Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 1731

Modulating the packing of $\left[\text{Cu}_{24}\right]$ (isophthalate)₂₄] cuboctahedra in a triazole-containing metal–organic polyhedral framework†

Yong Yan,^a Mikhail Suyetin,^a Elena Bichoutskaia,^a Alexander J. Blake,^a David R. Allan,^b Sarah A. Barnett^b and Martin Schröder^{*a}

The highly porous (3,24)-connected framework NOTT-122 incorporates a C_3 -symmetric angularly connected isophthalate linker containing 1,2,3-triazole rings and shows body-centered tetragonal packing of $\left[\text{Cu}_{24}\right]$ (isophthalate)₂₄] cuboctahedra. This unique packing, coupled with the high density of free N-donor sites, is responsible for the simultaneous high H_2 , CH₄ and CO₂ adsorption capacities in desolvated NOTT-122a.

DOI: 10.1039/c3sc21769h

Received 16th October 2012 Accepted 31st January 2013

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are an emerging class of crystalline materials constructed from metal centers (nodes) bridged by organic linkers (spacers) with a high level of structural modularity and diversity.¹ The concept of supramolecular building blocks to engineer 3-dimensional crystalline architectures allows the design and prediction of novel structures.² Porous MOFs show great promise for potential applications in H_2 storage³ and CO_2 capture⁴ owing to their chemical and thermal stability, permanent porosity, and high surface area.⁵ It is now well established that the high-pressure (>20 bar) $H₂$ or $CO₂$ uptake in MOFs is correlated with the surface area and/or the pore volume of the host, consistent with the physisorption process, while the low-pressure (under 1 bar) adsorption performance is strongly correlated with the strength of interaction between the framework and gas molecules.³ Current synthetic efforts to enhance gas–framework interactions include introduction of open metal sites, $3d$ and adjustment of pore shape and size to enhance overlapping energy potential of the pore walls. $3d,e,4b$ Specifically, decoration of the pores with basic nitrogen-containing groups (such as $\text{~NH}_{2}\text{,}^{\text{6}}$ triazole,⁷ and tetrazole⁸) are effective methods to improve $CO₂$ adsorption uptakes in MOFs, as the heteroatoms can afford large dipole– quadrupole interactions with $CO₂$ molecules.^{4b}

Our synthetic strategy focuses on the design and synthesis of metal–organic polyhedral cage materials based upon (3,24)-

connected frameworks $5b,9,10$ possessing high structural stability and high surface area and pore volume.¹¹⁻¹⁴ These **ubt**-type^{13,15} frameworks are constructed from ${Cu_2(RCOO)_4}$ paddlewheels connected by C_3 -symmetric hexacarboxylate linkers, where three isophthalates are connected through a central core in a planar fashion. All these frameworks consist of the same $\left[\text{Cu}_{24}\right]$ (isophthalate)₂₄] cuboctahedron which is formed by 24 linkers and 12 ${Cu_2}$ units. The **ubt**-type net can be viewed as the face-centered cubic (fcc) packing of cuboctahedra in 3D space. These materials show high H_2 uptakes at both low and high pressures attributed to the unique structural feature of the ubttype network showing close packing of cuboctahedral cages containing 24 exposed $Cu(II)$ sites and extra-high surface area. The ${Cu₂₄}$ cage has strong affinity to gas molecules, which has been confirmed experimentally by neutron powder diffraction studies.^{12,16} Previous studies revealed that the low-pressure H_2 adsorption uptake of these ubt-type frameworks can be enhanced by reducing the distance between the two closest cuboctahedra, $10c,12$ which can be achieved by employing smallsized hexacarboxylate struts. However, there is a limitation to the size of linker that can be used to form (3,24)-connected fccpacked cuboctahedra as this type of packing will be forbidden by steric hindrance between two closest cuboctahedra.¹⁷ By modifying the topology of the hexacarboxylate linker to give more angular connectivity through the C_3 -symmetric central core, we argued that tight packing of the cuboctahedra might be modulated to give more densely packed cuboctahedra compared to more common fcc-structures (Fig. 1).^{17,18} **EDGE ARTICLE**
 Modulating the packing of $[Cu_{24}(isophthalate)_{24}]$ **

The this Comm St. 2013.4.1731

The this Comm St. 2013.4.1731

The this Comm St. 2013.4.1731

The packing of** $[Cu_{24}(isophthalate)_{24}]$ **

The packing commeter of the matr**

> We report the introduction of five-membered heterocycles into the C_3 -symmetric hexacarboxylate linker to introduce an angular character to the external arms between the terminal isophthalates and the central core. Additionally, heterocycles can potentially provide enhanced binding sites for $CO₂$ molecules.^{4b} The 1,2,3-triazole rings have been incorporated previously into MOFs, including a flexible framework MIL-112, but no porosity

a School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK. E-mail: M.Schroder@nottingham.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)115 951 3563

b Diamond Light Source, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0DE, UK

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: CCDC number for structure of Experimental details, crystallographic parameters, adsorption isotherms, and GCMC calculations. CCDC 906058. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c3sc21769h

Fig. 1 (a) Hexacarboxylate linker with co-planar linearly connected isophthalates. (b) Two close packed ${Cu_{24}}$ cuboctahedra within a **ubt**-type network aligned along the same axis. There has to be a minimum distance between these two polyhedra due to the steric hindrance from the two axial water molecules. (c) Hexacarboxylate linker with co-planar angularly connected isophthalate units of lower symmetry; (d) two near neighbour {Cu₂₄} cuboctahedra packed in a distorted manner due to the angular hexacarboxylate linker. The framework constructed from the angular hexacarboxylate linker has the tendency to form a more close packed bct-ubt net compared to the more common fcc-ubt network.

was observed for this system.¹⁹ Most recently, the triazole group has been incorporated into porous organic polymers²⁰ using "click" chemistry.²¹ Herein, we report the use of the 1,2,3-triazolecontaining linker 5,5′,5′′-(4,4′,4′′-(benzene-1,3,5-triyl)tris(1H-1,2,3-triazole-4,1-diyl))triisophthalic acid $(H_6BTTI)^{22}$ to construct a highly porous (3,24)-connected polyhedral framework (denoted NOTT-122) incorporating ${Cu₂}$ paddlewheel units and having a high density of accessible nitrogen-donor sites,²³ and achieving high adsorption capacities for both H_2 and CO_2 .

Results and discussion

H6BTTI was obtained in good yield via Cu(I)-catalyzed 1,3-cycloaddition of 1,3,5-triethynylbenzene with 5-azidoisophthalic acid

(Scheme 1; see ESI[†]). Solvothermal reaction of H_6BTTI with $Cu(NO₃)₂ \cdot 2.5H₂O$ in DMF (DMF = N,N-dimethylformamide) in the presence of HCl afforded truncated octahedron-shaped bluegreen crystals of NOTT-122 in high yield. The complex $\left[\text{Cu}_3(\text{BTTI})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3\right]$ 10DMF \cdot 10H₂O crystallizes in tetragonal space group I4/m with large unit cell $a = b = 30.926(5)$ Å, $c =$ $45.103(7)$ Å]. Single crystal X-ray diffraction confirms \ddagger that NOTT-122 is a (3,24)-connected network in which a cuboctahedron (Cage A) is constructed by 12 ${Cu_2(COO)_4}$ paddlewheels and 24 isophthalates from 24 different $(BTTI)^{6-}$ units, and each linker acts as a 3-connected node connected to 3 cuboctahedra. The face of the $(BTTI)^{6-}$ unit has a distorted hexagonal geometry and the overall structure is based upon body-centered tetragonal (bct) packing of cuboctahedra in 3D space (Fig. S7†). This is of lower symmetry compared to the more usual fcc-packing in a **ubt** net. Two other types of polyhedral cages, a distorted truncated tetrahedron (Cage B) and a distorted truncated octahedron (Cage C) are observed in this structure, and the three types of cages tessellate in the same way as in the ubt-type network. One Cage B is connected to 4 cuboctahedra and one Cage C is connected to 6 cuboctahedra, by sharing the triangular and square windows of Cage A, respectively. The ratio of the different cages (Cage A : B : C) is 1 : 2 : 1. Taking into account the van der Waals surface of the cage interior, the largest spheres that can fit within these cavities have diameters of 12.2 Å and 19.3 Å for Cage B and Cage C, respectively. The length of the largest cage in the framework can reach $ca.$ 3.2 nm and lies within the mesoporous regime. This structural analysis reveals that the bct-packing is another type of efficient tiling of cuboctahedra for creating hierarchically assembled cavities. It is worth noting that both Cage B and C have a remarkably high density of nitrogen-donor sites on the pore walls (Fig. 2), and the apertures which access the empty space in these cavities are densely decorated with uncoordinated triazolerings. In contrast, the triazole groups in most other triazolatebridged frameworks are coordinated to metal ions by donating one or two nitrogen atoms of the heterocycle,^{24,25} thus drastically reducing the number of accessible nitrogen-donor sites within the pores. Open Calence Computer on 11 February 2013. Downloaded on 11 February 2013. Download unported the common commo

guest and coordinated water molecules is estimated to be 74%

TBTA: tris[(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]amine^{21b}

Scheme 1 Synthesis of H_6B TTI.

The total accessible volume of NOTT-122 after removal of the

Fig. 2 The crystal structure of NOTT-122 showing a (3,24)-connected network. The framework is decorated with a high density of N-donor sites.

using the PLATON/VOID routine,²⁶ and the calculated density of the desolvated framework is 0.589 g cm $^{-3}$. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) confirmed that the framework is thermally stable up to 270 °C. The phase purity of the bulk sample of NOTT-122 was confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). The acetoneexchanged sample was degassed under dynamic vacuum at 110 °C for 12 hours to afford the activated sample of NOTT-122a. The PXRD profiles of the activated NOTT-122a indicate that the framework retains its crystallinity, reflecting a highly robust structure attributed to the (3,24)-connected net (Fig. S9†).

 N_2 sorption at 77 K in NOTT-122a (Fig. S12[†]) exhibits a reversible type-I sorption profile with small changes in slope in the pressure region P/P_0 0.03–0.1 indicating the sequential filling and desorption of gas within micro- and meso-porous cavities. The apparent Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area is 3286 $\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$, and the calculated pore size, derived from the N_2 isotherm and based on the nonlocal density functional theory (NLDFT) model, is distributed between $10-15$ Å, and 15–30 Å (Fig. S14 \dagger), consistent with the single crystal structure analysis. NOTT-122a has a total pore volume of 1.41 $\rm cm^3~g^{-1}$ calculated from the N_2 isotherm data.

The gravimetric H_2 sorption isotherms for NOTT-122a were recorded at 77 and 87 K up to 20 bar (Fig. 3a and S15†). Significantly, NOTT-122a can adsorb 2.61 wt% of H_2 at 1 bar and 77 K [wt% = 100(weight of adsorbed H_2)/(weight of host material)]. To date, there are only a handful of MOFs with H_2 uptakes exceeding 2.6 wt% under the same conditions.³ The H_2 capacity of NOTT-122a is higher than all the (3,24)-connected ubt-type frameworks NOTT-112 to NOTT-116,¹²⁻¹⁴ NOTT-119,¹¹ PCN-61,¹⁰ and NU-100^{5b} which show H_2 uptakes in the range of 1.44– 2.42 wt% at 1 bar and 77 K. The isosteric heat of adsorption (Q_{st}) for H_2 has an estimated value of 6.0 kJ mol $^{-1}$ at zero coverage (see ESI[†]), consistent with H₂ binding to the open Cu(π) sites. The remarkable low-pressure H_2 adsorption performance is attributed to the combined effects of the close bct-packing of the cuboctahedra with exposed $Cu(II)$ sites and the high concentration of nitrogen-donating sites in the structure. Theoretical calculations predict that N-containing aromatic rings can enhance the affinity to H_2 molecules.²⁷ Due to the large BET surface area and pore volume, NOTT-122a has a total H_{2} adsorption capacity of 7.0 wt% (70 mg g⁻¹) at 77 K and 20 bar, among the highest reported to date for MOFs under the

Fig. 3 (a) H₂ isotherms for NOTT-122a at 77 K in the pressure range 0 to 20 bar. The inset shows the experimental and simulated H₂ isotherms up to 1 bar; (b) experimental and simulated CH₄ isotherms for NOTT-122a at both 273 and 298 K; (c) experimental CO₂, CH₄ and N₂ sorption isotherms for NOTT-122a at both 273 and 298 K up to 1 bar; (d) experimental and simulated CO₂ isotherms for NOTT-122a in the pressure range 0-1 bar. Adsorption and desorption branches are shown with closed and open symbols, respectively.

same conditions. Thus, NOTT-122a is a new member of the family of the (3,24)-connected Cu-based polyhedral structures showing high H_2 sorption capacities at both low and high pressures.

The open $Cu(n)$ sites and high porosity also enable high methane storage in NOTT-122a (Fig. 3b). At 20 bar, the total $CH₄$ uptakes of NOTT-122a reach 21.5 wt% [176 $\rm cm^3(STP)\,cm^{-3},STP$: standard temperature and pressure] and 16.1 wt% (132.1 cm³ $\rm cm^{-3})$ at 273 and 298 K, respectively. The volumetric CH $_4$ uptake values for NOTT-122a are comparable to those of other porous MOFs with high CH₄ storage such as UTSA-20²⁸ (total 195 cm³ $\rm cm^{-3}$ at 300 K and 35 bar) and PCN-11²⁹ (excess 171 $\rm cm^{3}~cm^{-3},$ at 298 K and 35 bar).

The incorporation of accessible polarised 1,2,3-triazole rings in the framework is expected to enhance the affinity to $CO₂$, and indeed at 1 bar, NOTT-122a exhibits remarkable $CO₂$ adsorption capacities of 39.7 wt% (9.02 mmol g^{-1} , 202.1 cm 3 g^{-1} , STP) at 273 K and 20.4 wt% (4.63 mmol g^{-1} , 103.8 cm 3 g^{-1} , STP) at 298 K (Fig. 3), exceeding the $CO₂$ capacities of most MOFs containing exposed metal sites.⁴ These values are comparable to the highest values for MOFs such as Mg/DOBDC (35.2 wt%, 296 K),³⁰ Cu-TDPAT¹⁷ (44.5 wt%, 273 K; 25.8 wt%, 298 K), and Cu–TPBTM¹⁰^d (42.6 wt%, 273 K; 23.3 wt%, 298 K). Possessing a similar pore size to another (3,24)-connected framework PCN- $61^{10c,d}$ with ubt-topology, NOTT-122a shows a higher low-pressure (1 bar) CO_2 uptake capacity (PCN-61: 25.2 wt%, 273 K; 13.9 wt%, 298 K), which is ascribed to the high nitrogen-content and the different packing mode of the cuboctahedra. NOTT-122a also shows a high CO $_2$ uptake of 25.6 mmol $\rm g^{-1}$ at 20 bar and 298 K, higher than most other highly porous MOFs such as Cu– TPBTM (23.5 mmol $\rm{g^{-1}})$, PCN-61 (21.4 mmol $\rm{g^{-1}})$ and PCN-68 (22.1 mmol $\rm{g}^{-1})^{\rm 10c,d}$ under the same conditions. To evaluate the selective CO_2 capture in NOTT-122a, CH₄ and N₂ sorption isotherms were also measured at ambient temperatures (Fig. 3c). By determining the ratios of the Henry's Law constants from single component isotherms,³¹ the $CO₂/N₂$ adsorption selectivity factors for NOTT-122a are 23.4 : 1 at 273 K and 14.3 : 1 at 298 K. The $CO₂/N₂$ selectivity in NOTT-122a is higher than both the **ubt**-type frameworks Cu–TPBTM $(\sim 22 : 1)$ and PCN-61 (\sim 15 : 1) at 273 K, further suggesting that the polar triazole functionalities have a positive effect on $CO₂$ adsorption. NOTT-122a also shows respectable $CO₂/CH₄$ selectivity of 9.2 : 1 at 273 K and 6.4 : 1 at 298 K. The analysis of isosteric heats of $CO₂$ adsorption using the virial method reveals a moderate adsorption enthalpy of 24.5 kJ mol $^{-1}$ at zero coverage, reflecting the expected van der Waals host–guest interactions in this large pore system and consistent with the shallow gradient observed for the $CO₂$ isotherm. Also, no hysteresis is observed in the measured gas isotherms. This is to be expected given the high porosity and high pore volume in NOTT-122. Chemical science

Same conditions. Thus, NOTF-122a is a now member of the (a)

anoisome published on the same of conditions are computed as a match and the property and explore the commons are computed under the commons a

To analyse further the gas adsorption properties of NOTT-122a, grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations for H_2 , $CH₄$ and $CO₂$ adsorption were performed (see ESI[†]). The simulated isotherms for these three gases are in good agreement with the experimental data (Fig. 3). GCMC simulations for CO2 adsorption at different pressures (10, 50 and 100 kPa) at 273 K have been employed to define potential interactions

Fig. 4 GCMC simulations of $CO₂$ adsorption in NOTT-122a at 10 (a and b) and 50 kPa (c and d). Three likely CO₂ adsorption sites in NOTT-122a derived from GCMC at 10 kPa are indicated: site I (CuA center) is shown in (a) and sites (II) and (III) are shown in (b). At 50 kPa, $CO₂$ molecules were found in the narrow channel of Cage B shown in (c) and in the small cavity created by four $BTTI^{6-}$ units connecting Cages B and C (d).

between $CO₂$ molecules and the framework. Simulations were performed based on a model where the $CO₂$ -framework interactions were described by electrostatic and van der Waals potentials. There are three observed preferential binding sites for $CO₂$ in NOTT-122a identified at 10 kPa (Fig. 4a and b): the open Cu²⁺ site (i) , denoted CuA, inside the cuboctahedral cage (Cage A) (another type of Cu^{2+} ion sited outside of a cuboctahedral cage is denoted CuB); the triangular-shaped window site (n) with the CO₂ sites at the center of the cage opening formed by three isophthalate units and three $Cu₂(COO)₄$ paddlewheels; $32,33$ and site (III), located in the corner of the narrow channel in Cage B formed by three peripheral arms from three $BTTI⁶⁻$ units, where the $CO₂$ is close to the N1 atom of one triazole ring from the channel wall. These simulations further suggest that the open metal sites and the polar pore environment created by the triazole groups contribute synergically to the $CO₂$ binding in this particular framework. When the pressure is increased to 50 kPa, a significant amount of $CO₂$ was found in the cuboctahedral cage and the narrow channel of the

Fig. 5 Possible orientations of $CO₂$ molecules binding at the triazole ring: (a) the $CO₂$ molecule is parallel to the triazole ring, (b) the $CO₂$ molecule interacts with the N-center of the triazole ring and the nearest hydrogen, (c) the $CO₂$ molecule is located above the triazole ring, (d) two $CO₂$ molecules interact simultaneously with the triazole ring.

Fig. 6 Snapshot of the GCMC simulations showing preferential binding sites for $CO₂$ molecules in NOTT-122a: (a) at 50 kPa, (b) at 100 kPa.

truncated tetrahedral cage, and then population of the small cavity surrounded by four BTTI^{6-} units connecting the B and C cages begins (Fig. 4c and d). At 100 kPa, after occupying the cuboctahedral cage, the narrow triangular pocket and the cavity connecting the Cage B and C, $CO₂$ molecules continue to diffuse into the remaining empty space of the porous structure, revealing a sequential pore filling mechanism of $CO₂$ in this hierarchically assembled structure.

GCMC simulations identified the triazole groups of NOTT-122a as binding sites for $CO₂$ molecules, and a number of configurations occurred regularly in the simulations (Fig. 5). At pressures of 50 kPa and 100 kPa, a chain of $CO₂$ molecules can be formed via CO_2 -Cu(II), CO_2 -triazole, and CO_2 -CO₂ interactions, with the triazole ring a particular "hot spot" for binding (Fig. 6).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we present here the construction of a highly porous (3,24)-connected framework NOTT-122a by using a C_3 symmetric angularly connected isophthalate strut bearing high content of free N-donor sites. The bct-packing of the cuboctahedra in NOTT-122a is another type of efficient 3D tessellation of polyhedra, having the advantage of allowing a large number of heterocycles to be sited within distorted hexagonal faces without the necessity of increasing the size of the linker. The bct-packing of ${Cu_{24}}$ cuboctahedra, coupled with the high density of polarizing triazole groups, is responsible for its high H_2 , CH₄ and CO₂ uptake, making NOTT-122a one of the rare examples of MOFs showing simultaneous high adsorption performance for these gases. This study also demonstrates that 1,2,3-triazole functionalities can be effectively integrated into porous robust MOFs using "click" chemistry, leading to rigid structures decorated with Lewis basic sites for enhanced interactions with $CO₂$. The GCMC simulation study confirms the combination of effects from open metal sites and accessible triazole rings that affords high $CO₂$ uptakes in NOTT-122a.

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC (U.K. Sustainable Hydrogen Energy Consortium, http://www.uk-shec.org.uk/) and the University of Nottingham for funding. We are grateful to the EPSRC-funded

National Crystallography Service and Diamond Light Source for data collection on Diamond Beamline I19. M.S. gratefully acknowledges receipt of an ERC Advanced Grant, an EPSRC Programme Grant and a Royal Society Wolfson Merit award.

Notes and references

‡ Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication CCDC 906058.

- 1 (a) O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, Nature, 2003, 423, 705–714; (b) M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 675–702.
- 2 (a) A. J. Blake, N. R. Champness, P. Hubberstey, W.-S. Li, M. A. Withersby and M. Schröder, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1999, 183, 117–138; (b) J. J. Perry IV, J. A. Perman and M. J. Zaworotko, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1400–1417; (c) A. J. Cairns, J. A. Perman, L. Wojtas, V. C. Kravtsov, M. H. Alkordi, M. Eddaoudi and M. J. Zaworotko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1560–1561; (d) C. E. Wilmer, M. Leaf, C. Y. Lee, O. K. Farha, B. G. Hauser, J. T. Hupp and R. Q. Snurr, Nat. Chem., 2011, 4, 83–89.
- 3 (a) X. Lin, N. R. Champness and M. Schröder, Top. Curr. Chem., 2010, 293, 35–76; (b) X. Lin, J. Jia, P. Hubberstey, M. Schröder and N. R. Champness, CrystEngComm, 2007, 9, 438–448; (c) L. J. Murray, M. Dincă and J. R. Long, *Chem.* Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1294–1314; (d) M. P. Suh, H. J. Park, T. K. Prasad and D.-W. Lim, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 782– 835; (e) D. Zhao, D. Yuan and H.-C. Zhou, Energy Environ. Sci., 2008, 1, 222-235; (f) M. Dincă and J. R. Long, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 6766–6779; (g) S. Yang, X. Lin, A. J. Blake, G. S. Walker, P. Hubberstey, N. R. Champness and M. Schröder, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 487-493; (h) X. Lin, J. Jia, X. B. Zhao, K. M. Thomas, A. J. Blake, N. R. Champness, P. Hubberstey and M. Schröder, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 7358–7364; (i) X. Lin, I. Telepeni, A. J. Blake, A. Dailly, C. Brown, J. Simmons, M. Zoppi, G. S. Walker, K. M. Thomas, T. J. Mays, P. Hubberstey, N. R. Champness and M. Schröder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2159–2171; (j) H. Furukawa, M. A. Miller and O. M. Yaghi, J. Mater. Chem., 2007, 17, 3197–3204; (k) K. Koh, A. G. Wong-Foy and A. J. Matzger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 4184–4185. Edge Article.
 Commons Article is an access Article in the Commons Article is licensed under the access Article is a supplementary and the access Article is a supplementary and the access Article is a supplementary and th
	- 4 (a) D. M. D'Alessandro, B. Smit and J. R. Long, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6058–6082; (b) K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T.-H. Bae and J. R. Long, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 724–781; (c) A. R. Millward and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, 127, 17998–17999; (d) P. L. Llewellyn, S. Bourrelly, C. Serre, A. Vimont, M. Daturi, L. Hamon, G. D. Weireld, J.-S. Chang, D.-Y. Hong, Y. K. Hwang, S. H. Jhung and G. Férey, Langmuir, 2008, 24, 7245-7250; (e) S. Yang, X. Lin, W. Lewis, M. Suyetin, E. Bichoutskaia, J. Parker, C. C. Tang, D. R. Allan, P. J. Rizkallah, P. Hubberstey, N. R. Champness, K. M. Thomas, A. J. Blake and M. Schröder, Nat. Mater., 2012, 11, 710-716; (f) S. Yang, J. Sun, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, S. K. Callear, W. I. F. David,

D. Anderson, R. Newby, A. J. Blake, J. E. Parker, C. C. Tang and M. Schröder, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 887-894; (g) W. Yang, A. J. Davies, X. Lin, M. Suyetin, R. Matsuda, A. J. Blake, C. Wilson, W. Lewis, J. E. Parker, C. C. Tang, M. W. George, P. Hubberstey, S. Kitagawa, H. Sakamoto, E. Bichoutskaia, N. R. Champness, S. Yang and M. Schröder, Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 2993-2999.

- 5 (a) H. Furukawa, N. Ko, Y. B. Go, N. Aratani, S. B. Choi, E. Choi, A. Ö. Yazaydin, R. Q. Snurr, M. O'Keeffe, J. Kim and O. M. Yaghi, Science, 2010, 329, 424–428; (b) O. K. Farha, A. O. Yazaydin, I. Eryazici, C. D. Malliakas, ¨ B. G. Hauser, M. G. Kanatzidis, S. T. Nguyen, R. Q. Snurr and J. T. Hupp, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 944–948.
- 6 (a) R. Vaidhyanathan, S. S. Iremonger, G. K. H. Shimizu, P. G. Boyd, S. Alavi and T. K. Woo, Science, 2010, 330, 650– 653; (b) S. Couck, J. F. M. Denayer, G. V. Baron, T. Rémy, J. Gascon and F. Kapteijn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6326–6327.
- 7 (a) A. Demessence, D. M. D'Alessandro, M. L. Foo and J. R. Long, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 8784–8786; (b) J.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 5516–5521.
- 8 P. Pachfule and R. Banerjee, Cryst. Growth Des., 2011, 11, 5176–5181.
- 9 (a) F. Nouar, J. F. Eubank, T. Bousquet, L. Wojtas, M. J. Zaworotko and M. Eddaoudi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1833–1835; (b) Y. Zou, M. Park, S. Hong and M. S. Lah, Chem. Commun., 2008, 2340–2342.
- 10 (a) S. Hong, M. Oh, M. Park, J. W. Yoon, J.-S. Chang and M. S. Lah, Chem. Commun., 2009, 5397–5399; (b) D. Zhao, D. Yuan, D. Sun and H.-C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 9186–9188; (c) D. Yuan, D. Zhao, D. Sun and H.-C. Zhou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 5357–5361; (d) B. Zheng, J. Bai, J. Duan, L. Wojtas and M. J. Zaworotko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 748–751.
- 11 Y. Yan, X. Lin, S. Yang, A. J. Blake, A. Dailly, N. R. Champness, P. Hubberstey and M. Schröder, Chem. Commun., 2009, 1025–1027.
- 12 Y. Yan, I. Telepeni, S. Yang, X. Lin, W. Kockelmann, A. Dailly, A. J. Blake, W. Lewis, G. S. Walker, D. R. Allan, S. A. Barnett, N. R. Champness and M. Schröder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 4092–4094.
- 13 Y. Yan, A. J. Blake, W. Lewis, S. A. Barnett, A. Dailly, N. R. Champness and M. Schröder, Chem.-Eur. J., 2011, 17, 11162–11170.
- 14 Y. Yan, S. Yang, A. J. Blake, W. Lewis, E. Poirier, S. A. Barnett, N. R. Champness and M. Schröder, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 9995–9997.
- 15 O. Delgado-Friedrichs, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2003, 59, 515–525.
- 16 V. K. Peterson, Y. Liu, C. M. Brown and C. J. Kepert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 15578–15579.
- 17 B. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, K. Yao, Y. Zhu, Z. Deng, F. Yang, X. Zhou, G. Li, H. Wu, N. Nijem, Y. J. Chabal, Z. Lai, Y. Han, Z. Shi, S. Feng and J. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 1412–1415.
- 18 R. Luebke, J. F. Eubank, A. J. Cairns, Y. Belmabkhout, L. Wojtasb and M. Eddaoudi, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 1455–1457.
- 19 (a) T. Devic, O. David, M. Valls, J. Marrot, F. Couty and G. Férey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12614-12615; (b) T. Gadzikwa, O. K. Farha, C. D. Malliakas, M. G. Kanatzidis, J. T. Hupp and S. T. Nguyen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 13613–13615.
- 20 (a) T. Muller and S. Bräse, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 11844-11845; (b) J. R. Holst, E. Stöckel, D. J. Adams and A. I. Cooper, Macromolecules, 2010, 43, 8531–8538; (c) P. Pandey, O. K. Farha, A. M. Spokoyny, C. A. Mirkin, M. G. Kanatzidis, J. T. Hupp and S. T. Nguyen, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 1700–1703; (d) O. Plietzsch, C. I. Schilling, T. Grab, S. L. Grage, A. S. Ulrich, A. Comotti, P. Sozzani, T. Muller and S. Bräse, New J. Chem., 2011, 35, 1577-1581. Open Access Article. Published on 11 February 2013. Downloaded on 10/19/2024 6:24:35 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3sc21769h)**
	- 21 (a) H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn and K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem., Int.Ed., 2001, 40, 2004–2021; (b) T. R. Chan, R. Hilgraf, K. B. Sharpless and V. V. Fokin, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 2853– 2855.
	- 22 A. Cadeddu, A. Ciesielski, T. E. Malah, S. Hecht and P. Samorì, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 10578-10580.
	- 23 Q. Lin, T. Wu, S.-T. Zheng, X. Bu and P. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 784–787.
	- 24 T. M. McDonald, D. M. D'Alessandro, R. Krishna and J. R. Long, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 2022–2028.
	- 25 J.-P. Zhang, Y.-B. Zhang, J.-B. Lin and X.-M. Chen, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 1001–1033.
	- 26 A. L. Spek, PLATON, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 2009, 65, 148–155.
	- 27 A. Samanta, T. Furuta and J. Li, J. Chem. Phys., 2006, 125, 084714.
	- 28 Z. Guo, H. Wu, G. Srinivas, Y. Zhou, S. Xiang, Z. Chen, Y. Yang, W. Zhou, M. O'Keeffe and B. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 3178–3181.
	- 29 X.-S. Wang, S. Ma, K. Rauch, J. M. Simmons, D. Yuan, X. Wang, T. Yildirim, W. C. Cole, J. J. López, A. de Meijere and H.-C. Zhou, Chem. Mater., 2008, 20, 3145–3152.
	- 30 S. R. Caskey, A. G. Wong-Foy and A. J. Matzger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 10870–10871.
	- 31 M. S. Sun, D. B. Shah, H. H. Xu and O. Talu, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1998, 102, 1466–1473.
	- 32 L. Grajciar, A. D. Wiersum, P. L. Llewellyn, J.-S. Chang and P. Nachtigall, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 17925– 17933.
	- 33 H. Wu, J. M. Simmons, G. Srinivas, W. Zhou and T. Yildirim, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2010, 1, 1946–1951.