

Enantioselective synthesis of α -nitro- δ -ketosulfones *via* a quinine–squaramide catalyzed conjugate addition of α -nitrosulfones to enones†

Kalisankar Bera and Irishi N. N. Namboothiri*

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10632

Received 5th August 2013,
Accepted 25th September 2013

DOI: 10.1039/c3cc45985c

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Conjugate addition of α -nitrosulfones to vinyl ketones in the presence of 0.2 mol% of a quinine–squaramide organocatalyst afforded α -nitro- δ -ketosulfones possessing a tetrasubstituted chiral center in excellent yield and enantioselectivity in most cases. This strategy also offers a facile and convenient entry into γ -sulfonylhydroxamates that are one carbon homologs of potent enzyme inhibitors.

Sulfonylhydroxamic acids are inhibitors of matrix metalloprotease (MMP) and are effective for the treatment of cancer and arthritis.¹ For instance, β -sulfonylhydroxamate **1a**, in which a sulfonyl group is attached to a chiral center, is a potent MMP and PDE (phosphodiesterase) inhibitor (Fig. 1).^{2,3} The enantiopure compound **1a** displays superior activity as compared to the racemic one and the enantioselective synthesis of **1a** involves the oxidation of an enantioenriched thioether precursor.³ Recently, sulfones attached to a tetrasubstituted chiral center^{4–6} received considerable attention due to their wide range of biological activities, for instance, against Alzheimer's (as γ -secretase inhibitor **1b**)⁴ and glaucoma.⁶

The sulfonyl group in organosulfones, *viz.* active methylene sulfones, vinyl sulfones and other sulfone based nucleophiles and electrophiles, takes part in a variety of synthetic transformations and is an easily removable functional group.⁷

Enantioselective approaches to sulfones include catalytic asymmetric Michael addition of various nucleophiles to β -unsubstituted vinyl sulfones⁸ and β -substituted vinyl sulfones,⁹ nucleophilic addition of active methylene¹⁰ and methine^{11,12} sulfones to various electrophiles, and other miscellaneous methods.^{13,14} However, to our

knowledge, generation of sulfones attached to a chiral carbon remains scarcely explored under catalytic asymmetric conditions.^{12,14}

As part of our ongoing research program to develop novel catalytic methods for the asymmetric synthesis of quaternary carbon centers, we have reported enantioselective synthesis of quaternary α -nitro/aminophosphonates.^{15,16} Herein we report an organocatalyzed enantioselective synthesis of quaternary α -nitro- δ -ketosulfones *via* Michael addition of α -nitrosulfones to enones using an alkaloid derived squaramide catalyst. Transformations of the product to quaternary γ -nitro- γ -sulfonyl hydroxamic acid and γ -nitro- γ -sulfonyl carboxylic acid are also reported here.

The reaction conditions were optimized by performing Michael addition of nitrosulfone **3a** to phenyl vinyl ketone **2a** using several cinchona-based bifunctional organocatalysts and solvents at different temperatures (Fig. 2 and Table 1). At the outset, the quinine–thiourea catalyst **C1**, recently reported from our laboratory,¹⁵ was screened (entry 1). To our delight, the Michael adduct **4a**, a quaternary α -nitrosulfone, was isolated in good yield (96%) and enantioselectivity (90% ee) when 10 mol% of **C1** was employed in mesitylene at rt (entry 1). Other catalysts such as quinine–thiourea **C2**, cinchonidine–thiourea **C3** and cinchonine–thiourea **C4** were also quite effective in providing the Michael adduct **4a** in excellent yield and selectivity (entries 2–4). Encouraged by these results, further improvement in the enantioselectivity was explored by employing bifunctional cinchona–squaramide catalysts **C5–C7** with greater H-bonding capability (entries 5–8).¹⁷ Cinchonidine–squaramide catalyst **C5** provided product **4a** with lower selectivity (entry 5). However, quinine–squaramide catalyst **C6**¹⁸ furnished the Michael adduct **4a** with improved selectivity (94%) and excellent yield



Fig. 1 Potent inhibitors of MMP, PDE and γ -secretase.

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai 400 076, India. E-mail: irishi@iitb.ac.in

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 946574. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c3cc45985c





Fig. 2 Catalysts screened.




Table 1 Catalyst screening and optimization of reaction conditions^a


Entry	Cat.	Solvent	T (°C)	Time (h)	Yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	C1	Mesitylene	rt	1	96	90
2	C2	Mesitylene	rt	1	94	88
3	C3	Mesitylene	rt	1	95	91
4	C4	Mesitylene	rt	1	93	87 ^d
5	C5	Mesitylene	rt	1	95	80
6	C6	Mesitylene	rt	1	98	94
7	C7	Mesitylene	rt	1	98	94
8	C6	Xylene	rt	1	97	93
9	C6	Toluene	rt	1	97	94
10	C6	PhCF ₃	rt	1	95	88
11	C6	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	1	86	86
12	C6	(CH ₂) ₂ Cl ₂	rt	1	97	85
13	C6	THF	rt	1	91	92
14	C6	Diethyl ether	rt	1	92	86
15	C6	MeCN	rt	1	92	70
16	C6	Toluene	-20	2	98	96.4
17	C6	Toluene	-60	4	98	99
18 ^e	C6	Toluene	-60	5	98	98.5
19 ^f	C6	Toluene	-60	8	98	98.6
20 ^g	C6	Toluene	-60	11	98	99

^a The reactions were performed at the 0.2 mmol scale. ^b After silica gel column chromatography. ^c ee's determined by chiral HPLC. ^d Opposite enantiomer. ^e 5 mol% catalyst. ^f 2 mol% catalyst. ^g 0.2 mol% catalyst and 0.5 mmol of **3a**.

(98%, entry 6). At this juncture, possible enhancement of enantioselectivity by screening various solvents at different temperatures in the presence of 10 mol% of **C6** was investigated (entries 8–17). Thus, toluene was identified as the best solvent which at -60 °C provided Michael adduct **4a** in 98% yield and 99% ee (entry 17). We were amazed to note that high yield (98%) and selectivity (99% ee) were unaffected even when the catalyst loading was gradually reduced to 5, 2 and 0.2 mol% albeit at the expense of the reaction rate (entries 18–20).

The scope of the above reaction was subsequently investigated by treating α -nitrosulfone **3a** with various enones **2b–o** under the above optimized conditions, *i.e.* 0.2 mol% catalyst **C6**, in toluene at -60 °C (Table 2). It is noteworthy that regardless of the steric and electronic properties and the position of substituents on the aromatic ring of enones, the Michael adducts **4a–i** were isolated in excellent yields (97–99%) and selectivities (96–99% ee) over a period of 2–20 h (entries 1–9). However, a faster reaction rate was observed in the case of enones possessing electron withdrawing substituents such as NO₂, CN, Br and Cl at unhindered positions (entries 5–7 and 9) when compared to enones possessing electron donating substituents such as Me and OMe (entries 2–4). Polycyclic aromatic enones **2j–k**, heteroaromatic enones **2l–m** and an aliphatic enone **2n** were also well tolerated in terms of the chemical and optical yields of the products (entries 10–14), except that 1-naphthyl vinyl ketone **2k** furnished the Michael adduct **4k** with lower selectivity (72% ee, entry 11). However, since the reaction rate dramatically decreased when using aliphatic enone **2n** the reaction had to be conducted at rt with 10 mol% of the catalyst **C6** (entry 14). A dienone **2o** also furnished the Michael adduct **4o** in 98% yield and 97% ee (entry 15). Notably, the reaction is highly regioselective in that α -nitrosulfone **3a** selectively reacted with the

Table 2 Scope of enones **2**^a



Entry	R	Time (h)	4	Yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	C ₆ H ₅	11	4a	98	99
2	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	10	4b	99	96
3	4-OMeC ₆ H ₄	16	4c	98	99
4	3,4-(OMe) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	20	4d	99	96
5	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	5	4e	98	>99
6	4-CNC ₆ H ₄	3	4f	99	>99
7	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	2	4g	99	99
8	2-ClC ₆ H ₄	14	4h	97	98
9	3-BrC ₆ H ₄	5	4i	99	>99 ^d
10	2-Naphthyl	14	4j	98	99
11	1-Naphthyl	15	4k	99	72
12	2-Furyl	12	4l	96	99
13	2-Thienyl	7	4m	97	97
14 ^e	<i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₁	10	4n	86	91
15	PhCH=CH	15	4o	98	97

^a The reactions were performed at the 0.5 mmol scale. ^b After silica gel column chromatography. ^c ee determined by chiral HPLC. ^d This reaction was also performed on a larger scale (*vide infra*). ^e Reaction performed at rt.

β -unsubstituted olefin moiety in the presence of a β -substituted olefin moiety in enone **2o** (entry 15).

Encouraged by the excellent results obtained from the reaction of nitrosulfone **3a** with a variety of enones **2** (Table 2), the scope of the reaction was investigated further with other sterically and electronically different nitrosulfones **3b–g** (Table 3). Thus, various alkyl, allyl and benzyl substituted nitrosulfones **3b–g** were treated with a representative enone **2i** under the optimal reaction conditions (Table 3). In general, the Michael adducts **5a–f** were obtained in excellent yields (90–99%) and enantioselectivities (entries 1–6). The enantioselectivity dropped marginally (to 85% ee) in the case of **5a** (entry 1) and substantially (to 50% ee) when a nitrosulfone **3f** possessing a long alkyl chain was employed (entry 5). This is attributable to the interference of the long, linear and flexible alkyl chain in **3f** in the Michael addition step (see Fig. 3, *vide infra*). Since the rate of the reaction was very slow at -60 °C in the case of benzyl substituted nitrosulfone **3g**, the reaction was performed at rt (entry 6).

The absolute configuration of the Michael adducts **4–5** was unambiguously assigned as R by single crystal X-ray structure

Table 3 Scope of nitrosulfones **3**^a



Entry	R ¹	3	Time (h)	5	Yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	Et	3b	12	5a	96	85
2	Allyl	3c	10	5b	99	>99
3	<i>n</i> -Bu	3d	14	5c	93	95
4	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	3e	17	5d	98	95
5	<i>n</i> -C ₇ H ₁₅	3f	17	5e	90	50
6 ^d	Benzyl	3g	8	5f	95	96

^a The reactions were performed at the 0.5 mmol scale. ^b After silica gel column chromatography. ^c ee determined by chiral HPLC. ^d Reaction performed at rt.





Fig. 3 X-ray structure of **4i** and proposed mechanistic model.



Scheme 1 Enantioselective synthesis of hydroxamic acid.

analysis of a representative compound **4i** (Fig. 3, see also the ESI†). The proposed mechanism based on model studies involves deprotonation of nitrosulfone **3** by the quinuclidine moiety of catalyst **C6** and activation of enone **2** by the squaramide moiety (Fig. 3). In the favored approach **II**, the squaramide moiety also co-ordinates with the nitro group, and the quinuclidine moiety with the nitro and the sulfonyl groups, to enable *Re*-face approach of the enone **2** towards the nitrosulfone anion affording (*R*)-nitrosulfone **4** or **5**. The alternative approach **I** appears to be disfavored due to severe steric interactions between the phenyl group of the sulfone and the quinuclidine moiety of the catalyst.

Our reaction conditions are suitable for the synthesis of nitrosulfones **4** and **5** on a multi-gram scale without any appreciable drop in the yield or selectivity. This was demonstrated by the synthesis of 2.9 g of **4i** (97%) with 99% ee *via* Michael addition of 1.5 g of nitrosulfone **3a** to 2.2 g of vinyl ketone **2i** (Table 2, entry 9).

Nitrosulfonylketones **4** and **5** in which the carbonyl group is at the δ -position of the nitro and the sulfonyl group are excellent precursors for the enantioselective synthesis of carboxylic acid **7** and hydroxamic acid **8** (Scheme 1). Thus a representative nitrosulfonylketone **4b** was subjected to Baeyer–Villiger oxidation using *m*CPBA–TFA to afford nitrosulfonyl ester **6** in 93% yield. Lithium hydroxide mediated hydrolysis of nitrosulfonyl ester **6** afforded quaternary γ -nitro- γ -sulfonyl carboxylic acid **7** in 84% yield. More importantly, the ester **6** was successfully transformed to hydroxamic acid **8** in 74% yield by treating with hydroxylamine hydrochloride.

In conclusion, conjugate addition of α -nitrosulfones to vinyl ketones afforded α -nitro- δ -ketosulfones in excellent yield and enantioselectivity in the presence of as low as 0.2 mol% quinine-squaramide organocatalyst. The feasibility of scale up of the enantioselective conjugate addition as well as practical application of the products in the enantioselective synthesis of carboxylic acids and hydroxamic acids have been successfully demonstrated.

INNN thanks DAE India for financial assistance and KSB thanks CSIR India for a senior research fellowship.

Notes and references

1 B. Lovejoy, A. R. Welch, S. Carr, C. Luong, C. Broka, R. T. Hendricks, J. A. Campbell, K. A. M. Walker, R. Martin, H. Van Wart and M. F. Browner, *Nat. Struct. Biol.*, 1999, **6**, 217.

- For an article: J. M. Salvino, R. Mathew, T. Kiesow, R. Narensingh, H. J. Mason, A. Dodd, R. Groneberg, C. J. Burns, G. McGeehan, J. Kline, E. Orton, S.-Y. Tang, M. Morrisette and R. Labaudiniere, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2000, **10**, 1637.
- (a) X.-Q. Dong, X. Fang and C.-J. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 4426; (b) M. Sani, G. Candiani, F. Pecker, L. Malpezzi and M. Zanda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 2393.
- I. Churcher, D. Beher, J. D. Best, J. L. Castro, E. E. Clarke, A. Gentry, T. Harrison, L. Hitzel, E. Kay, S. Kerrad, H. D. Lewis, P. Morentin-Gutierrez, R. Mortishire-Smith, P. J. Oakley, M. Reilly, D. E. Shaw, M. S. Shearman, M. R. Teall, S. Williams and J. D. J. Wrigley, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2006, **16**, 280.
- For a recent example, see: M. Fernández and J. Caballero, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **15**, 6298.
- M. F. Surgrue, A. Harris and I. Adamsoms, *Drugs Today*, 1997, **33**, 283.
- Selected books/reviews: (a) T. G. Back, K. N. Clary and D. Gao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4498; (b) A. El-Awa, M. N. Noshi, X. M. D. Jourdin and P. L. Fuchs, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 2315; (c) N. S. Simpkins, *Sulfones in Organic Synthesis*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1993; (d) D. A. Alonso and C. Najera, *Org. React.*, 2008, **72**, 367; (e) E. N. Prilezhaeva, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **69**, 367.
- Selected recent reviews: (a) A. R. Alba, X. Companyo and R. Rios, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 2018; (b) M. Nielsen, C. B. Jacobsen, N. Holub, M. W. Paixao and K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 2668.
- (a) S. Rajkumar, K. Shankland, J. M. Goodman and A. J. A. Cobb, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1386; (b) P. H. Bos, A. J. Minnaard and B. L. Feringa, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 4219; (c) P. Mauleon, I. Alonso, M. R. Rivero and J. C. Carretero, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 9924; (d) J.-N. Desrosiers, W. S. Bechara and A. B. Charette, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2315.
- (a) J. L. Garcia-Ruano, V. Marcos and J. Alemán, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4435; (b) A.-N. Alba, X. Companyo, A. Moyano and R. Rios, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 11095; (c) A. Landa, A. Puente, J. I. Santos, S. Vera, M. Oiarbide and C. Palomo, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 11954; (d) N. Nielsen, C. B. Jacobsen, M. W. Pixao, N. Holub and K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 10581; (e) G. K. Surya Prakash, F. Wang, Z. Zhang, C. Ni, R. Haiges and G. A. Olah, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 3260; (f) C. B. Jacobsen, K. L. Jensen, J. Udmark and K. A. Jørgensen, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 4790.
- (a) S. Mizuta, N. Shibata, Y. Goto, T. Furukawa, S. Nakamura and T. Toru, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 6394; (b) T. Furukawa, N. Shibata, S. Mizutana, S. Nakamura, T. Toru and M. Shiro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 8051; (c) C. Ni, F. Wang and J. Hu, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **4**; (d) A.-N. Alba, X. Companyo, A. Moyano and R. Rios, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 7035; (e) S. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Ji, H. Li and W. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4886; (f) F. Ullah, G.-L. Zhao, L. Deiana, M. Zhu, P. Dziedzic, I. Ibrahim, P. Hammar, J. Sun and A. Córdoba, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 10013; (g) C. B. Jacobsen, M. Nielsen, D. Worgull, T. Zweifel, E. Fisker and K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 7398.
- (a) M. B. Cid, J. López-Cantero, S. Duce and J. L. Garcia Ruano, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 431; (b) G. K. Surya Prakash, F. Wang, T. Stewart, T. Mathew and G. A. Olah, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**, 4090; (c) M. Kamlar, N. Bravo, A. R. Alba, S. Hybelbauerová, I. Císařová, J. Veselý, A. Moyano and R. Rios, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 5464.
- (a) Catalytic hydrogenation of unsaturated sulfones: T. Zhou, B. Peters, M. F. Maldonado, T. Govender and P. G. Andersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 13592; (b) Reduction of β -ketosulfones: X. Wan, Q. Meng, H. Zhang, Y. Sun, W. Fan and Z. Zhang, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 5613.
- (a) Allylation of sodium sulfinate: M. Ueda and J. F. Hartwig, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 92; (b) Stereospecific decarboxylative allylation of sulfones: J. D. Weaver, B. J. Ka, D. K. Morris, W. Thompson and J. A. Tunge, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 12179; (c) Intramolecular cyclopropanation of α -diazo- β -ketosulfones: M. Honma, T. Sawada, Y. Fujisawa, M. Utsugi, H. Watanabe, A. Umino, T. Matsumura, T. Hagihara, M. Takano and M. Nakada, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 2860; [3+2] Cycloaddition of azomethine ylides with vinyl sulfones: (d) T. Llamas, R. G. Arrayás and J. C. Carretero, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 1795; (e) S.-I. Fukuzawa and H. Oki, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 1747.
- K. Bera and I. N. N. Namboothiri, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 980.
- K. Bera and I. N. N. Namboothiri, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 1265.
- (a) J. P. Malerich, K. Hagihara and V. H. Rawal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14416; review: (b) J. Aleman, A. Parra, H. Jiang and K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 6890.
- W. Yang and D.-M. Du, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 5450.

