Protein surface recognition using geometrically pure Ru(II) tris(bipyridine) derivatives $\ddagger\ddagger$

Maria H. Filby,^{ab} James Muldoon,^{ab} Serin Dabb,^c Nicholas C. Fletcher,^c Alison E. Ashcroft^b and Andrew J. Wilson*^{ab}

Received 2nd November 2010, Accepted 15th November 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0cc04754f

This manuscript illustrates that the geometric arrangement of protein-binding groups around a ruthenium (II) core leads to dramatic differences in cytochrome c (cyt c) binding highlighting that it is possible to define synthetic receptors with shape complementarity to protein surfaces.

A major challenge in chemical biology is the competitive inhibition of protein-protein interactions (PPIs). $1,2$ To competitively inhibit PPIs, high affinity recogntion of one of the interfaces involved is required. Successful resolution of the challenge relies on being able to construct molecules capable of high affinity protein surface recognition by making discrete non-covalent contacts over a large ($> 800 \text{ Å}^2$) surface with a less well-defined shape than the substrate binding cavities that have often served as targets for intervention.³ Over the past decade a number of groups have used various scaffolds to project functionality capable of making multivalent hydrophobic,⁴ ion-pairing^{5–17} and metal–ligand interactions^{18,19} over a protein surface to achieve high affinity binding. In certain cases inhibition of $PPIs^{4,20-24}$ and selective recognition have been observed^{6,8,12,25,26} although the latter property has ordinarily derived from complementary matching of electrostatic interactions between protein and ligand. Thus far, these fundamental studies have focused on varying the dimensions and functional composition of molecules designed to recognise protein surfaces. In contrast, variation in the 3D projection of functional groups has not been exploited to modify binding properties. In the current manuscript we illustrate that the geometric arrangement of functional groups around a ruthenium (I) core such as compound 1 leads to differences in protein-binding affinity towards cytochrome c. COMMUNICATION view Article to this proper content in the content of the

Hamachi and co-workers introduced ruthenium(II) trisbipyridine complexes as receptors for proteins in $1999.²⁷$ More recently Okhanda's group,²⁸ followed by our own,²⁶ illustrated such compounds act as fluorescent receptors for proteins. Compared with wholly organic receptors, these complexes are uniquely modular, allowing combinations of recognition

elements to be assembled on a single rigid, three-dimensional scaffold with built-in sensing capability. Trischelate complexes of bipyridine ligands result in two optical isomers, Δ or Λ ; and in the case of unsymmetrical bidentate ligands of Cs symmetry, geometrical facial (fac) and meridional (mer) isomers. This offers the opportunity to explore if different projections of binding functionality result in preferential binding of target proteins. Indeed, the binding properties of Δ or Λ optical isomers have been exploited for peptide assembly, 2^9 lectin recognition³⁰ and direct intercalation between the metalligand core and DNA.³¹ The isolation of geometrical isomers is less well established and so binding properties for these compounds have not been explored although simple anion recognition has been studied.³²

Receptor 1 functionalized at the 5 position of bipyridine with an optically pure recognition motif incorporating two L-aspartyl groups was synthesized with tert-butyl ester protecting groups as described in the ESI.^{\ddagger} We separated

Fig. 1 Structures and proteins used in this study (a) cyt c (b) acetylated cyt c (c) α -chymoytrypsin (d) compounds 1 and 2.

 $\sqrt[a]{a}$ School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK. E-mail: A.J.Wilson@leeds.ac.uk;

Fax: $+44$ (0)113 3436565; Tel: $+44$ (0)113 3431409

^b Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

 c^c School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, BT9 5AG, UK

 \dagger This article is part of the 'Emerging Investigators' themed issue for ChemComm

 \ddagger Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures, characterization and fluorescence binding studies. See DOI: 10.1039/c0cc04754f

Fig. 2 (a) ¹H NMR Spectra (500 MHz, d_6 -acetone) of ^tbutyl protected *fac/mer* Λ/Δ 1 and (b) circular dichroism spectra (CD) of fac/mer Λ/Δ 1 (H₂O, pH 7.0, 100 μ M).

the tert-butyl protected fac/mer and Δ/Λ isomers using chromatography prior to deprotection. Our ability to achieve this difficult separation stems from the presence of optically pure L-aspartyl side chains in the ligand. Indeed, the diastereoisomeric nature of the Δ/Λ isomers is reflected in subtly different ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra for both fac and mer isomers. Shown in Fig. 2a are the ¹H NMR spectra of all four fully protected isomers (noting the ¹H NMR of the deprotected complexes exhibit broad poorly resolved resonances—see ESI[†]). In addition to the subtle differences between Δ/Λ isomers, the lack of symmetry distinguishes the fac from mer isomers: the fac isomer is of C_3 symmetry, whereas the *mer* isomer has a C_1 symmetry, with all the ligands being inequivalent.^{33,34} The Δ/Λ isomers were assigned on the basis of circular dichroism spectra.³⁵ Shown in Fig. 2b are the CD spectra of the fully deprotected complexes. We estimate on the basis of the CD spectra that the d.e. is \sim 30% for Δ mer, 60% for Λ fac, >90% for both Λ *mer* and Δ *fac* however this property appears to have little effect on the binding affinity towards proteins (see below). The spectra of the fully protected complexes are similar (see ESI_z) indicating no loss in optical purity.

Following synthesis of 1 we tested protein-surface recognition towards cytochrome c (cyt c), an ideal model protein with essential roles in electron-transfer and apoptosis pathways. Cyt c is positively charged at physiological pH (pI \sim 10) and engages in PPIs with partners via a hydrophobic patch surrounded by lysine moieties (Fig. 1a).³⁶ The ruthenium complexes 1 are fluorescent at 650 nm when excited at 467 nm.³⁷ Titration of cyt c into a solution of receptors

(5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) resulted in efficient fluorescence quenching due to complex formation with the heme edge region of the protein (Fig. 3). The data were fit to a 1 : 1 binding isotherm using non-linear regression to obtain dissociation constants, which for the highest affinity compound is in the low nM regime (Table 1). One-order of magnitude difference in the K_d between fac and the mer isomers upon binding to cyt c is observed (equivalent to 4 kJ mol⁻¹ in free energy). The data, however, showed little discrimination between the Δ and Λ isomers for the pair of geometrical isomers. It is noteworthy that saturation is reached with only a 50% reduction in signal for mer 1 and 25% for fac 1—perhaps a manifestation of the different geometrical relationship that will exist between fluorophore and quencher as a consequence of geometrical selectivity. Ruthenium complex 2, which is functionalized on the isophthalamide motifs with hexylamino groups (see ESI[†]), was synthesized as a negative control and as expected did not show any binding to cyt c. In addition, none of the compounds exhibited any quenching upon titration with 60% acetylated cyt c. Acetylation of surface exposed lysine residues prevents key electrostatic interactions involving these residues from taking place. The majority of solvent exposed lysine residues on cyt c surround the haem exposed edge so the result indicates that (a) the nature of recognition between cyt c and the isomers of 1 is electrostatic in nature (b) molecular recognition occurs at the haem exposed edge and (c) it is the geometrical placement of carboxylates that is the source of differential binding. View Articles. The Access Articles. The Access Articles. The Access Article Constraint in the Access Article Constraint in

To provide further evidence that recognition occurs at the protein surface, all isomers of 1 were tested in a functional ascorbate reduction assay (see ESI \ddagger).³⁸ In the presence of the receptor 1, the reduction of cyt c by ascorbate occurs at a much slower rate, indicating binding of the receptors to the heme region. The degree of inhibition is dose dependent and although minor differences between fac and mer isomers are observed the experiment must be performed at concentrations well above K_d so a comparison with K_d determined by fluorescence is not possible.

To establish if the difference in binding between different isomers is protein-specific or an intrinsic difference between geometrical isomers, we performed titrations with achymotrypsin, which has been shown to be a good target for related ruthenium complexes by Okhanda.²⁸ In our experiments, increases in fluorescence were observed for each isomer of 1, however these occurred over a concentration range much greater than the concentration of the ruthenium complex and did not reach saturation, which suggests ill defined binding

Fig. 3 Raw fluorescence data and titration curve for binding of Δ -mer 1 to cyt c .

Table 1 Dissociation constant for the binding of receptors 1 to cyt c as determined by fluorescence titration a

Compound	K_{d} /nM
Λ -mer 1	$25 + 0.8$
Λ -mer 1	$29 + 0.8$
Δ -fac 1	172 ± 0.2
Λ -fac 1	130 ± 0.3
a 1 µM 1, 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, ex 467 nm.	

(see ESI[†]). Further studies with an expanded selection of funcitonalised ruthenium complexes will therefore be needed to probe this aspect.

In conclusion, we have illustrated that affinity of functionalized $Ru(II)$ tris(bipyridine) complexes towards cyt c is dependent on their geometrical configuration. The complexes bind to cyt c in a specific and selective manner with differences in binding affinity attributable to the different geometrical placement of carboxylate groups that make contacts with surface exposed lysine residues on cyt c. Our future studies are directed towards a structural rationalisation of this result and testing the biological utility of these metal complexes. Open Access Article. Published on 23 November 2010. Downloaded on 10/19/2024 7:01:18 PM. [View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cc04754f)

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust [WT 78112/Z/05/Z] and EPSRC [EP/F039069 & EP/F038712].

Notes and references

- 1 A. J. Wilson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 3289–3300.
- 2 H. Yin and A. D. Hamilton, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4130–4163.
- 3 M. W. Peczuh and A. D. Hamilton, Chem. Rev., 2000, 100, 2479–2493.
- 4 D. K. Leung, Z. W. Yang and R. Breslow, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2000, 97, 5050–5053.
- 5 Y. Hamuro, M. C. Calama, H.-S. Park and A. D. Hamilton, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 2680–2683.
- 6 H. S. Park, Q. Lin and A. D. Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 8–13.
- 7 R. K. Jain and A. D. Hamilton, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 1721–1723.
- 8 N. O. Fischer, C. M. McIntosh, J. M. Simard and V. M. Rotello, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2002, 99, 5018–5023.
- 9 A. J. Wilson, K. Groves, R. K. Jain, H. S. Park and A. D. Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 4420–4421.
- 10 M. Braun, S. Atalick, D. M. Guldi, H. Lanig, M. Brettreich, S. Burghardt, M. Hatzimarinaki, E. Ravanelli, M. Prato, R. v. Eldik and A. Hirsch, Chem.–Eur. J., 2003, 9, 3867–3875.
- 11 D. M. Tragore, K. I. Sprinz, S. Fletcher, J. Jayawickramarajah and A. D. Hamilton, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 223–225.
- 12 D. Paul, H. Miyake, S. Shinoda and H. Tsukube, Chem.–Eur. J., 2006, 12, 1328–1338.
- 13 M. Arendt, W. Sun, J. Thomann, X. Xie and T. Schrader, Chem.–Asian J., 2006, 1, 544–554.
- 14 O. Hayashida, H. Ogawa and M. Uchiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 13698–13705.
- 15 F. Chiba, T.-C. Hu, L. J. Twyman and M. Wagstaff, Chem. Commun., 2008, 4351–4353.
- 16 P. B. Crowley, P. Ganji and H. Ibrahim, ChemBioChem, 2008, 9, 1029–1033.
- 17 A. J. Wilson, J. Hong and A. D. Hamilton, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 276–285.
- 18 A. Oida, Y. Mito-oka, M.-a. Inoue and I. Hamachi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 6256-6257.
- 19 A. L. Banerjee, M. Swanson, B. C. Roy, X. Jia, M. K. Haldar, S. Malik and D. K. Srivastava, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 10875–10883.
- 20 M. A. Blaskovich, Q. Lin, F. L. Delarue, J. Sun, H. S. Park, D. Coppola, A. D. Hamilton and S. M. Sebti, Nat. Biotechnol., 2000, 18, 1065–1070.
- 21 Y. Wei, G. L. McLendon, A. D. Hamilton, M. A. Case, C. B. Purring, Q. Lin, H. S. Park, C. S. Lee and T. N. Yu, Chem. Commun., 2001, 1580–1581.
- 22 H. Bayraktar, P. S. Ghosh, V. M. Rotello and M. J. Knapp, Chem. Commun., 2006, 1390–1392.
- 23 H. Azuma, Y. Yoshida, D. Paul, S. Shinoda, H. Tsukube and T. Nagasaki, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 1700–1704.
- 24 H. S. Park, Q. Lin and A. D. Hamilton, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2002, 99, 5105–5109.
- 25 A. M. Fazal, B. C. Roy, S. Sun, S. Malik and K. Rogers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 6283–6290.
- 26 J. Muldoon, A. E. Ashcroft and A. J. Wilson, Chem.–Eur. J., 2010, 16, 100–103.
- 27 H. Takashima, S. Shinkai and I. Hamachi, Chem. Commun., 1999, 2345–2346.
- 28 J. Ohkanda, R. Satoh and N. Kato, Chem. Commun., 2009, 6949–6951.
- 29 M. R. Ghadiri, C. Soares and C. Choi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 825–831.
- 30 S. Sakai, Y. Shigemasa and T. Sasaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1999, 72, 1313–1319.
- 31 K. E. Erkkila, D. T. Odom and J. K. Barton, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 2777–2796.
- 32 N. C. A. Baker, N. McGaughey, N. C. Fletcher, A. V. Chernikov, P. N. Horton and M. B. Hursthouse, Dalton Trans., 2009, 965–972.
- 33 E. A. P. Armstrong, R. T. Brown, M. S. Sekwale, N. C. Fletcher, X.-Q. Gong and P. Hu, Inorg. Chem., 2004, 43, 1714–1722.
- 34 N. C. Fletcher, C. Martin and H. J. Abrahams, New J. Chem., 2007, 31, 1407–1411.
- 35 N. C. Fletcher, M. Nieuwenhuyzen and S. Rainey, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2001, 2641–2648.
- 36 H. Pelletier and J. Kraut, Science, 1992, 258, 1748–1755.
- 37 A. Juris, S. Barigelletti, S. Campagna, V. Balzani, P. Belser and A. von Zelewsky, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1988, 84, 85–277.
- 38 E. Mochan and P. Nicholls, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg., 1972, 267, 309–319.