clean glass substrate. After evaporation of the water at room temperature, tapping mode AFM imaging was used to locate isolated nanotubes lying flat on the glass surface and to position the AFM tip just above the ridge of the probed PCT by successive reduction of the scan size. Typically, 100 force-distance curves were taken on a single spot on each probed PCT. The maximum deflection of the cantilever was kept below 20 nm (corresponding to a maximum force below 40 nN and an elastic tube deformation of a few nm). Details of the method are described elsewhere.24 No indication of indentation of the PCT surface could be found by AFM imaging of the same spot after the force experiments, unless cantilever deflections in excess of 100 nm were applied. Before and after each series of force measurements on a PCT, reference force curves were taken on the glass substrate. Assuming that the PCT walls are very thin (0.002 < \( \ell / R < 0.1 \); \( R \) is the PCT radius, \( \ell \) the tube wall thickness), Young’s modulus \( E \) of a PCT can be calculated as follows:
where $k_s$ is the effective spring constant of a PCT that is placed on a hard substrate and subjected to a point force load.\textsuperscript{25} Since the prefactor of 1.18 in equation is almost constant up to $t/R$ values of 0.1 and $t/R$ amounted to $\sim$0.13 in our measurements, eqn (1) should be valid.

**Polarizing optical microscopy (POM)**

Birefringence indicative of anisotropic ordering in the probed samples was measured by POM using a Nikon Optiphot 2-POL microscope with a filtered halogen light source (12 V, 100 W). Images were captured using the video image capture software Snappy Video Snapshot, version 2.0. Angle-dependent brightness profiles were obtained by using the image analysis software ImageJ. The average birefringence at 45° was calculated using a Michel-Lévy interference chart supplied by Nikon MicroscopyU. A Michel-Lévy graph plots retardation on the abscissa and surface energies such as the AAO pore walls.

**Results and discussion**

After the spin coating step, a liquid CEM reservoir covers the AAO membranes. Organic materials with low surface energies, such as CEM, commonly spread on inorganic surfaces with high surface energies such as the AAO pore walls.\textsuperscript{28} Therefore, covering AAO pore walls with CEM reduces the surface energy of the entire system. While in equilibrium the AAO pores will be completely filled with CEM (so that any AAO/air and CEM/air interface vanishes), infiltration kinetics is complex, and instable intermediate states might be frozen. Two limiting cases for the infiltration of spreading organic liquids into cylindrical pores have been identified: precursor wetting and capillary wetting. In the course of capillary wetting, liquid threads spanning across the entire cross section of the pore preceded by a meniscus move into the pore. Capillary wetting only dominates if the infiltrated liquid is highly viscous and has predominantly been observed for highly entangled polymer melts\textsuperscript{29} and microphase-separated block copolymers.\textsuperscript{30}

Precursor wetting, which commonly occurs if the infiltrated liquid has low or medium viscosity, involves rapid formation of microscopic to mesoscopic precursor films on the pore walls.\textsuperscript{31,32} If the pore diameter is large enough (typically above a few tens of nm), the annular precursor films surround cylindrical hollow volumes. Complete filling of the pore volumes occurs via the “snap-off” mechanism or by gradual thickening of the precursor film starting from the pore mouths.\textsuperscript{33} The “snap-off” mechanism involves the development of menisci at instabilities of the annular precursor films. As more infiltrated liquid flows into the pores, the liquid flows into the menisci. As a result, the interfaces of the menisci move in the opposite direction until the pores are completely filled with liquid.\textsuperscript{34,35} When CEM is infiltrated into AAO at 80 °C, CEM develops annular precursor films on the AAO pore walls. However, at this temperature, CEM is viscous enough to slow down further filling of the AAO pores by any of the mechanisms mentioned above. Therefore, annular CEM precursor films persist on the AAO pore walls that can be converted into PCTs by curing.

At 120 °C, the viscosity of CEM is lower than at 80 °C. Thus, CEM molecules do not only form annular precursor films on the AAO pore walls. Owing to the higher mobility of the CEM...
molecules at 120 °C, CEM fills the entire pore volume by the “snap-off” mechanism or by precursor film thickening much faster than at 80 °C. Curing then yields solid PCRs. Selective etching of the AAO yields released PCTs obtained by CEM infiltration at 80 °C (Fig. 1a) or PCRs obtained by CEM infiltration at 120 °C (Fig. 1b). Both PCTs and PCRs had a length of 100 μm and a diameter of 380 nm; i.e., they were faithful negative replicas of the AAO nanopores.

Atomic force microscopy measurements of the mechanical properties of single PCTs after their release from the AAO membranes were carried out as described above. Fig. 2a shows an AFM image of a single PCT on a flat alumina substrate, while Fig. 2b shows a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of a single PCT 380 nm in diameter having a wall thickness of 20 nm. To obtain the Young’s modulus from eqn (1), the slope of the force curves (Fig. 2c) in the region of contact between tip and PCT, as determined by linear fits:

\[ k_t = \frac{a_t}{a_{ref}} k \]

In eqn (2) \( a_t \) is the slope of the force curves taken on PCTs, \( a_{ref} \) is the slope of the reference force curves taken on the glass substrate and \( k \) is the spring constant of the AFM cantilever.

Measurements on 13 different PCTs revealed an average Young’s modulus of \( 22 \pm 10 \) GPa. Strikingly, this value is one order of magnitude higher than that of bulk polycyanurate (3.46 GPa).1

According to the polymer-fiber theory, the mechanical strength of polymer fibers increases with orientation of the polymer molecules.26 Thus, the drastic increase in Young’s modulus of the PCTs might be indicative of ordering on a molecular scale.

Molecular ordering is associated with optical anisotropy leading to birefringence. Indeed, as revealed by POM, both PCTs and PCRs are birefringent. About 150 μm thick bundles of aligned PCTs lying flat on clean glass slides located on a POM stage between crossed polarizers showed identical dependence of the birefringence \( \Delta n \) on the rotation angle \( \alpha \) that was varied by rotating the sample stage. While polarizer and analyzer remained fixed, the relative orientation of the PCT/PCR long axes to the transmission planes of polarizer and analyzer was altered by rotating the sample stage. Thus, \( \alpha \) is the angle between the transmission plane of the polarizer and the PCT/PCR long axes, while \( 90° - \alpha \) corresponds to the angle between the transmission plane of the analyzer and the long axes of the PCTs and PCRs. At \( \alpha = 0° \) (Fig. 3A(a)), the long axes of the PCTs were aligned with the transmission plane of the polarizer and oriented perpendicular to the transmission plane of the analyzer. While the PCTs appear dark at \( \alpha = 0° \), their brightness increased when \( \alpha \) was increased from 0° to 15° to 30°, reached a maximum at ~45° (Fig. 3A(b)) and decreased again when \( \alpha \) was further increased from 45° to 60° to 75°. At \( \alpha = 90° \), the PCTs appeared again dark (Fig. 3A(c)). The integrated brightness (the sum of the brightness values of all individual pixels) of the micrographs shown in Fig. 3A is indicative of the relative intensity of the transmitted light \( I_{trans} \). The dependence of \( I_{trans} \) on \( \alpha \) (Fig. 3B) can be fitted with a sinusoidal curve having maximum values at \( \alpha = 45° \) and \( \alpha \) values which are multiples of 45°. Minima of \( I_{trans} \) occur at \( \alpha = 0° \), \( \alpha = 90° \) and \( \alpha = 180° \), respectively. Hence, maximum light transmission was observed if the long axes of the PCTs were inclined by 45° with respect to the transmission planes of polarizer and analyzer. The observed periodic \( I_{trans}(\alpha) \) profiles for PCTs and PCRs (Fig. S2†) resemble the \( I_{trans}(\alpha) \) profiles resulting from planar alignment of the thermotropic or lyotropic liquid-crystalline species.27 The transmitted light intensity \( T \) and the birefringence \( \Delta n \) are related as follows (see Methods in ESI†):

\[ T = \frac{I_{trans}}{I_0} = \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi d}{\lambda} \Delta n \right) \]

\( I_0 \) is the incident light intensity, \( d \) the bundle thickness (~150 μm), and \( \lambda \) the wavelength of the incident light (\( \lambda = 850 \) nm). Accordingly, the average \( \Delta n \) at the angle of 45° is calculated from eqn (3) to be 0.0123 ± 0.0002. This value is in reasonable agreement with that determined from the second order of retardation according to the Michel-Levy chart at 45° in digitally captured polarization color images (\( \Delta n = 0.02 \)) (Fig. 3A).

For comparison, we also studied bulk CEM and PCN samples by POM. Liquid CEM was deposited on a glass slide and covered...
by a second glass slide. To ensure defined and homogeneous CEM film thickness (~150 μm) polystyrene beads were used as spacers. A 150 μm thick bulk PCN film was prepared on a glass slide using the same curing program (see Experimental section) as for PCRs and PCTs. Neither bulk CEM films nor bulk PCN films probed in the same way as PCTs and PCRs showed even a weak indication of birefringence (Fig. S3†).

DSC curves of bulk CEM as well as of CEM nanotubes inside AAO obtained by infiltration at 80 °C and CEM nanorods inside AAO obtained by infiltration at 120 °C are shown in Fig. S4.† Bulk CEM has a \( T_g \) of about ~14.6 °C, while \( T_g \) of CEM nanotubes amounted to 1.20 °C and that of CEM nanorods to 4.9 °C. As obvious from the DSC measurements, thermal curing of bulk CEM is an exothermic process that sets in at ~150 °C, while the curing peak shows a maximum at ~250 °C. However, in the case of CEM confined to AAO curing already started at ~120 °C (CEM nanotubes in AAO) and 150 °C (CEM nanorods in AAO). The curing peaks in the DSC curves had maxima of 205 °C (CEM nanotubes in AAO) and 224 °C (CEM nanorods in AAO). Thus, curing of CEM in AAO occurred at temperatures about 40 K below the temperatures at which curing of bulk CEM took place. This outcome indicates higher reactivity of CEM located in AAO. Fig. 4 shows DSC traces of bulk PCN as well as of released PCRs and PCTs 380 nm in diameter. The DSC trace of bulk PCN shows a glass transition at \( T_g \sim 238 \) °C but no melting peaks. Strikingly, PCRs showed two broad melting peaks at ~95 °C and ~249 °C, but no apparent glass transition. PCTs showed only a single, relatively narrow melting peak at ~144 °C.

Ordering and orientation effects in CEM nanorods, CEM nanotubes, PCTs and PCNs located in the aligned nanopores of AAO were studied by \( \theta/2\theta \) scans and by Schulz scans (note that the CEM nanotubes were located in AAO pores with a diameter of 180 nm, whereas for all other samples AAO with a pore diameter of 380 nm was used). In the \( \theta/2\theta \) scans of CEM nanorods, CEM nanotubes, PCRs and PCTs (Fig. 5A) broad reflections at \( 2\theta = 8.5° \) (\( d = 1.03 \) nm) and \( 2\theta = 21° \) (\( d = 0.42 \) nm) appeared, while the \( \theta/2\theta \) pattern of bulk PCN films with a thickness of ~150 μm exclusively contained an amorphous halo between \( 2\theta \approx 15° \) and \( 2\theta \approx 30° \) (Fig. S5†). Schulz scans for the reflection at \( 2\theta = 8.65° \) measured for PCRs, PCTs and CEM nanorods showed a peak at \( \Psi = 0° \) (Fig. 5B).

The X-ray measurements suggest that the interplay of a hard AAO surface and cylindrical confinement induces anisotropic ordering of CEM inside the AAO pores, which is conserved upon curing. Thus, PCTs and PCNs show melting peaks in DSC heating scans, anisotropic birefringence and significantly enhanced interfacial stiffness. However, the observed interface-induced anisotropic ordering does not result in crystalline long-range ordering. The features apparent in the X-ray patterns and the DSC scans rather suggest the occurrence of pseudo-nematic short-range ordering, which nevertheless significantly influences the structure and properties of PCNs at hard surfaces and may even dominate the behaviour of PCN nanocomposites with high volume fractions of interphases.

The question arises as to why CEM molecules adopt a preferred orientation at the AAO pore walls. It is certainly straightforward to assume that the concave pore walls guide the arrangement of the CEM molecules. However, it is also interesting to note that interface-induced anisotropic ordering of CEM in AAO is accompanied by enhanced reactivity. As obvious
from Fig. S4† curing of CEM located in AAO starts at lower temperatures than curing of bulk CEM. We speculate that the AAO pore walls catalyze the curing reaction. If so, specific interactions between CEM and AAO pore walls responsible for the pore walls’ catalytic activity may also play a role in the generation of anisotropic ordering. **Vice versa**, geometry-induced anisotropic ordering may facilitate catalysis of the curing reaction.

**Conclusions**

Using self-ordered AAO containing arrays of aligned cylindrical nanopenes as inorganic model matrices, we have shown that precursors of polycyanurate thermosets show interface-induced anisotropic near ordering characterized by uniform uniaxial orientation with respect to the AAO pore axes. The anisotropic interface-induced near-ordering is conserved upon curing, as evidenced by polarizing microscopy, thermal analysis and X-ray scattering. The uniaxial anisotropy characterizing interface-induced ordering of polycyanurate thermosets inside AAO could be evidenced by X-ray texture analysis; its conservation in released one-dimensional polycyanurate thermoset nanostructures could be evidenced by the pronounced periodical change in birefringence during rotation between crossed polarizers. The anisotropic surface-induced ordering in one-dimensional polycyanurate thermoset nanostructures results in a Young’s modulus one order of magnitude higher than that reported for bulk polycyanurate, as revealed by AFM.
Polycyanurate thermosets are a technologically important class of materials. During moulding processes the polycyanurate thermosets are inevitably in contact with the hard surfaces of the moulds. Moreover, polycyanurate thermosets blended with inorganic filler particles have high specific interfacial area with the hard surfaces of the filler particles. The results presented here shed light on the structure formation of polycyanurate thermosets at hard surfaces. The findings reported here may improve the understanding of polymer/solid interfaces, as required for the design of advanced nanocomposites for applications in aviation and high-speed electronics, and for the design of mechanical hybrid nanostructures for advanced biomimetic adhesive systems.
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