# **Chemical Science**

# **EDGE ARTICLE**

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 965

Received 17th August 2012 Accepted 2nd November 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2sc21300a

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

# Introduction

It is well known that L-proline may be used to effectively catalyze a range of asymmetric organic reactions, yielding products with high stereoselectivity and enantiomeric excess.<sup>1–5</sup> However, although its efficiency in organic solvents has been well documented, there have only been a few examples of its use in water due to the inability of water to dissolve the organic reagents, which are often hydrophobic.<sup>6–8</sup> In cases where the catalyst was modified so as to be more hydrophobic, a concentrated organic microphase was formed around the catalytic site by the reagents, resulting in an observed acceleration in rate of reaction. This was proposed to be driven by the hydrophobic effect where the surrounding water drives the reagents towards the formed organic phase to lower the interfacial energy of the system.<sup>9,10</sup>

Subsequently, nanoreactor systems based on synthetic polymers have been reported to successfully create a favourable nanoenvironment able to concentrate the reagent phase for efficient catalysis.<sup>11</sup> For example, Fréchet and Hawker showed the ability of dendrimeric star polymers to attract reagents based on polarity, calling this the 'concentrator effect'.<sup>12</sup> Similarly, diblock copolymers that consist of a hydrophobic and a hydrophilic block have also been extensively studied for their use in catalytic systems due to their ability to self-assemble in water, providing a protected hydrophobic environment for the supported catalyst.<sup>13</sup> Previous studies have incorporated the

<sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally.

# Tuning the catalytic activity of L-proline functionalized hydrophobic nanogel particles in water<sup>†</sup>

Annhelen Lu,<sup>‡</sup><sup>a</sup> Dafni Moatsou,<sup>‡</sup><sup>a</sup> Deborah A. Longbottom<sup>b</sup> and Rachel K. O'Reilly<sup>\*a</sup>

L-Proline functionalized PMMA nanogels with a range of catalyst functionalization (0.5–15 wt%) and cross-linking densities (0–50 wt%) were prepared *via* emulsion polymerization. The catalyst efficiency in water was investigated using a model asymmetric aldol reaction and an unprecedented reduction in catalyst loading, whilst maintaining high catalytic activity, is reported. In these reactions, a marked effect on selectivity was observed and determined to be dependent on the hydrophobicity of the nanogel particles. Furthermore, the effect of increasing cross-linking density on the catalytic efficiency of these particles (and their core–shell analogues) was explored and a significant reduction in activity was observed.

catalyst in the hydrophobic compartment of a micellar assembly by copolymerizing the monomeric derivative with a hydrophobic co-monomer. Recently our group reported the use of such polymeric nanoreactor systems containing 4-dimethylaminopyridine<sup>14</sup> or L-proline<sup>15</sup> that efficiently carried out organic reactions in water. The observed increase in reaction rate was proposed to be due to the ability of the hydrophobic core to effectively sequester the organic reagents from the surrounding water. Although such systems have proven to be highly efficient, the multi-step synthesis of the micelles significantly reduces their potential for use in industrial scale applications.

Related to these systems, microgel particles are excellent candidates for use as catalytic systems because they are unimolecular polymeric nano-sized entities that retain their form under a range of conditions (*i.e.* changes in temperature, solvent or concentration).<sup>16</sup> In addition, their synthesis is scalable and similar procedures are already being performed in industry for the mass production of paints *etc.*<sup>17-19</sup> Previous reports have also shown that microgel particles can be used as catalyst supports by loading metal nanoparticles within the polymeric matrix.<sup>20,21</sup> Other applications involving sub-micron sized cross-linked polymer particles can be found in fields such as drug delivery,<sup>22,23</sup> biotechnology,<sup>24</sup> membranes<sup>25</sup> and cosmetics,<sup>26</sup> as well as biomedical diagnostics (theranostics).<sup>27</sup>

Although the incorporation of L-proline within micron-sized polymer beads (~100  $\mu$ m) has been reported by Hansen *et al.*<sup>28</sup> the effect of parameters such as the degree of catalyst functionalization within the particles or particle cross-linking density on the catalysis activity have not yet been investigated in detail. We aim to synthesize nano-sized cross-linked particles with a confined hydrophobic core and fully explore their potential as nanoreactors. Minimization of the particle size should enhance the reaction rate due to the increased surface area that enables faster diffusion of the reagents into the

# **RSC**Publishing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>University of Warwick, Department of Chemistry, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK. E-mail: r.k.o-reilly@warwick.ac.uk; Fax: +44 247 652 4112; Tel: +44 247 652 3236

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>University of Cambridge, Department of Chemistry, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/c2sc21300a

nanoparticle core. By tethering the catalyst within the hydrophobic core it ultimately brings the catalyst and reagents into close proximity with one another, concentrating both moieties within the same reaction nano-space. Fréchet et al. reported the synthesis of poly(styrene) nanogels where increasing the crosslinking density (CLD) caused a marked decrease in access to an azide-decorated core for large alkyne functionalized PEG units due to steric hindrance: small molecules were successfully incorporated into the nanogel core regardless of the CLD.29 We aim to exploit the hydrophobic-hydrophilic balance of the nanogels by tailoring the CLD and thus controlling the access of small molecules into the catalyst enriched core. Furthermore, by varying the degree of catalyst functionalization (DoF, where DoF refers to the weight% of L-proline methacrylate incorporated in the nanogel), we aim to highlight the importance of the local environment within the nanoreactor core and how this can enable the catalytic activity and selectivity to be tuned.

## **Results and discussion**

#### Nanogel synthesis

A range of functional cross-linked poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) nanogel particles (Fig. 1) were prepared with different CLDs (0.5-10 wt%) and DoFs (2-15 wt%). A schematic representation of this process is presented in Scheme S1.<sup>†</sup> Particles were readily synthesized in the 20-50 nm size range, with low size polydispersity (Table 1 and Fig. S2<sup>†</sup>). The small size of the nanogels was attributed to the intended high concentration of surfactant (sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 25 wt% with respect to the monomer) in the emulsion system. The size was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Fig. S2 and S3<sup>†</sup>) and confirmed by dry state transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Fig. 1). In order to better understand the structure of the nanogel particles, especially the positioning of the proline units, the emulsion polymerization of ProMA and MMA in water was studied in the absence of a cross-linker (Fig. S1<sup>+</sup>). Despite ProMA polymerizing slightly faster than MMA, the difference was not thought to be significant enough to promote formation of a core-shell structure, with L-proline moieties almost exclusively in the shell, rather that ProMA can be considered to be evenly distributed within the hydrophobic nanogel core.30

#### Catalysis

The aldol reaction of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde and cyclohexanone was used as a model reaction, as it has been widely used in the

Fig. 1 Structural representation of L-proline functionalized cross-linked PMMA nanogel and unstained TEM micrograph (10 wt% CLD, 2 wt% DoF), and  $D_{av} = 25 \pm 4$  nm (scale bar = 100 nm).

 Table 1
 Table showing the particle size (in nm, with PD in parenthesis) with a range of DoFs (wt%) and CLDs (wt%), as determined by DLS.

DoF (%)/CLD (%)	0.5	2	5	10
2	23 (0.192)	32 (0.080)	38 (0.093)	27 (0.095)
5	28 (0.232)	35 (0.142)	36 (0.162)	21 (0.111)
9	42 (0.128)	36 (0.109)	33 (0.148)	41 (0.081)
15	49 (0.252)	48 (0.101)	42 (0.167)	38 (0.250)

literature for a range of supported L-proline catalyst systems.<sup>31</sup> The reaction was catalyzed by each of the functionalized PMMA nanogels at 1 mol% catalyst loading. As the nanogels are functionalized with the catalyst to varying degrees, catalysis with different amounts of nanogel particles (*i.e.* different number of hydrophobic nanoreactors), diluted to the same volume was required, keeping the reagent concentration and ratio to L-proline constant (Table S1†). It was found that, after 24 hours the reaction catalyzed by nanogels with high DoF is less efficient than low DoF (Table 2), which can be attributed to the lower number of hydrophobic nanoreactors present in these reactions.

#### Effect of DoF

At 0.5 wt% CLD, a general trend in catalytic efficiency was observed: nanogels with low DoF were found to be more efficient than those with high DoF (Fig. 2A). This trend was observed throughout the range of CLDs (Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>), though less prominent at high CLDs.

The trends observed in catalytic activity may be due to differences in the number of distinct catalytic nanoreactors or hydrophobic pockets present in each reaction, as more nanogels with low DoF are required to make up the desired catalyst loading (1 mol%) (Table S1†). Although the reactions do not reach completion within 24 hours, selectivity comparable to unsupported L-proline in organic solvents is achieved. However, in terms of activity, the nanogel system reached conversions comparable to unsupported catalysis in organic solvents using 5 to 10 times less catalyst (Tables 2 and 3), highlighting the efficiency of our hydrophobic L-proline functionalized system in

 Table 2
 Table showing conversion (%) in the aldol reaction after 24 hours catalyzed by the functionalized nanogels, at 1 mol% catalyst loading and room temperature in water.

		ogel <b>&gt;</b>	OH	NO <sub>2</sub>
DoF (%)/CLD (%)	0.5	2	5	10
2	73	69	75	49
5	32	25	33	33
9	28	28	31	44
15	18	40	51	37



**Fig. 2** Aldol reaction catalyzed by L-proline functionalized PMMA nanogels at 1 mol% catalyst loading and room temperature, in water, (A) CLD 0.5 wt% and DoF 2–15 wt%, (B) DoF 2 wt% and CLDs 0.5–10 wt%.

 Table 3
 Aldol reaction catalyzed by PMMA nanogels with 0.5 wt% CLD and DoFs of 2–15 wt%.

DoF/%	Conv. <sup>a</sup> /%	Anti/syn ratio <sup>a</sup>	ee <sup>b</sup> /%
0.5	28	98/2	97
2	73	97/3	99
5	32	98/2	89
9	28	99/1	86
15	18	95/5	81

<sup>*a*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by HPLC, Chiralpak IA, hexane/IPA (90/10), 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>.

water.<sup>31</sup> The smaller particle size does not seem to show the anticipated effect as comparable yields and selectivity were shown in Hansen *et al.*'s work with a larger and different (micron-sized) proline-bearing polymeric system with 2% CLD.<sup>28</sup>

#### Effect of CLD

Changes to the CLD in the 0.5–10 wt% range were found to have less of an effect on the catalyst activity than changes to the DoF (see Fig. 2B and S5<sup>†</sup>). The selectivity was also unaffected by changes to the CLD in this range (Table 4) and furthermore, leaving the reaction for an additional 2 days resulted in near completion for all systems. In order to investigate the CLD limit, nanogel particles with 25 wt% CLD were synthesized (DoF 2 wt%, 22 nm, PD 0.234). However, the catalyst activity

Table 4Activity and selectivity of the aldol reaction catalyzed by 1 mol% PMMAnanogels with 2 wt% DoF and a range of CLDs.

CLD/%	Conv. <sup>a</sup> /%	Anti/syn ratio <sup>a</sup>	ee <sup>b</sup> /%
0	41	98/2	94
0.5	73	97/3	99
2	69	95/5	98
5	75	97/3	86
10	49	96/4	95
25	31	97/3	95
50	14	95/5	95
$50^c$	<5	_	

<sup>*a*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by HPLC, Chiralpak IA, hexane/IPA (90/10), 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>*c*</sup> PMMA nanogel with P<sup>*t*</sup>BuMA shell.

was not completely shut down and the reaction at 1 mol% still reached 31% conversion after 24 hours. Further increasing the CLD to 50 wt% (DoF 2 wt%, 20 nm, PD 0.108) did cause the expected and dramatic drop in conversion to 14% after 24 hours. We hypothesized that, due to the somewhat hydrophilic nature of the catalyst, the particles remain active even at high CLD due to the presence of L-proline moieties close to the water interface of the nanogels. To test this rationale, a P<sup>t</sup>BuMA cross-linked shell was grown using the PMMA nanogels as seeds for the emulsion polymerization (CLD 50 wt%, DoF 2 wt%, 23 nm, PD 0.119, DLS in Fig. S6<sup>†</sup>), the idea being to render any surface catalyst moieties difficult for the reagents to access. As anticipated, the activity of the double-hydrophobic nanogel was almost eliminated and less than 5% conversion after 24 hours was observed. The influence of CLD was further emphasized when particles without cross-linking (CLD 0 wt%, DoF 2 wt%, 24 nm, PD 0.152) showed low catalytic efficiency (41% conversion) deviating from the observed trend. Although slightly difficult to rationalize, one possible explanation for this observation is that in absence of a cross-linker, the individual polymer chains are unable to form confined hydrophobic pockets that efficiently concentrate reagents and cannot allow such easy diffusion of reagents in and out from the catalytic moieties.

#### Effect of catalyst concentration/loading

To examine a series in which the number of reactors in the catalysis reactions remained constant (previously carried out at 1 mol% catalyst, leading to variations in the amount of polymeric material present), the same volume of nanogels (*i.e.* same amount of polymeric material, variable amounts of catalyst: 1-8.5 mol%) was used to catalyze the reaction (Table 5). The catalyst efficiency in this case is represented by turnover numbers (TON)<sup>32</sup> and once again results suggest that particles with low DoF catalyze the reaction more efficiently (2 wt% DoF is almost 4 times more efficient than 5 wt% DoF with same CLD, Fig. S7<sup>†</sup>). The importance of a confined space where the reagents are able to efficiently interact with the chiral catalyst in a chiral space has been studied by Raja et al.33 using inorganic supports. We rationalize that in our case, having a greater number of isolated catalytic sites within the particles allows for efficient formation of the undisrupted transition states responsible for the high activity and enantioselectivity observed in L-proline catalyzed reactions.34,35 Further lowering the catalyst DoF to 0.5 wt% (CLD 0.5 wt%, 25 nm, PD 0.091), and thus

 
 Table 5
 Table showing the efficiency of nanogel particles with the same amount of reagents catalyzed by same number of particles (different catalyst loading) with 0.5 wt% CLD, particles with PMMA core.

mol%	Conv. <sup>a</sup> /%	Anti/syn <sup>a</sup>	ee <sup>b</sup> /%	TON
1	73	97/3	99	73
3	51	97/3	88	17
5	57	97/3	81	11
8.5	53	98/2	67	6
	mol% 1 3 5 8.5	mol%         Conv. <sup>a</sup> /%           1         73           3         51           5         57           8.5         53	mol%         Conv. <sup>a</sup> /%         Anti/syn <sup>a</sup> 1         73         97/3           3         51         97/3           5         57         97/3           8.5         53         98/2	mol%Conv. $^a$ /%Anti/syn^a $ee^b$ /%17397/39935197/38855797/3818.55398/267

<sup>*a*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by HPLC, ChiralPak IA, hexane/IPA (90/10), 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>.

reducing the catalyst loading in the reaction to 0.15 mol% resulted in a great increase in TON to 187 (whilst maintaining excellent selectivity, anti/syn 98/2, ee 97%). This highlights the potential for effectively reducing the catalyst loading and maximizing product output in these nanogel systems. In addition, control experiments with unfunctionalized PMMA nanogels, which provided no conversion whatsoever, further highlighting the importance of having the catalyst tethered within the particles, bringing reaction components with different solubilities together within the same reaction sphere (Table S2 $\dagger$ ).

#### Effect of nanogel hydrophobicity

The results presented so far are interesting, as they show a dramatic decrease in enantioselectivity with increasing L-proline DoF (Table 5). We hypothesize that this effect is related to the reduced hydrophobicity of the core as well as the formation of less isolated catalytic sites.

To probe reaction dependence on nanogel core hydrophobicity, nanogels based on four more hydrophobic monomers were synthesized: ethyl, n-butyl, tert-butyl and lauryl methacrylate. Particle size of the new nanogels was found to be in a similar range to those previously reported for the PMMA nanogels (DLS, Fig. S8 and TEM, Fig. S9<sup>†</sup>) and analogous aldol reactions were carried out using the same number of nanogel particles (resulting in different catalyst loadings vide supra). The same trend in TON was observed for each, confirming the high efficiency of nanogel particles with lower catalyst DoF (Fig. S10<sup>†</sup>). However, a dramatic decrease in enantioselectivity with increasing DoF was not observed for any of these, more hydrophobic, nanogel systems (Table 6). We propose that the high enantioselectivity observed for more hydrophobic nanogels is a direct result of their ability to more efficiently sequester the reagents. The difference in core hydrophobicity was

Table 6Table showing the catalytic efficiency of nanogel particles with 0.5 wt%CLD, a range of DoF and different cores, catalysis carried out using the same number of particles.

Core	DoF/%	mol%	Conv. <sup>a</sup> /%	Anti/syn <sup>a</sup>	ee <sup>b</sup> /%	TON
EMA	2	1	99	99/1	99	99
	5	3	97	98/2	99	32
	9	5	95	98/2	99	19
	15	8.5	95	98/2	97	11
<sup>n</sup> BuMA	2	1	78	99/1	99	78
	5	3	63	99/1	99	21
	9	5	79	97/3	99	16
	15	8.5	78	98/2	99	9
<sup>t</sup> BuMA	2	1	79	97/3	93	79
	5	3	48	99/1	94	16
	9	5	44	99/1	95	9
	15	8.5	53	99/1	92	6
LMA	2	1	16	95/5	99	16
	5	3	19	96/4	98	6
	9	5	13	95/5	97	3
	15	8.5	14	98/2	89	2

<sup>*a*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by HPLC, ChiralPak IA, hexane/IPA (90/10), 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>.

investigated by relative uptake of the hydrophobic dye Nile Red (Fig. S11<sup>†</sup>) and the efficient uptake of the reaction reagents was also demonstrated by an increase in particle size upon redispersing the nanogels in cyclohexanone after removal of water (Fig. S12<sup>†</sup>). The considerably lower catalytic activity achieved by the lauryl methacrylate-based nanogels is most likely a result of greater steric hindrance. On the other hand, the greater catalytic efficiency observed for the ethyl methacrylate based system compared to both the <sup>n</sup>butyl and <sup>t</sup>butyl methacrylate systems is attributed to the hydrophobic-hydrophilic balance within the nanogel core (Fig. S13<sup>+</sup>). Although at low DoF the activity and selectivity seem unaffected by the slight difference in steric bulk introduced by <sup>t</sup>butyl compared to <sup>n</sup>butyl side groups, at higher DoF a greater difference in activity is observed. These results suggest that the overall core hydrophobicity is an important factor in achieving high stereoselectivity in L-proline catalyzed aldol reactions.

## Conclusions

In summary, the synthesis of a range of hydrophobic nanogels with embedded L-proline catalytic functionality via emulsion polymerization is reported. The catalytic efficiency with respect to modifications to cross-linking density and degree of catalyst functionalization is demonstrated. The importance of local core environment design is also highlighted by the enantioselectivity dependence on degree of functionalization and core hydrophobicity as shown by the use of different monomers. PMMA, being the least hydrophobic in the range, showed a decrease in enantioselectivity with increasing L-proline content and hence a proposed increase in water content within the core. However, the same effect was not observed when using more hydrophobic nanogels. The ability to completely shut down the catalytic activity via the preparation of doublehydrophobic core-shell nanogels was also demonstrated. Successful organocatalytic reactions were reported at loadings as low as 0.15 mol%, highlighting the efficiency of the functionalized nanogel system to catalyze organic reactions in water.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support from the EPSRC, Homerton College and the Universities of Warwick and Cambridge are gratefully acknowledged. Some of the equipment used in this research was obtained through Birmingham Science City with support from Advantage West Midlands and part funded by the European Regional Development Fund.

# Notes and references

- 1 B. List, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 5573.
- 2 K. Sakthivel, W. Notz, T. Bui and C. F. Barbas III, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 5260.
- 3 A. B. Northrup and D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 6798.

- 4 Z. Tang, F. Jiang, L.-T. Yu, X. Cui, L.-Z. Gong, A.-Q. Mi, Y.-Z. Jiang and Y.-D. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 5262.
- 5 W. Notz, F. Tanaka and C. F. Barbas III, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, 37, 580.
- 6 P. M. Pihko, K. M. Laurikainen, A. Usano, A. I. Nyberg and J. A. Kaavi, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 317.
- 7 H. Torii, M. Nakadai, K. Ishihara, S. Saito and H. Yamamoto, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 1983.
- 8 S. Aratake, T. Itoh, T. Okano, N. Nagae, T. Sumiya, M. Shoji and Y. Hayashi, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 10246.
- 9 M. Gruttadauria, F. Giacalone, A. Mossuto Marculescu, P. Lo Meo, S. Riela and R. Noto, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 4688.
- 10 N. Mase, Y. Nakai, N. Ohara, H. Yoda, K. Takabe, F. Tanaka and C. F. Barbas III, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **128**, 734.
- 11 Z. Ge, D. Xie, D. Chen, X. Jiang, Y. Zhang, H. Liu and S. Liu, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 3538.
- 12 B. Helms, C. O. Liang, C. J. Hawker and J. M. J. Fréchet, *Macromolecules*, 2005, **38**, 5411.
- 13 R. K. O'Reilly, C. J. Hawker and K. L. Wooley, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 1068.
- 14 P. Cotanda, A. Lu, J. P. Patterson, N. Petzetakis and R. K. O'Reilly, *Macromolecules*, 2012, 45, 2377.
- 15 A. Lu, P. Cotanda, J. P. Patterson, D. A. Longbottom and R. K. O'Reilly, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 9699.
- 16 B. R. Saunders, H. M. Crowther and B. Vincent, *Macromolecules*, 1997, **30**, 482.
- 17 B. Szabo, in *Polymer Dispersions and Their Industrial Applications*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2003, p. 103.
- 18 B. Richey and M. Burch, in *Polymer Dispersions and Their Industrial Applications*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2003, p. 123.
- 19 S. Grandhee, in *Polymer Dispersions and Their Industrial Applications*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2003, p. 163.
- 20 A. Pich, S. Bhattacharya, Y. Lu, V. Boyko and H.-J. P. Adler, *Langmuir*, 2004, **20**, 10706.

- E. Pavlopoulou, G. Portale, K. E. Christodoulakis, M. Vamvakaki, W. Bras and S. H. Anastasiadis, *Macromolecules*, 2010, 43, 9828.
- 22 G. M. Eichenbaum, P. F. Kiser, A. V. Dobrynin, S. A. Simon and D. Needham, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 4867.
- 23 X. Qiu, S. Leporatti, E. Donath and H. Möhwald, *Langmuir*, 2001, **17**, 5375.
- 24 K. Ogawa, B. Wang and E. Kokufuta, *Langmuir*, 2001, 17, 4704.
- 25 H. Siddique, L. G. Peeva, K. Stoikos, G. Pasparakis, M. Vamvakaki and A. G. Livingston, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, DOI: 10.1021/ie202999b.
- 26 J.-Y. Kim, J.-Y. Song, E.-J. Lee and S.-K. Park, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2003, **281**, 614.
- 27 D. C. González-Toro, J.-H. Ryu, R. T. Chacko, J. Zhuang and S. Thayumanavan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6964.
- 28 T. E. Kristensen, K. Vestli, K. A. Fredriksen, F. K. Hansen and T. Hansen, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 2968.
- 29 V. Rodionov, H. Gao, S. Scroggins, D. A. Unruh, A.-J. Avestro and J. M. J. Fréchet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2570.
- 30 Although ProMA is a water soluble monomer it becomes water insoluble upon polymerization. In the system presented here, the ProMA undergoes precipitation polymerization and the water-insoluble oligomers then participate in the emulsion polymerization similarly to PMMA.
- 31 A. Lu, T. P. Smart, T. H. Epps III, D. A. Longbottom and R. K. O'Reilly, *Macromolecules*, 2011, 44, 7233.
- 32 Turnover number (TON) refers to the number of moles of substrates that one mole of catalyst can convert to product in a given time. In this case, it was determined for each nanogel system after 24 hours.
- 33 J. Dzierzak, E. Bottinelli, G. Berlier, E. Gianotti, E. Stulz, R. M. Kowalczyk and R. Raja, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 2805.
- 34 E. R. Jarvo and S. J. Miller, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 2481.
- 35 B. List, L. Hoang and H. J. Martin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2004, 101, 5839.