

On-line liquid chromatography neutral loss-triggered electron transfer dissociation mass spectrometry for the targeted analysis of citrullinated peptides†

Andrew J. Creese,^{ab} Melissa M. Grant,^a Iain L. C. Chapple^a and Helen J. Cooper^{*b}

Received 29th June 2010, Accepted 5th November 2010

DOI: 10.1039/c0ay00414f

Citrullination is a post-translational modification of proteins which deiminates arginine, increasing the mass by 0.98 Da. Protein citrullination is a known biomarker for multiple sclerosis and a potential biomarker for rheumatoid arthritis. Collision-induced dissociation (CID) tandem mass spectrometry of citrullinated peptides produces a dominant neutral loss of isocyanic acid (HNCO, −43 Da) from the deiminated arginine amino acid side-chain. Here we show that the loss of isocyanic acid in CID can be used as a trigger for targeted analysis by supplemental activation electron transfer dissociation (saETD). Unlike CID, post-translational modifications (PTMs) are retained on peptide backbone fragments produced by saETD, improving the confidence in assignment of both peptide sequence and PTM site. The method is demonstrated for four synthetic peptides spiked into complex trypsin-digested saliva samples and a commercial six protein tryptic mixture. In contrast to CID alone, the neutral-loss triggered ETD approach results in high confidence identification of three of the four peptides, including an unexpected disulfide-bound dimer, and zero false positives.


Introduction

Citrullination is a post-translational modification (PTM) of proteins in which an arginine amino acid residue is converted to citrulline *via* deimination, see Scheme 1. The modification has the same mass increase (+0.9840 Da) as deamidation of asparagine or glutamine residues.¹ The citrullination of arginine is caused by a group of calcium dependent enzymes called peptidylarginine deiminases (PADs).² The conversion of arginine to citrulline decreases the isoelectric point (pI) of the protein and affects its structure and function. Increased levels of citrullinated myelin basic protein have been detected in the brains of patients suffering from multiple sclerosis^{3,4} and Alzheimer's disease.⁵ Citrulline-modified proteins vimentin, fibronectin and α -enolase have also been detected as part of the inflammatory-immune response in rheumatoid arthritis (RA).^{6–8} The modification of

these proteins is used as a serum biomarker for RA.⁶ In addition to those described above, the histones^{9,10} H3, H2A and H4 and myosin¹¹ have been shown to be citrullinated. The apparent specificity of citrullination increases its potential as a disease biomarker.

A significant association has been demonstrated between periodontitis and rheumatoid arthritis in epidemiological research,¹² but specific mechanistic links between these two chronic inflammatory diseases have not been fully elucidated.¹² One of the principal pathogenic bacteria in periodontitis, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*,¹³ is thought to also play a role in RA.¹⁴ *P. gingivalis* is the only known periodontal bacterium to contain a PAD enzyme¹⁵ and this organism is found in both the gingival crevicular fluid (GCF)¹⁶ and saliva¹⁷ of patients with periodontitis. Saliva may therefore be a suitable and convenient substrate for the non-invasive diagnosis of RA.

Tandem mass spectrometry-based proteomics methods are routinely used to identify the peptides and proteins present in a biological sample^{18–20} and to characterise any post-translational modifications.^{21,22} A standard proteomics approach is to digest a protein mixture with trypsin and use on-line reversed-phase liquid chromatography (LC) to separate the peptides.^{23,24} The LC is coupled to collision induced dissociation (CID)²⁵ tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). CID fragments peptide ions by cleaving the N–C₀ bond producing b and y²⁶ fragment ions, thus providing sequence information which can be searched against protein databases. Algorithms are employed to match the measured peptide mass spectrum with those calculated from *in silico* digests of proteins contained in a database. The peptide assignment is scored according to the similarity between the experimental data and the theoretical mass spectrum. Using this approach, it is possible to identify thousands of peptides (and proteins) from an LC-MS/MS analysis.²⁷ CID fragmentation is a thermal process: one of the drawbacks of CID is the



Scheme 1 The conversion of the amino acid residue arginine (left) to citrulline (right).

^aSchool of Dentistry, Periodontal Research Group, University of Birmingham, St Chads Queensway, Birmingham, B4 6NN, UK

^bSchool of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. E-mail: H.J.Cooper@bham.ac.uk

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: The *m/z* values and ion intensities for all informative fragments from MS/MS spectra in figure 1 are shown in table S1. See DOI: 10.1039/c0ay00414f

preferential loss of post-translational modifications at the expense of sequence fragments. This occurrence can hinder both peptide identification and localisation of the site of the modification. Electron transfer dissociation (ETD) is a tandem mass spectrometry technique, analogous to electron capture dissociation (ECD),²⁸ developed by Syka *et al.* in 2004.²⁹ Both ETD and ECD involve radical ion chemistry and result in the cleavage of the N–C α peptide bond, producing c and z' ions. There are significant advantages gained by fragmentation of peptides with ETD or ECD: fragmentation is random and relatively non-selective, the only exception being cleavage N-terminal to proline,³⁰ hence the extent of peptide sequence coverage is often greater than for CID.^{31,32} Furthermore, in ET/CD, labile post-translational modifications (PTMs) are retained on the peptide backbone fragments. The site of modification can therefore be localised: γ -carboxyglutamic acid,³³ phosphorylation (S, T and Y),^{34–37} N and O glycosylation,^{38–40} acylation,⁴¹ sulfation,³³ methionine oxidation,⁴² sumoylation⁴³ and ubiquitination⁴⁴ have all been successfully localised. One drawback of ETD is that non-covalent bonds are not necessarily cleaved. Despite N–C α bond cleavage, the fragments may not separate due to inter-fragment non-covalent interactions. The situation is exacerbated for doubly charged precursor peptide ions: electron transfer reduces the charge by one and there is no Coulombic repulsion to aid separation of the fragments. In order to address that challenge, Swaney *et al.*⁴⁵ developed supplemental activation ETD (saETD) in which the charge-reduced ion is collisionally activated, thus disrupting any non-covalent bonding.

Tandem mass spectrometry has previously been applied to the localisation of sites of citrullination on synthetic peptides^{46,47} and the protein nucleophosmin⁴⁷ (known to be citrullinated). Hao *et al.*⁴⁷ fragmented the synthetic citrullinated peptides (AAX-AA,AKXHKKVLXDNIC) and the citrullinated nucleophosmin peptide (SIXDTPAK) using CID (where X is a citrulline residue). Fragmentation produced a dominant peak corresponding to the neutral loss of isocyanic acid (43 Da, HNCO) from the citrulline side-chain, see Scheme 2. In addition, CID of citrullinated peptides produces fragment ion series corresponding to b (–43 Da) and y (–43 Da) ions. Hao *et al.* used the neutral loss and ion series to distinguish citrullination from deamidation which does not produce a neutral loss. That approach detects the presence of citrullination. To localise the site of citrullination in peptides with more than one arginine (it has been suggested that the presence of citrullination abrogates the site of trypsin cleavage⁴⁸), a full ion series (all b and y ions of –43 Da) would be required. This process is also reliant on all citrullinated peptides producing the –43 Da ion series. In a separate approach, citrullinated residues were modified using 2,3-butanedione and anti-pyridine,⁴⁶ a tagging process used to


detect citrullination in antibody staining,⁴⁹ resulting in a mass increase of 239.1 Da. On CID, a dominant peak with an *m/z* value of 201.1 corresponding to loss of the tag is observed whereas ETD of the modified peptides did not result in any neutral losses. By performing (non-targeted) alternating CID and ETD analyses, peptides with the modification were identified by observation of the neutral loss following CID and the site of citrullination was localised from the ETD mass spectrum. Using this technique citrullination sites were identified on myelin basic protein (MBP). This method is time-consuming in terms of sample preparation. In addition, the requirement for CID and ETD analysis of each peptide increases the duty cycle time thus decreasing the number of MS/MS events and increasing the likelihood of false negatives.

Previous work in our laboratory has shown that observation of a neutral loss of phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄, 98 Da) from a phosphopeptide following CID can be used as a trigger for subsequent ECD of the phosphopeptide parent ion.⁵⁰ Here, we use the neutral loss of isocyanic acid (HNCO, 43 Da) observed in CID of citrullinated peptides to trigger saETD of the parent ion. Citrullinated peptides were synthesised and spiked into tryptic digests of saliva thus simulating the type of media in which citrullinated proteins may be present. The mixture was separated by reversed-phase liquid chromatography coupled to an LTQ Orbitrap Velos ETD mass spectrometer. As the peptides eluted, CID was performed. If a peak corresponding to the loss of isocyanic acid was observed in the CID mass spectrum, saETD of the precursor peptide ion was performed (*i.e.*, this approach does *not* involve MS³ of the neutral loss ions). The MS/MS data were searched against a protein database using the SEQUEST⁵¹ algorithm. The algorithm matches the MS/MS spectrum to a spectrum of a theoretical peptide from the protein database. The results show that the four synthetic citrullinated peptides can be manually identified by both CID and saETD. The filtered database search results of the saETD data identified three of the four peptides with high confidence. However, the database search of the CID data only identified two of the synthetic peptides and falsely identified several non-citrullinated peptides. On manual analysis these incorrect identifications proved to be either deamidated peptides or incorrectly assigned mass spectra (false positives). There were several mechanical false positives, *i.e.*, instances in which CID triggered saETD in the absence of a citrullinated peptide, however, database searching of the saETD data did not identify these as additional citrullinated peptides, *i.e.*, saETD resulted in zero false positives.

Results and discussion

Saliva analysis

Three synthetic peptides (ILNXTSFAK, VVEXHQSACK and LYNLHGDXSYVLSK; X is a citrulline residue) were spiked into a trypsin digest of saliva. The sample was loaded onto a reversed phase C18 column and separated over a 30 minute gradient. The eluting peptides were fragmented by collision induced dissociation (CID). If one of the three most abundant peaks corresponded to the loss of 43 Da from the precursor ion, the precursor ion was subjected to supplemental activation electron transfer dissociation (saETD). The data were analysed



Scheme 2 Collision induced dissociation of citrulline.

using the SEQUEST algorithm and searched against the human IPI database (v3.66) supplemented with the sequences of the synthetic peptides. The results were filtered by XCorr (a measure of how close an experimental MS/MS spectrum is to the theoretical MS/MS spectrum) vs. charge state. XCorr values less than 2, 2.25 and 2.5 were rejected for charge states 2+, 3+ and 4+ respectively. In the analysis of the saliva sample, all three synthetic peptides could be manually identified from the CID and saETD spectra. In the filtered database search two of the three peptides were identified from the saETD spectra, however, none of the peptides were identified by CID. A total of 1344 MS/MS events were triggered during the LC-MS/MS gradient. Of these, 1280 were CID events. The database search of the CID data identified 76 human proteins. saETD was triggered for 64 precursor ions. Thirteen of the saETD events corresponded to the three synthetic peptides in doubly and/or triply charged states. Two of the saETD events corresponded to unexpected disulfide-bound dimers of one of the peptides. The SEQUEST search of the saETD data identified two additional peptides neither of which were citrullinated (KGDTFSCMVGHEALPLAFTQK and LGHPDTLNQGEFK). The remaining 47 saETD spectra did not result in positive peptide identifications following the database search. These saETD events were mechanical false positives; that they were not identified in the database search as citrullinated peptides highlights the robustness of the method.

The shortest synthetic peptide (peptide 1: ILNXTSFAK) was observed as a doubly charged ion (m/z 525.802) only. Fig. 1A shows a survey MS spectrum (top), with subsequent CID (middle) and saETD (bottom) mass spectra. The most abundant peak in the CID mass spectrum corresponds to the neutral loss of 43 Da ($\Delta m/z$ 21.37 for 2+ peptide), *i.e.*, isocyanic acid, HNCO. CID was performed thirteen times on the doubly charged ion of peptide 1. Of these thirteen events, nine triggered saETD. The CID mass spectrum shown gave the highest XCorr but is of poor quality with very few peaks above baseline noise. Nevertheless, the database search and manual analysis of the mass spectrum revealed that all eight N-C₀ bonds are cleaved. All eight N-C α bonds are cleaved by saETD to produce abundant fragments, as confirmed by both the SEQUEST search and manual analysis of the mass spectrum. The SEQUEST XCorr score for both the CID mass spectrum and the saETD mass spectrum was 1.7. The disparity in search scores as related to spectral appearance is in part because the search algorithm is designed for CID data, rather than EC/TD data, and does not take into account some of the differences in the fundamental chemistry of the two techniques.^{52,53}

The second synthetic peptide (peptide 2: VVEXHQSACK) was only observed as a triply charged ion (m/z 386.530). CID was performed once and saETD was triggered. Fig. 1B shows the survey MS spectrum (top), CID mass spectrum (middle) and the saETD mass spectrum (bottom). The peak corresponding to a neutral loss of 43 Da ($\Delta m/z$ 14.03) was the third most abundant fragment ion in the CID mass spectrum. The CID mass spectrum was not of sufficient quality to identify the peptide *via* the database search. However, manual analysis revealed that five N-C₀ bonds were cleaved. The peptide was identified by the database search of the saETD data with an XCorr of 2.82. Manual analysis of the saETD mass spectrum, and the database search, revealed all nine N-C α backbone bonds were cleaved.

An unexpected peak corresponding to quadruply charged ions of a disulfide-bound dimer of peptide 2 (m/z_{calc} 578.786, m/z_{meas} 578.787, Δ 1.0 ppm) was observed (Fig. 1C). CID was performed once and saETD was triggered. Manual analysis of the CID mass spectrum (Fig. 1C, middle) showed that there were no fragments unique to the monomer or the dimer of the peptide. However, there was a peak corresponding to a neutral loss of 43 Da, *i.e.* $([2M + 4H] - \text{HNCO})^{4+}$, hence the saETD event. Manual inspection of the saETD mass spectrum (Fig. 1C, bottom) showed that seven out of nine N-C α bonds were cleaved. No fragments were observed which contained the intact disulfide bond. Identification of this unexpected citrullinated species demonstrates the power of the neutral-loss triggered saETD approach. A peak corresponding to the triply charged dimer (m/z 771.382) was also observed. CID was performed and a neutral loss ($-\Delta m/z$ 14) triggered saETD. On manual inspection of both the CID and saETD spectra, no fragment ions were observed. Dimer ions in the 5+ and 6+ charge states (m/z 463.231, m/z 386.194 respectively) were also observed. CID was only performed on the 5+ charge state, however, as the neutral loss from a 5+ ion ($\Delta m/z$ 8.6) was not included in the method, saETD was not triggered. Manual analysis of the CID mass spectrum failed to identify any backbone fragments. Peptide 2 had previously been analysed by direct infusion (DI) electrospray and no dimers were observed. That suggests that the dimer bond may be formed during the elution on the HPLC column or could be the result of different solvent conditions (for DI, peptides are resuspended in methanol : water, 70 : 30 + 1% formic acid).

Fig. 1D shows neutral loss triggered saETD for the doubly charged ion (m/z 833.434) of the third synthetic peptide (peptide 3: LYNLHGDXSYVLSK) (survey mass spectrum (top), CID mass spectrum (middle), saETD mass spectrum (bottom)). CID was performed once. The most abundant peak in the CID spectrum corresponds to the loss of 43 Da from the precursor ion and hence saETD was triggered. Twelve of the thirteen N-C₀ bonds were cleaved by CID as identified by both manual analysis and the SEQUEST search. The CID XCorr score for the peptide was 1.54. All N-C α bonds were cleaved by saETD as identified by both manual analysis and the SEQUEST search. The XCorr score for the saETD spectrum was 2.8. The triply charged ion for peptide 3 (m/z 555.959) was analysed by CID three times triggering saETD twice. The highest-scoring CID spectrum (Fig. 1E, middle) of this ion shows a neutral loss of 43 ($\Delta m/z$ 14.14). Manual analysis revealed that nine of the thirteen N-C₀ bonds were cleaved, however, the SEQUEST search of these spectra gave an XCorr of 1.1, a value which does not pass the filters. In the saETD mass spectrum all thirteen N-C α bonds were cleaved (observed in both the SEQUEST search and manual analysis) (Fig. 1E, bottom) and the database search resulted in an XCorr score of 4.56.

The data from the synthetic peptides spiked into a tryptic saliva digest show that in all cases the synthetic peptides are identified from unfiltered database searches of the saETD mass spectra with the same, or greater, XCorr score obtained from searching the CID data. The filtered database search results show CID does not identify any of the peptides whereas saETD identifies two of the three peptides. CID of peptide 2 (VVEXHQSACK) produced a neutral loss (thus triggering saETD) but insufficient backbone fragments for identification in




Fig. 1 Neutral-loss triggered saETD of the four citrullinated peptides. Survey MS scan (top), CID MS/MS scan (middle) and saETD MS/MS scan (bottom) for: doubly charged peptide [ILNXTSFAK + 2H]²⁺ ions (A); triply charged peptide [VVEXHQSACK + 3H]³⁺ ions (B); quadruply charged dimer ions of the peptide VVEXHQSACK (C); doubly charged peptide [LYNLHGDXSYVLSK + 2H]²⁺ ions (D); triply charged peptide [LYNLHGDXSYVLSK + 3H]³⁺ ions (E); and triply charged peptide [IHAXEEIFDSXGNPTVEVDLFTSK]³⁺ ions (F). X is citrulline. Inset: sequence coverage obtained. _cR is citrulline. The *m/z* values and ion intensities for the informative fragments are shown in Table S1, ESI†.

the database search. There were no false negatives in the database search of the saETD spectra (saETD was triggered 64 times). Two of the additional saETD events were matched to real peptides (KGDTFSCMVGHEALPLAFTQK and LGHPDTLNQGEFK), neither of which were citrullinated or deamidated. In both of these cases, the parent ions were triply charged. The saETD was triggered by peaks $\Delta m/z$ 21.15 less than

the precursor (corresponding to the neutral loss from a 2+ citrullinated ion) for peptide KGDTFSCMVGHEALPLAFTQK and $\Delta m/z$ 11.15 (corresponding to the neutral loss from a 4+ citrullinated ion) for peptide LGHPDTLNQGEFK. The filtered database search of the CID data 'identified' ten additional peptides as containing citrullinated arginine residues (false positives). Manual analysis of the mass spectra of those species

confirms them as either incorrectly assigned spectra (incorrect sequence, 3) or as having the correct sequence but containing either deamidated asparagine or glutamine residues rather than citrulline (7).

In order to test the suitability of the NL-saETD approach for longer and multiply citrullinated peptides, an additional peptide (IHAXEEIFDSXGNPTVEVDLFTSK; X are citrulline residues) was synthesized. The peptide was spiked into a separate saliva digest, analysed and the data searched against the database as described above. The peptide was observed as triply charged ions and the NL-saETD is shown in Fig. 1F (survey mass spectrum (top), CID mass spectrum (middle), saETD mass spectrum (bottom)). CID was performed twice. On both occasions saETD was triggered. The CID spectrum (Fig. 1F, middle) shows a peak corresponding to a neutral loss of 43 Da ($\Delta m/z$ 14.07), *i.e.* loss of HNCO. There are no peaks corresponding to loss of two HNCO molecules. Manual analysis of the CID mass spectrum revealed 19 of the 23 N-C₀ bonds were cleaved. Those cleavages were also identified in the SEQUEST search and the XCorr value was 2.63. Manual analysis of the saETD spectrum (Fig. 1F, bottom) showed 22 of the 23 N-C α bonds were cleaved. (The missed cleavage was N-terminal to proline. Such cleavages are rarely observed following ETD or ECD due to the cyclic nature of the amino acid side-chain.³⁰) The database search of the saETD data resulted in an XCorr of 4.8. Two additional saETD events were matched to non-citrullinated peptides (KAQMQTDDR and KGDTFSCMVGHEALPLAFTQK) in the SEQUEST search. Twelve additional peptides were incorrectly identified as citrullinated in the filtered SEQUEST search of the CID data. Manual inspection revealed that 8 of these were in fact deamidated rather than citrullinated and the remaining four were identified as incorrectly assigned, *i.e.*, incorrect sequence.

Six protein mix analysis

Analysis of the six protein mix containing the three singly citrullinated peptides gave similar results to those obtained for the saliva digest. A trypsin-digested six protein mix was purchased from LC Packings (Sunnyvale, USA). The three synthetic peptides were spiked into the digest. The sample was analysed as above. The concentrations loaded were 50 femtomoles of the six protein digest and 25 femtomoles of the synthetic peptides. Collision induced dissociation was performed 721 times and saETD was triggered 56 times during the LC-MS/MS run. Ten of the saETD mass spectra can be assigned to the synthetic peptides and the unexpected disulfide-bound dimer. Of the additional 46 saETD spectra, two spectra resulted in positive identification in the SEQUEST search (LKECCDKPLLER and YSQQLMETSHR), neither of which were citrullinated. All three peptides were identified by saETD, *i.e.*, there were no false negatives, however, only one of the peptides was assigned in the filtered database search of the CID data (ILNXTSFAK). saETD resulted in no false positives whereas CID resulted in 3 false positives.

Peptide 1 was observed as a doubly charged ion (m/z 525.802). CID was performed 8 times. Four of these CID events triggered saETD. For the CID and saETD spectra, both the SEQUEST search and manual analysis identified cleavage of all eight backbone bonds. The SEQUEST XCorr for the CID spectrum

was 2.06 compared to 1.64 for the saETD spectrum. Peptide 2 was observed as a triply charged ion (m/z 386.530). CID was performed twice; saETD was triggered twice. Manual analysis and the SEQUEST search of the saETD mass spectrum showed all N-C α bonds were cleaved and the database search gave an XCorr score of 2.89. In CID, only five of the nine peptide bonds were cleaved, resulting in an XCorr score of 0.67 which is below the filter cut-off. The quadruply charged disulfide-bonded dimer of peptide 2 was detected and the neutral loss of 43 Da in CID triggered saETD. Manual analysis of the CID spectrum did not identify any unique fragment ions. Peptide 3 was present as doubly (m/z 833.435) and triply charged ions (m/z 555.959); saETD was triggered for both ions (once for the doubly charged ion and twice for the triply charged ion). The saETD mass spectrum of the triply charged ion showed cleavage of twelve of the thirteen N-C α bonds (identified in both the SEQUEST search and manually) with an XCorr score of 4.57. Manual analysis of the CID mass spectrum showed nine of the thirteen bonds cleaved but did not result in a positive identification in the filtered dataset. Removing the filters showed the XCorr value to be 0.91. The CID spectrum of the doubly charged ion showed ten of the thirteen N-C₀ bonds were cleaved (identified in the SEQUEST search and manually) resulting in an XCorr of 1.6, again below the filter threshold. The dominant peak corresponded to the neutral loss of 43 Da. However, the resulting saETD spectrum was of very low quality. There were no fragments identified and therefore no XCorr was reported.

Two saETD events resulted in positive non-citrullinated peptide identifications in the SEQUEST search (LKECCDKPLLER and YSQQLMETSHR), *i.e.*, although saETD was triggered, the database search did not incorrectly identify the peptides as citrullinated, again demonstrating the robustness of the approach. Three additional peptides were incorrectly identified as citrullinated from the CID data. Manual analysis of these spectra showed that in all cases the peptide was deamidated rather than citrullinated (false positives).

In a separate analysis the fourth citrullinated peptide (IHAXEEIFDSXGNPTVEVDLFTSK) was spiked into the six protein digest mix and analysed as described above. As previously, peptide 4 was detected as triply charged ions (m/z 921.461). CID was performed three times. On each occasion saETD was triggered. The CID mass spectrum revealed cleavage of 19 of the 23 N-C₀ bonds (identified both manually and in the SEQUEST search). The saETD mass spectrum also showed 19 of the 23 N-C α bonds were cleaved. The XCorr scores for CID and saETD were 4.87 and 4.91 respectively. As above, two additional saETD spectra were identified in the SEQUEST search as non-citrullinated peptides (LKECCDKPLLER and NYELLCGDNTRK). Two additional peptides were incorrectly identified as citrullinated in the SEQUEST search of the CID data. Manual analysis showed that both were in fact deamidated peptides.

Table 1 summarises the XCorr scores for the MS/MS events from which the four synthetic peptides were identified in both the saliva and six protein digests. The table shows that in all cases, saETD of triply charged peptide ion results in a higher score than CID. This is to be expected: Swaney *et al.*⁵⁴ have shown that the database search scores of saETD mass spectra of triply charged ions up to $m/z \approx 700$ are higher than the scores from corresponding CID mass spectra. saETD of the doubly charged

Table 1 SEQUEST XCorr values for the CID and saETD mass spectra of the four citrullinated peptides in all observed charge states. Values in brackets are below the filter cut-off

	XCorr score	
	CID	saETD
Peptides spiked into saliva		
[ILNXTSFAK] ²⁺	(1.7)	(1.7)
[VVEXHQSACK] ³⁺	—	2.82
[LYNLHGDXSYVLSK] ²⁺	(1.54)	2.8
[LYNLHGDXSYVLSK] ³⁺	(1.1)	4.56
[IHAXEEIFDSXGNPTVEVDLFTSK] ³⁺	2.63	4.8
Peptides spiked into six protein mix		
[ILNXTSFAK] ²⁺	2.06	(1.64)
[VVEXHQSACK] ³⁺	(0.67)	2.89
[LYNLHGDXSYVLSK] ²⁺	(1.6)	—
[LYNLHGDXSYVLSK] ³⁺	(0.91)	4.57
[IHAXEEIFDSXGNPTVEVDLFTSK] ³⁺	4.87	4.91

peptides identified in the saliva digest gave equal or higher Xcorr scores than the corresponding CID data. saETD of the doubly charged ions in the six protein digestion results gave lower scores than the CID data.

Table 2 summarises the total number of CID and saETD events which were performed for the four experiments. The saliva digests were more complex mixtures and therefore more MS/MS events would be expected when compared to the six protein mix. In the first saliva analysis, the total number of events resulting in positive identifications of the synthetic citrullinated peptides from the filtered SEQUEST search was zero and eight for CID and saETD respectively. In the second saliva analysis, the fourth citrullinated peptide was identified twice by both CID and saETD. For the six protein mix spiked with peptides 1–3, one CID and four saETD events resulted in positive identification of the citrullinated peptides and for the six protein mix spiked with peptide 4, three CID and three saETD events resulted in positive identification. Ten CID events resulted in erroneous identification of citrullinated peptides in the saliva analysis spiked with

peptides 1–3 and twelve CID events in the saliva analysis spiked with peptide 4, giving false positive rates of 0.8% and 1.1% respectively. Three CID events in the six protein analysis spiked with peptides 1–3 and two CID events in the analysis spiked with peptide 4 resulted in incorrect identifications of citrullinated peptides. The false positive rates for the six protein mix analyses were 0.4% and 0.2%. The SEQUEST search of the saliva digest spiked with peptides 1–3 shows that none of the CID spectra for the synthetic peptides were of sufficient quality to identify the peptides in a filtered database search. Twenty out of the 27 incorrectly assigned peptides were deamidated. There were no false positives identified from the saETD data from either the saliva or six protein mix experiments.

Experimental

Materials

The six protein tryptic mix was purchased from LC Packings (Sunnyvale, USA) and used without further purification. Four citrullinated peptides were synthesised by Alta Biosciences (Birmingham, UK). Saliva was collected for three minutes into a 15 mL Falcon tube from BD Biosciences (CA, USA). Dithiothreitol, iodoacetamide and formic acid were purchased from Fisher Scientific (UK). Trypsin gold was purchased from Promega (USA). HPLC grade water and acetonitrile were purchased from Baker (Holland).

Sample preparation

Preparation of synthetic peptides. The three synthetic peptides (ILNXTSFAK, VVEXHQSACK and LYNLHGDXSYVLSK; X is a citrulline residue) were each re-suspended in water to a concentration of 1 pmol μL^{-1} . The synthetic peptide (IHAXEEIFDSXGNPTVEVDLFTSK, X are citrulline residues) was re-suspended in methanol to a concentration of 1 pmol μL^{-1} .

Preparation of saliva digest. The saliva sample was centrifuged at 12 000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was retained. Any

Table 2 The total number of CID and saETD events performed. The total number of CID and saETD spectra resulting in positive identifications of citrullinated peptides and the false positive rates for citrullination identification

MS/MS events	# Events resulting in positive ID of synthetic peptides	# Events resulting in ID of additional citrullinated peptides (false positives)	False positive rate (%)
Saliva spiked with synthetic peptides 1–3			
CID 1280	0	10	0.8
saETD 64	8	0	0
Saliva spiked with synthetic peptide 4			
CID 1651	2	12	1.1
saETD 66	2	0	0
Six protein mix spiked with synthetic peptides 1–3			
CID 721	1	3	0.4
saETD 56	4	0	0
Six protein mix spiked with synthetic peptide 4			
CID 1047	3	2	0.2
saETD 93	3	0	0

disulfide bonds in the saliva sample (100 μL) were reduced with dithiothreitol and alkylated by use of iodoacetamide. The sample was digested with trypsin at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ overnight. The digested sample was desalted with a Michrom macro trap (CA, USA). The trap was wetted using acetonitrile : water (50 : 50, 300 μL) (Baker, Holland) and washed with trifluoroacetic acid (0.1%, 200 μL) (Fisher, UK). The sample was loaded onto the trap and washed with trifluoroacetic acid (0.1%, 200 μL) and eluted in acetonitrile : water (70 : 30, 200 μL). The sample was vacuum centrifuged dry and resuspended in formic acid (100 μL , 0.1%). The samples were further diluted (25 times dilution giving approximately 40 ng μL^{-1} of proteins) prior to analysis. The three synthetic singly citrullinated peptides were spiked into the sample to give a final concentration of 5 fmol μL^{-1} . 5 μL of sample was loaded into the HPLC system (200 ng of protein digest and 25 femtomoles of each synthetic peptide). The doubly citrullinated peptide was spiked into a separate saliva sample to give a final concentration of 20 fmol μL^{-1} . 5 μL of sample was loaded into the HPLC system (200 ng of protein digest and 100 femtomoles of the synthetic peptide).

Preparation of six protein mix. The six protein tryptic digest mix (lysozyme, cytochrome c, yeast alcohol dehydrogenase, bovine serum albumin, apo-transferrin and β -galactosidase) was re-suspended and diluted in formic acid (0.1%) (Fisher Scientific, UK) to give a final concentration of 10 fmol μL^{-1} . The three synthetic singly citrullinated peptides were spiked into the sample to give a final concentration of 5 fmol μL^{-1} of each peptide. The six protein mix as supplied has been treated with iodoacetic acid to carboxymethylate cysteine residues. The doubly citrullinated peptide was added to a separate sample of six protein digest mix to give a final loading of 100 fmol.

Instruments and experimental conditions

LC-CID-saETD-MS/MS. On-line liquid chromatography was performed by use of a Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC system (Sunnyvale, USA). The samples were loaded onto a 75 μm (internal diameter) Acclaim PepMap100 (LC Packings, Sunnyvale, USA) C18 column (length 10 cm) and separated over a 30 minute gradient from 3.2% to 44% acetonitrile (0.1% formic acid). Peptides were infused by use of an Advion Triversa Nanomate (Ithaca, USA) electrospray ionization source directly into a Thermo Scientific LTQ-Orbitrap Velos ETD mass spectrometer (Bremen, Germany), at a flow rate of 350 nL min^{-1} .

The mass spectrometer alternated between a full FT-MS scan (m/z 380–1600) and subsequent MS/MS scan(s) of the most abundant precursor ions. Survey scans were acquired in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 60 000 at m/z 400. Precursor ions were isolated and subjected to CID in the linear ion trap. Isolation width was 2 Th. Automatic gain control (AGC) was used to accumulate sufficient precursor ions (target value 1×10^5 charges, maximum fill time 25 ms). CID was performed with helium gas at a normalised collision energy of 35%. Parent ions were activated for 10 ms. Dynamic exclusion was used (60 seconds exclusion window).

If one of the three most abundant fragment ions in the CID mass spectrum corresponded to a neutral loss of 43 Da ($\pm m/z$ 0.5) from the precursor peptide, saETD of the precursor ion was

triggered. saETD was performed in the ion trap and detected in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 7500 at m/z 400. Precursor ions were isolated in the ion trap with an isolation width of 3 Th. AGC target value was 2×10^5 charges, maximum fill time 1000 ms with 2 microscans co-added per mass spectrum. saETD was performed for 130 ms with a normalised supplemental activation energy of 25%.

Data analysis

Data were analysed by use of the Xcalibur 2.1 software. Data from the samples containing the singly citrullinated peptides were searched against the IPI human database (v 3.66, containing 86 850 sequences) supplemented with the sequences of the three synthetic peptides added using the SEQUEST⁵¹ algorithm within the Proteome Discoverer 1.0 SP1 software package (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Mono-isotopic precursor and fragment ions were searched with a mass tolerance of 5 ppm (precursor) and 0.1 Da (fragment) for CID and 0.02 Da for saETD. Proteome Discoverer separated the CID and ETD data prior to searches. For CID data, b and y ions were considered. For saETD data, c and z' ions were considered. The carboxyamidomethylation of cysteine was specified as a fixed modification in the saliva search. Carboxymethylation of cysteine was specified in the six protein mix search. Phosphorylation (serine, threonine and tyrosine), oxidation (methionine), acetylation (lysine), deamidation (asparagine and glutamine) and citrullination (arginine) were set as variable modifications in both searches. In both searches the maximum number of missed cleavages was 2.

Analysis of the data from the samples containing the doubly citrullinated peptide was performed using the SEQUEST algorithm within the Proteome Discoverer 1.1 software package. The data were searched against the IPI human database (v 3.66, containing 86850 sequences) supplemented with the sequences of the four synthetic peptides. Search parameters were as above.

The results were filtered using XCorr *versus* charge state (2+ ions with a score less than 2 were rejected, 3+ ions with scores <2.25 were rejected and 4+ ions with scores <2.5 were rejected). XCorr is a measure of how close an experimental MS/MS spectrum is to the theoretical MS/MS spectrum. Higher scores equate to greater confidence in a peptide match. XCorr values were calculated by Proteome Discoverer.

Conclusions

Citrullination could be used as a sensitive and specific early biomarker for several debilitating chronic inflammatory diseases. We have shown that by exploiting the characteristic CID fragmentation of citrulline residues, *i.e.*, loss of isocyanic acid, to trigger saETD it is possible to perform targeted analysis of citrullinated peptides. The approach results in zero false positives, and the ambiguity of citrullination *vs.* deamidation is overcome. Additionally, the approach removes the requirement to chemically modify the samples. Three of the four synthetic citrullinated peptides were identified with high confidence by saETD. For triply charged ions, saETD identifies the peptides with greater XCorr scores than the CID of the triply charged ions. In the six protein mix analysis for the doubly charged ions of the synthetic

peptides, the XCorr values for the CID spectra are higher than those for the saETD spectra. For the saliva analysis, the saETD XCorr values of the doubly charged synthetic peptide ions are equal to or higher than the CID spectra. The CID mass spectrum of the peptide (VVEXHQ SACK) did not result in a positive identification in the database search for either analysis. This study shows that, although CID identifies two of the four peptides, there are multiple false positives identified from CID spectra, the majority of which are the result of deamidation rather than deimination. This has implications for the use of CID as a stand-alone technique for automated analysis of citrullinated proteins as all identified modified peptides would require manual validation. This work shows that a targeted approach which exploits the speed and characteristic fragmentation of citrullinated peptides in CID in order to focus their analysis by ETD results in highly confident assignments, zero false positives and zero false negatives.

Acknowledgements

The Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap Velos ETD used in this research was obtained through the Birmingham Science City Translational Medicine: Experimental Medicine Network of Excellence project, with support from Advantage West Midlands (AWM). HJC gratefully acknowledges the Wellcome Trust (074131) for funding. AJC is supported by a grant from Philips Oral Healthcare.

References

- 1 R. Bischoff and H. V. J. Kolbe, *J. Chromatogr., B: Biomed. Appl.*, 1994, **662**, 261–278.
- 2 E. R. Vossenaar, A. J. W. Zendman, W. J. van Venrooij and G. J. M. Pruijn, *BioEssays*, 2003, **25**, 1106–1118.
- 3 A. P. Nicholas, T. Sambandam, J. D. Echols and W. W. Tourtellotte, *J. Comp. Neurol.*, 2004, **473**, 128–136.
- 4 J. de Seze, S. Dubucquoi, D. Lefranc, F. Virecoulona, I. Nueza, V. Dutoita, P. Vermerschb and L. Prina, *J. Neuroimmunol.*, 2001, **117**, 149–155.
- 5 A. Ishigami, T. Ohsawa, M. Hiratsuka, H. Taguchi, S. Kobayashi, Y. Saito, S. Murayama, H. Asaga, T. Toda, N. Kimura and N. Maruyama, *J. Neurosci. Res.*, 2005, **80**, 120–128.
- 6 A. Union, L. Meheus, R. L. Humbel, K. Conrad, G. Steiner, H. Moereels, H. Pottel, G. Serre and F. De Keyser, *Arthritis Rheum.*, 2002, **46**, 1185–1195.
- 7 A. Kinloch, K. Lundberg, R. Wait, N. Wegner, N. H. Lim, T. Saxne, V. Malmstrom and P. J. Venables, *Rheumatology*, 2008, **47**, ii57–ii57.
- 8 T. Cantaert, L. De Rycke, T. Bongartz, E. L. Matteson, P. P. Tak, A. P. Nicholas and D. Baeten, *Arthritis Rheum.*, 2006, **54**, 3381–3389.
- 9 F. G. Mastronardi, D. D. Wood, J. Mei, R. Rajmakers, V. Tseveleki, H. M. Dosch, L. Probert, P. Casaccia-Bonnel and M. A. Moscarello, *J. Neurosci.*, 2006, **26**, 11387–11396.
- 10 T. Hagiwara, Y. Hidaka and M. Yamada, *Biochemistry*, 2005, **44**, 5827–5834.
- 11 M. Shrivastav, B. Mittal, A. Aggarwal and R. Misra, *Clin. Rheumatol.*, 2002, **21**, 505–510.
- 12 P. de Pablo, I. L. C. Chapple, C. D. Buckley and T. Dietrich, *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.*, 2009, **5**, 218–224.
- 13 A. D. Haffajee and S. S. Socransky, *Periodontology 2000*, 1994, **5**, 78–111.
- 14 A. L. Griffen, M. R. Becker, S. R. Lyons, M. L. Moeschberger and E. J. Leys, *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 1998, **36**, 3239–3242.
- 15 E. D. Rosenstein, G. Weissmann and R. A. Greenwald, *Med. Hypotheses*, 2009, **73**, 457–458.
- 16 V. Johnson, B. D. Johnson, T. J. Sims, C. W. Whitney, B. J. Moncla, L. D. Engel and R. C. Page, *J. Periodontol.*, 1993, **64**, 559–565.
- 17 J. Matto, M. Saarela, S. Alaluusua, V. Oja, H. Jousimies-Somer and S. Asikainen, *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 1998, **36**, 157–160.
- 18 J. J. Coon, J. E. P. Syka, J. Shabanowitz and D. F. Hunt, *BioTechniques*, 2005, **38**, 519–523.
- 19 R. Aebersold and M. Mann, *Nature*, 2003, **422**, 198–207.
- 20 J. M. Wells and S. A. McLuckey, *Biol. Mass Spectrom.*, 2005, **402**, 148–185.
- 21 S. M. M. Sweet, C. M. Bailey, D. L. Cunningham, J. K. Heath and H. J. Cooper, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2009, **8**, 904–912.
- 22 M. Mann and O. N. Jensen, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2003, **21**, 255–261.
- 23 E. C. Huang and J. D. Henion, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 1990, **1**, 158–165.
- 24 G. D. Chen and B. N. Pramanik, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2009, **14**, 465–471.
- 25 K. R. Jennings, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.*, 1968, **1**, 227–235.
- 26 P. Roepstorff and J. Fohlman, *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.*, 1984, **11**, 601–601.
- 27 M. P. Washburn, D. Wolters and J. R. Yates, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2001, **19**, 242–247.
- 28 R. A. Zubarev, N. L. Kelleher and F. W. McLafferty, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 3265–3266.
- 29 J. E. P. Syka, J. J. Coon, M. J. Schroeder, J. Shabanowitz and D. F. Hunt, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2004, **101**, 9528–9533.
- 30 H. J. Cooper, R. R. Hudgins, K. Hakansson and A. G. Marshall, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2003, **228**, 723–728.
- 31 R. A. Zubarev, D. M. Horn, E. K. Fridriksson, N. L. Kelleher, N. A. Kruger, M. A. Lewis, B. K. Carpenter and F. W. McLafferty, *Anal. Chem.*, 2000, **72**, 563–573.
- 32 A. J. Creese and H. J. Cooper, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2007, **18**, 891–897.
- 33 N. L. Kelleher, R. A. Zubarev, K. Bush, B. Furie, B. C. Furie, F. W. McLafferty and C. T. Walsh, *Anal. Chem.*, 1999, **71**, 4250–4253.
- 34 A. Stensballe, O. N. Jensen, J. V. Olsen, K. F. Haselmann and R. A. Zubarev, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2000, **14**, 1793–1800.
- 35 S. D. H. Shi, M. E. Hemling, S. A. Carr, D. M. Horn, I. Lindh and F. W. McLafferty, *Anal. Chem.*, 2001, **73**, 19–22.
- 36 A. Chi, C. Huttenhower, L. Y. Geer, J. J. Coon, J. E. P. Syka, D. L. Bai, J. Shabanowitz, D. J. Burke, O. G. Troyanskaya and D. F. Hunt, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 2193–2198.
- 37 H. Molina, D. M. Horn, N. Tang, S. Mathivanan and A. Pandey, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 2199–2204.
- 38 K. Hakansson, H. J. Cooper, M. R. Emmett, C. E. Costello, A. G. Marshall and C. L. Nilsson, *Anal. Chem.*, 2001, **73**, 4530–4536.
- 39 E. Mirgorodskaya, P. Roepstorff and R. A. Zubarev, *Anal. Chem.*, 1999, **71**, 4431–4436.
- 40 I. Perdvara, R. Petrovich, B. Allinquant, L. J. Deterding, K. B. Tomer and M. Przybylski, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2009, **8**, 3786–3786.
- 41 Z. Q. Guan, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2002, **13**, 1443–1447.
- 42 Z. Q. Guan, N. A. Yates and R. Bakhtiar, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2003, **14**, 605–613.
- 43 H. J. Cooper, M. H. Tatham, E. Jaffray, J. K. Heath, T. T. Lam, A. G. Marshall and R. T. Hay, *Anal. Chem.*, 2005, **77**, 6310–6319.
- 44 H. J. Cooper, J. K. Heath, E. Jaffray, R. T. Hay, T. T. Lam and A. G. Marshall, *Anal. Chem.*, 2004, **76**, 6982–6988.
- 45 D. L. Swaney, G. C. McAlister, M. Wirtala, J. C. Schwartz, J. E. P. Syka and J. J. Coon, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, **79**, 477–485.
- 46 M. Stensland, A. Holm, A. Kiehne and B. Fleckenstein, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2009, **23**, 2754–2762.
- 47 G. Hao, D. C. Wang, J. Gu, Q. Y. Shen, S. S. Gross and Y. M. Wang, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2009, **20**, 723–727.
- 48 V. Goeb, M. Thomas-L'Otellier, R. Daveau, R. Charlionet, P. Fardellone, X. Le Loet, F. Tron, D. Gilbert and O. Vittecoq, *Arthritis Res. Ther.*, 2009, **11**, R38.
- 49 M. Knipp and M. Vasak, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2000, **286**, 257–264.
- 50 S. M. M. Sweet, A. J. Creese and H. J. Cooper, *Anal. Chem.*, 2006, **78**, 7563–7569.
- 51 J. K. Eng, A. L. McCormack and J. R. Yates, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 1994, **5**, 976–989.
- 52 S. M. M. Sweet, A. W. Jones, D. L. Cunningham, J. K. Heath, A. J. Creese and H. J. Cooper, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2009, **8**, 5475–5484.
- 53 D. M. Good, C. D. Wenger, G. C. McAlister, D. L. Bai, D. F. Hunt and J. J. Coon, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2009, **20**, 1435–1440.
- 54 D. L. Swaney, G. C. McAlister and J. J. Coon, *Nat. Methods*, 2008, **5**, 959–964.