Low band gap dithienogermolodithiophene copolymers with tunable acceptors and side-chains for organic solar cells†
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We report the synthesis and characterisation of five new donor–acceptor type co-polymers based on a fused dithienogermolodithiophene unit for use in photovoltaic devices. The influence of three electron deficient co-monomers, as well as the length and variety of the solubilising side-chains, on the physical and optoelectronic properties of the polymers is reported. The number and variety of alkyl side-chains is found to have a significant impact on the polymer aggregation and film morphology, with larger and more bulky side-chains leading to improved solubility and molecular weight. The influence of these properties upon the performance of bulk heterojunction solar cells is shown.

Introduction

Conjugated polymers have attracted remarkable attention over the past decades due to their interesting optoelectronic properties, which have seen them utilised in applications such as organic field effect transistors (OFET) a and photovoltaic cells (OPV). b, c In particular donor–acceptor copolymers consisting of electron rich donor monomers and electron deficient acceptor monomers have proven to be promising candidate materials for many of these potential applications. d The donor–acceptor interaction can result in small band gap polymers, e.g. less than 1.8 eV. e Thus the absorption of such polymers can be better matched to the solar spectrum, f leading an enhancement of photovoltaic conversion efficiency at AM1.5. Furthermore, the energy levels of such donor–acceptor polymers can be tuned by the utilisation of different combinations of donor and acceptor monomers. The control of energy levels is particularly important for solar cell blends to ensure efficient charge transfer to the acceptor material, commonly a fullerene derivative such as PCBM in bulk heterojunction (BHJ) devices. g In addition intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) h from electron donor to acceptor may also be beneficial for charge separation and transport along the polymer backbone. There has been much work developing new donor and acceptor monomers and polymeric combinations thereof, leading to continuing improvements in material performance in a variety of applications. i, j However in order to maintain this progress it is important that new materials are developed, and in particular the relationship between different combinations of donor and acceptor monomers on polymeric properties is explored. k

Amongst the various donor monomers utilised, thieno[3,2-b]thiophene (TT) derivatives l–q have been widely utilized to enhance device performance in the fields of OFET and OPV, where the incorporation of the rigid and rotationally invariant TT unit generally improves the planarity of the polymer backbone resulting in an improvement of charge carrier mobility. r, s In addition the incorporation of TT often results in a decrease of the HOMO level of the resultant polymer leading an enhancement of open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) in OPV devices. t–w In particular, polymers containing TT units have featured strongly in the relatively small class of materials exhibiting power conversion efficiencies (PCE) over 7%. x–z To date most of these reports have concentrated on the comparison between TT donors and their thiophene analogues, with fewer reports on the influence of different acceptors on polymer properties. Therefore, it is of interest to investigate the optoelectronic properties of copolymers of TT donors and various electron deficient acceptor comonomers in an effort to further understand the structure property relationships in this class of polymer.

Yu and his co-workers a have reported a high efficiency 7.6% polymer (PTDBD) based on dithieno[2,3-d:2’,3’-d’]benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b’]dithiophene, which is modified from benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b’]dithiophene by extending the conjugation length with TT instead of thiophene. It is notable that the performance of this polymer depended significantly on the nature of the substituted side-chains utilised, even for the same backbone structure. To
facilitate solution processability, the attachment of alkyl side-chains to the polymer backbone is required to lower the melting temperature and promote solubility. Besides improving the film forming properties, the side-chains are also able to influence the morphology and microstructure of the active layer in BHJ through affecting the intermolecular aggregation of polymers in the solid state. As thus, the choice of suitable side-chains is important for conjugated polymers to achieve high performance.

Recently we reported a novel ladder-type fused dithienogermodithiophene donor (DTTG), in which two linked TT units are bridged by a dialkylgermanium group. The copolymer (pDTTG-TPD) of DTTG with N-octylthienopyrrolodione (TPD) exhibited a promising solar cell performance, with a device PCE of 7.2% achieved without the use of any processing additives.

This exciting result inspired us to further investigate the potential of DTTG as a donor monomer. We hereby report a series of DTTG polymers by copolymerization with the acceptors TPD, 2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (BT) and diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP). In addition two different alkyl chains, 2-ethylhexyl and 2-octyldodecyl, are employed on the bridging germanium group to study the influence of side-chains on morphology and performance of devices.

**Experimental**

All starting materials and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. All solvents were anhydrous and syrings which were used to transfer reagents or solvents were purged with Argon prior to use. Microwave reactions were performed in a Biotage initiator V 2.3 in constant heating mode. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-400 spectrometer using TMS as internal standard with deuterated tetrachloroethane as solvent at 130 °C. Number-average (Mn) and weight-average (Mw) were determined on an Agilent Technologies 1200 series GPC running in chlorobenzene at 80 °C, using two PL mixed B columns in series, and calibrated against narrow polydispersity polystyrene standards. Tin monomers were purified on a custom built preparative recycling Shimadzu SEC system. The system comprises a DGU-20A3 degasser, an LC-20A pump, a CTO-20A column oven, an Agilent PLgel 10 μm MIXED-D column and a SPD-20A UV detector. Elemental analysis was performed with a Thermo EA1108 or FlashEA1112 elemental analyser. UV-vis spectra were recorded with a UV-1601 Shimadzu UV-Vis spectrometer. Photoelectron Spectroscopy in Air (PESA) were determined with a Riken Keiki AC-2 PESA spectrometer with a power setting of 5 nW and a power number of 0.5 using a sample prepared by spin-coating on a glass substrate. AFM images were obtained with a Picoscan PicoSPM LE scanning probe in tapping mode. X-ray diffraction scans were measured with a Panalytical X’Pert-pro MRD diffractometer equipped with a nickel-filtered Cu Kα source and X’Celerator detector, using current C = 40 mA and accelerating voltage V = 40 kV. Samples were prepared on glass substrate by drop casting.

2,7-Bis(trimethylstannyl)-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-thieno[3,2-b]-thieno[2,3′:4,5′]thieno[2′,3′:4,5′]germolo[2,3-d]thiophene (3a)

(3,3’-dibromo-2,2’-bithieno[3,2-b]thieno-5,5′-diyl)bis(3-trimethylsilane) (1),23 dibromobis[2-octylododecyl]germane24 and 1,3-dibromo-5-octyl-4H-thieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6(5H)-dione25 were synthesized following the same procedures reported previously.

[9,9-Bis(2-octylododecyl)-9H-thieno[3,2-b]-thieno[2,3′:4,5′]-thieno[2′,3′:4,5′]germolo[2,3-d][thiophene (2b)]

In a dry 250 mL three-necked round bottom flask, (3,3’-dibromo-2,2’-bithieno[3,2-b]thieno-5,5′-diyl)bis(trimethylsilane) (1) (580 mg, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous diethyl ether (100 mL), then BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 2.0 mmol) was added drop wise at −90 °C under argon atmosphere. The reaction was stirred at −90 °C for 30 minutes, followed by the addition of a solution of dibromobis-(2-octylododecyl)germane (875 mg, 1.1 mmol) in diethyl ether (5 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature slowly. After stirring for another 30 minutes, the resulting solution was poured into water (200 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic phase was washed by water and brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane) to afford 2b (560 mg, 0.53 mmol, 53%) as yellow oil.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34 (s, 2H), 1.56 (m, 2H), 1.40 (d, J = 6.5, 4H), 1.15 (m, 64H), 0.88 (m, 12H), 0.36 (m, 18H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.27, 147.81, 142.11, 134.24, 125.78, 36.53, 35.58, 31.93, 29.78, 29.40, 26.87, 22.71, 21.22, 14.13, −0.16. MS (MALDI): m/z 1056 (M⁺).

2,7-Dibromo-9,9-bis(2-octylododecyl)-9H-thieno[3,2-b]-thieno[2,3′:4,5′]thieno[2′,3′:4,5′]germolo[2,3-d]thiophene (3b)

To a solution of [9,9-bis(2-octylododecyl)-9H-thieno[3,2-b]-thieno[2,3′:4,5′]thieno[2′,3′:4,5′]germolo[2,3-d][thiophene (2b)] (530 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (50 mL), N-bromosuccinimide (187 mg, 1.05 mmol) was added in three portions and then the mixture was stirred overnight in the absence of light. The mixture was quenched by aqueous Na₂SO₄ solution and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic phase was washed by water and brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, evaporated and chromatographed on silica gel (hexane) to give 3b (310 mg, 0.29 mmol, 58%) as yellow oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27 (s, 2H), 1.51 (s, 2H), 1.38 (d, J = 6.4, 4H), 1.17 (m, 64H), 0.89 (m, 12H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.33, 143.09, 138.70, 134.49, 122.46, 111.57, 36.50, 31.93, 29.64, 26.87, 22.71, 21.22, 14.13. MS (MALDI): m/z 1068 (M⁺).

2,7-Bis(trimethylstannyl)-9,9-bis(2-octylododecyl)-9H-thieno[3,2-b]-thieno[2,3′:4,5′]thieno[2′,3′:4,5′]germolo[2,3-d]thiophene (4b)

To a solution of 2,7-dibromo-9,9-bis(2-octylododecyl)-9H-thieno[3,2-b]thieno[2,3′:4,5′]thieno[2′,3′:4,5′]germolo[2,3-d]thiophene (3b) (510 mg, 0.48 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (50 mL), t-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 1 mmol) was added drop wise under argon atmosphere at −90 °C, followed by stirring for 30 minutes. Trimethyltin chloride solution (1 M in THF, 1.1 mmol) was added, and then the reactant mixture
was allowed to warm to room temperature slowly and stirred overnight. The reaction quenched by water, extracted with diethyl ethyl and the combined organics were washed by water and brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by recycling GPC (hexane) to afford compound 4b (320 mg, 0.26 mmol, 54%) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (s, 2H), 1.56 (m, 2H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.5, 4H), 1.14 (m, 6H), 0.87 (m, 12H), 0.40 (m, 18H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.05, 148.49, 142.29, 139.50, 133.80, 126.79, 126.55, 66.37; H, 9.45; N, 1.37; S, 13.33. Found: C, 71.95; H, 8.68; Ge, 6.19; N, 1.19; O, 2.73; S, 13.66. Anal. calcd for (C₁₀₆H₁₇₀GeN₂O₂S₆)n: C, 66.71; H, 9.45; N, 1.37; S, 13.33. Isolated yield: 81%. GPC: Mₙ = 36 000, PDI 1.8. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d₂-1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, 130 °C) δ 16.92 (d, 3H), 13.47 (s, 3H), 11.47 (s, 3H), 7.37 (m, 12H), 5.67 (m, 18H), 3.84 (m, 4H), 2.05 (m, 24H), 1.43 (m, 134H), 0.94 (m, 24H). Anal. calcd for (C₁₉₆H₁₇₆GeN₂O₂S₆)ₙ: C, 71.95; H, 9.68; N, 1.58; S, 10.87. Found: C, 71.30; H, 10.50; N, 1.81; S, 10.92.

**Poly [2,7(9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-thieno[3,2-b]thieno-[2',3':4,5']:thieno[2',3':4,5']germolo[2,3-d]thiophene]-alt-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole]-4,7-diyl] (pDTTGL-TPD)**

pDTTGL-TPD was gained as a dark solid (122 mg, 81%) by the same polymerization and purification procedure for pDTTGL-BT. GPC: Mₙ = 75 000, PDI 2.0. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d₂-1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, 130 °C) δ 8.88 (br, 2H), 7.57 (br, 2H), 7.49 (br, 2H), 4.12 (m, 4H), 2.07 (m, 2H), 1.43 (m, 134H), 0.94 (m, 24H). Anal. calcd for (C₁₉₆H₁₇₆GeN₂O₂S₆)ₙ: C, 71.95; H, 9.68; N, 1.58; S, 10.87. Found: C, 71.30; H, 10.50; N, 1.81; S, 10.92.

**Organic photovoltaic device fabrication**

A conventional device configuration was employed: ITO/ PEDOT:PSS/Polymer: PC₇₁BM/Ca/Al. The precoated ITO glass substrates were cleaned by sonicating in water, acetone and isopropanol successively, followed by drying and oxygen plasma treatment. A 35 nm layer of PEDOT:PSS was spin-coated onto the plasma-treated ITO substrate and baked at 150 °C for 20 minutes. A 100 nm active layer consisting of a 1:2 in weight ratio blend of polymer and PC₇₁BM dissolved in 1,2-dichlorobenzene (DCB) was spin-coated on the PEDOT:PSS layer and then Ca (25 nm)/Al (100 nm) cathode was finally deposited by thermal evaporation under high vacuum (10⁻⁶ mbar) through a shadow mask. The pixel size, defined by the spatial overlap of the ITO anode and Ca/Al cathode, was 0.045 cm². Current density–voltage (J–V) characteristics were measured using a Xenon lamp at AM1.5 solar illumination (Oriel Instruments) calibrated to a silicon reference cell with a Keithley 2400 source meter, correcting for spectral mismatch.
Results and discussion

Design, synthesis and characterization

Regarding the choice of suitable electron deficient comonomers, the BT unit was chosen due to its strong electron-accepting ability, which can lead to a lower band gap compared to the previously reported TPD polymer. In addition the rigid and planar structure of BT is helpful to achieve a strong intermolecular stacking in the solid state.\(^{28,29}\) DPP based polymers are promising materials for organic solar cells,\(^{30}\) in particular for their excellent current density in BHJ devices,\(^{31}\) so pDTTG-DPP was synthesized by copolymerization of DPP and the DTTG group. Regarding the choice of alkyl side-chains for the DTTG moiety, 2-ethylhexyl as previously investigated for DPP was synthesized by copolymerization of DPP and the DTTG for their excellent current density in BHJ devices,\(^{32}\) resulting stannyl monomers were puriﬁed by preparative GPC due to the low stability of the stannyl group on silica. As shown in Scheme 1, the polymers were synthesized by Stille coupling conditions. The crude polymers were end-capped in situ to limit the possible detrimental effect of the residual bromide.\(^{33}\)

After purification by precipitation and Soxhlet extraction to remove the catalyst residues and low molecular weight oligomers, the polymers were obtained as dark solids. As expected, the polymers with 2-ethylhexyl, in particular pDTTG-BT, show relatively poor solubility in common organic solvents, e.g. chloroform and chlorobenzene, and high solution temperatures were required to fully dissolve them. In contrast the situation was signiﬁcantly improved for the polymers with longer alkyl chains. The solubility of pDTTGL-DPP and pDTTGL-TDD was good enough to prepare solutions even in hexane, whilst pDTTGL-BT could dissolve in hot common organic solvents like chlorobenzene.

The molecular weight and polydispersity data are shown in Table 1. We note that the molecular weights of the polymers with longer chains are signiﬁcantly higher compared with their short-chain analogues. This can be explained by the fact that poor solubility of the growing chain can make polymers precipitate earlier in the reaction preventing further chain growth. In particular the polymers containing DPP cores, which contain two alkyl chains, exhibit the highest molecular weight, whereas the derivatives of BT, which have no solubilising group, show the lowest molecular weight and broadest polydispersity. These results indicate that the number and nature of the polymer side-chains have a signiﬁcant influence on the molecular weight of the polymers formed.

The chemical structures of monomers and polymers were conﬁrmed by \(^1\)H NMR and elemental analysis. Due to strong intermolecular aggregation, high resolution NMR spectra could not be obtained in CDCl\(_3\) at room temperature. Through employment of a high boiling point deuterated solvent, D\(_2\)-1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, high temperature NMR at 130 °C were obtained to improve the resolution (see ESI†). Elemental analysis data exhibited good agreement with the theoretical calculations. The thermal properties of polymers were measured by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). As shown in Fig. S1,† pDTTGL-DPP displays a single endothermic melting peak at 280 °C in the heating cycle and a single exothermic crystallization peak at 257 °C in the cooling cycle. No obvious thermal transitions were observed between 0 and 300 °C for any of the other polymers.

Optoelectronic properties

To calculate the optimized molecular geometry and electronic distribution of the frontier orbitals of the polymers, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out using Gaussian 09\(^{35}\) at the B3LYP\(^{36}\) level with the 6-31G(d) basis set. Excitation energies of the low-lying excited states were calculated with time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) at the same level and basis set level. In each case structures were allowed to relax to an equilibrium geometry from either an all-anti-conformation or an all-trans geometry (with respect to the polymerization with the distannyl derivative of DTTG and the relevant dibromide comonomer under microwave-assisted coupling conditions.\(^{33}\) The crude polymers were end-capped in situ to limit the possible detrimental effect of the residual bromide.\(^{33}\)

The synthetic route to pDTTG-BT, pDTTG-DPP, pDTTG-TPD, pDTTGL-BT and pDTTGL-DPP is shown in Scheme 1. In Scheme 1, pDTTGL-DPP was synthesized by copolymerization of DPP and the DTTG group. The DTTG monomers were synthesized in high yield using the methodology we developed previously,\(^{23}\) where cyclization reactions were employed with 3,3′-dibromo-2,2′-bithienc[3,2-b]-thienc-5,5′-dyl]bis(trimethylsilane) (1) and the relevant dialkyldibromogermaine derivatives.\(^{32}\) Following bromination with NBS, the required distannyl derivatives were obtained by cryogenic lithiation and reaction with trimethyltin chloride. The resulting stannyl monomers were puriﬁed by preparative GPC due to the low stability of the stannyl group on silica. As shown in Scheme 1, the polymers were synthesized by Stille coupling conditions. The crude polymers were end-capped in situ to limit the possible detrimental effect of the residual bromide.\(^{33}\)
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dihedral angles between donorsa n da c c e p t o r sa r el e st h a t h a conformation of all three trimers reveal that all of pDTTGL-DPP 75 2.0 778 708, 779 1.33 32 2.0 478, 692 472, 706 1.38 pDTTG-DPP 10 3.5 661, 725 670, 723 1.58 pDTTG-BT 36 1.8 595, 643 608, 663 1.75 12 1.4 595, 643 608, 663 1.75 pDTTGL-TPD 36 1.8 604 598, 653 1.76 pDTTGL-BT 26 3.4 661, 725 670, 723 1.58 pDTTGL-DPP 75 2.0 778 708, 779 1.33 coupling between DTTG and the adjacent monomer), and the lowest overall energy conformers are shown. Trimers of polymers with methyl groups instead of longer side-chains were employed as models in order to simplify the calculations. Initially we thought the existence of hydrogen bonds between a β hydrogen of the DTTG moiety and an adjacent thiadiazole or pyrrolidione groups in pDTTG-BT or pDTTG-TPD might be a beneficial interaction to promote certain backbone conformations. However the calculation results suggest the alternative conformation is lower in energy, such that the polymer backbones appear as arc rather than linear structures.37,38 As shown in Fig. 1, the minimum-energy conformations of all three trimers reveal that all of the dihedral angles between donors and acceptors are less than 1°, indicating the polymers have planar conformations due to the rigid structure of DTTG. The planar backbones enhance the delocalization of both HOMO and LUMO along the backbone, which can be beneficial for charge transport. DFT calculations predict the HOMO/LUMO of pDTTG-BT, pDTTG-TPD and pDTTG-DPP are −4.58/−3.14, −4.88/−3.06 and −4.57/−3.16 eV, respectively. The optical properties of the five polymers in chlorobenzene and as spin-coated films were characterized by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy. The results are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1 where the properties of pDTTG-TPD are also listed for comparison. The solution spectrum of pDTTG-BT shows a maximum absorption at 669 nm with a 74 nm red-shift compared with pDTTG-TPD, which is rationalized by the stronger donor-acceptor interaction of the former. A shoulder peak appears at longer wavelengths for pDTTG-BT as well as pDTTG-TPD, suggesting that strong intermolecular aggregation is present even in dilute solution. Upon film formation for both polymers, the whole absorption red shifts slightly and the former shoulder peaks increase in intensity to become the maximum absorption, due to the enhancement of polymer stacking in the solid state. From the onset of absorption for the thin films, the optical band gap of pDTTG-BT was calculated as 1.57 eV, narrower than that of pDTTG-TPD (1.75 eV), which should enhance the photocurrent in BHJ devices. This data suggests the inclusion of a stronger acceptor like BT enhances the strength of the ICT interaction, resulting in a reduced band gap. pDTTGL-BT and pDTTGL-TPD exhibit similar absorption spectra with their short chain analogues respectively, showing that alteration of the bridging alkyl chain did not detrimentally influence the band gap of polymers. However, a slight blue-shift and decrease in the relative intensity of the long wavelength shoulder peaks compared to the main peak in both pDTTGL-BT and pDTTGL-TPD suggests that polymer aggregation was inhibited by the longer alkyl chains, which may be detrimental for the performance of the polymers in solar cells.

Just as for other DPP derivatives, pDTTG-DPP shows a broad absorption from the visible to near-infrared range. Both the solution and film of pDTTG-DPP display two peaks at around

Table 1 Summary of molecular weights and optical properties of pDTTG-BT, pDTTG-DPP, pDTTGL-BT, pDTTGL-TPD and pDTTGL-DPP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymers</th>
<th>$M_n$ (kDa)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>$\lambda_{abs\text{max}}$ (sol) (nm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{abs\text{max}}$ (film) (nm)</th>
<th>$E_g$ (eV)</th>
<th>HOMO (eV)</th>
<th>LUMO (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pDTTG-TPD$^a$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>595, 643</td>
<td>608, 663</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>−5.33</td>
<td>−3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTG-BT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>669, 712</td>
<td>684, 717</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>−5.14</td>
<td>−3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTG-DPP</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>478, 692</td>
<td>472, 706</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>−5.20</td>
<td>−3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTGL-TPD</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>598, 653</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>−5.52</td>
<td>−3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTGL-BT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>661, 725</td>
<td>670, 723</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>−5.32</td>
<td>−3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTGL-DPP</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>708, 779</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>−5.65</td>
<td>−4.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Data from ref. 23. $^b$ Determined by GPC and reported as their polystyrene equivalents. $^c$ Measured in dilute chlorobenzene solution. $^d$ The HOMO energy was measured as a thin film by PESA (error ± 0.05 eV) and the LUMO energy was estimated by adding the optical band gap to the HOMO.

Fig. 1 HOMO and LUMO distributions for the minimum-energy conformation calculated by Gaussian at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level.
475 and 700 nm, in which the short wavelength absorption is attributed to a localized $\pi-\pi^*$ transition of DTTG with adjoining thiophene units and the longer wavelength one to the strong ICT between the DTTG donor and DPP unit. Comparing the spectra of the film with that of solution, the film shows a slight red-shift due to the increase of molecular order in the solid state.

It is notable that pDTTGL-DPP shows a 70 nm red-shift in $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ and a significant decline in the short wavelength peak intensity relative to $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ in comparison to the short alkyl chain derivative (Fig. 2c), whereas a blue-shift was observed in both pDTTGL-BT and pDPTG-TPD as mentioned previously. We believe this may be due to differences in torsion angles between the unsubstituted thiophene linker and its adjacent groups, i.e. the diketopyrrolopyrrole core and the DTTG moiety in both polymers. We speculate that this thiophene is better able to be co-planar with DTTG group since the alkyl chains of DTTG are further away in space compared to the DPP, leading to a steric hindrance between the unsubstituted thiophene and the DPP core to break the conjugation. However when the 2-ethylhexyl on the DTTG is replaced with the larger 2-octyldodecyl we suggest that similar steric hindrance now occurs between the unsubstituted thiophene and both the DPP core and the DTTG group, forcing the two torsion angles of thiophene to be nearly equal so that the whole polymer backbone of pDTTGL-DPP tends to be more coplanar resulting in the establishment of a larger delocalized conjugation to change the absorption. The optical band gaps of these two DPP analogues were estimated to be 1.38 and 1.33 eV.

In addition, the ionization potentials (IP) of thin films of the polymers were characterized by photoelectron spectroscopy in air (PESA), and the LUMO levels were estimated from the difference of IP and the optical band gap. This method only affords an approximation of the LUMO energy, since it does not account for the exciton binding energy. However it is useful in this context to afford comparisons between polymers. As can be seen from Table 1, pDTTGL-BT (−5.14 eV) and pDTTGL-DPP (−5.20 eV) possess higher lying HOMO levels in comparison with pDTTGG-TPD (−5.33 eV), which we predict to contribute to an unfavourable decline of open circuit voltages ($V_{OC}$) in BHJ devices. The LUMO of pDTTGL-BT is similar with pDTTGG-TPD whereas the former has lower band gap, which usually enhances the photocurrent in the solar cells. Although we observed only small differences to the optical band gaps upon the inclusion of the longer alkyl chains, significant effects were observed in the energy levels of pDTTGL-BT, pDTTGL-TPD and pDTTGL-DPP, resulting in a lowering of both the HOMO and LUMO. For the BT and TPD co-polymers the energy levels shift away from the vacuum level by approximately 0.2 eV, whereas the effect is larger for the DPP polymer which may be due to the change of side-chain simultaneously on both the donor and acceptor co-monomers. The lower HOMO levels are rationalized by the inhibition of molecular coplanarity and polymer aggregation due to the stronger steric hindrance of the longer side-chains. Although the lower lying HOMO may be helpful to increase the $V_{OC}$ of the resultant device, the LUMO levels appear too low, in particular for pDTTGL-DPP (−4.32 eV), to lead to efficient charge transfer from the polymer to PCBM (LUMO ca. −4.0 eV), eventually leading to inferior device performance.

**Photovoltaic properties**

To investigate the effects on photovoltaic performance of DTTG copolymers with various comonomers and alkyl side-chains, bulk heterojunction devices with a conventional device configuration of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/Polymers:PC$_7$BM/Ca/Al were fabricated based on these five polymers. Similar coating conditions were employed in the process of fabrication in order to compare with the previously reported polymer pDTTGG-TPD, and all five new polymers were coated under similar conditions. Hence 1 : 2 (w/w) ratio of polymer:PCBM blend solutions in dichlorobenzene at 80 °C were spun cast, resulting in films of ca. 100 nm thickness. As shown in Fig. 3 and Table 2, pDTTGG-BT exhibits a slightly higher $J_{SC}$ of 14.04 mA cm$^{-2}$ compared to pDTTGL-TPD (13.85 mA cm$^{-2}$), most likely as a result of the reduced band gap, although the higher lying HOMO level leads to a reduction in $V_{OC}$ of 0.1 V compared to pDTTGG-TPD (0.81 V). Therefore although the introduction of BT moiety successfully enhances the current density of the device, only a moderate PCE of 4.66% was achieved, limited by an unsatisfactory fill factor (FF) of 47%, which we attribute to an imbalance of energy levels, solubility and crystallinity.

Despite the promising performance reported for some DPP containing polymers, pDTTGG-DPP displayed a $J_{SC}$ of 3.56 mA cm$^{-2}$ accompanied by a $V_{OC}$ of 0.69 V and a FF of 35%, leading to a disappointing PCE of 0.87%. We assume the poor performance is attributable to the rather low LUMO level of pDTTGG-DPP, which is close to that of PCBM, perhaps leading to
in 3 with a content of the conjugated polymer for both.

However this reduction of approximately 30% in the relative light absorbing conjugated backbone component in both cases, branched side-chains results in an effective reduction of the repeat unit mass upon introduction of the longer TPD respectively. We note that for both pDTTGL co-polymers pDTTGL-TPD relative to pDTTGL-DPP and pDTTGL-BT we observe (approximately 50% and 75% respectively), leading us to conclude that the reduction in relative LUMO level is significant to account solely for the larger reductions in photocurrent observed (approximately 50% and 75% respectively), leading us to conclude that the reduction in relative LUMO level is also important. We note that the trend of J_sc decline is in excellent agreement with the order of LUMO levels for these five polymers.

It appears that the different alkyl side-chains have a minor influence on the TPD and BT polymers in terms of fill factor, with fill factors of 64%/63% and 47%/35% for the 2-ethylhexyl/2-octyldodecyl chain polymers respectively. The inferior fill factors of the BT polymers can be reasonably explained by the reduced solubility of the BT polymers, leading to non-optimum intermixing of the polymer and PCBM during processing. Further studies on this material examining solvent mixtures and additives in an effort to enhance efficiency are in progress. On the other hand, a paradoxical situation is found for DPP polymers, where pDTTG-DPP shows a low fill factor of 35% whilst the replacement of 2-ethylhexyl with the 2-octyldodecyl alkyl chain and the replacement of a linear chain on the DPP with a branched side-chain significantly promotes the FF of the resultant polymer to 66%. As demonstrated above, both of these two polymers exhibit acceptable solubility in common organic solvents, so the differences in fill factor probably relate to the influence of the various alkyl side-chains on film microstructure.

### Table 2 Solar cell device characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>J_sc (mA cm⁻²)</th>
<th>V_oc (V)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pDTTG-TPD ⁴</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTG-BT</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTG-DPP</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTGL-BT</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTGL-DPP</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDTTGL-TPD</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴ Data from ref. 23.

Microstructure

To further understand the effect of microstructure of polymer films on device performance, wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements were performed. Thin films of polymers were fabricated identically to the OPV devices except that the evaporation of metal cathodes was not performed. The surface morphology from tapping mode AFM is shown in Fig. 4 (topography and phase images). Both pDTTG-BT and pDTTG-DPP display uniform and smooth films, with no evidence of detrimental large scale phase segregation. The replacement of the short side-chain with 2-octyldodecyl for the BT polymers increases the RMS roughness from 0.812 nm to 1.012 nm, most likely due to the increased polymer crystallinity (see XRD discussion), but the surface morphology appears similar to the shorter chain polymer. However the domains of pDTTG-TPD and pDTTGL-DPP significantly increase in size, such that we had to enlarge the scan area in order to obtain the features of morphology as shown in Fig. 4d and e. Qualitatively, the larger domain size, although unlikely to comprise pure polymer and/or fullerene regions, nevertheless suggests a non-optimal microstructure of the blend. This may be related to the high alkyl chain density for both of these polymers, since unlike the BT copolymer, both the TPD and DPP have additional solubilising side-chains. Due to the miscibility of fullerenes and conjugated polymers, larger domains are likely to contain isolated regions of fullerene within the polymer (or polymer within the fullerene) which can lead to rapid recombination of photogenerated carriers, and therefore the reduced photocurrents observed.

Fig. 5 shows the WAXS patterns of drop cast films from chlorobenzene solution on glass substrate, before and after annealing at 140 °C. As shown in Fig. 5, pDTTG-BT and pDTTG-TPD show clear diffraction peaks at 24.1° and 24.7° (2θ) corresponding to d-spacings of 3.69 and 3.60 Å respectively, while the former displays a lamellar packing distance of 16.3 Å from the peak at 5.4° in comparison with 19.2 Å from the peak at 4.6° for the latter. The reduction in lamellar spacing for pDTTG-BT versus pDTTG-TPD is consistent with the reduced solubility and alkyl chain content of the BT polymer, but pDTTG-TPD still possesses a closer π−π stacking, probably resulting from the
non-covalent interaction between the carbonyl groups and the hydrogen atoms of another molecule. The intensities of the p–p and lamellar stacking peaks of pDTTG-TPD increase after annealing at 140 °C for 10 minutes, indicating an increase in polymer ordering, whilst no obvious change was found in the pattern of pDTTG-BT, similar to pDTG-BT. In comparison with pDTTG-BT, pDTTGL-BT exhibits a slightly larger lamellar spacing (19.1 Å), as well as a narrower full-width half maximum (FWHM) for this lamellar peak (0.52° versus 1.78° for pDTTG-BT), in addition to higher order peaks, suggesting a higher degree of crystallinity for the polymer with longer 2-octyldodecyl chains. This may be related to the higher molecular weight. The larger lamellar spacing of pDTTGL-BT relative to the short side-chain derivative may additionally increases the barrier for charge transport across domains. Both pDTTG-DPP and pDTTGL-DPP show broad and weak peaks around 4° and possibly 25°, and the annealing process appears to hardly influence the diffraction except for a slight increase in intensity of the lamellar peak. These results suggest that the presence of large amounts of bulky alkyl chains suppress the crystallisation of both DPP polymers in the solid state, which may hinder the charge carrier transport of the blend film of polymer and PC71BM.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we synthesized five new polymers pDTTG-BT, pDTTG-DPP, pDTTGL-BT, pDTTGL-DPP and pDTTGL-TPD based upon the promising donor unit DTTG, in which BT, TPD and DPP were employed as acceptor comonomers to tune the molecular conformations and donor–acceptor interactions. Inclusion of BT or DPP units leads to a decrease in the band gap of polymers compared to the TPD polymer, enabling a better match with the solar spectrum and potentially enhancing photocurrent in OPV blend devices. However whilst an enhancement of the Jsc for the pDTTG-BT device compared to pDTTG-TPD was observed, in the case of pDTTG-DPP a sharp drop was observed, suggesting that tuning must be controlled within reasonable scopes. Furthermore, our studies illustrate that the nature and density of the alkyl side-chains have a significant impact on the polymer aggregation and film microstructure, with larger and more bulky side-chains leading to improved solubility and higher molecular weight. The combination of these integrated effects ultimately determined the performance of BHJ devices based on donor–acceptor polymers.
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