# **RSC** Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

## PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 27174

Received 10th May 2023 Accepted 11th August 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra03122e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### Introduction

The process of opening epoxide rings has attracted attention because these species can produce relevant chemicals for multiple applications in industry.<sup>1,2</sup> For this transformation, it is necessary to use chemical agents that induce nucleophilic attack, such as amines,<sup>3,4</sup> alcohols,<sup>5,6</sup> water,<sup>7</sup> and phenols.<sup>8</sup>

Ring-opening of cyclohexene oxide produces an important chemical precursor for synthesising products used in the pharmaceutical industry.9 Cyclohexene alcoholysis is a reaction that occurs under relatively mild and safe conditions but with

### Evaluation of the catalytic activity of Zn-MOF-74 for the alcoholysis of cyclohexene oxide<sup>†</sup>

J. Gabriel Flores,<sup>‡ab</sup> Juan L. Obeso, <sup>[D</sup> <sup>‡cd</sup> V. Martínez-Jiménez,<sup>a</sup> Nancy Martín-Guaregua,<sup>e</sup> Alejandro Islas-Jácome,<sup>e</sup> Eduardo González-Zamora, <sup>[]</sup> Héctor Serrano-Espejel,<sup>e</sup> Britney Mondragón-Rodríguez,<sup>e</sup> Carolina Leyva, D<sup>c</sup> D. A. Solís-Casados, <sup>f</sup> Ílich A. Ibarra, <sup>b</sup> <sup>d</sup> Ricardo A. Peralta, <sup>b</sup> \*<sup>e</sup> Julia Aguilar-Pliego\*<sup>b</sup> and José Antonio de los Reyes\*a

In the present work, nanocrystalline Zn-MOF-74 is shown to be a heterogeneous catalyst for the acidcatalyzed ring-opening alcoholysis of cyclohexene oxide. The results corroborated that accessible open metal sites within the material are critical conditions (Zn(II) Lewis acid sites) for this reaction. Zn-MOF-74 was tested at three different temperatures (30, 40, and 50 °C) for the alcoholysis reaction. Furthermore, the cyclohexene oxide conversion was 94% in less than two days. A comparison of the catalytic activity with different crystal sizes of Zn-MOF-74 and the homogenous phase, zinc acetate, was conducted. Zn-MOF-74 exhibited excellent catalytic cyclability for three cycles without losing its activity. The material showed chemical stability by retaining its crystalline structure after the reaction and cyclability process.

> poor conversion when a catalyst is not present.<sup>10</sup> For this reason, strong acids, Lewis bases, or Lewis acids are commonly applied as heterogeneous catalysts to ensure faster product production. Another advantage is that the reaction can be carried out, with high efficiency, under mild conditions, such as atmospheric pressure and a temperature of 50 °C or less.11,12

> Research on obtaining better performance in this reaction mainly focuses on heterogeneous catalysts with Lewis acid sites in zeolites, mixed oxides, alumina, and others due to their presumed chemical and thermal stabilities and recyclability. Zeolite Sn-Beta-50 has been synthesized at high temperatures and presented conversions of 90.2% at 40 °C.13 On the other hand, materials possessing Brønsted acid sites, such as graphene oxide with sulphur molecules, exhibited a conversion of approximately 97%.12 However, conventional synthesis of this type of catalyst is a process that demands high temperatures, uses toxic reagents-solvents, and normally involves a very highly energetic process to clean the catalyst.14 Thus, developing novel catalysts that require considerably less aggressive and safer synthesis methods are necessary.

> Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs) are relatively new materials with increasing relevance in pollutant adsorption processes15,16 and heterogeneous catalysis.17-21 These applications are possible due to the high porosity, facile synthesis, and versatility of these materials, allowing the design of customized MOF materials according to the application of interest.<sup>22,23</sup> In catalysis, MOFs are commonly used as Lewis acid sites because some materials possess labile solvent molecules that can be removed via thermal or chemical activation to form open metal sites (OMS). Our group has previously reported catalytic activity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Departamento de Ingeniería de Procesos e Hidráulica, División de Ciencias Básicas e Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, 09340, Ciudad de México, Mexico. E-mail: jarh@xanum.uam.mx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Área de Química Aplicada, Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Azc Apotzalco, 02200, Ciudad de México, Mexico. E-mail: apj@azc. uam.mx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Instituto Politécnico Nacional, CICATA U. Legaria, Laboratorio Nacional de Ciencia, Tecnología y Gestión Integrada del Agua (LNAgua), Legaria 694 Irrigación, Miguel Hidalgo, CDMX, Mexico

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Laboratorio de Fisicoquímica y Reactividad de Superficies (LaFReS) Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior s/n, CU, Coyoacán, 04510, Ciudad de México, Mexico

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Departamento de Química, División de Ciencias Básicas e Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, 09340, Ciudad de México, Mexico, E-mail: rperalta@izt.uam.mx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Centro Conjunto de Investigación en Química Sustentable UAEM-UNAM, Carretera Toluca-Atlacomulco Km 14.5, Unidad San Cayetano, Toluca 50200, Estado de México, Mexico

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Characterization and catalysis. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra03122e

<sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### Paper

with these sites to perform different reactions.<sup>24–26</sup> Furthermore, the inherent properties of MOF materials can allow for outstanding performances as catalysts. The well-dispersion of active sites and diverse morphologies of MOFs are desirable for improved interaction of the reagents with the material.<sup>27</sup> The well-arrangement of the framework makes a high dispersion of the active sites, thereby reducing a poisoning concern of the active sites.<sup>28</sup> Furthermore, the bonding strength between metal ligands is sufficient to generate robust structures, which is ideal for catalytic applications.<sup>29</sup>

MOFs have been used to open epoxide rings with alcohols as heterogeneous catalysts. For example, UiO-type MOFs and MOF-808, with Brønsted acid sites, showed 40% and 100% conversion, respectively.<sup>10</sup> MOFs possessing Lewis acid sites and exhibiting high catalytic activity have also been reported; for instance, Fe(BTC) combined with styrene oxide yielded a 93% conversion in methanol at 40 °C for 1 h.<sup>30</sup> Moreover, a Cubased MOF presented a remarkably 100% conversion of cyclohexene oxide with methanol.<sup>9</sup>

MOF-74 has been described as a honeycomb-like material containing a pore size of about 12 nm. A mixture of divalent metal ions and 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid forms the framework.31 Each metal ion is coordinated with three O atoms from the carboxylate linker and two from two  $\mu_2$ -OH groups. At the same time, the remanent molecule is a labile water molecule coordinated to the metallic centre, which can be readily removed to generate an OMS.32 The structure of the Zn-MOF-74 with the open metal site is shown in Fig. 1. Furthermore, MOF-74 can be constructed with different metallic centres such as  $Co(\pi)$ ,  $Cu(\pi)$ ,  $Mg(\pi)$ ,  $Mn(\pi)$ ,  $Ni(\pi)$ , and  $Zn(\pi)$ ,<sup>32</sup> allowing the variation of the Lewis acidity strength. These materials have been demonstrated to have high efficiency as heterogeneous catalysts in different oxidation reactions.33 Our research group has developed the synthesis of MOF-74 at room temperature in methanol, which guarantees a faster and safer process, in addition to the possibility of real-time synthesis analysis and the minimization of the washing steps.<sup>25,34</sup> Zn-MOF-74 shows relatively high thermal stability and possesses Zn(II) OMS. Moreover, Zn-MOF-184, which is isoreticular to MOF-74, has shown the best catalytic activity of its family as a Lewis acid in the opening of epoxides for CO2 addition.35 Thus, Zn-MOF-74 was our best candidate to evaluate its activity as a catalyst in the alcoholysis of a cyclohexene oxide reaction. In the present



Fig. 1 Structure of porous Zn-MOF-74 containing OMS within the structure. Atom label: green: zinc, black: carbon, and red: oxygen.

work, we report Zn-MOF-74 synthesized at room temperature in methanol for the open ring reaction of cyclohexene with methanol, evaluated at different temperatures, and compared with Zn-MOF-74 synthesized by the conventional method.

### Results and discussion

The basic characterization of Zn-MOF-74 synthesized at room temperature was performed with the following techniques: PXRD is shown in Fig. 2b and S1,† which are in a good relationship with the simulated structure of Zn-MOF-74 for room temperature and conventional synthesis. The BET surface area was calculated from N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms (Fig. S2<sup>†</sup>) in conventional and room temperature Zn-MOF-74 synthesis. The data yielded surface areas of 837 and 737 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for conventional and room temperature Zn-MOF-74 synthesis respectively. These values are in agreement with the different synthesis methodologies in this family of MOFs.36 Furthermore, Zn-MOF-74 exhibits high thermal stability at 350 °C by TGA (Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>). SEM analysis (Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>) was performed to observe the difference in the crystal size of Zn-MOF-74 synthesized at room temperature and conventional methods. Also, high-resolution XPS was conducted to corroborate the Zn2+ state in the materials (Fig. S5<sup>†</sup>). The survey analysis displays the contribution of Zn, C, and O atoms. The O 1s spectra show the peaks for Zn-O and C-O bonds. The spectra for Zn 2p exhibit the characteristic two bands related to Zn 2p3/2 and 2p1/2, showing a separation of 23.15 eV, which is in line with the Zn<sup>2+</sup> oxidation state.<sup>37</sup>

Our first attempt in the alcoholysis reaction using Zn-MOF-74 was with a reaction temperature of 30 °C in methanol. However, the epoxide conversion was slow and thereby, we decided to increase the temperature of the reaction. According to the graphs of cyclohexene oxide conversion as a function of time (Fig. 2a), the conversion increases with the reaction temperature. This behavior is similar to the study of ringopening of styrene oxide with benzoic acid (*i.e.*, the conversion increased, and the time of reaction decreased when the reaction temperature rose).<sup>38</sup> Therefore, in this type of reaction, the catalytic activity is associated with the reaction temperature. As shown in Fig. 2a, the Zn-MOF-74 catalyst transforms practically all the cyclohexene within two days at 50 °C.

More than 83% of the conversion was obtained in the first 30 hours of reaction; the rate became slower afterward. To guarantee the stability of the catalysts after each reaction, Zn-MOF-74 was separated from the reaction mixture by centrifugation and was analysed by PXRD; the obtained diffractograms are shown in Fig. 2b and demonstrated that the crystalline structure was retained. Therefore, the material can be used as a heterogeneous catalyst since it is stable under the reaction conditions. Recyclability experiments of Zn-MOF-74 were carried out with the sample that achieved the best conversions. Table 1 and Fig. S6<sup>†</sup> show that the conversion was not decreased, demonstrating that the catalyst is regenerated. In addition, the stability of Zn-MOF-74 after the third cycle was determined by PXRD (Fig. 2b). To confirm that there is no leaching of the catalyst, a lixiviation test was carried out at 50  $^\circ$ C, where the catalyst was removed after 21 hours of reaction



Fig. 2 (a) Conversion of cyclohexene oxide with methanol using Zn-MOF-74 at different temperatures 50 °C (red), 40 °C (orange), and 30 °C (pink). (b) PXRD of Zn-MOF-74 synthesized at room temperature (purple) and simulated (black), Zn-MOF-74 after alcoholysis reaction at 30 °C (pink), 40 °C (orange), 50 °C 1 cycle (red) and 3 cycles (blue). (c) Steps proposed for the alcoholysis of cyclohexene oxide with methanol catalyzed by Zn-MOF-74.

 Table 1
 Conversion values of alcoholysis of cyclohexene oxide at 46 h of reaction



through centrifugation. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was kept at the same temperature until 46 hours of reaction. The conversion did not increase significantly compared to after 21 hours of reaction, indicating the absence of leaching.

It has been reported that the MOF synthesis temperature affects the crystal size obtained; this generally occurs at higher temperatures,<sup>39,40</sup> generating a larger crystal size under certain considerations, which is why the conventional synthesis of Zn-MOF-74 generates a material of larger crystal size than the synthesis at room temperature. Considering

this, Zn-MOF-74 was synthesized under conventional conditions and tested for the alcoholysis of cyclohexene oxide at 50 °C for 46 hours with a conversion of nearly 51.1%, representing almost half of Zn-MOF-74 synthesized at room temperature (93.8%). The difference in the catalytic activity can be attributed to the crystal size of the material because the synthesis at room temperature generates nanocrystal materials. It has been observed, precisely with this family of materials, that nanocrystalline MOF-74 exhibits better catalytic activity because its size allows better interaction with the reagents, as demonstrated with oxidation reactions.<sup>33</sup> Finally, the precursor metal salt of MOF-74 (zinc acetate) was utilized to perform the reaction in a homogeneous system, with a conversion of 26.2% at 50 °C for 46 hours. The low conversion observed can be due to the ligand dissociation of the Zn(II) complex and, ultimately, to metal decomposition behavior reported in the Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> salt.<sup>25</sup> Conversely, a MOFtype system is more stable since it is heterogeneous. Recovering the catalyst in a homogeneous system is also a challenge. To rationalize the result obtained with Zn-MOF-74, we compare our catalyst with various materials shown in Table 2. The time of conversion of cyclohexene oxide using (near to 100%) Zn-MOF-74, at 50 °C, is higher than that of other catalysts reported at a similar temperature as sulphated yttria-zirconia,41 H-Mont-3,42 Cu-MOF,9 and Cu(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · nH<sub>2</sub>O.2 Another factor is the solvent type employed, which can harm the environment, such as CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> or the solvent employed during the catalyst synthesis.2 Furthermore, heating during the synthesis process results in materials requiring more

Table 2 Comparison of catalysts in the alcoholysis of cyclohexene oxide with methanol

Material	Time (h)	Temperature (°C)	Conversion (%)	Ref
Zr-UiO-66-NHCH <sub>2</sub> Naph-	30	60	98	43
ОН				
Ga-MOF	10	120	92	44
Sulfated yttria-zirconia	1.5	45	84	41
H-Mont-3	3.5	24.5	92	42
Cu-MOF	10	RT	99	9
$Cu(BF_4)_2 \cdot nH_2O$	2	RT	94	2
Graphene oxide	24	RT	62	11
Zn-MOF-74	46	50	94	This worl

energy consumption. Other catalysts such as Zr-UiO66-NHCH<sub>2</sub> Naph-OH<sup>43</sup> and Ga-MOF<sup>44</sup> present good conversion, which could be due to the higher temperature of the reaction. As a comparison, the thermal stability of Zr-UiO66-NHCH<sub>2</sub> Naph-OH (285 °C) is roughly similar to Zn-MOF-74 (350 °C). Although the UiO-66 family displays chemical stability under aqueous and acid conditions against Zn-MOF-74.45,46 However, the MOF-74 family exhibits stability under organic solvents, which is necessary for catalytic reactions. In addition, the solvent exchange (in this case, methanol) avoids the material's activation, saving steps and energy costs. On the other hand, the conversion of Zn-MOF-74 at 50 °C is superior (73.2% at 21 hours) to that reported with graphene oxide at similar conditions.<sup>11</sup> Also, using methanol as a nucleophile; may be due to the efficient renewal of Zn (Zn-MOF-74) in the catalytic cycle and the acid strength of the metal centre since this influences the opening of the epoxide.

The mechanism for the ring-opening of cyclohexene oxide reaction has been proposed previously in the literature,42 as is shown in Fig. 2c. The first reaction stage (step I) involves access to unsaturated metal sites, which can be achieved by activating MOF-74 that removing solvent molecules coordinated to the metal centre.47 It is worth mentioning that the synthesized Zn-MOF-74 is submerged in methanol, the same reagent as the nucleophile for the alcoholysis reaction. Thus, the activation in our system occurs automatically within the reaction system without requiring more energy, either for heating or vacuum. Subsequently (step II), the OMS acts as Lewis acid and generates an interaction with the oxygen of the epoxide, producing partial charges in the structure.42 This behavior has been observed in similar systems, for example, in cycloaddition reactions of CO<sub>2</sub> to epoxides openings for adding aromatic amines.48 In the third stage (step III), the opening of the epoxide and the addition of methanol occur through a nucleophilic attack of the oxygen of the methanol to the epoxide carbon with a partial positive charge.<sup>49</sup> At the same time, the oxygen of the epoxide ends its interaction with the OMS and attacks the methanol carbon. Finally, 2-methoxycyclohexanol is produced and released. Then Zn-MOF-74 is returned to the reaction system with reusable Zn(II) complexes that can perform further catalytic cycles. The excellent performance of this material demonstrated the advantages of heterogeneous systems since they allow an efficient interaction of the reagents with the catalyst, preventing the metal from leaching within the material and simply separating the catalyst from the reaction mixture.

### Experimental

#### Synthesis of Zn-MOF-74 at room temperature

Zn-MOF-74 was synthesized following the method reported at room temperature with a molar ratio of 2 metal: 1 linker.34 Two solutions were prepared: solution 1 of 1 mmol of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid (DHTP) and 6.6 mL of methanol was added by drip, solution 2 of 2 mmol of zinc acetate dihydrate  $(Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O)$  and 3.3 mL of methanol, solution 1 was added to solution 2 by slow drip under continuous stirring at room temperature for 18 hours. Subsequently, the Zn-MOF-74 crystals were separated by centrifuging and washed with methanol three times to remove the excess reactant within the pores of the MOF. The material was stored submerged in methanol.

#### Synthesis of Zn-MOF-74 conventional

Zn-MOF-74 was synthesized under the conventional method at high temperatures.<sup>40</sup> In a covered glass tube of 20 mL were added 2.9 of zinc acetate dihydrate, 1 mmol of DHTP, 2 mL of water, and 38 mL of DMF. This mixture was heated at 100 °C for 20 hours. Later, the Zn-MOF-74 crystals were separated by centrifuging and washed two times with DMF, and after three times with methanol, the material was stored submerged in methanol.

#### Gas chromatography experiments

Gas chromatography (GC) was performed using a Carbowax column (25 m  $\times$  0.25 mm ID and 0.25  $\mu m$  ) with a He flow of 2.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, FID 250 °C, heating ramps of 15 °C min<sup>-1</sup> from 80 to 180 °C and of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> from 180 to 210 °C.

### Power X-ray (PXRD) diffraction experiments

Materials were dried before diffraction measurements. The analyzes were carried out on a Bruker AXD D8 Advance diffractometer operated at 160 W with a Cu lamp Ka1 ( $\lambda$  = 1.5406 A).



Fig. 3 Flowchart of alcoholysis of cyclohexene oxide in Zn-MOF-74.

### Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

Thermal stability was determined by TGA, with a heating rate of 20  $^{\rm o}{\rm C}~{\rm min}^{-1}$  under airflow from 30 to 600  $^{\rm o}{\rm C}.$ 

#### Isotherms of adsorption/desorption of N<sub>2</sub>

This analysis was performed to know the apparent surface area of conventional and room temperature Zn-MOF-74. N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherms were performed on a Belsorp analyser under vacuum  $(10^{-3} \text{ bar})$ , with the pressure of  $P/P_0$  from 0 to 1 and 77 K. Both Zn-MOF-74 were activated at 150 °C for 16 h (under  $10^{-3}$  bar).

#### Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Morphology and crystal size studies were carried out in an ultrahigh resolution Philips XL 30 SEM instrument with a tungsten filament.

#### Alcoholysis of cyclohexene

This reaction was evaluated in a 10 mL covered glass reactor at three different temperatures (30, 40, 50 °C), each one by triplicate, with the following amounts of reagents: 3.0 mmol cyclohexene oxide, 0.3 mmol toluene (internal standard), 75 mmol methanol (nucleophile) and 0.03 mmol of a catalyst under continuous stirring of 250 rpm (Fig. 3). An Aliquot of 0.1 mL was taken and dissolved in 1 mL of acetonitrile to be analysed by GC. After each reaction, the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture by centrifugation and washed 2 times with methanol.

### Conclusions

Zn-MOF-74 has become an efficient heterogeneous catalyst for the acid-catalysed ring-opening alcoholysis of cyclohexene oxide. The reaction is governed by thermodynamics, being at 50 °C the best temperature employed, which yields the highest conversion; this effect may be because the catalyst regenerates faster and the reactants access the unsaturated Zn(n) sites within the material. Under the best conditions, a conversion greater than 73% was obtained in the first 21 hours and around 94% in less than two days of reaction. The nanocrystalline Zn-MOF-74 material, synthesized at room temperature, showed higher activity than the large crystal size due to diffusional effects. The material showed no degradation, leaching, or decrease in its catalytic activity in 3 reaction cycles. Therefore, it is clear that the porous Zn-based-MOF material is an efficient catalyst for alcoholysis reactions, allowing its reuse without losing catalytic activity, resulting in an exciting platform to perform heterogeneous catalysis.

### Author contributions

J. Gabriel Flores and Juan L. Obeso: conceptualization, investigation, formal analysis, and writing – Héctor Serrano-Espejel and Britney Mondragón-Rodríguez, characterization of the material – original draft. V. Martínez-Jiménez and Nancy Martín-Guaregua: formal analysis and editing. Alejandro Islas-Jácome, Eduardo González-Zamora and Julia Aguilar-Pliego: writing – review and editing. D. A. Solís-Casados: XPS experiments and analysis. Carolina Leyva, Ilich A. Ibarra, Ricardo A. Peralta, and José Antonio de los Reyes: conceptualization, supervision, project administration, and resources.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

J. G. Flores, thanks to CONAHCYT for the postdoctoral position (687839). J. L. O. thanks CONAHCYT for the PhD fellowship (1003953). We thank U. Winnberg (Euro Health) for scientific discussions and G. Ibarra-Winnberg for scientific encouragement. We thank N. S. Portillo-Vélez for her help in the XPS analysis.

### References

- 1 Z. Yan, C. Du, G. Luo and J. Deng, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, 6, 2159–2169.
- 2 J. Barluenga, H. Vázquez-Villa, A. Ballesteros and J. M. González, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, 4, 2817–2819.
- 3 M. Halder, A. Singha Roy and K. Sen, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **98**, 100056.
- 4 B. Tang, W. Dai, X. Sun, G. Wu, N. Guan, M. Hunger and L. Li, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 1744–1755.
- 5 D. B. G. Williams and M. Lawton, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 3269.
- 6 Y. Feng, M. E. Lydon and C. W. Jones, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, 5, 3636–3643.
- 7 W. Dai, C. Wang, B. Tang, G. Wu, N. Guan, Z. Xie, M. Hunger and L. Li, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 2955–2964.
- 8 Y.-S. Kim, X.-F. Guo and G.-J. Kim, Chem. Commun., 2009, 4296.
- 9 Y. Jiang, J. Huang, M. Hunger, M. Maciejewski and A. Baiker, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 5, 897–902.
- 10 Y. Liu, R. C. Klet, J. T. Hupp and O. Farha, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 7806–7809.
- 11 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro, P. Concepción, V. Fornés and H. Garcia, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 5443.

- 12 G. Kumar, G. Kumar and R. Gupta, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 21352–21361.
- 13 B. Tang, W. Dai, G. Wu, N. Guan, L. Li and M. Hunger, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 2801–2810.
- 14 J. Liang, Z. Liang, R. Zou and Y. Zhao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701139.
- 15 X.-D. Song, S. Wang, C. Hao and J.-S. Qiu, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **46**, 277–281.
- 16 J. L. Obeso, H. Viltres, C. V. Flores, A. López-Olvera, A. R. Rajabzadeh, S. Srinivasan, I. A. Ibarra and C. Leyva, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2023, 11, 109872.
- 17 R. A. Perlata, M. T. Huxley, Z. Shi, Y.-B. Zhang, C. J. Sumby and C. J. Doonan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 15313–15316.
- 18 J. L. Obeso, A. López-Olvera, C. V. Flores, R. A. Peralta, I. A. Ibarra and C. Leyva, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 3273– 3276.
- 19 R. A. Peralta, M. T. Huxley, J. D. Evans, T. Fallon, H. Cao, M. He, X. S. Zhao, S. Agnoli, C. J. Sumby and C. J. Doonan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 13533–13543.
- 20 J. L. Obeso, D. R. Amaro, C. V. Flores, A. Gutiérrez-Alejandre, R. A. Peralta, C. Leyva and I. A. Ibarra, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2023, 485, 215135.
- 21 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, 1, 856.
- 22 H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 1230444.
- 23 A. Corma, H. García and F. X. Llabrés i Xamena, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4606–4655.
- 24 J. G. Flores, J. Aguilar-Pliego, N. Martin-Guaregua, I. A. Ibarra and M. Sanchez-Sanchez, *Catal. Today*, 2022, **394–396**, 295– 303.
- 25 J. G. Flores, E. Sánchez-González, A. Gutiérrez-Alejandre, J. Aguilar-Pliego, A. Martínez, T. Jurado-Vázquez, E. Lima, E. González-Zamora, M. Díaz-García, M. Sánchez-Sánchez and I. A. Ibarra, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, 47, 4639–4645.
- 26 R. A. Peralta, P. Lyu, A. López-Olvera, J. L. Obeso, C. Leyva, N. C. Jeong, I. A. Ibarra and G. Maurin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202210857.
- 27 H. Konnerth, B. M. Matsagar, S. S. Chen, M. H. G. Prechtl, F.-K. Shieh and K. C.-W. Wu, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2020, 416, 213319.
- 28 T. A. Wezendonk, V. P. Santos, M. A. Nasalevich, Q. S. E. Warringa, A. I. Dugulan, A. Chojecki, A. C. J. Koeken, M. Ruitenbeek, G. Meima, H.-U. Islam, G. Sankar, M. Makkee, F. Kapteijn and J. Gascon, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 3236–3247.

- 29 C. Healy, K. M. Patil, B. H. Wilson, L. Hermanspahn, N. C. Harvey-Reid, B. I. Howard, C. Kleinjan, J. Kolien, F. Payet, S. G. Telfer, P. E. Kruger and T. D. Bennett, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2020, 419, 213388.
- 30 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, *Chem.-Eur.* J., 2010, 16, 8530–8536.
- 31 N. L. Rosi, J. Kim, M. Eddaoudi, B. Chen, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 1504–1518.
- 32 Ü. Kökçam-Demir, A. Goldman, L. Esrafili, M. Gharib, A. Morsali, O. Weingart and C. Janiak, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 2751–2798.
- 33 D. Ruano, M. Díaz-García, A. Alfayate and M. Sánchez-Sánchez, *ChemCatChem*, 2015, 7, 674–681.
- 34 J. G. Flores, M. Díaz-García, I. A. Ibarra, J. Aguilar-Pliego and M. Sánchez-Sánchez, J. Solid State Chem., 2021, 298, 122151.
- 35 Y. B. N. Tran, P. T. K. Nguyen, Q. T. Luong and K. D. Nguyen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 16747–16759.
- 36 M. Díaz-García, Á. Mayoral, I. Díaz and M. Sánchez-Sánchez, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, 14, 2479–2487.
- 37 M. Claros, M. Setka, Y. P. Jimenez and S. Vallejos, *Nanomaterials*, 2020, **10**, 471.
- 38 M. N. S. Rad and S. Behrouz, Mol. Diversity, 2013, 17, 9-18.
- 39 K. A. S. Usman, J. W. Maina, S. Seyedin, M. T. Conato, L. M. Payawan, L. F. Dumée and J. M. Razal, NPG Asia Mater., 2020, 12, 58.
- 40 T. A. Mulyati, R. Ediati and A. Rosyidah, *Indones. J. Chem.*, 2015, **15**, 101–107.
- 41 S. S. Kahandal, S. R. Kale, S. T. Disale and R. V. Jayaram, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **2**, 1493.
- 42 D. Bhuyan, L. Saikia and D. K. Dutta, *Appl. Catal.*, A, 2014, 487, 195–201.
- 43 A. Das, N. Anbu, M. Sk, A. Dhakshinamoorthy and S. Biswas, *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12**, 1789–1798.
- 44 Z. Xue, J. Jiang, M.-G. Ma, M.-F. Li and T. Mu, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2017, 5, 2623–2631.
- 45 X. Liu, N. K. Demir, Z. Wu and K. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 6999–7002.
- 46 S. Zuluaga, E. M. A. Fuentes-Fernandez, K. Tan, F. Xu, J. Li, Y. J. Chabal and T. Thonhauser, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 5176–5183.
- 47 J. A. Botas, G. Calleja, M. Sánchez-Sánchez and M. G. Orcajo, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2011, **36**, 10834–10844.
- 48 W.-Y. Gao, Y. Chen, Y. Niu, K. Williams, L. Cash, P. J. Perez, L. Wojtas, J. Cai, Y.-S. Chen and S. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2615–2619.
- 49 G. D. Yadav and S. Singh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, 55, 3979– 3983.