RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 17345

Received 2nd December 2019 Accepted 13th April 2020

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra10063f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

With the increasing demand for energy, the exploration of highefficiency electrochemical energy storage device is imminent.^{1,2} As a new energy technology, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have acquired enormous progress over the past 30 years.^{3,4} Nevertheless, their energy density reached its limit in that system.^{5,6} Meanwhile, Li–S batteries have been attracting attention because of their advantages including high specific capacity, high energy density, high security and environmental friendliness.^{7–9} Nonetheless, their application is seriously blocked by

Transformation of ZIF-8 nanoparticles into 3D nitrogen-doped hierarchically porous carbon for Li–S batteries†

Guiqiang Cao,^a Da Bi,^a Jingxiang Zhao, ^b Jing Zheng,^c Zhikang Wang,^a Qingxue Lai^{*a} and Yanyu Liang^{*}

Li–S batteries have been attracting increasing interest owing to their remarkable advantages of low cost, high theoretical capacity and high theoretical energy density. Nevertheless, the severe "shuttle effects" of lithium polysulfides have markedly limited the performance of the cells and further hindered their commercial applications. Herein, a novel scheme combining a transformation strategy with ammonia treatment was developed to fabricate ZIF-8-derived nitrogen-doped hierarchically porous carbon (NHPC/NH₃). When NHPC/NH₃ was used as a host of sulfur, the obtained S@NHPC/NH₃ cathode for Li–S cells presented an initial specific capacity of 1654 mA h g⁻¹ and an outstanding cycling stability with only 0.27% attenuation per cycle from the 30th cycle to 130th cycle. Together with the theoretical calculation, it was concluded that such excellent electrochemical performances should be attributed to the suppressed "shuttle effect" via both physical and chemical adsorption of lithium polysulfides in the optimized microporous structures with effective nitrogen doping sites as well as the improved kinetics owing to the abundant meso/macroporous structures.

the high solubility of lithium polysulfides resulting in the irreversible loss of active materials.^{10,11}

In order to resolve the above-mentioned problem, researchers pay more attention to the cathode materials, separators, electrolytes and so on.12-15 As for the cathode materials, the typical idea is to design an electrically conductive material that can confine the active substance and relieve the movement of lithium polysulfides. Since the report of Nazar et al. on the CMK-3 conductive network,¹⁶ a variety of sulfur-carbon (C/S) composites have been employed as cathode for Li-S cells.17-19 For example, Dong et al. provided hybrid micro-mesoporous graphitic carbon spheres as sulfur containers.²⁰ Due to their dual structure, the sulfur cathodes show superb electrochemical performance such as high specific capacity, excellent rate performance and good cycling stability. In addition, an ordered meso-microporous core-shell carbon was prepared by Huang et al., who discussed the effects of microporous and mesoporous core-shell carbon on lithium polysulfides.²¹ The microporous and mesoporous structure served as a barrier and an accommodation for sulfur species to improve their performance. The advantages of C/S materials as the cathode of Li-S cells are as follows: (i) the excellent electronic conductivity of carbon can ameliorate the electronic conductivity of sulfur composites; (ii) the abundant porous structure can provide a large accommodation for active materials and volume changes; (iii) the diversified structures have different functions, for example, the microporous structure exhibits a strong physical adsorption of lithium polysulfides, whereas the

^aCollege of Materials Science and Technology, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, P. R. China. E-mail: laiqingxue@126.com; liangyy403@126.com

^bCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Key Laboratory of Photonic and Electronic Bandgap Materials, Ministry of Education, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, 150025, China

^cDepartment of Chemistry and Materials Science, College of Science, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: XRD pattern of ZIF-8/PVP; SEM images of ZIF-8/PVP and NHPC with a ZIF-8/PVP ratio of 1 : 1, 1 : 2, 1 : 3 and S@NHPC and S@NHPC/NH₃ with a ZIF-8/PVP ratio of 1 : 2; TEM images at different ratios; XPS survey of NHPC and NHPC/NH₃ and NHPC/NH₃-NHPC; TG curves of S@NHPC, NHPC and Pure S; nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm and pore size distributions of S@NHPC/NH₃. Cyclic voltammetry of the S@ NHPC cathode; charge/discharge profiles of the S@NHPC and the S@ NHPC/NH₃ cathodes at 400 mA g⁻¹, EIS of S@NHPC/NH₃ cathodes before cycle and after cycle at 200 mA g⁻¹; the pore size distributions of NHPC and NHPC/NH₃. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra10063f

mesoporous and macroporous structures are conducive to the transmission of lithium ion, which results in an improved performance of the Li–S batteries.^{16,22–24}

Recently, a great deal of studies have shown that the introduction of heteroatoms into the carbon conductive network can enhance the adsorption of lithium polysulfides on account of the nature of heteroatom doped carbons (polar) and lithium polysulfides (polar).^{25,26} MOFs are a kind of crystalline porous material²⁷ and MOF (*e.g.*, ZIF-8 and ZIF-67) derived carbon has been attracting much attention as cathode materials for Li–S batteries because of their high specific surface area, diversified structures and inner heteroatom doping.^{28–31} Therefore, the design of a hierarchically porous heteroatom-doped carbon such as a nitrogen doped carbon conductive network is considered as an effective strategy, which can improve the electron conductivity of the sulfur composite as well as suppress the shuttle effect of lithium polysulfides by physical confinement and chemical bonding.

Herein, ZIF-derived nitrogen-doped hierarchically porous carbon with the optimal micro/meso/macroporous structure has been fabricated as a sulfur host material by a novel transformation strategy with ammonia assisted thermal treatment. In the first step, NHPC (N represents "nitrogen-doped", HPC represents "hierarchically porous carbon") was synthesized through the pyrolysis of the ZIF-8/PVP composite. Subsequently, NHPC/NH₃ (N represents "nitrogen-doped", HPC represents "hierarchically porous carbon", NH3 represents "ammonia post treatment") was prepared by etching NHPC under an ammonia atmosphere. NHPC/NH3 has strong physical and chemical adsorption for lithium polysulfides due to their optimized micro/meso/macroporous structure and nitrogen doping. The adsorption effect on polysulfides by NHPC/NH3 was explored in a Li₂S₆ solution and further verified by theoretical calculation. When S@NHPC/NH₃ was used as a cathode, it showed a high specific capacity of 1654 mA h g^{-1} and good reversibility, indicating the effectiveness of the hierarchically porous structure and nitrogen-doped carbon for the high-performance Li-S cells.

Results and discussion

The schematic of the synthesis process of NHPC and NHPC/ NH3 are shown in Scheme 1. At the beginning, the ZIF-8 nanoparticles were produced through chemical bonding between zinc nitrate and 2-methylimidazole. Next, the assembly process between ZIF-8 and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) proceeded at room temperature due to the extensive electropositive zinc ions on the surface of ZIF-8 and the functional groups of PVP. With respect to the pyrolysis process, the PVP section in the intermediate of ZIF-8/PVP was already converted into an amorphous carbon network with the temperature rising to 500 °C, while the ZIF-8 nanoparticles retained its original structure. When the pyrolysis temperature increased, ZIF-8 started to decompose until 900 °C.32 Consequently, ZIF-8 nanoparticles were successfully transformed into 3D nitrogendoped hierarchically porous carbon (NHPC) under the strong surface interaction between ZIF-8 and the PVP-derived carbon network. Eventually, NHPC/NH3 was obtained via further

optimizing the micro/meso/macroporous structure of the NHPC by ammonia treatment.

Based on the above scheme of the assembly and transformation of ZIF-8 nanoparticles into 3D nitrogen-doped hierarchically porous carbon, the physical and chemical characterizations were carried out. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern and the corresponding images of ZIF-8 as shown in Fig. 1a-c, the average size of ZIF-8 nanoparticles is around 80 nm, which is well consistent with the experimental expectation. From Fig. 1a and S1a,† we found that the intensity of the diffraction peaks of ZIF-8/PVP is weakened in comparison with the counterpart of ZIF-8, which indicates that ZIF-8 was successfully crosslinked with PVP in accordance with the image of SEM (Fig. S1b[†]). The ratio of ZIF-8 and PVP in the intermediate was also discussed. The SEM images of NHPC with different ratios between ZIF-8 and PVP and the corresponding TEM images were shown in Fig. S2.[†] It is obvious that the optimum ratio is 1:2 for the construction of ZIF-8/PVP-derived 3D well-defined porous structure. Furthermore, the diffraction peaks of the intermediate of ZIF-8/PVP disappeared in the NHPC after the pyrolysis process. To further optimize the structure of NHPC, the follow-up method of ammonia treatment was adopted. As shown in Fig. 1d-f, it is remarkable that the presence of meso/macroporous structures are observed within the NHPC/NH₃ skeleton after the ammonia treatment compared to NHPC. The reason could be attributed to the replacement of carbon atoms with nitrogen in the process of NH3 treatment, thus achieving the effect of pore enlargement.33

The microscale structure of NHPC and NHPC/NH3 was characterized by nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm and pore size distributions, as illustrated in Fig. 2a, S3a and b.† The low-pressure adsorption curves and hysteresis loops in medium to high pressure reveal the existence of micropores and mesopores in NHPC as well as NHPC/NH3.34 NHPC/NH3 has a higher specific surface area of 1020 $m^2 g^{-1}$ and pore volume of 1.173 $cm^3 g^{-1}$ compared to that of 1013.41 $m^2 g^{-1}$ and 1.253 $cm^3 g^{-1}$ for NHPC. Meanwhile, according to Fig. 2a, we observe that the contribution of micropores to the specific surface area of NHPC/ NH₃ is higher than that of NHPC. Besides, the pore size distribution of NHPC/NH₃ ranges from 0.6 nm to 63 nm, whereas that of NHPC ranges from 1.0 nm to 33 nm. The pore width distribution of NHPC/NH3 was shifted to the micropore direction to reveal the new microporous structures that appeared in NHPC/NH₃. The result is consistent with its nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm. On the other hand, the presence of macropores indicates that the original pore structures were enlarged because of the etching effect of ammonia. The variety of structures is also observed in the photographs of SEM and TEM (Fig. S2[†] and 1d-f). The increase in the micropores can effectively alleviate the "shuttle effect" of lithium polysulfide and reduce the loss of active substances.23 Mesoporous and macroporous structures are facilely conducive to the transmission of lithium ion. In the case of NHPC/NH₃, a large accommodation for the active substance is realized on account of the increment of the mesopores and macropores, accordingly, preserving the structural integrity of the cathode.35 The Xray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) results of NHPC and



Scheme 1 Illustration of the assembly and transformation strategy with subsequent ammonia post treatment to achieve ZIF-8-derived nitrogendoped hierarchical carbon (NHPC/NH₃).

NHPC/NH₃ are illustrated in Fig. S3c,† with the content of nitrogen being 8.26 at% and 10.46 at%, respectively. The N 1s XPS patterns of NHPC and NHPC/NH₃ are presented in Fig. 2b and c, which reveal the presence of five different types of nitrogen, namely pyridinic N, pyrrolic N, graphitic N, quaternary N and $-NO_2$ with binding energies at 398.53 eV, 399.65 eV, 400.8 eV, 402.4 eV and 404.80 eV, respectively. Fig. 2d illustrates the N 1s XPS patterns of the difference between NHPC/NH₃ and NHPC, which indicates the type of increased nitrogen including pyridinic N, pyrrolic N, graphitic N. Consequently, the higher content of nitrogen and the optimized micro/meso/macroporous structure will endow NHPC/NH₃ with strong lithium polysulfide adsorption ability.^{25,26}

S@NHPC/NH₃ as the cathode for Li–S batteries was prepared by a conventional melt-diffusion process³⁶ at 155 °C. The TG curve of S@NHPC/NH₃ is shown in Fig. 3a, and the calculated content of sulfur in S@NHPC/NH₃ is 56 wt%. Raman spectra of Pure S and S@NHPC/NH₃ are shown in Fig. 3b. S@NHPC/NH₃ provided the typical characteristic D band at 1356 cm⁻¹ and G band at 1590 cm⁻¹ of carbon. It should be noted that the Raman spectrum of Pure S disappeared in S@NHPC/NH₃, which means that there is a uniform distribution of most of the sulfur in S@NHPC/NH₃. In addition, there is no obvious sulfur found in the SEM image of S@NHPC/NH₃ (Fig. S4†). The STEM image of S@NHPC/NH₃ and the elemental mapping results of carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur are shown in Fig. 3c–g. The results



Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of ZIF-8 and NHPC/NH₃, (b) SEM image of ZIF-8, (c) TEM image of ZIF-8, (d) SEM image of NHPC/NH₃, (e and f) TEM images of NHPC/NH₃.



Fig. 2 (a) Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm, (b and c) N 1s XPS patterns of NHPC and NHPC/NH₃, (d) N 1s XPS patterns of the difference between NHPC/NH₃ and NHPC.

are in good agreement with the Raman spectrum and the SEM image of S@NHPC/NH₃. The specific surface area of S@NHPC/NH₃ was decreased to 196.76 m² g⁻¹ and the pore size distribution was about 3 nm (Fig. S5†), showing that the pores within NHPC/NH₃ are occupied by sulfur.

The electrochemical performance of $\rm NHPC/\rm NH_3$ as a sulfur host in Li–S batteries was assessed in the form of coin cells with

a S@NHPC/NH₃ cathode, a lithium metal anode and a polypropylene separator. In addition, coin cells using the S@NHPC cathode were also assembled under the same condition for comparison. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) curve of the S@NHPC/ NH₃ cathode is shown in Fig. 4a. The cathodic peak of 2.3 V is reasonably assigned to the reduction of sulfur into long-chain lithium polysulfides (Li₂Sn, $4 \le n \le 8$), while the cathodic



Fig. 3 (a) TG curves of $QNHPC/NH_3$ and $NHPC/NH_3$ and Pure S, (b) Raman spectra of $QNHPC/NH_3$ and Pure S, (c) STEM image of $QNHPC/NH_3$ and elemental mapping of (d) carbon, (e) nitrogen, (f) oxygen, (g) sulfur.



Fig. 4 (a) Cyclic voltammetry curve of the S@NHPC/NH₃ cathode, (b) charge/discharge profiles of S@NHPC and S@NHPC/NH₃ cathodes at 200 mA g^{-1} , (c) rate performances of S@NHPC and S@NHPC/NH₃ cathodes, (d) electrochemical impedance spectra of S@NHPC and S@NHPC/NH₃ cathodes before cycle, (e) cycling performance and coulombic efficiency of S@NHPC and S@NHPC/NH₃ cathodes at 200 mA g^{-1} .

peak at about 2.0 V should be attributed to long-chain lithium polysulfides that are transformed into short-chain lithium polysulfides (Li₂Sn, $2 \le n < 4$). The anodic peak at 2.5 V is ascribed to the conversion of short-chain lithium polysulfides to sulfur. Notably, the anodic peak that migrates to the negative direction after the first cycle is attributed to the unstable system in the beginning. In comparison, with the CV curve of the S@NHPC cathode (Fig. S6a[†]), it is clear that the cathodic peaks are shifted to the positive direction whereas the anodic peaks are shifted to the negative direction in the case of the S@NHPC/NH₃ cathode. As shown in Fig. 4b, the three discharge potential plateaus (at \sim 2.3 V, \sim 2.1 V and \sim 1.7 V) represent the conversion of S₈ to Li_2Sn ($4 \le n \le 8$), Li_2Sn ($4 \le n \le 8$) transforming to Li_2Sn ($2 \le n$ \leq 3) and the formation of Li₂S₂/Li₂S. The charge potential plateaus (at ~ 2.3 V) represent the conversion of Li₂S₂/Li₂S to sulfur. Meanwhile, the overpotential of the S@NHPC/NH3 cathode is 0.213 V while it is 0.401 V for the S@NHPC cathode, suggesting the improvement of redox kinetics after the ammonia assisted treatment, which is attributed to the presence of the macroporous structure. The specific capacities of the S@NHPC/NH₃ cathode (Fig. 4b and S6b[†]) is 1654 and

1340 mA h g^{-1} at the current density of 200 mA g^{-1} and 400 mA g^{-1} in the first cycle process, respectively. In contrast, the S(a)NHPC cathode delivers 1417 mA h g⁻¹ and 1206 mA h g^{-1} under the same condition. The initial discharge capacities of S@NHPC/NH₃ and S@NHPC are higher than their charge capacities owing to the presence of by-products in the first discharge process. Besides, a large amount of sulfur appeared on the surface of NHPC (Fig. S7[†]), which reduced the utilization of active substance. The rate performance of S@NHPC/NH₃ and S@NHPC cathodes at different current densities are shown in Fig. 4c. With the increase in the current density from 200 to 3000 mA g^{-1} , the specific capacities in the first discharge cycle of the S@NHPC/NH₃ cathode is 1563, 684, 537, 485, and 422 mA h g^{-1} . However, the S@NHPC cathode exhibits an awful rate performance; it only delivers 1115, 454, 332, 213, and 140 mA h g^{-1} at the rate of 200, 400, 1000, 2000 and 3000 mA g^{-1} , respectively. These results indicate that the meso/macroporous structure of the NHPC/NH3 material promotes charge transport and the microporous structure restrains the shuttle effect of lithium polysulfides by NH3 etching. The electrochemical impedance spectra of the



iig. 5 (a) The view of a Li_2S_6 molecule on the pyridinic N, (b) pyrrolic N, (c) graphitic N, (d) the corresponding binding energy.

S@NHPC and S@NHPC/NH3 cathodes (before cycle) are shown in Fig. 4d. The charge transfer resistance of the S@NHPC/NH₃ cathode is lower than that of the S@NHPC cathode, indicating the with rapid electron and Li-ion transport of the S@NHPC/ NH₃ cathode in the redox process. The cycling performance of the S@NHPC/NH3 and S@NHPC cathodes is further investigated, as demonstrated in Fig. 4e. The former delivers a specific capacity of 568 mA h g⁻¹ after 130 cycles, while the latter obtains a specific capacity of 327 mA h g⁻¹ after 30 cycles. These enhanced electrochemical performances are rationally attributed to the fact that the hierarchical structure not only possesses a strong adsorption capacity to inhibit the migration of lithium polysulfides due to the abundant microporous structure, but also improves the Li-ion diffusion rate through the meso/microporous framework.37,38 Owing to the existence of a large number of microporous structures in materials, the voltage range of the electrochemical test was set to 1-3 V to release the full capacities, and the coulombic efficiency was lower than that of the S@NHPC cathode.39 Further, the electrochemical impedance spectra of the S@NHPC/NH₃ cathode (after cycle) is shown in Fig. S8,[†] indicating that NHPC/NH₃ has good stability. NHPC/NH3 as a host for Li-S batteries delivers an outstanding capacity along with stable cycle performance. This can be rationally attributed to the optimized micro/meso/ macroporous structure and high nitrogen content of the NHPC/NH₃ material. (i) The homogeneously distributed hierarchical porosity within the NHPC/NH₃ skeleton can promote ion transport. (ii) The combination of the elevated proportion of micropore with high nitrogen doping can effectively suppress the "shuttle effect" via both physical confinement and chemical adsorption of lithium polysulfides during the continuous charge-discharge process.

To gain better insight into the adsorption effects of the Ndoped carbon, on the one hand, we conducted the adsorption test of NHPC and NHPC/NH₃ for lithium polysulfides. Fig. S9 \dagger

shows the image of a Li_2S_6 solution (0) and that with the addition of NHPC (1) and NHPC/NH₃ (2) for 3 h. It can be seen that the solution containing NHPC/NH₃ is colorless while the solution of NHPC retains the pristine color. On the other hand, we carried out density functional theory (DFT) calculation to simulate the binding of polysulfides on these substrates where the Li₂S₆ species was chosen as a representative since it is a common intermediate in Li-S batteries. The optimized geometrical structures of Li₂S₆ species on NHPC/NH₃ and the corresponding binding energies are presented in Fig. 5. According to the summaries of recent theoretical studies, the optimal binding energy of soluble polysulfides on the anchoring material are in the range from 0.80 to 2.00 eV.40-42 Our simulations revealed that the binding energy of the Li2S6 species on the graphitic N-dopant (one carbon atom is substituted by one N atom) is only 0.51 eV, suggesting a considerably weak adsorption. Thus, Li₂S₆ species is very likely to diffuse away from the cathode to the organic solvent, leading to the obvious shuttling effects. On the contrary, the doping of pyridinic- or pyrrolic-N leads to a much stronger binding strength with Li₂S₆ species, and the binding energies are 1.45 and 1.33 eV, respectively. These computational results have demonstrated our experimental observations about the distinct anchoring capabilities of pyridinic N or pyrrolic N for Li-S batteries.

Conclusions

In summary, a novel transformation strategy assisted with ammonia treatment was successfully developed to fabricate ZIF-8-derived nitrogen-doped hierarchically porous carbon (NHPC/ NH_3). As a sulfur host for Li–S batteries, on the one hand, the meso/macroporous structure leads to the fast reaction kinetics. On the other hand, the microporous structure and strong anchoring capabilities of pyridinic N or pyrrolic N effectively alleviate the "shuttle effect". Consequently, it presented

Paper

a higher specific capacity of 1654 mA h g^{-1} and satisfactory cycle sturdiness. The proposed scheme provides a way for the modification of novel carbon materials for energy storage.

Experimental

Assembly of the ZIF-8 nanoparticle

At the beginning, 6.0 g $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and 13.2 g 2-mIm were dissolved in 280 mL methanol. Next, the above two solutions were mixed together and stirred for 24 h at 20 °C, followed by centrifugation and washing with methanol for three times and vacuum drying at 60 °C to obtain the ZIF-8 nanoparticles.

Preparation of NHPC and NHPC/NH₃

As for ZIF-8/PVP, 200 mg ZIF-8 and 400 mg PVP were dissolved in 10 mL and 15 mL methanol after which the two solutions were mixed together and stirred until the solvent evaporated completely. Then, ZIF-8/PVP was carbonized at 900 °C under N₂ atmosphere and kept for 2 h. Subsequently, the products were poured into 0.5 M H₂SO₄ for 12 h and washed with distilled water to neutrality. NHPC was obtained after vacuum drying at 60 °C for 24 h. NHPC/NH₃ was prepared by etching NHPC under NH₃ atmosphere. Typically, NHPC was treated at 900 °C under N₂ atmosphere, followed by treatment under NH₃ for a few minutes. Finally, NHPC/NH₃ was obtained after cooling to room temperature.

Preparation of the sulfur cathodes

In general, NHPC/NH₃ and sulfur powder were mixed through grinding for 30 min. Then, the mixture was added to a sealed vacuum vessel and heated for 12 h at 155 °C to obtain S@NHPC/NH₃. The cathode electrodes are composed of 70 wt% active material S@NHPC/NH₃, 20 wt% Super P and 10 wt% binder (poly(vinylidene fluoride)), which were coated on an Al foil and dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h. Finally, the electrode was cut into a coin-shape with a diameter of 12 mm and dried at 60 °C for 2 h.

Materials characterization

The morphology of the samples was investigated by SEM and TEM. XRD patterns were analyzed by BRUKER D8, Cu K α . Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm were recorded with a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 instrument at 77 K. XPS was tested by Kratos AXIS Ultra spectrometer with a source gun of Al K α and spot size of 400 μ m. Raman spectra were recorded on a Lab-RAM HR800 (Horiba Jobin Yvon).

Electrochemical measurement

CR2016-type coin cells were assembled in an Ar-filled glovebox. Lithium metal foil was used as the anode, aluminum metal foil was used as the current collector and Celgard 2400 was used as the separator. The galvanostatic charge–discharge equipment was used to carry out electrochemical measurement. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed with 0.1 mV s⁻¹ scan rate and

electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed with an amplitude of 5 mV from 10^{-2} to 10^5 Hz.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21771107 and No. 21902077), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. BK20190381).

References

- 1 P. Yang and J. M. Tarascon, Nat. Mater., 2012, 11, 560.
- 2 J. B. Goodenough, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 46, 1053-1061.
- 3 J. Tarascon and M. Armand, Nature, 2001, 414, 359-367.
- 4 B. L. Ellis, K. T. Lee and L. F. Nazar, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 691–714.
- 5 V. Etacheri, R. Marom, R. Elazari, G. Salitra and D. Aurbach, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 3243–3262.
- 6 P. G. Bruce, S. A. Freunberger, L. J. Hardwick and J.-M. Tarascon, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, **11**, 19.
- 7 A. Manthiram, S. H. Chung and C. Zu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 1980–2006.
- 8 L. Borchardt, M. Oschatz and S. Kaskel, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 7324–7351.
- 9 Y. Song, S. Zhao, Y. Chen, J. Cai, J. Li, Q.-H. Yang, J. Sun and Z. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 5687–5694.
- 10 M.-K. Song, E. J. Cairns and Y. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 2186–2204.
- 11 Y. Pang, Y. Xu, Y. Li, F. Xu, L. Sun, J. Yang, H.-W. Li and S. Zheng, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, 2, 1537–1543.
- 12 G. Li, J. Sun, W. Hou, S. Jiang, Y. Huang and J. Geng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 10601.
- 13 F. Pei, L. Lin, A. Fu, S. Mo, D. Ou, X. Fang and N. Zheng, *Joule*, 2018, **2**, 323–336.
- 14 H. Du, S. Li, H. Qu, B. Lu, X. Wang, J. Chai, H. Zhang, J. Ma, Z. Zhang and G. Cui, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2018, 550, 399–406.
- 15 E. Cha, M. D. Patel, J. Park, J. Hwang, V. Prasad, K. Cho and W. Choi, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, **13**, 337.
- 16 X. L. Ji, K. T. Lee and L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 500–506.
- 17 W. Kang, L. Fan, N. Deng, H. Zhao, Q. Li, M. Naebe, J. Yan and B. Cheng, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **333**, 185–190.
- 18 C. Hernández-Rentero, R. Córdoba, N. Moreno, A. Caballero, J. Morales, M. Olivares-Marín and V. Gómez-Serrano, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 89–100.
- 19 S. Huang, L. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Zhu and P. K. Shen, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 1731–1743.
- 20 J. Zheng, G. Guo, H. Li, L. Wang, B. Wang, H. Yu, Y. Yan, D. Yang and A. Dong, ACS Energy Lett., 2017, 2, 1105–1114.
- 21 Z. Li, Y. Jiang, L. Yuan, Z. Yi, C. Wu, Y. Liu, P. Strasser and Y. Huang, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 9295–9303.

- 22 C. Tang, Q. Zhang, M. Q. Zhao, J. Q. Huang, X. B. Cheng, G. L. Tian, H. J. Peng and F. Wei, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 6100–6105.
- 23 S.-H. Yeon, K.-N. Jung, S. Yoon, K.-H. Shin, C.-S. Jin and Y. Kim, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 2013, **43**, 245–252.
- 24 P. Strubel, S. Thieme, T. Biemelt, A. Helmer, M. Oschatz, J. Brückner, H. Althues and S. Kaskel, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, 25, 287–297.
- 25 W. Ai, W. Zhou, Z. Du, Y. Chen, Z. Sun, C. Wu, C. Zou, C. Li, W. Huang and T. Yu, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2017, 6, 112– 118.
- 26 J. Cai, C. Wu, Y. Zhu, K. Zhang and P. K. Shen, *J. Power Sources*, 2017, **341**, 165–174.
- 27 Y. Peng, Y. Li, Y. Ban and W. Yang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 9757–9761.
- 28 J. He, Y. Chen and A. Manthiram, iScience, 2018, 4, 36.
- 29 Y.-Q. Lu, Y.-J. Wu, T. Sheng, X.-X. Peng, Z.-G. Gao, S.-J. Zhang, L. Deng, R. Nie, J. Światowska and J.-T. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 13499–13508.
- 30 X.-J. Hong, T.-X. Tan, Y.-K. Guo, X.-Y. Tang, J.-Y. Wang, W. Qin and Y.-P. Cai, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 2774–2780.
- 31 F. Yu, H. Zhou and Q. Shen, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, 772, 843–851.

- 32 Q. Lai, Y. Zhao, Y. Liang, J. He and J. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 8334–8344.
- 33 Q. Liang, Z. Li, Z. H. Huang, F. Kang and Q. H. Yang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 6885–6892.
- 34 K. S. Sing, D. H. Everett, R. Haul, L. Moscou, R. A. Pierotti, J. Rouquerol and T. Siemieniewska, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1985, 57, 603–619.
- 35 R. Fang, S. Zhao, Z. Sun, D. W. Wang, H. M. Cheng and F. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606823.
- 36 X. Ji, S. Evers, R. Black and L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, 2, 325.
- 37 X. Yang, Y. Li, P. Zhang, L. Sun, X. Ren and H. Mi, *Carbon*, 2020, 157, 70–79.
- 38 X. Yang, Z. Ran, F. Luo, Y. Li, P. Zhang and H. Mi, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **509**, 145270.
- 39 Z. Chang, B. Ding, H. Dou, J. Wang, G. Xu and X. Zhang, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 3768-3775.
- 40 Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, Z. W. Seh, Z. Fu, R. Zhang and Y. Cui, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 3780–3786.
- 41 J. Zhao, Y. Yang, R. S. Katiyar and Z. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 6124–6130.
- 42 Y. Zhao and J. Zhao, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2017, 412, 591-598.