ChemComm

COMMUNICATION

RSCPublishing

Spectroscopic properties and reactivity of a mononuclear oxomanganese(IV) complex†

Domenick F. Leto, Rena Ingram, Victor W. Day and Timothy A. Jackson*

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.,* 2013, **49**, 5378

Received 10th January 2013, Accepted 25th April 2013

DOI: 10.1039/c3cc00244f

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

A non-porphyrinic, mononuclear oxomanganese($|v\rangle$ complex was generated at room temperature and characterized by spectroscopic methods. The $Mn^{\text{IV}}=O$ adduct is capable of activating C–H bonds by a H-atom transfer mechanism and is more reactive in this regard than most Mn^{V} = O species.

High-valent oxomanganese adducts are suggested as active oxidants for synthetic and biological manganese catalysts, including those involved in textile and paper bleaching with H_2O_2 and oxygen evolution from water.¹ Oxomanganese(v) adducts with $S = 0$ and 1 spin states have been reported, and these invariably feature strongly electron-donating, anionic ligands.² Oxomanganese $[w]$ complexes with neutral, nonporphyrinic ligands are comparatively less common.³ Detailed studies of substrate oxidation exist for a limited number of complexes. $3a-c,4$ In addition, few $Mn^N=O$ complexes have been characterized by Mn K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) , $3b$, 5 a technique featuring prominently in the study of Mn enzymes^{1b} and biominerals.⁶ In this report, we describe the spectroscopic properties and oxidative reactivity of an oxomanganese (w) complex supported by the neutral, pentadentate N4py ligand (N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)-N-bis(2-pyridyl)methylamine). This $Mn^N=O$ compound is more reactive than the majority of Mn^N = O complexes for C–H bond oxidation.

The manganese(π) complex $\left[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{N4py})\right]^{2+}(1)$ was generated as the triflate salt. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) structure exhibits a distorted octahedral Mn^{II} center with pentadentate N4py and monodentate triflate ligands (Fig. 1A). The Mn–ligand bond lengths are 2.1 to 2.3 Å. The Mn K-edge XAS spectrum of a frozen aqueous sample of $1(OTf)$ ₂ displays a pre-edge feature at 6540.6 eV and an edge at 6547.3 eV. The EXAFS data are best fit with 1 O at 2.09 Å, 5 N at 2.26 Å, and 3 C at 3.00 Å, in excellent agreement with the XRD structure (cf. Tables S4 and S6; ESI†).

The addition of excess PhIO (2.5 equiv.) to 1 in CF_3CH_2OH at 298 K led to the formation of a green species (2), with a broad

Fig. 1 (A) XRD structure of $[Mn^{II}(N4py)(OTf)]^+$ (1(OTf), left) and DFT (BP/TZVP) structure of $[Mn^{\nu}(O)(N4pv)]^{2+}$ (2, right). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. (B) 298 K electronic absorption spectra of 1 (black solid trace) and 2 (green dashed trace); both 2 mM in CF₃CH₂OH. (C) Fourier transform of the Mn K-edge EXAFS data and EXAFS spectrum (inset), experimental data (\cdots) and fits (–), for **2** in CF₃CH₂OH.

electronic absorption band at 950 nm and weaker features at 600 and 450 nm (Fig. 1B). At 298 K, the formation of 2 finished in \sim 10 minutes, and 2 showed a half-life of 30 minutes. The absorption features of 2 are very similar to those of other non-porphyrinic Mn^N \equiv O complexes in tetragonal, six-coordinate environments, which display broad nearinfrared bands from \sim 1040–825 nm and weaker features at higher energies. $3a, b,7$ The perpendicular mode X-band EPR spectrum of 2 is typical of a mononuclear, $S = 3/2$ Mn^{IV} ion (Fig. S4; ESI[†]).^{3a,b,d,8} Hyperfine coupling for the $g_{\text{eff}} = 5.76$ feature is \sim 76 G, in good agreement with that observed for other $Mn^N=O$ complexes.^{3d} Highresolution electrospray-ionization mass spectral (ESI-MS) data of 2 reveal a major ion peak at m/z 219.0502, consistent with $[Mn^N(0)]$ $(N4py)]^{2+}$ (*m*/z calc. 219.0563). When 2 is spiked with 10 µL $H_2^{18}O(97\%$ ¹⁸O-enriched), a new molecular ion peak is observed at m/z 220.0537, indicating incorporation of ^{18}O from $H_2^{18}O$ $([Mn^{IV(^{18}O)}(N4py)]^{2+}$ m/z calc. 220.0585). These data together support the formulation of 2 as $[Mn^{N}(O)(N4py)]^{2^{+}}$.

As we have been unable to grow crystals of 2, its molecular structure was investigated by Mn K-edge XAS. The edge energy

Department of Chemistry, The University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, Lawrence, KS, USA. E-mail: taj@ku.edu; Fax: +1-785-864-5396; Tel: +1-785-864-3968

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Details of experimental and kinetic procedures. CCDC 885972. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c3cc00244f

Fig. 2 20 K Mn K-edge XAS near-edge region of $1(OTf)_2$ (black solid trace) and 2 (green dashed trace) in H₂O and CF₃CH₂OH, respectively. Fig. 3 (A) Electronic absorption spectra of 2 upon addition of 200 equiv. EtBz in

of 2 (6550.8 eV) is blue-shifted over 3 eV relative to that of 1, as expected for the higher oxidation state of Mn (Fig. 2). The edge energy of 2 is within 1 eV of those reported for $Mn^V=O$ complexes supported by salen and porphyrin ligands (6549.9– 6551.2 eV; Table S3; ESI[†]).⁵ The pre-edge peak of 2 at 6541.9 eV is significantly more intense than that of 1 (Fig. 2, inset), consistent with a large deviation from centrosymmetry.

The Fourier transform $(R'$ space) of the EXAFS data of 2 exhibits a prominent, sharp peak at $R' \approx 1.5$ Å with less prominent peaks at $R' \approx 1.9, 2.2,$ and 2.8 Å (Fig. 1C). The first coordination sphere of 2 is fit well with two or three shells of N/O atoms at distances (r) of 1.69, 2.00, and 2.24 Å (Table S4; ESI†). The shell at 1.69 Å, which corresponds to the oxo ligand, is much shorter than the Mn^{II} –O (solvent H₂O) distance of 2.09 Å observed for 1. The remaining first coordination sphere can be fit with either a single shell of 5 nitrogen scatterers at 1.99 Å or two shells of nitrogen scatterers at 2.00 Å (4 N atoms) and 2.24 Å (1 N atom), representing the nitrogen atoms of the pentadentate N4py ligand. The fit with two shells of N scatterers affords lower GOF and Debye–Waller values than the fit with just one shell of five N scatterers. Fits including outer-sphere features reveal two Mn---C shells at 2.82 and 2.97 Å (3 and 5 C atoms, respectively).

Metric parameters from the EXAFS data of 2 are in good agreement with a DFT-computed structure (Fig. 1A, right). This structure has a Mn=O bond of 1.673 Å (cf. the EXAFS distance of 1.69 Å). The equatorial nitrogens in the DFT-optimized structure have an average Mn–N distance of 2.024 Å, while the trans amine has a longer distance of 2.138 Å, consistent with the two shells of Mn–N scatterers at 2.00 and 2.24 Å.

The ability of 2 to activate C–H bonds was investigated using dihydroanthracene (DHA), diphenylmethane (DPM), ethylbenzene (EtBz), and toluene (Tol), which span a \sim 10 kcal mol⁻¹ range of C–H bond strengths. For each substrate, the addition of an excess to 2 at 298 K under an Ar atmosphere led to (i) a disappearance in the optical bands of 2, (ii) formation of a new species, 3, with bands at 460 and 630 nm, and (iii) the appearance of an isosbestic point at 714 nm (Fig. 3A). The decay of 2 and the formation of 3 occurred with the same rate, both following pseudo-first order behaviour to at least four half-lives (see ESI†). Second-order rate constants (k_2, \cdot) corrected for the number of reactive C–H bonds) determined for all substrates revealed a linear relationship between $log(k_2)$ and substrate bond dissociation enthalpies (BDEs), with a slope of 0.35 (Fig. 3B). Such behaviour is highly suggestive of a rate-limiting H-atom transfer.^{3a} In support, reactions of 2 with deuterated-DHA $(d_4$ -DHA) reveal a kinetic isotope effect (KIE) of 11.2, which is larger than that observed for DHA

CF₃CH₂OH at 298 K. Inset: decay of the 950 nm absorption signal. (B) Corrected secondorder rate constant (k_2) versus bond dissociation enthalpies of organic substrates.

oxidation by other Mn^{IV} $=$ O adducts (3.1–8).^{2c,3a,4a,9} Activation energies (E) and Arrhenius prefactors (A) determined from the reaction of 2 with DHA and d_4 -DHA from 35 to -5° C in 1:1 CF₃CH₂OH: CH₂Cl₂ provide evidence for H-atom tunnelling (Table S10; ESI†). Specifically, the difference in activation energies for DHA and d_4 -DHA $(E_D - E_H)$ is greater than the difference in zero-point energies of the C–H and C–D bonds $(3.6 \text{ and } 1.26 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}, \text{ respectively})$; and the ratio of Arrhenius prefactors $(A_H/A_D = 0.02)$ is much less than 0.7, and comparable to that of $[Fe^{IV}(O)(N4py)]²⁺$, where a H-atom tunnelling mechanism was also implicated.¹⁰ The reaction of 2 with DHA proceeded with a second-order rate constant $(k_2' = 3.6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ 2-3 orders of magnitude larger than those observed at similar temperatures for nearly all other non-porphyrinic $Mn^N=O$ complexes (Table S8; ESI \dagger).^{3a,4a,9,11} An Eyring analysis of DHA activation from 35 to -5 °C, reveal ΔH^{\ddagger} and ΔS^{\ddagger} of 9 \pm 0.8 kcal mol⁻¹ and -35 \pm 3 cal mol⁻¹ K, respectively (Table S9; ESI[†]). These parameters yield a ΔG^{\dagger} (at 25 °C) comparable to that of $[Mn^N(O)(H_3buea)]^T$ but 2 kcal mol⁻¹ smaller than those observed for other $Mn^N=O$ complexes, $3a,4a,b$ consistent with the greater reactivity of 2. Communication

Chemchomer Access Article 2013. Downloaded on 26 April 2013. Downloaded the communication
 $\frac{1}{2}$ are the computation and the computation and the communication 3.0 Unported Licensed under a computer of

The reaction of 2 with DHA yielded 0.56(8) equiv. of anthracene per equiv. of 2. A final Mn oxidation state of 2.7(15) was determined by iodometric titration. This product distribution is consistent with the generation of anthracene by reaction of 1 equiv. DHA with 2 equiv. $Mn^V=O$ rather than two successive H-atom transfers with a single Mn^V = O centre. Thus, 2 acts as a one-electron oxidant, which has been observed for other $Mn^V=O$ compounds.^{2c,3a,b,4c} Such reactivity is consistent with DFT studies by Shaik and Nam that have shown a second H-atom transfer between the nascent organic radical and Mn^{III}-OH centre to be less favorable than diffusion of the organic radical from the Mn^{III} –OH adduct.¹² To the best of our knowledge, two-electron oxidation of DHA by a $Mn^{IV}=O$ has only been observed for $[Mn^{IV}(O)_2(Me_2EBC)]^+$ and $[{\rm Mn}^{\rm IV}\!(\rm O)(\rm OH_2)(\rm BQCN)]^{2+.3c,4c}$

While the iodometric product analysis gives an average Mn oxidation state following the reaction of 2 with DHA, the nature of the Mn-based products can be better defined on the basis of EPR, electronic absorption, and ESI-MS data. Perpendicular-mode EPR spectra of the product solution showed the strong $Mn^V=O$ signals replaced by very weak signals. Broad features over a large field range and a sharp multiline signal at $g \approx 2$ are respectively attributed to mononuclear Mn^{II} and binuclear species (Fig. S5; ESI†). Corresponding parallel-mode EPR spectra are silent. This does not preclude the presence of mononuclear Mn ^{III} species,

as favourable Mn ^{III} zero-field splitting parameters and high-quality glasses are often required to observe the weak six-line signals of mononuclear Mn^{III} centres in X-band experiments. The optical absorption features of product 3 are quite similar to those of $\left[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{OCH}_2\text{CF}_3)(\text{Bn-TPEN})\right]^{2+}$ $\left(\text{Bn-TPEN} = N\text{-benzyl-N,N',N'-tris-} \right)$ (2-pyridylmethyl)-1,2-diaminoethane), which was the dominant Mn product when $\text{[Mn}^{\text{IV}}(O)(\text{Bn-TPEN})^{2^+}$ was reacted with hydrocarbons.^{3b} In addition, the dominant molecular ion peak in ESI-MS data of 3 is at m/z 620.1289, consistent with $\text{[Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{OCH}_2\text{CF}_3)(\text{N4py})](\text{OCH}_2\text{CF}_3)^+$ (*m*/z calc. 620.1293). Thus, we propose a mononuclear Mn ^{III} species as the dominant, but not sole, Mn-based product when 2 reacts with DHA.¹³

The chemical reactivity of 2 is similar to that of $\text{[Mn}^{\text{IV}}(O)$ - $(Bn\text{-}TPEN)]^{2+.3b}$ Both N4py and Bn-TPEN are N5 aminopyridyl ligands that also support highly reactive $Fe^N=O$ complexes.¹⁰ For the $Mn^V=O$ adducts, previous DFT computations predicted that 2 has a larger barrier for H-atom abstraction from cyclohexane than $[Mn^V(O)(Bn-TPEN)]^{2^+1^2}$ Although the addition of a large excess (400–600 equiv.) of cyclohexane increases the decay rate of 2, the reaction does not show pseudo-first order behaviour. In contrast, $[Mn^V(O)(Bn-TPEN)]^{2^+}$ reacts with cyclohexane at 25 °C. Thus, we are unable to determine a k_2 value to provide a quantitative comparison of reactivity using cyclohexane. However, a comparison can be made using EtBz, with which both compounds react at 25 $^{\circ}$ C in CF₃CH₂OH. In the reaction with EtBz, $\left[\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}\text{(O)}\right]\text{(Bn-TPEN)}\right]^{2+}$ (1 mM) shows a rate constant five-fold larger than that of 2 (2 mM): $k_2' = 2.7 \times 10^{-2}$ and 5.7×10^{-3} M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively. Thus, while 2 is dramatically more reactive towards C–H bonds than most Mn^{IV} $=$ O adducts, it is less reactive than $[{\rm Mn}^{\rm IV}(O)(\rm{Bn-TPEN})]^{2+}$. This trend holds for the corresponding $Fe^N=O$ adducts; *i.e.*, $[Fe^{IV}(O)(Bn-TPEN)]^{2+}$ is more reactive towards C-H bonds.¹⁰ Chem.Comm

26 Secondary 2013. Downloaded on 26 April 2013. This work was supported by the 18 NSF (CHF-10257) and

26 April 2013. Downloaded on Secondary Article is licensed under and CH-10242222222222222222222222222222222

The origin of the high reactivity of 2 towards C–H bonds is currently unclear. Cyclic voltammetry studies of 2 show a $Mn^{III/IV}$ reduction potential $(E_{1/2}) \sim 700$ mV higher than those of other Mn^N complexes (ESI†).^{3a,4a,9} Thus, 2 is a significantly more effective one-electron oxidant. Notably the $E_{1/2}$ of 2 is similar to those of other dicationic Mn^{IV} complexes,^{3*a*,9} suggesting that the increase in $E_{1/2}$ is attributed to the +2 total charge of 2 versus the +1 and -1 charges of other Mn^{IV}= O adducts.^{3a,4a} However, rates of H-atom transfer reactions, which are strongly correlated to thermodynamic driving force, depend not only on the reduction potential of the oxidant, but also on the basicity of the metal– hydroxo product.¹⁴ Both the Mn^{III/IV} reduction potential and the pK_a of the Mn^{III}–OH complex, which for this system is unknown, are necessary for a thermodynamic analysis. While we cannot comment at present on the driving force for C–H bond activation by 2, we note that many other $Mn^V=O$ adducts have sterically demanding supporting ligands that shield the oxo. In contrast, the oxo ligand in 2 is well-exposed to substrate (Fig. 1A). Reduced steric clash with substrate could contribute to the relatively high reactivity of 2. Future work is needed to determine the role ligand sterics, solvent effects, and thermodynamic driving force play in influencing the H-atom transfer reactivity of 2, and to explore further why $Mn^V=O$ adducts such as 2 may eschew standard rebound or desaturation mechanisms for C–H activation.

This work was supported by the US NSF (CHE-1056470 to T.A.J.; CHE-1004897 for R.I.; CHE-0946883 and CHE-0079282 supported instrument purchases). XAS experiments were supported by the Center for Synchrotron Biosciences grant, P30-EB-009998, from the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB). We thank Dr Erik Farquhar at NSLS for outstanding support of our XAS experiments.

Most recently Chen et al. reported the formation and characterization of 2 and described the effects of Sc^{3+} on oxo and H-atom transfer reactions.^{12b}

Notes and references

- 1 (a) J. P. McEvoy and G. W. Brudvig, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 4455– 4483 ; (b) A. J. Wu, J. E. Penner-Hahn and V. L. Pecoraro, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, 104, 903–938; (c) R. Hage and A. Lienke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 206–222.
- 2 (a) D. E. Lansky, A. A. Narducci Sarjeant and D. P. Goldberg, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 8214–8217; (b) K. A. Prokop, S. P. de Visser and D. P. Goldberg, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 5091–5095; (c) C. Arunkumar, Y.-M. Lee, J. Y. Lee, S. Fukuzumi and W. Nam, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 11482–11489; (d) J. T. Groves, J. Lee and S. S. Marla, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 6269–6273; (e) T. Taguchi, R. Gupta, B. Lassalle-Kaiser, D. W. Boyce, V. K. Yachandra, W. B. Tolman, J. Yano, M. P. Hendrich and A. S. Borovik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 1996-1999; (f) T. J. Collins and S. W. Gordon-Wylie, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 4511–4513.
- 3 (a) I. Garcia-Bosch, A. Company, C. W. Cady, S. Styring, W. R. Browne, X. Ribas and M. Costas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 5648–5653; (b) X. Wu, M. S. Seo, K. M. Davis, Y.-M. Lee, J. Chen, K.-B. Cho, Y. N. Pushkar and W. Nam, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 20088–20091; (c) S. C. Sawant, X. Wu, J. Cho, K.-B. Cho, S. H. Kim, M. S. Seo, Y.-M. Lee, M. Kubo, T. Ogura, S. Shaik and W. Nam, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 8190–8194; (d) T. H. Parsell, R. K. Behan, M. T. Green, M. P. Hendrich and A. S. Borovik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 8728–8729; (e) G. Yin, A. M. Danby, D. Kitko, J. D. Carter, W. M. Scheper and D. H. Busch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 1512–1513.
- 4 (a) T. H. Parsell, M.-Y. Yang and A. S. Borovik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2762–2763; (b) Y. Wang, S. Shi, H. Wang, D. Zhu and G. Yin, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 7832–7834; (c) S. Shi, Y. Wang, A. Xu, H. Wang, Z. Dajian, S. B. Roy, T. A. Jackson, D. H. Busch and G. Yin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7321–7324.
- 5 (a) K. Ayougou, E. Bill, J. M. Charnock, C. D. Garner, D. Mandon, A. X. Trautwein, R. Weiss and H. Winkler, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 343–346; (b) T. Kurahashi, A. Kikuchi, T. Tosha, Y. Shiro, T. Kitagawa and H. Fujii, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 1674–1686; (c) T. Kurahashi, A. Kikuchi, Y. Shiro, M. Hada and H. Fujii, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 6664–6672.
- 6 C. M. Hansel, C. A. Zeiner, C. M. Santelli and S. M. Webb, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2012, 109, 12621–12625.
- 7 S. Chattopadhyay, R. A. Geiger, G. Yin, D. H. Busch and T. A. Jackson, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 7530–7535.
- 8 T. M. Rajendiran, J. W. Kampf and V. L. Pecoraro, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2002, 339, 497–502.
- 9 G. Yin, A. M. Danby, D. Kitko, J. D. Carter, W. M. Scheper and D. H. Busch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 16245–16253.
- 10 E. J. Klinker, S. Shaik, H. Hirao and L. Que, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 1291–1295.
- 11 A potential complication for this rate comparison is the difference in solvents (Table S8; ESI†). 2 reacts with DHA at essentially the same rate in $1:1$ CF₃CH₂OH : CH₂Cl₂ (ESI⁺), though the KIE drops to 8.7. In other solvents 2 has limited stability or does not form.
- 12 (a) K.-B. Cho, S. Shaik and W. Nam, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2012, 3, 2851–2856; (b) J. Chen, Y.-M. Lee, K. M. Davis, X. Wu, M. S. Seo, K.-B. Cho, H. Yoon, Y. J. Park, S. Fukuzumi, Y. N. Pushkar and W. Nam, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, DOI: 10.1021/ja312113p.
- 13 In the absence of any substrate, the thermal decay of 2 yields a similar product, as judged by electronic absorption spectroscopy.
- 14 J. M. Mayer, Acc. Chem. Res., 2010, 44, 36–46.