


Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

www.rsc.org/obc

Volume 11 | Number 16 | 28 April 2013 | Pages 2545–2730



ISSN 1477-0520

RSC Publishing

PAPER

Francisco Santoyo-Gonzalez *et al.*

Vinyl sulfone-based ferrocenylation reagents: applications in conjugation and bioconjugation

Vinyl sulfone-based ferrocenylation reagents: applications in conjugation and bioconjugation†

Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 2586

Alicia Megia-Fernandez, Fernando Hernandez-Mateo and Francisco Santoyo-Gonzalez*

Received 13th November 2012,
Accepted 29th January 2013

DOI: 10.1039/c3ob27209e

www.rsc.org/obc

The easy vinyl sulfone derivatization of ferrocene allows the preparation of some effective, versatile and valuable ferrocenylation reagents. The applicability of such compounds in conjugation and bioconjugation of amine and/or thiol containing molecules and biomolecules through Michael-type addition under mild conditions that preserve the biological function of the latter is described. The feasibility of the methodology is demonstrated by the preparation of a variety of conjugates and bioconjugates (ferrocenyl terminated dendrimers and ferrocene–sugar, ferrocene–cyclodextrin, ferrocene–peptide and ferrocene–protein conjugates).

Introduction

Ferrocene (Fc) conjugation and bioconjugation¹ has raised considerable interest in many fields owing to the outstanding properties of this prototypic metallocene compound. The well-established and rich chemistry of this sandwich structure allows rapid and easy access to a large number of reagents² that have great possibilities in terms of organometallic functionalization and molecular engineering. In fact, Fc can be considered nowadays to be one of the most important structural motifs with applications in organometallic and bioorganometallic chemistry,³ electrochemistry,⁴ asymmetric catalysis,⁵ materials science,^{1,6} preparation of functional biomaterials¹ and biomedical sciences.⁷ The academic milieu and also numerous industries (petroleum, plastics, textiles and metallurgy) have benefited from the outstanding characteristics of Fc. In addition to its unique structure, Fc has a panoply of ideal properties such as low price, stability under thermal, aqueous and aerobic conditions, together with a small size and a relative lipophilicity. Among these properties, the favorable electrochemical behavior of Fc is perhaps the most valuable and exploited characteristic that has been widely used in the design and construction of Fc-based systems such as redox molecular switches and sensors. These organometallic

compounds have emerged as one of the most important classes of materials for the direct observation of molecular interactions and also as electron mediators, on the basis of their electrochemical detection capabilities.⁸ Particularly, ferrocenylated peptides and proteins are nowadays the most important ferrocenylated biomolecules with great significance in biological as well as non-biological systems. Among the uses and applications they have found, anticancer activity and cytotoxicity, antimalarial activity, antimycobacterial activity, antiproliferative activity, bacterial infection detector, detection of metal ions, drug carriers, nanobiosensors, nuclease activity, plasmin activity, proteolytic activity, antiviral potency for HIV-1, DNA detection, electrochemical assay of antigens, detection of proteins, synthesis of new water-soluble electrochemically active probes for biomolecules, detection of single-nucleotide polymorphisms, biochip device, DNA cleavage and gene detection have been reported.^{9,10}

In Fc-conjugates and bioconjugates, the Fc moiety present plays a variety of roles: molecular scaffold, sensitive probe, chromophore, biological marker, redox-active site, catalytic active site and others. To attain the goal of chemical incorporation of Fc into such systems, a large variety of mono- and disubstituted ferrocenyl derivatizing agents have been developed to perform their covalent conjugation by exploiting their reactivity with different functional groups.² The majority of ferrocenylation reagents are applied to the conjugation to amino functionalities present in small organic molecules, amino acids, peptides or proteins, which correlates well with the strong demand for powerful analytical methods for the latter biomolecules.⁹ Traditional amide coupling is the most commonly used strategy for attaching Fc derivatives to amino functionalities either by means of Fc-carboxylic acid derivatives,

Departamento de Q. Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencia, Instituto de Biotecnología, Universidad de Granada, Granada, 18071 Spain. E-mail: fsantoyo@ugr.es; Fax: (+34)-958243186; Tel: (+34)-95824808

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Voltammograms and diffusion coefficient D_0 (plot of I_{pa} vs. $v^{1/2}$) of different compounds and NMR spectra for new compounds (3–5, 7–11, 15–20 and 23–26). See DOI: 10.1039/c3ob27209e




with the assistance of coupling reagents, or by using activated Fc-carboxylic derivatives (chlorides, activated esters, and anhydrides).^{2,7b,9,10} In addition, Schiff base chemistry and Fc-derivatives bearing aldehyde,¹¹ isothiocyanate^{2,9} or carboxymide groups¹² have also been exploited to generate amino linked Fc-conjugates.

Iodoacetamide¹³ and maleimide derivatized ferrocenes¹⁴ have also been successfully coupled with compounds containing thiol groups, a function that is particularly important for its presence in cysteine residues, one of the most convenient targets for selective modification of proteins owing to the low natural abundance of this proteogenic amino acid. In addition, the alkylthiolation of glycosyl thiols with ferrocene-methanol derivatives has been described as an efficient methodology for the preparation of Fc-carbohydrate conjugates.¹⁵ Other functional groups (alcohols, diols, carboxylic acids, alkenes, dienes and imino groups) have been also used for the construction of Fc-conjugates and bioconjugates through well-established synthetic methodologies based on Fc reagents bearing reactive functionalities complementary to those of the target compounds. Furthermore, ferrocenylation has also benefited from the advances made in some contemporary organic reactions and methodologies, such as the Sonogashira and the Suzuki coupling and, particularly, click-chemistry.¹⁶

In this context, the excellent capability of vinyl sulfones (VS) to act as Michael acceptors toward amine and thiol groups has been used but not fully exploited up to the present in conjugation and bioconjugation, despite the stability and easy accessibility of this functional group by a broad variety of traditional synthetic methods and other contemporary reactions.¹⁷ In fact, VS are productive and widely used intermediates in organic synthesis that also have remarkable biomedical significance¹⁸ and have found applications in modern proteomics, particularly in the development of labeling and immobilization techniques.¹⁹ In addition, VS have also been used in the conjugation of proteins with other biomolecules to yield post-translational modifications.¹⁹ Attractive characteristics offered by the methodology based on the conjugate addition of nucleophiles to VS are the water stability of this function for extended periods, particularly at neutral pH where they are resistant to hydrolysis, the lack of by-products, the lack of need for organometallic catalysts, and the stability of the formed linkages.¹⁹ These remarkable characteristics are especially valuable to guarantee the integrity and biological functionality of labile biomolecules.

In some recent contributions, we have demonstrated the feasibility of VS in bioconjugation of proteins to tackle their fluorescent labeling, biotinylation and glycosylation, and also in the immobilization of proteins and carbohydrates to solid supports.²⁰ In a proof of concept we have pointed out the synthetic possibilities of the VS function in ferrocenylation of proteins.^{20a} Considering the wide potential of VS, we report herein the validity of the vinyl sulfone-based ferrocenylation as a general methodology for conjugation and bioconjugation of amine and/or thiol containing molecules and biomolecules.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of vinyl sulfone ferrocenylation reagents.

Results and discussion

1. Synthesis of vinyl sulfone ferrocenylation reagents (Fc-VS)


In order to prove the possibilities of the VS function in the development of a general ferrocenylation methodology for the preparation of Fc-conjugates and bioconjugates, the vinyl sulfone derivatized ferrocenes (Fc-VS) {[2 (ferrocenylmethoxy)ethyl]sulfonyl}ethene **2** (Fc-O-VS)^{20a} and ferrocenylmethyl-2-[2-(vinylsulfonyl)ethoxy]ethylsulfane **5** (Fc-SO-VS) were envisioned as valuable reagents (Scheme 1).

Both compounds are easily accessible from commercially available ferrocene methanol **1** and the rationale behind its design is the introduction of structural variability in the connecting tether between the cyclopentadienyl core and the VS group. In this way, the influence of this parameter on the electrochemical properties of the ferrocenylation reagents and, as a consequence, on the resulting ferrocenylated conjugates could be studied. As reported, Fc-O-VS **2**^{20a} is easily prepared by reaction of **1** with a two-fold molar excess of divinyl sulfone (DVS). By contrast, synthesis of the homologous compound **5** (Fc-SO-VS) is performed in a two-step strategy based on the preparation of the Fc sulfur **3** as a hydroxylated intermediate. Alkylthiolation of **1** with mercaptoethanol in acidic media of trifluoroacetic acid allows easy formation of sulfur derivative **3** that is obtained together with the corresponding acylated compound **4** as a minor product. VS derivatization of **3** was then performed in a similar way to that implemented for accessing compound **2**, *i.e.* reaction with excess of DVS in basic media.

2. Synthesis of ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimers

The capabilities of the new ferrocenylation reagents for conjugation were first tested in the preparation of ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimers.²¹ These compounds are one of the early examples of metallodendrimers,²² a class of well-organized macromolecules that find multifaceted applications in the fields of molecular electronics^{21b} and encapsulation, and also as sensors,²³ reusable catalysts²⁴ and drug carriers to targeted sites. On the basis of the interest of these dendrimers, TREN (tris(2-aminoethyl) amine) **6** was chosen as a model





Scheme 2 Synthesis of ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimers.

compound to act as the core for the construction of first generation TREN-based dendrimers functionalized in the boundary with Fc units. Michael-type addition of Fc-VS **2** or **5** to the primary amino groups present in **6** allowed the easy and effective coupling of both compounds. The corresponding Fc-dendrimers **7** and **8** comprising three Fc derivatized branches departing from a central tertiary amine unit were obtained under mild conditions (rt and 18 h) (Scheme 2). Considering that after the conjugation the primary amine groups are transformed into secondary ones, which are also susceptible to react with VS, the reactions were next performed under reflux in the presence of an excess of **2** or **5** using an extended reaction time (72 h) to ensure the derivatization of the less reactive secondary amine functions. Under these conditions a compound containing five Fc moieties per molecule was isolated in high yields as the only detectable reaction product being identified as the Fc-dendrimer **9**, as deduced by its spectroscopic data. Attempts to fully functionalize the remaining amino group by using longer reaction times under identical reaction conditions were unsuccessful, a fact that can be attributed to steric hindrance reasons. However, the formation of the *N*-acetylated derivative **10** in almost quantitative yield was possible. The isolation of this compound further confirms chemically the structure of the Fc-dendrimer **9** and also suggests the potential of the buried amino group of this multivalent ferrocenyl compound in coupling strategies directed towards its covalent linkage. In particular, azide derivatization of **9** by a classical two step one-pot procedure through its acylation with chloroacetic anhydride followed by treatment with sodium azide was easily performed. In this way, the azide Fc-dendrimer **11** was obtained in high yields, a transformation that endows click capabilities to those dendrimers to be exploited in any click-chemistry scenario.

3. Synthesis of Fc-sugar and Fc-cyclodextrin conjugates


The evaluation of the vinyl sulfone-derivatization of Fc in bioconjugation was next undertaken. Amine-containing carbohydrates were first chosen for this aim considering that these are one of the most ubiquitous biomolecules. Decoration of Fc with sugar motifs has been widely used mainly with the purpose of conferring water solubility and biocompatibility on

the resulting ferrocenyl carbohydrate hybrids. These principles are the basis of the panoply of applications that those compounds have found. Thus, Fc-sugar conjugates are attractive chiral auxiliaries for asymmetric synthesis owing to the multiple stereocenters present on the carbohydrate units.²⁵ In addition, these conjugates have also been studied for their biological applications in the development of biosensors,²⁶ for the electrochemical detection of carbohydrate-protein²⁷ and carbohydrate-carbohydrate interactions,²⁸ in the search for bacterial adhesion inhibitors²⁹ and to explore their medicinal applications as therapeutics for iron-deficiency disorders³⁰ and as antimalarial³¹ and anticancer agents.³² Conjugation between the carbohydrate and the ferrocene moieties has been usually performed by means of the different synthetic methodologies mentioned above, with a particular predominance of *O*, *S* and *N*-acylation reactions, giving rise to functionalized derivatives in which the Fc unit is mainly attached covalently to the anomeric position but also to other positions of the carbohydrate ring.

With this background, the 2-aminoethyl-*O*-glycosides derived from the monosaccharide mannose **12**³³ and the disaccharide lactose **13**³⁴ were selected as model carbohydrates and coupled with the VS derivatized reagents **2** and **5**. The reactions were performed again under mild conditions using pure or aqueous methanol as a solvent leading to the expected Fc-sugar conjugates **15–18** in a simple manner and with good to high yields (Scheme 3).

In order to expand the good results obtained in the preparation of Fc-sugars, the ferrocenylation of cyclodextrins (CD) was next investigated taking into account both the oligosaccharidic nature and the importance of these cyclic compounds. CDs have been widely explored for their host-guest supramolecular encapsulation and carrier capabilities as well as for their scaffold role in the preparation of multivalent systems and artificial enzymes, among other applications. In particular, Fc-CD conjugates have been already prepared with sensing purposes in the construction of redox switches and sensors for organic guests in aqueous solution,^{35,36} artificial redox enzymes,³⁷ mediators of enzyme-based biosensors³⁸ and redox-controllable amphiphilic molecules.³⁹ With this perspective, the easily accessible mono-6-amino-6-deoxy- β -CD **14**⁴⁰ was





Scheme 3 Synthesis of Fc-sugar and Fc-cyclodextrin conjugates.

conjugated with the VS ferrocenylation reagents **2** and **5** using aqueous DMF as a solvent to facilitate the solubilization of the reagents and that of the resulting Fc-CD conjugates **19** and **20**. In these reactions, the protocol of the reaction was slightly modified in order to simplify the isolation and purification procedure by the addition of aminopropyl silica⁴¹ to exploit the scavenger capabilities of this hybrid material to remove the excess of the VS reagents.

4. Synthesis of Fc-peptide and Fc-protein conjugates

The ferrocenylation of peptides was next investigated. In the current state of the art a wide variety of Fc-peptide hybrids having different architectures have been reported. These compounds are prepared with two main purposes: (i) the construction of chemical models of protein with secondary structures highly ordered where the Fc serves as a reliable organometallic scaffold and (ii) the preparation of platforms for the design of chemical and biological sensors where the peptide component serves as the recognition element whereas the Fc group acts as the redox probe.^{9,10b,c,42}


The intracellular tripeptide glutathione (GSH), composed of glutamine, cysteine and glycine, is an especially important compound owing to the role it plays in biological reactions. Thus, this compound is involved in the reduction of the disulfide bonds formed within cytoplasmic proteins to cysteines, a process in which GSH is converted into its oxidized form glutathione disulfide (GSSG).⁴³ The labelling of GSH with Fc has been, up to the present, reported in a limited number of contributions^{13,44} both at the C-terminal, in order to keep the sulfhydryl group in the reduced state,^{44a,b} and at the sulfhydryl group of the cysteine residue, by means of Fc-based selective labels.^{13,44c-f,45} Considering the simultaneous presence in GSH of two different heteroatomic nucleophiles (the thiol and the primary amino group), it was thought that this tripeptide is

an ideal prototype to test the selective ferrocenylation by the Fc-VS reagents **2** and **5**. On the basis of the different nucleophilicity of the thiol and amino group, it is generally assumed that VS are selective in the reactions with thiol groups relative to amino groups, provided that the reaction is not carried out at alkaline pH. This principle has been experimentally corroborated and is the rationale behind numerous chemoselective modifications of cysteine-containing peptides by vinyl sulfones.¹⁹

However, given the multifunctional character and complexity of proteins, the preference of VS for thiol groups should be considered with precaution, as recent findings have demonstrated.¹⁹ When **GSH 21** was reacted with **2** and **5** in the presence of NaBH₄ in order to prevent its oxidation to GSSG, almost quantitative Fc labelling of GSH was observed and isolation of the corresponding structurally pure compounds **23** and **24** was performed. In these derivatives the Fc moiety is attached exclusively to GSH through the sulfur atom of the cysteine residue, but a participation of the primary amino group of the glutamic residue was not observed (Scheme 4). This finding is in accordance with the reported chemoselective conjugation capabilities of VS towards the β -sulfhydryl group of cysteine that were mentioned above. In spite of this result, the aza Michael-based Fc derivatization by means of reagents **2** and **5** was next assayed in **GSSG 22**, taking advantage of the masking of the thiol group through the formation of a disulfide bridge. In this case, the conjugation of those reagents was also highly efficient and the N-linked Fc derivatives **25** and **26** were obtained (Scheme 4). This result proves the capabilities of the vinyl sulfone Fc reagents in the conjugation of amine-containing amino acids.

Having studied the conjugation of thiol and amine-containing peptides, we next addressed the Fc bioconjugation of proteins. The covalent attachment of Fc to proteins is a





Scheme 4 Synthesis of Fc-GSH and Fc-GSSG conjugates.

Table 1 Extent of bioconjugation of BSA by Fc-VS reagents

Conjugate	Fc-VS : BSA (mol)	Fc/BSA (mol) (Experimental)
27 (Fc-O-BSA) ^{20a}	10 : 1	9.28
	50 : 1	25.03
	100 : 1	28.75
28 (Fc-SO-BSA)	10 : 1	7.02
	50 : 1	13.20
	100 : 1	17.00


well-established post-translational modification of interest in chemical biology owing to the very well behaved redox chemistry of Fc that allows multiple applications particularly in the field of biological sensing.^{3,46} Among other archetypal proteins, bovine serum albumin (BSA) has been the object of ferrocenylation in different studies by means fundamentally of a variety of N-labelling reagents that exploit the high ratio of nucleophilic lysine residues present in this commercial protein.^{45,47} As indicated, we have recently communicated the ability of diverse proteins, including BSA, to react *via* the amine groups of the Lys and His residues with VS derivatized biological, functional and bifunctional tags including the vinyl sulfone Fc **2**.^{20a} The reported results indicate the validity of the VS-based labelling of proteins in mild conditions that preserve their biological functionality, conjugations that take place regardless of the isoelectric point, the number of potential nucleophiles, or the presence of free Cys residues in those biomolecules.

On the basis of the preliminary results on the ferrocenylation of BSA with **2**, we now extended the bioconjugation studies to the reaction of BSA with **5** in order to study the plausible influence of the differences in the chemical structure of the connecting tether. In addition, the reaction was

performed by using different Fc-VS : BSA stoichiometric ratios to also determine the effect of this parameter on the extent of labelling (Table 1). The reactions were performed by directly mixing the reagents in aqueous DMSO at room temperature, pH 8.5 and for reaction times of 24 h (Scheme 5). The Fc : BSA ratio of the resulting conjugates **27** (Fc-O-BSA)^{20a} and **28** (Fc-SO-BSA) was determined through the evaluation of the BSA content using the Bradford method⁴⁸ and simultaneously the Fe content by spectrophotometry using the method reported by Badia *et al.*^{47f}

The results showed that BSA is labeled very efficiently in all cases as demonstrated by the fact that an Fc-VS : BSA ratio as unfavourable as 10 : 1 yielded between 7 and 9 labels per molecule of BSA. In addition, it was also observed that the extent of labelling concomitantly increased as the Fc-VS : BSA ratio increased to reach a maximum that corresponded to less than 30 labels in the case of the Fc-BSA conjugate **27**. However, a comparative analysis showed paradoxically that the ferrocenylation reagent **2** is more efficient than its homologous **5** in spite of the higher length of the connecting tether of this last compound that could be anticipated as a factor that should facilitate the accessibility to the reactive functional groups of BSA. This result can be tentatively attributed to the observed lower solubility in the reaction media of **5** with respect to that of **2**. The resulting labeled proteins were analysed by MALDI-TOF (Fig. 1), which confirmed that the reaction takes place, and that variations of stoichiometry exert a clear direct effect on the number of labels coupled to BSA. Finally, a closer analysis of the overall results based on the existence in BSA of a single free Cys and 77 reactive groups demonstrates the good reactivity of amine groups present in proteins towards vinyl sulfones, and the existence of reactive groups that do not react, in accordance with our previous results.





Scheme 5 Synthesis of Fc-BSA conjugates.


Fig. 1 MALDI spectrum of Fc-BSA conjugates **27** (left) and **28** (right). (A) BSA; (B) Fc-VS : BSA 10 : 1; (C) Fc-VS : BSA 50 : 1; (D) Fc-VS : BSA 100 : 1.

Table 2 Electrochemical parameters obtained by CV from Fc-conjugates and Fc-bioconjugates in H₂O or CH₃CN (0.2 mM solutions) with NaClO₄ (50 mM) at 25 °C

Compound	$E_{1/2}$ (V)		$D_0 \times 10^6$ (cm ² s ⁻¹)	
	H ₂ O	CH ₃ CN	H ₂ O	CH ₃ CN
1	0.222	0.376	11.7	27.0
2	0.253	0.411	8.6	6.6
5	0.232	0.395	6.0	7.2
7		0.421		6.0
8		0.403		9.4
15	0.262	0.416	7.5	30.3
16	0.246	0.404	3.7	7.7
17	0.262	0.410	4.5	18.3
18	0.236	0.399	5.2	26.4
19	0.368		1.2	
20	0.390		1.0	
23	0.249		4.7	
24	0.218		5.8	
25	0.251		7.1	

5. Electrochemical behaviour of ferrocene conjugates and bioconjugates

The electrochemical properties of Fc conjugates and bioconjugates 7–8, 15–20 and 23–26 were studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV), the experiments being carried out in water and/or acetonitrile solutions depending on their solubility. Thus, the cyclic voltammograms showed reversible redox couples of ferrocene/ferrocenium (see ESI, Fig. S1–S5†). The associated values of the half-wave potential ($E_{1/2}$) for the oxidation of the Fc appendages and the diffusion coefficient (D_0) are collected in

Table 2. From these data two primary conclusions can be extracted. First, the $E_{1/2}$ value of the Fc moiety is dependent on the nature of the tether decreasing on moving from the conjugates derived from **2** (conjugates **7**, **15**, **17**, **19**, **23** and **25**) to those obtained in the coupling with **5** (conjugates **8**, **16**, **18**, **20** and **24**), in concordance with the higher electron-withdrawing nature of the oxygenated tether of the former with respect to the sulfur tether of the latter.^{35a} Second, the $E_{1/2}$ value is also determined by the solvent in such a way that superior values are observed when the less polar acetonitrile is used instead of water, an effect that can be attributed to the higher solvation of the ferrocenium ion in aqueous solution that facilitates oxidation of the iron atom.

On the other hand, it is also remarkable that the ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimers **7** and **8** show a unique wave in their voltammograms. This result indicates that all the Fc moieties present have identical half-wave oxidation potential and, therefore, the absence of any interaction between the different metal centers. In addition, for the ferrocene–sugar conjugates the carbohydrate moiety barely influences the $E_{1/2}$, since no significant differences are observed for conjugates with the same tether and different carbohydrates (mannose and lactose). However, in the particular case of the Fc-cyclodextrin conjugates **19** and **20** the CV curve shows a positive shift in the redox potential that can be attributed to the inclusion of the ferrocene in the CD cavity and to a decomplexation process prior to the oxidation of the ferrocene, in concordance with an identical behaviour observed in similar conjugates.^{35a}



The D_0 values listed in Table 2 are consistent with the degree of substitution of Fc. According to the Stokes–Einstein equation, the D_0 parameter is inversely proportional to the hydrodynamic radius of the molecule. Therefore, a higher molecular mass of the glycoconjugate is expected to diffuse more slowly. In accordance with that, cyclodextrin conjugates **19** and **20** show the lowest D_0 values.

Conclusions

In summary, the reported results demonstrate that the vinyl sulfone functionalization of ferrocene derivatives allows the implementation of a general strategy to easily perform the ferrocenylation of amine and thiol containing molecules and biomolecules through their effective Michael-type addition. The preparation of the vinyl sulfone-based ferrocene reagents is straightforward. The mild conditions required are a remarkable characteristic particularly in the case of applications in bioconjugation as they preserve the biological functionalities of the labeled biomolecules. The developed methodology expands the repertoire of protocols available in conjugation and bioconjugation for the efficient electroactive labeling with Fc.

Experimental

General methods

Unless otherwise noted, commercially available reagents and solvents were used as purchased without further purification. TLCs were performed on Silica Gel 60 F₂₅₄ aluminium sheets. Reagents used for developing plates include ethanolic sulfuric acid (10% w/v), potassium permanganate (1% w/v) and UV light is used where applicable. Flash column chromatography was performed on Silica Gel (230–400 mesh, ASTM). Melting points are uncorrected. FAB mass spectra were recorded using *m*-nitrobenzyl alcohol or thioglycerol as a matrix. MALDI-TOF and MALDI mass spectra were recorded using HCCA as a matrix. Ferrocenyl(2-methoxyethyl)sulfonyl ethene **2** (Fc-O-VS) was prepared following the procedure reported in the literature.^{20a}

Synthesis of vinyl sulfone ferrocenylation reagent **5**

Synthesis of ferrocenylmethyl 2-hydroxyethylsulfide (3) and ferrocenylmethyl 2-(trifluoroacetoxy)ethyl sulfide (4). Mercaptoethanol (0.16 mL, 2.34 mmol) and CF₃COOH (0.49 mL, 6.13 mmol) were added to a solution of **1** (460 mg, 2.13 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL). After 30 min at rt, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product purified by column chromatography (EtOAc–hexane 1 : 5 to 1 : 2). Eluted first was compound **4** (71 mg, 9%) as a yellow syrup: $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3093, 2923, 1785, 1221, 1151 and 821; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 4.40 (t, 2H, J = 6.9 Hz), 4.20–4.15 (m, 9H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.76 (t, 2H, J = 6.9 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 158.3, 157.7, 157.2, 156.6, 120.3,

116.6, 112.8, 109.0, 84.8, 69.3, 69.2, 68.8, 67.1, 32.4, 29.4. Eluted second was compound **3** (482 mg, 82%) as a yellow solid: $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3421, 3093, 2921, 1703, 1410, 1041 and 819; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 4.18–4.14 (m, 9H), 3.66 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 3.52 (s, 2H), 2.66 (t, 2H, J = 5.9 Hz), 2.22 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 84.9, 68.8, 68.7, 68.2, 60.4, 35.0, 31.5. HRMS m/z (FAB⁺): calcd for C₁₃H₁₆OSFe [M]⁺ 276.0272, found 276.0271.

Synthesis of ferrocenylmethyl{2-[2-(vinylsulfonyl)ethoxy]ethyl}-sulfane **5** (Fc-SO-VS)

t-BuOK (20 mg, 0.18 mmol) and DVS (0.27 mL, 2.71 mmol) were added to a solution of **3** (500 mg, 1.81 mmol) in THF (20 mL). After 1 h at rt, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product purified by column chromatography (EtOAc–hexane 1 : 7 to 1 : 1) giving compound **5** (600 mg, 84%) as a yellow syrup: $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 2921, 1639, 1314, 1126, 820 and 754; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 6.78 (dd, 1H, J = 16.0, 10.0 Hz), 6.39 (d, 1H, J = 16.4 Hz), 6.07 (d, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 4.24–4.13 (m, 9H), 3.84 (t, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz), 3.55 (t, 2H, J = 6.5 Hz), 3.52 (s, 2H), 3.22 (t, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz), 2.65 (t, 2H, J = 6.5 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 138.0, 128.9, 85.3, 70.6, 69.2, 69.0, 68.5, 64.5, 55.1, 32.4, 31.2; HRMS m/z (FAB⁺): calcd for C₁₇H₂₂O₃S₂Fe [M]⁺ 394.0357, found 394.0360.

Synthesis of ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimers

Synthesis of ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimers 7–8. Tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (TREN, **6**, 47 μ L, 0.3 mmol) was added to a solution of **2**^{20a} or **5** (0.99 mmol) in *i*-PrOH–THF (2 : 1, 20 mL). The reaction mixture was magnetically stirred at rt for 18 h. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded a crude product that was purified by column chromatography giving the ferrocene–dendrimers conjugates **7–8**.

Compound 7: Column chromatography (MeOH–CH₂Cl₂ 1 : 20 to 1 : 10) gave **7** (330 mg, 96%) as an orange solid: $\nu_{\max}(\text{KBr})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3420, 3096, 2922, 2852, 1638, 1463, 1312, 1124, 820 and 732; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 4.33 (s, 6H), 4.22 (t, 6H, J = 1.6 Hz), 4.16 (t, 6H, J = 1.6 Hz), 4.13 (s, 15H), 3.83 (t, 6H, J = 5.4 Hz), 3.34 (t, 6H, J = 6.1 Hz), 3.27 (t, 6H, J = 5.4 Hz), 3.12 (t, 6H, J = 6.2 Hz), 2.86 (brs, 3H), 2.64 (t, 6H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.54 (t, 6H, J = 5.1 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 82.5, 70.0, 69.8, 69.0, 68.8, 63.5, 54.6, 54.0, 54.0, 47.2, 42.6; HRMS m/z (MALDI): calcd for C₅₁H₇₃N₄O₉S₃Fe₃ [M]⁺ 1149.2582, found 1149.2583.

Compound 8: Column chromatography (MeOH–CH₂Cl₂ 1 : 10) gave **8** (291 mg, 73%) as an orange syrup: $\nu_{\max}(\text{KBr})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3584, 3317, 3090, 2920, 2855, 1638, 1464, 1311, 1285, 1123, 1103, 820 and 734; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 4.18–4.12 (m, 27 H), 3.85 (t, 6 H, J = 5.4 Hz), 3.57 (t, 6H, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.55 (s, 6H), 3.44–3.40 (m, 6H), 3.34 (t, 6H, J = 5.4 Hz), 3.18 (t, 6H, J = 5.8 Hz), 2.74–2.70 (m, 6H), 2.66 (t, 6H, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.63–2.59 (m, 6H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 85.0, 70.4, 68.9, 68.8, 68.2, 64.4, 54.4, 53.9, 53.9, 47.1, 42.5, 32.3, 31.3; HRMS m/z (MALDI): calcd for C₅₇H₈₅N₄O₉S₆Fe₃ [M]⁺ 1329.2679, found 1329.2684.



Synthesis of ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimers 9. Tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (TREN, **6**, 22 μ L, 0.14 mmol) was added to a solution of **2**^{20a} (334 mg, 1.0 mmol) in *t*-BuOH-CH₃CN (2 : 1, 20 mL). The reaction mixture was magnetically stirred under reflux for 72 h. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded a crude product that was purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂ to CH₂Cl₂-MeOH 20 : 1) giving the ferrocene-dendrimer conjugate **9** (221 mg, 88%) as a syrup: $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3091, 2954, 2919, 2851, 1461, 1287, 1098, 820 and 734; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 4.33 (s, 10H), 4.25–4.10 (m, 45H), 3.81 (t, 10H, *J* = 5.3 Hz), 3.30–3.10 (m, 22H), 2.87 (t, 8H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.59 (brs, 2H), 2.44 (s, 10H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 82.4, 70.0, 69.9, 69.0, 68.8, 63.5, 54.7, 52.8, 51.9, 51.8, 46.9; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₈₁H₁₀₈N₄O₁₅S₅Fe₅Na [M + Na]⁺ 1839.3043, found 1839.3054.

Synthesis of N-acetyl-ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimer 10. Ac₂O (1 mL) was added to a cooled solution (ice-bath) of **9** (50 mg, 0.028 mmol) in pyridine (1 mL). The reaction mixture was left to reach rt. After 3 h, MeOH (10 mL) was added. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded a crude product that was purified by column chromatography (MeOH-CH₂Cl₂ 1 : 30) to give **10** as a syrup (49 mg, 96%): $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3091, 2923, 2854, 1640 and 1126; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 4.33 (s, 10H), 4.24–4.11 (m, 45H), 3.81 (t, 10H, *J* = 5.2 Hz), 3.69 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 3.32 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 3.27 (m, 2H), 3.21–3.11 (m, 16H), 2.86 (t, 8H, *J* = 6.4 Hz), 2.49–2.37 (m, 8H), 2.04 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ 170.9, 82.1, 69.8, 69.7, 69.5, 68.8, 68.6, 68.5, 63.4, 63.3, 63.0, 54.5, 54.3, 52.8, 52.6, 51.6, 46.8, 46.7, 42.6, 40.3, 21.6; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₈₃H₁₁₀N₄O₁₆S₅Fe₅Na [M + Na]⁺ 1881.3164, found 1881.3160.

Synthesis of azido-ferrocenyl-terminated dendrimer 11. Chloroacetic anhydride (14 mg, 0.83 mmol) dissolved in CHCl₃ (2 mL) was added to a solution of **9** (100 mg, 0.055 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL). The reaction mixture was magnetically stirred at rt for 1 h. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded a crude product that was dissolved in DMF (5 mL). NaN₃ (36 mg, 0.55 mmol) was then added and the resulting solution magnetically stirred at rt for 24 h. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded a crude product that was purified by column chromatography (MeOH-CHCl₃ 1 : 30) giving **11** (90 mg, 82%) as a syrup: $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3091, 2923, 2858, 2104, 1655, 1288, 1126, 1097, 819 and 751; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 4.27 (s, 10H), 4.19–4.04 (m, 45H), 3.86 (s, 2H), 3.75 (t, 10H, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 3.66 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.4 Hz), 3.28 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.4 Hz), 3.20 (brs, 2H), 3.12 (t, 8H, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 3.06 (t, 8H, *J* = 6.4 Hz), 2.88 (brs, 2H), 2.83–2.76 (m, 10H), 2.42–2.29 (m, 8H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 167.1, 81.1, 68.8, 68.7, 68.6, 67.9, 67.6, 62.4, 62.3, 62.0, 53.5, 53.4, 52.6, 51.8, 51.6, 51.3, 50.5, 50.4, 49.3, 45.7, 45.6, 39.5. HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₈₃H₁₀₉N₇O₁₆S₅Fe₅Na 1922.3181, found 1922.3179.

Synthesis of ferrocene-sugar conjugates

General procedure. The amino sugar **12**³³ or **13**³⁴ (0.5 mmol) dissolved in MeOH or H₂O (4 mL) respectively was

added to a solution of the vinyl sulfones **2**^{20a} or **5** (0.55 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL). The reaction mixture was magnetically stirred at rt for 18 h. After this time, evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded a crude product that was purified by column chromatography giving the ferrocene-sugar conjugates **15–18**.

Compound 15: Column chromatography (CH₃CN-H₂O 10 : 1) gave **15** (250 mg, 90%) as a yellow syrup: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} +21.2$ (*c* 0.5, MeOH); $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3549, 2920, 1657, 1460 and 1045; ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): δ 4.76 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.5 Hz), 4.36 (s, 2H), 4.26 (t, 2H, *J* = 1.8 Hz), 4.18 (t, 2H, *J* = 1.8 Hz), 4.15 (s, 5H), 3.86–3.79 (m, 5H), 3.75–3.66 (m, 2H), 3.60 (t, 1H, *J* = 9.4 Hz), 3.54–3.50 (m, 2H), 3.36–3.32 (m, 4H), 3.11 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.83–2.76 (m, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): δ 101.8, 83.8, 74.8, 72.5, 72.0, 70.7, 70.6, 69.7, 69.5, 68.7, 67.3, 64.5, 62.9, 55.1, 54.7, 49.1, 43.2; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₂₃H₃₅NO₉SFeNa [M + Na]⁺ 580.1273, found 580.1274.

Compound 16: Column chromatography (CH₃CN-H₂O 5 : 1) gave **16** (290 mg, 94%) as a yellow syrup: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} +11.2$ (*c* 0.5, MeOH); $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3504, 2920, 1656, 1460, 1070 and 811; ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 500 MHz): δ 4.77 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.1 Hz), 4.20 (t, 2H, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 4.14 (s, 5H), 4.12 (t, 2H, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 3.87–3.81 (m, 5H), 3.74–3.68 (m, 2H), 3.63–3.56 (m, 5H), 3.56–3.50 (m, 2H), 3.40 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 3.38 (t, 2H, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 3.12 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 2.86–2.81 (m, 2H), 2.68 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.4 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz): δ 101.8, 86.6, 74.8, 72.6, 72.1, 71.4, 69.9, 69.8, 69.0, 68.7, 67.4, 65.6, 63.0, 55.1, 54.9, 49.3, 43.3, 32.9, 32.3; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₂₅H₃₉NO₉S₂FeNa [M + Na]⁺ 640.1307, found 640.1308.

Compound 17: Column chromatography (CH₃CN-H₂O 5 : 1) gave **17** (255 mg, 71%) as a yellow syrup: $\nu_{\max}(\text{KBr})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3407, 2924, 1653, 1291, 1121 and 619; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ 4.36 (s, 2H), 4.35 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 4.31 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 4.26 (s, 2H), 4.18 (s, 2H), 4.15 (s, 4H), 3.95 (m, 1H), 3.91 (dd, 1H, *J* = 12.1, 2.3 Hz), 3.86–3.80 (m, 4H), 3.78 (dd, 1H, *J* = 11.4 and 7.5 Hz), 3.69 (dd, 2H, *J* = 11.3 and 4.4 Hz), 3.60–3.51 (m, 4H), 3.48 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.7 and 3.2 Hz), 3.44–3.39 (m, 1H), 3.37–3.32 (m, 4H), 3.27 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 3.11 (m, 2H), 2.82 (m, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz): δ 105.9, 105.1, 82.3, 81.4, 77.9, 77.3, 77.1, 75.6, 75.5, 73.4, 71.5, 71.1, 70.6, 70.4, 69.7, 65.2, 63.3, 62.7, 55.8, 55.2; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₂₉H₄₅NO₁₄SFeNa [M + Na]⁺ 742.1795, found 742.1802.

Compound 18: Column chromatography (CH₃CN-H₂O 5 : 1) gave **18** (308 mg, 79%) as a yellow syrup: $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3381, 2925, 1654, 1284, 1123 and 619; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ 5.04 (brs, 1H), 4.22–4.10 (m, 11H), 3.79 (m, 1H), 3.74 (t, 3H, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 3.61 (brs, 2H), 3.55 (s, 2H), 3.53–3.39 (m, 7H), 3.35–3.20 (m, 7H), 3.03 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 2.98 (brs, 2H), 2.75 (brs, 2H), 2.60 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.7 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz): δ 103.8, 102.7, 85.0, 80.7, 75.4, 74.8, 74.5, 73.2, 73.1, 70.5, 69.7, 68.5, 68.4, 68.1, 67.6, 63.7, 60.5, 60.3, 53.2, 48.0, 41.9, 31.1, 30.4; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₃₁H₅₀NO₁₄S₂Fe [M]⁺ 780.2016; found 780.2017.



Synthesis of ferrocene–cyclodextrin conjugates

General procedure. Mono-6-amino-6-deoxy- β -CD **14** (100 mg, 0.088 mmol) was added to a solution of vinyl sulfone **2**^{20a} or **5** (0.106 mmol) in DMF–H₂O (1 : 1, 10 mL). The reaction mixture was magnetically stirred at rt for 24 h. After this time, aminopropyl silica⁴¹ (40 mg) was added and the resulting suspension was heated at 60 °C until complete disappearance of the excess of **2**^{20a} or **5** was observed by TLC (4 h). After filtration and washing of the filtrate with water (10 mL), evaporation of the resulting solution under reduced pressure was followed by lyophilization giving the corresponding ferrocene–cyclodextrin conjugates **19–20**.

Compound 19: Isolated as a yellow solid (121 mg, 94%). Mp 191–192 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ +86.6 (*c* 1, water); $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3398, 2916, 1642, 1410, 1150, 1077 and 1026; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 500 MHz) (selected signals): δ 4.91–4.79 (m, 7H), 4.24–4.08 (m, 11H); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz): δ 102.7, 102.6, 102.5, 82.4, 82.3, 82.2, 81.9, 81.7, 73.4, 73.2, 72.9, 72.6, 72.4, 69.7, 69.6, 68.7, 60.4, 60.3, 60.1, 55.9, 55.3, 53.4, 48.9; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₅₇H₈₉NO₃₇SFeNa [M + Na]⁺ 1490.4092, found 1490.4076.

Compound 20: Isolated as a yellow solid (129 mg, 96%). Mp 198–199 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ +84.7 (*c* 1, water), $\nu_{\max}(\text{KBr})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3361, 2925, 1654, 1384, 1155 and 1030; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz) (selected signals): δ 4.87 (s, 7H), 3.04 (m, 2H), 2.82 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 2.69 (m, 2H), 2.40 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.0 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 125 MHz): δ 103.0, 102.9, 102.7, 102.6, 102.3, 85.4, 84.6, 82.4, 82.3, 82.0, 81.9, 81.8, 79.4, 73.3, 73.2, 73.1, 72.8, 72.7, 72.6, 72.4, 71.6, 69.1, 68.9, 68.7, 68.6, 68.3, 68.1, 64.6, 60.3, 60.2, 60.1, 54.3, 53.9, 50.1, 42.7, 32.0, 30.2; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₅₉H₉₃NO₃₇S₂FeNa [M + Na]⁺ 1550.4102, found 1550.4109.

Synthesis of ferrocene–GSH conjugates

General procedure. Vinyl sulfones **2**^{20a} or **5** (0.36 mmol) and NaBH₄ (13 mg, 0.34 mmol) were successively added to a solution of glutathione **21** GSH (100 mg, 0.33 mmol) in DMF–H₂O (1 : 1, 15 mL) under magnetic stirring. The solution was kept at rt for 1 h. AcOH was then added to neutralize the excess of NaBH₄. Evaporation and co-evaporation with toluene of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded a crude product that was purified by column chromatography to give the corresponding ferrocene–GSH conjugates **23–24**.

Compound 23: Column chromatography (H₂O–CH₃CN 1 : 4) gave **23** (209 mg, 100%) as an orange solid: Mp 185–186 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ –12.6 (*c* 1, water); $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3420, 3094, 2924, 1651, 1542, 1397, 1315, 1118 and 668; ¹H-NMR (D₂O, 400 MHz): δ 4.50 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.6, 5.0 Hz), 4.40–4.15 (m, 11H), 3.85 (t, 2H, *J* = 4.8 Hz), 3.72–3.66 (m, 3H), 3.42–3.31 (m, 4H), 2.95 (dd, 1H, *J* = 14.1, 4.9 Hz), 2.86 (dd, 2H, *J* = 9.0, 5.8 Hz), 2.77 (dd, 1H, *J* = 14.1, 8.8 Hz), 2.45 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 2.07 (q, 2H, *J* = 7.3 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (D₂O, 75 MHz): δ 177.0, 175.8, 174.9, 172.5, 82.8, 70.9, 70.3, 69.9, 63.5, 55.1, 54.9, 44.3, 34.0, 32.4, 27.2, 24.2; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd C₂₅H₃₅N₃O₉S₂Fe [M]⁺ 641.1142, found 641.1164.

Compound 24: Column chromatography (H₂O–CH₃CN 1 : 4) gave **24** (224 mg, 98%) as an orange syrup: $[\alpha]_D$ –13.4 (*c* 1, water); $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3382, 3083, 2922, 1643, 1607, 1544, 1405, 1313, 1113 and 618 cm^{–1}; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ 4.50 (m, 1H), 4.18–4.09 (m, 9H), 3.74 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz), 3.69–3.59 (m, 3H), 3.49 (s, 4H), 3.41 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 3.32 (m, 2H), 2.99 (m, 2 H), 2.86 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 2.84–2.75 (m, 2H), 2.59 (t, 2H, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 2.41 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.04 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.9 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (D₂O, 125 MHz): δ 177.0, 175.7, 175.0, 172.5, 86.4, 71.1, 70.3, 70.1, 69.5, 64.8, 55.3, 55.2, 54.3, 54.1, 44.6, 34.4, 32.8, 32.6, 32.1, 27.4, 24.7; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd C₂₇H₃₉N₃O₉S₃FeNa [M + Na]⁺ 724.1099, found 724.1096.

Synthesis of ferrocene–GSSG conjugates

General procedure. Vinyl sulfones **2**^{20a} or **5** (0.36 mmol) and Et₃N (43 μ L, 0.33 mmol) were successively added to a solution of oxidized glutathione **21** GSSG (100 mg, 0.16 mmol) in DMF–H₂O (1 : 1, 10 mL) under magnetic stirring. The solution was kept at rt for 18 h. Aminopropyl silica⁴¹ (150 mg) was then added and the suspension heated at 60 °C until complete disappearance of **2** or **5** was detected by TLC (4 h). Filtration and washing with water (10 mL) was followed by evaporation of the solvent giving the corresponding ferrocene–GSSG conjugates **25–26**.

Compound 25: Isolated as a yellow syrup (208 mg, 100%): $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3273, 3089, 2919, 1734, 1646, 1605, 1544, 1526, 1373, 1271, 1119 and 819; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz) (selected signals): δ 8.32 (t, 2H, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 8.24 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 4.56 (m, 2H), 4.27–4.13 (m, 22H), 3.72 (t, 8H, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 2.25 (t, 4H, *J* = 6.0 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 75 MHz): δ 172.0, 171.0, 170.2, 82.6, 69.1, 68.4, 68.2, 68.1, 62.5, 60.2, 52.9, 52.8, 51.8, 41.2, 40.1, 31.6, 27.5, 27.4; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₅₀H₆₈Fe₂N₆O₁₈S₄ [M]⁺ 1280.210, found 1280.2166.

Compound 26: Isolated as a yellow syrup (224 mg, 100%). Mp 180–182 °C; $\nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3285, 3092, 2923, 1649, 1608, 1548, 1529, 1306, 1103 and 822; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) (selected signals): δ 5.23 (s, 4H), 4.13–4.03 (m, 18H), 3.79 (m, 8H), 2.60 (m, 4H), 2.30 (m, 8H), 1.34 (m, 8H), 1.19 (m, 8H); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 75 MHz): δ 85.1, 69.6, 68.4, 67.5, 63.7, 60.1, 52.9, 52.7, 31.0, 30.2; HRMS *m/z* (MALDI): calcd for C₅₄H₇₆Fe₂N₆O₁₈S₆ [M + H]⁺ 1400.2262, found 1400.2234.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) assays

CV experiments were carried out in nitrogen-purged (180 s) solutions with an instrument interfaced to a potentiostat connected to a computer running EcoChimie B.V. GPES 4.9 software. The working electrode was a platinum ring electrode (\varnothing 5 mm, effective area 0.410 \pm 0.009 cm²). It was immersed in a H₂SO₄ 50% v/v solution for 5 min and sonicated in MilliQ water for 10 min before each experiment. The counter electrode was a glassy carbon electrode (65 mm, \varnothing 2 mm), which was immersed in a 0.1 M HNO₃ solution for 5 min, carefully polished with a basic Al₂O₃–water slurry and sonicated in a H₂O–MeOH–CH₃CN 1 : 1 : 1 mixture at 40 °C for 10 min prior to use. An Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) electrode was used as a reference. Electrochemical behaviour of each compound was studied on



0.2 mM solutions in MilliQ water or acetonitrile with 50 mM NaClO₄ as a supporting electrolyte. Cyclic voltammograms were obtained measuring five scans at six sweep rates varying from 0.05 to 0.5 V s⁻¹ with a step potential of 0.002 V. The diffusion coefficients were calculated by fitting the anodic peak current *versus* square root of the sweep rate plots to the Randles–Sevcik equation.

Ferrocene labelling of BSA. Synthesis of conjugates 27 (Fc-BSA(O)) and 28 (Fc-BSA(SO))

The ferrocenylation of BSA with 5 (conjugated Fc-BSA(SO) (28)) was undertaken by following the reported procedure for conjugated Fc-BSA(SO) (27):^{20a} To three aliquots (2 mL) of a BSA solution (2 mg mL⁻¹) in HEPES pH 8.5 was added 5 (0.24, 1.20 and 2.4 mg) dissolved in DMSO (0.2 mL) to get BSA : VS molar ratios of 1 : 10, 1 : 50 and 1 : 100, respectively. The samples were kept at rt for 24 h. After centrifugation, dialysis against phosphate buffer (85 mM, pH 7.0) was performed. The BSA concentration was determined by the Bradford method⁴⁸ and the Fe content by spectrophotometry.^{47f} An aliquot of each sample was dialyzed against distilled water prior to the MALDI analysis.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovacion (CTQ2011-29299-CO2-01).

Notes and references

- Ferrocenes: Ligands, Materials and Biomolecules*, ed. P. Stepnicka, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK, 2008.
- B. Seiwert and U. Karst, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2008, **390**, 181.
- D. R. van Staveren and N. Metzler-Nolte, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 5931.
- W. E. Geiger, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 5738.
- Chiral Ferrocenes in Asymmetric Catalysis: Synthesis and Applications*, ed. L.-X. Dai and X.-L. Hou, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2010.
- W. A. Amer, L. Wang, A. M. Amin, L. Ma and H. Yu, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater.*, 2010, **20**, 605.
- (a) M. F. R. Fouda, M. M. Abd-Elzaher, R. A. Abdelsamaia and A. A. Labib, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **21**, 613; (b) N. Metzler-Nolte, *Top. Organomet. Chem.*, 2010, **32**, 195.
- X. Bin, P. M. Diakowski, K. Kerman and H.-B. Kraatz, in *Electrochemistry of Functional Supramolecular Systems*, ed. P. Ceroni, A. Credi and M. Venturi, John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2010, p. 261.
- S. Martic, M. Labib, P. O. Shipman and H.-B. Kraatz, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 7264.
- (a) B. Lal, A. Badshah, A. A. Altaf, N. Khan and S. Ullah, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2011, **25**, 843; (b) T. Moriuchi and T. Hirao, *Top. Organomet. Chem.*, 2006, **17**, 143; (c) H.-B. Kraatz, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 83.
- C. Xu, H. Cai, P. He and Y. Fang, *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.*, 2000, **367**, 593.
- H. Falk, M. Peterlik and K. Schloegl, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1969, **100**, 787.
- K. Kam-Wing Lo, J. Shing-Yip Lau, D. Chun-Ming Ng and N. Zhu, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 1753.
- (a) Y. Wang, X. Zhu, Z. Hu, J. Wang and F. Zhou, *Electroanalysis*, 2005, **17**, 2163; (b) K. D. Gleria, H. A. O. Hill and L. L. Wong, *FEBS Lett.*, 1996, **390**, 142.
- J. M. Casas-Solvas, E. Ortiz-Salmerón, J. J. Giménez-Martínez, L. García-Fuentes, L. F. Capitán-Vallvey, F. Santoyo-González and A. Vargas-Berenguel, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 710.
- V. Ganesh, V. S. Sudhir, T. Kundu and S. Chandrasekaran, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2011, **6**, 2670.
- (a) N. S. Simpkins, *Tetrahedron*, 1990, **46**, 6951; (b) I. Forristal, *J. Sulfur Chem.*, 2005, **26**, 163.
- D. C. Meadows and J. Gervay-Hague, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2006, **793**.
- F. J. Lopez-Jaramillo, F. Hernandez-Mateo and F. Santoyo-Gonzalez, in *Integrative Proteomics*, ed. H.-C. Eastwood Leung, InTech, 2012, 301–327, DOI: 10.5772/29682.
- (a) J. Morales-Sanfrutos, J. Lopez-Jaramillo, M. Ortega-Muñoz, A. Megia-Fernandez, F. Perez-Balderas, F. Hernandez-Mateo and F. Santoyo-Gonzalez, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2010, **8**, 667; (b) J. Morales-Sanfrutos, F. J. Lopez-Jaramillo, F. Hernandez-Mateo and F. Santoyo-Gonzalez, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 4039; (c) M. Ortega-Muñoz, J. Morales-Sanfrutos, A. Megia-Fernandez, F. J. Lopez-Jaramillo, F. Hernandez-Mateo and F. Santoyo-Gonzalez, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 7189.
- (a) D. Astruc, *New J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 764; (b) D. Astruc, C. T. Ornelas and J. Ruiz, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 841.
- G. R. Newkome, E. He and C. N. Moorefield, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 1689.
- C. M. Casado, B. Alonso, J. Losada and M. P. Garcia-Armada, in *Des. Dendrimers*, ed. S. Campagna, P. Ceroni and F. Puntoriero, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, N. J., 2012, p. 219.
- A. Berger, R. J. M. K. Gebbink and G. van Koten, *Top. Organomet. Chem.*, 2006, **20**, 1.
- (a) C. G. Hartinger, A. A. Nazarov, M. Galanski, M. Reithofer and B. K. Keppler, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2005, **690**, 3301; (b) A. A. Nazarov, C. G. Hartinger, V. B. Arion, G. Giester and B. K. Keppler, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 8489; (c) A. Albinati, P. S. Pregosin and K. Wick, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 2419.
- (a) M. H. Chahma, J. S. Lee and H.-B. Kraatz, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2002, **648**, 81; (b) P. R. Ashton, V. Balzani, M. Clemente-Leon, B. Colonna, A. Credi, N. Jayaraman, F. M. Raymo, J. F. Stoddart and M. Venturi, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 673; (c) M. Takeuchi, T. Mizuno, S. Shinkai, S. Shirakami and T. Itoh, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2000, **11**, 3311.



- 27 (a) J. M. Casas-Solvas, E. Ortiz-Salmeron, L. Garcia-Fuentes and A. Vargas-Berenguel, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, **6**, 4230; (b) J. L. Kerr, J. S. Landells, D. S. Larsen, B. H. Robinson and J. Simpson, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2000, 1411.
- 28 A. Otsuka, K. Sakurai and T. Hasegawa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 5442.
- 29 (a) M. Kovacevic, L. Barisic, R. Ribic, V. P. Perokovic, S. Tomic and V. Ropic, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2012, **26**, 74; (b) E. Szanti-Pinter, J. Balogh, Z. Csok, L. Kollar, A. Goemoery and R. Skoda-Foeldes, *Steroids*, 2011, **76**, 1377.
- 30 Y. Chen, G.-f. Han and H.-y. Liu, *Jiangsu Gongye Xueyuan Xuebao*, 2004, **16**, 8.
- 31 (a) C. Herrmann, P. F. Salas, B. O. Patrick, C. d. Kock, P. J. Smith, M. J. Adam and C. Orvig, *Organometallics*, 2012, 5748; (b) C. Herrmann, P. F. Salas, J. F. Cawthray, C. de Kock, B. O. Patrick, P. J. Smith, M. J. Adam and C. Orvig, *Organometallics*, 2012, 5736; (c) C. L. Ferreira, C. B. Ewart, C. A. Barta, S. Little, V. Yardley, C. Martins, E. Polishchuk, P. J. Smith, J. R. Moss, M. Merkel, M. J. Adam and C. Orvig, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 8414; (d) T. Itoh, S. Shirakami, N. Ishida, Y. Yamashita, T. Yoshida, H. S. Kim and Y. Wataya, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2000, **10**, 1657.
- 32 (a) A. Hottin, F. Dubar, A. Steenackers, P. Delannoy, C. Biot and J.-B. Behr, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 5592; (b) C. G. Hartinger, A. A. Nazarov, V. B. Arion, G. Giester, M. Jakupec, M. Galanski and B. K. Keppler, *New J. Chem.*, 2002, **26**, 671.
- 33 A. L. Martin, B. Li and E. R. Gillies, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **131**, 734.
- 34 X.-L. Sun, C. A. Haller, X. Wu, V. P. Conticello and E. L. Chaikof, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2005, **4**, 2355.
- 35 (a) J. M. Casas-Solvas, E. Ortiz-Salmeron, I. Fernandez, L. Garcia-Fuentes, F. Santoyo-Gonzalez and A. Vargas-Berenguel, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 8146; (b) Y. Willener, K. M. Joly, C. J. Moody and J. H. R. Tucker, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 1225; (c) S. Sato, T. Nojima and S. Takenaka, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2004, **689**, 4722.
- 36 (a) G. Favero, L. Campanella, A. D'Annibale and T. Ferri, *Microchem. J.*, 2004, **76**, 77; (b) G. Cooke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 4860; (c) I. Suzuki, Q. Chen, A. Ueno and T. Osa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1993, **66**, 1472; (d) A. Ueno, F. Moriwaki, T. Matsue, T. Osa, F. Hamada and H. Murai, *Makromol. Chem., Rapid Commun.*, 1985, **6**, 231.
- 37 S. K. Schreyer and S. R. Mikkelsen, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 1999, **10**, 464.
- 38 R. Katakay, R. Dell and P. K. Senanayake, *Analyst*, 2001, **126**, 2015.
- 39 (a) Y. Han, K. Cheng, K. A. Simon, Y. Lan, P. Sejwal and Y.-Y. Luk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 13913; (b) I. Suzuki, Q. Chen, Y. Kashiwagi, T. Osa and A. Ueno, *Chem. Lett.*, 1993, 1719.
- 40 F. Trotta, K. Martina, B. Robaldo, A. Barge and G. Craotto, *J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem.*, 2007, **57**, 3.
- 41 M. Zougagh, J. M. Cano Pavón and A. Garcia de Torres, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2005, **381**, 1103.
- 42 S. Beheshti, S. Martić and H.-B. Kraatz, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 9099.
- 43 D. A. Dickinson and H. J. Forman, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 2002, **64**, 1019.
- 44 (a) Y. Peng, Y.-N. Liu and F. Zhou, *Electroanalysis*, 2009, **21**, 1848; (b) S. Jahn, W. Lohmann, S. Bomke, A. Baumann and U. Karst, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2012, **402**, 461; (c) M. C. Martos-Maldonado, J. M. Casas-Solvas, R. Téllez-Sanz, C. Mesa-Valle, I. Quesada-Soriano, F. Garcia-Maroto, A. Vargas-Berenguel and L. Garcia-Fuentes, *Biochimie*, 2012, **94**, 541; (d) W. Hyk, M. Karbarz, B. Misterkiewicz and Z. Stojek, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 13090; (e) B. Misterkiewicz, M. Salmain and G. Jaouen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 7511; (f) K. Di Gleria, H. A. O. Hill and L. L. Wong, *FEBS Lett.*, 1996, **390**, 142.
- 45 F.-B. Wang, Y. Peng, M.-Y. Fan, Y.-N. Liu and K.-L. Huang, *Wuli Huaxue Xuebao*, 2009, **25**, 1125.
- 46 N. Metzler-Nolte and M. Salmain, in *Ferrocenes: Ligands, Materials and Biomolecules*, ed. P. Štěpnička, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2008, p. 499.
- 47 (a) V. S. Tripathi, V. B. Kandimalla and H. Ju, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2006, **21**, 1529; (b) H. Shinohara, T. Kusaka, E. Yokota, R. Monden and M. Sisido, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2000, **65**, 144; (c) T. Kohno, S. Asai, Y. Iribe, I. Hosoi, K. Shibata and K. Ishikawa, *J. Brain Sci.*, 1998, **24**, 47; (d) T. Suzawa, Y. Ikariyama and M. Aizawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1995, **68**, 165; (e) S. Kunugi, Y. Murakami, K. Ikeda and N. Itoh, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 1992, **14**, 210; (f) A. Badia, N. H. Huy Thai, A. M. English, S. R. Mikkelsen and R. T. Patterson, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1992, **262**, 87; (g) F. Mizutani and M. Asai, *Denki Kagaku Oyobi Kogyo Butsuri Kagaku*, 1988, **56**, 1100; (h) H. Falk, M. Peterlik and K. Schlögl, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1969, **100**, 787.
- 48 M. M. Bradford, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1976, **72**, 248.

