

# An efficient method for synthesising unsymmetrical silaketals: substrates for ring-closing, including macrocycle-closing, metathesis†

Christopher Cordier,<sup>a,b</sup> Daniel Morton,<sup>a,b</sup> Stuart Leach,<sup>a,b</sup> Thomas Woodhall,<sup>a,b</sup> Catherine O'Leary-Steele,<sup>a,b</sup> Stuart Warriner<sup>a,b</sup> and Adam Nelson<sup>\*a,b</sup>

Received 19th March 2008, Accepted 20th March 2008


First published as an Advance Article on the web 10th April 2008

DOI: 10.1039/b804769n

Diisopropylsilyl ethers were activated with *N*-bromosuccinimide, and reacted with a fluororous-tagged alcohol, to yield tethered substrates for ring-closing metathesis reactions.

The use of temporary tethers between reactants is an extremely valuable approach in synthetic organic chemistry.<sup>1</sup> The approach can promote selective reaction between the tethered components, often under much milder conditions than the corresponding intermolecular process. Furthermore, reactions between the tethered components often proceed with stereoselectivities that complement, or improve on, those observed in intermolecular reactions.

Silicon tethers can control the cross-metathesis of pairs of allylic or homoallylic alcohols (e.g. **1** and **2**, Scheme 1). After tethering of the reactants (e.g.  $\rightarrow$  **3**), a ring-closing metathesis reaction gives a cyclic silaketal; silaketal cleavage (e.g. **4  $\rightarrow$  **5**) then yields the *Z* isomer of net cross-metathesis of the components. The approach has been used in target<sup>2</sup> and library<sup>3</sup> synthesis, for example in the synthesis of attenol A,<sup>2a</sup> mucocin,<sup>2b</sup> and the epothilones.<sup>2c</sup> Furthermore, tethering metathesis reactions can lead to long-range diastereoselection.<sup>4</sup>**



**Scheme 1** Net *Z*-selective cross-metathesis of an allylic and a homoallylic alcohol.


<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, LS2 9JT. E-mail: a.s.nelson@leeds.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)113 343 6565; Tel: +44 (0)113 343 6502

<sup>b</sup>Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK LS2 9JT

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Full experimental details and copies of NMR spectra for key compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b804769n

A number of methods<sup>2,4,5</sup> have been developed for the selective synthesis of the required unsymmetrical silaketals. Usually, one of the alcohols is reacted with an excess of a volatile dichlorosilane.<sup>2a–b,4</sup> Alternatively, reaction of the anion of one of the alcohols with a dichlorosilane can give the monosubstituted reagent needed to prepare selectively the unsymmetrical product.<sup>2c</sup> In this communication, we describe an improved efficient and selective method for preparing unsymmetrical silaketal substrates for ring-closing (including macrocycle-closing) metathesis reactions.

We required an efficient method for the formation of unsymmetrical silaketals from the fluororous-tagged alcohol **6** (Scheme 2). The key design features of the fluororous-tagged linker **6** are summarised in Scheme 3. A fluororous tag was incorporated to facilitate the purification by fluororous-solid phase extraction<sup>7</sup> (F-SPE) of the required silaketals **7** from excess reagents used. The linker **6** was designed<sup>6</sup> such that metathesis of the unsymmetrical silaketals (e.g. **7d**) would release the required cyclic products (e.g. **9**) from the fluororous tag, thus facilitating purification by F-SPE. Subsequent cyclisation of **10** ( $\rightarrow$  **11**) would then regenerate the catalytically active methylene complex.<sup>8</sup>



**Scheme 2** Synthesis of fluororous-tagged unsymmetrical silaketals **7a–l**. See Fig. 1 for definitions of the R groups.

To start with, we investigated Malacria's method for the formation and activation of diisopropylsilyl ethers (entry 1, Table 1).<sup>9</sup> Hence the alcohol **12** was silylated, activated with NBS, and the resulting bromosilane reacted with the fluororous-tagged alcohol **6**. Unfortunately, only a trace of the required silaketal was isolated, and the fluororous-tagged alcohol was recovered in >98% yield.

We therefore re-investigated the activation of the racemic diisopropylsilyl ether *rac*-**13d** with NBS. NBS was added to a sample of the silyl ether **13d** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, and the reaction was monitored by 500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (see Fig. 2). Within 15 min, the silyl ether **13d** had been consumed, and had been converted cleanly into the corresponding bromosilane.


**Table 1** Synthesis of fluorous-tagged silaketals **7a–l** (Scheme 2)

Entry	Substrate	Method <sup>a</sup>	Product	Yield (and purity) after F-SPE only (%) <sup>b</sup>	Yield of purified product (%) <sup>c</sup>
1	<b>12</b> <sup>d</sup>	A	<i>ent</i> - <b>7g</b>	—	Trace <sup>e</sup>
2a	<b>13a</b>	B	<b>7a</b>	98 (65) <sup>f</sup>	—
2b	<i>rac</i> - <b>13d</b>	B	<i>rac</i> - <b>7d</b>	—	56
3a	<b>13a</b>	C	<b>7a</b>	94 (98)	—
3b	<b>13b</b>	C	<b>7b</b>	>98 (98)	—
3c	<b>13c</b>	C	<b>7c</b>	>98 (98)	—
3d	<i>rac</i> - <b>13d</b>	C	<i>rac</i> - <b>7d</b>	—	56
3e	<b>13e</b>	C	<b>7e</b>	55 (93)	—
3f	<i>rac</i> - <b>13f</b>	D	<i>rac</i> - <b>7f</b>	>98 (76)	—
3g	<b>13g</b>	C	<b>7g</b>	96 (85)	—
3h	<i>rac</i> - <b>13h</b>	C	<i>rac</i> - <b>7h</b>	—	54
3i	<i>rac</i> - <b>13i</b>	C	<i>rac</i> - <b>7i</b>	93 (67)	59
3j	<i>rac</i> - <b>13j</b>	C	<i>rac</i> - <b>7j</b>	—	32
3k	<i>rac</i> - <b>13k</b>	C	<i>rac</i> - <b>7k</b>	—	64
3l	<b>13l</b>	C	<b>7l</b>	95 (>85%)	—


<sup>a</sup> Methods: A: (i) **12** (0.5 M), <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>HSiCl (1 eq.), Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.1 eq.), DMAP (0.1 eq.), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C → rt; (ii) NBS (1 eq.); (iii) **6** (1 eq.), Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.1 eq.), DMAP (0.1 eq.), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C → rt; B: (i) **13** (3.5 eq., 0.2 M), NBS (3 eq.), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C → rt, 15 min; (ii) add to **6** (1 eq., 40 mM), Et<sub>3</sub>N (15 eq.), DMAP (10 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C → rt; (iii) F-SPE; C: (i) **13** (3.5 eq., 0.2 M), NBS (3 eq.), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C → rt, 15 min; (ii) inverse addition of **6** (1 eq., 0.25 M), DMAP (50 mol%), Et<sub>3</sub>N, 0 °C → rt; (iii) F-SPE; D: (i) **13** (5.5 eq., 0.2 M), NBS (5 eq.), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C → rt; (ii) inverse addition of **6** (1 eq., 0.25 M), DMAP (50 mol%), Et<sub>3</sub>N, 0 °C → rt; (iii) F-SPE. <sup>b</sup> Yield of product after F-SPE; the purity, determined by analytical HPLC, is given in parentheses.


<sup>c</sup> Yield of product after purification on Florisil®. <sup>d</sup> An alcohol was used as the substrate; method A involves the synthesis of the diisopropylsilyl ether *in situ*. <sup>e</sup> The fluorous-tagged alcohol **6** was recovered in >98% yield. <sup>f</sup> In the absence of DMAP, an 86% yield of material with 56% purity was obtained.

<sup>g</sup> Determined by 500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

**Scheme 3** Design of the fluorous-tagged linker **6** illustrated for the proposed synthesis of the cyclic silaketal **9**.

With a method for the activation of a diisopropylsilyl ether in hand, we investigated the formation of unsymmetrical silaketals from the silyl ethers **13a** and **13d** (entries 2a–b, Table 1). The silyl ethers (3.5 eq., 0.2 M in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) were treated with NBS (3 eq.) and, after 15 min, were added to a solution of the fluorous-tagged alcohol **6** (1 eq., 40 mM) and DMAP (10 mol%) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Unfortunately, neither of the reactions proceeded to completion: in each case, following F-SPE, both the fluorous-tagged alcohol **6** and the required silaketal **7** were found in the fluorous fraction. Although the silaketals could be purified by filtration through a short pad of Florisil®, the method was not sufficiently efficient for application in parallel synthesis.

**Fig. 1** Substrates, including the diisopropylsilyl ethers H<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>SiOR (**13**), used in this study.



**Fig. 2** Activation of the diisopropylsilyl ether *rac*-**13d**. 500 MHz  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of the silyl ether **13d** in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  (a) before treatment with NBS ( $\text{SiH}$ :  $\delta = 4.2$  ppm); and (b) 15 min after treatment with NBS.

We increased the efficiency of the transformation by developing a protocol in which the concentration of the fluorine-tagged alcohol **6** was higher (entries 3a–l, Table 1). The diisopropylsilyl ethers **13a–l** were prepared by silylation of the corresponding alcohols, and were used without further purification. The modified procedure involved inverse addition of a solution of the fluorine-tagged alcohol **6** and DMAP in triethylamine to a solution of the activated silyl ether in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . Following evaporation, addition of pentane and filtration, the crude reaction mixtures were dissolved in DMF, purified by F-SPE, and the fluorine fractions analysed, usually by HPLC. In some cases, the unsymmetrical silaketal products **7** were purified by filtration through a Florisil<sup>®</sup> pad.


The reactions of the silyl ethers **13a–c**, derived from unhindered primary alcohols, were highly efficient: the unsymmetrical silaketals **7a–c** were obtained in high yield (entries 3a–c, Table 1). With the silyl ethers **13d–g**, derived from secondary alcohols, good yields of the corresponding silaketals **7d–g** were obtained (entries 3d–f). We investigated more hindered bridged (**13h–j**) and cyclic substrates (**13k–l**) (entries 3h–l). Even when the reaction was not highly efficient, reasonable yields of the required silaketals were obtained after filtration through a Florisil<sup>®</sup> pad.

The chemoselectivity of our method for the activation of diisopropylsilyl ethers is remarkable. The silyl ethers **13a–l** contain a range of functional groups that may react with NBS: alkenes, including strained substrates (**13h–i**); electron-rich aromatic rings (**13d**) and an alkyne (**13a**). We never observed any brominated products.

The ring-closing metatheses of five unsymmetrical fluorine-tagged silaketals (**7d**, **7f**, **7h**, **7j** and **7l**) were investigated (Table 2). The silaketals **7** were treated with 3 mol% portions of Grubbs' first generation catalyst (**8**) until the reactions were judged to be

complete by TLC. Work-up involved treatment with  $\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_3$ ,  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  and silica, filtration through Celite,<sup>10</sup> and evaporation. The products of the metathesis reactions described in Table 2 are shown in Fig. 3.

Treatment of the silaketal **7d** with the catalyst **8** triggered the release of the stable cyclic silaketal **9** from the fluorine tag (entry 1, Table 2). In the case of **7h**, however, both the expected silaketal **16** and the corresponding diol **15** were obtained after chromatography (entry 3a). In later experiments, therefore, the crude cyclic silaketals were treated with HF-pyridine before purification. Using this protocol, the silaketals **7f**, **7h** and **7l** yielded the diols **14**, **15** and **18** (entries 2, 3b and 5).



**Fig. 3** Products of the ring-closing metathesis reactions.


For the substrates **7d**, **7f**, **7h** and **7l**, the initial metathesis product was a seven- to nine-membered cyclic silaketal. Similar ring-closing metatheses are well known.<sup>2–4</sup> However, in the case of the silaketal **7j**, the outcome of the metathesis reaction was remarkable: the diol **17** was isolated as a 55 : 45 *E* : *Z* mixture (entry 4). The fate of **7j** is consistent with the macrocycle-closing metathesis reaction ( $\rightarrow$  **20**) which is described in Scheme 4. Cyclisation of **19** to form a six-membered ring was not observed: presumably six-membered ring formation is reversible, and macrocyclisation (**19**  $\rightarrow$  **20**) allows more efficient release from the fluorine tag.

In summary, we have developed an efficient method for the formation of unsymmetrical silaketals, which we applied in the synthesis of the fluorine-tagged metathesis substrates **7a–l**. The method is

**Table 2** Ring-closing metathesis reactions of fluorine-tagged silaketals **7**

Entry	Substrate	Method <sup>a</sup>	Catalyst <sup>b</sup> (mol%)	Time/days	Product (yield <sup>c</sup> )
1	<b>7d</b>	A	<b>8</b> (3 $\times$ 3)	0.3	<b>9</b> (71%)
2	<b>7f</b>	B	<b>8</b> (5 $\times$ 3)	7	<b>14</b> (19%)
3a	<b>7h</b>	A	<b>8</b> (4 $\times$ 3)	1.1	<b>15</b> (8%), <b>16</b> (23%) <sup>d</sup>
3b	<b>7h</b>	B	<b>8</b> (4 $\times$ 3)	1	<b>15</b> (21%) <sup>d</sup>
4	<b>7j</b>	B	<b>8</b> (2 $\times$ 3)	0.3	<b>17</b> (35%) <sup>d, e</sup>
5	<b>7l</b>	B	<b>8</b> (8 $\times$ 3)	13	<b>18</b> (21%)

<sup>a</sup> Methods: A: (i) **7** (1 mM),  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 45 °C; (ii)  $\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_3$  then  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$ ; (iii) F-SPE; B: (i) **7** (1 mM),  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 45 °C; (ii)  $\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_3$  then  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$ ; (iii) F-SPE; (iv) HF-pyridine then  $\text{Me}_3\text{SiOMe}$ . <sup>b</sup> The catalyst was added at regular intervals in 3 mol% portions. <sup>c</sup> Yield of products (see Fig. 3) purified by column chromatography. <sup>d</sup> The product was purified by preparative HPLC. <sup>e</sup> 55 : 45 *E* : *Z* isomers mixture.



**Scheme 4** Macrocyclization of the silaketal **7j**.

remarkably chemoselective, and can be used to prepare silaketals with alkenyl and electron-rich aromatic substituents. We also observed a macrocycle-closing metathesis reaction that proceeded via a 13-membered cyclic silaketal. Through the use of a temporary silicon connection, therefore, it was possible to effect the net cross-metathesis of an unsaturated alcohol in which the reacting alkene was rather remote from the tether. Similar macrocycle-closing metathesis reactions may be useful in the synthesis of other compounds in which an alkene is remote from a potential tethering point.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Wellcome Trust, EPSRC, the University of Leeds and GSK for funding, James Titchmarsh for HPLC analyses, Simon Barrett for NMR analysis, and Dr Gordon McKiernan for helpful discussions.

## Notes and references

- 1 M. Bols and T. Skrydstrup, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 1253.
- 2 (a) P. van de Weghe, D. Aoun, J.-G. Boiteau and J. Eustache, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 4105; (b) P. A. Evans, J. Cui, S. J. Gharpure, A. Polosukhin and H.-R. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 14702; (c) T. Gaich and J. Mulzer, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 1311.
- 3 B. A. Harrison and G. L. Verdine, *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 2157.
- 4 P. A. Evans, J. Cui and G. P. Buffone, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 1734.
- 5 (a) C. Colombier, T. Skrydstrup and J. M. Beau, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 8167; (b) G. Stork and P. F. Keitz, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 6981; (c) J. W. Gillard, R. Fortin, H. E. Morton, C. Yoakim, C. A. Quesnelle, S. Daignault and Y. Guindon, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1988, **53**, 2602.
- 6 S. G. Leach, C. J. Cordier, D. Morton, G. J. McKiernan, S. Warriner and A. Nelson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, DOI: 10.1021/jo7026273.
- 7 W. Zhang and D. P. Curran, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 11837.
- 8 J.-D. Moriggi, L. J. Brown, J. L. Castro and R. C. D. Brown, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2004, **2**, 835.
- 9 M. Petit, G. Chouraqui, C. Aubert and M. Malacria, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 2037.
- 10 H. D. Maynard and R. H. Grubbs, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 4137.