Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Z. Li, Z. Liu, D. Yu, Q. Yao, W. Ma, C. Zhang, J. Fan and X. Peng*, Chem. Sci.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4SC04848B.

This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors.](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp)

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the [Ethical guidelines s](http://www.rsc.org/publishing/journals/guidelines/)till apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

rsc.li/chemical-science

[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC04848B)

[View Journal](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/journals/journal/SC)

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Next-generation Red Ultra-bright Fluorescent Dyes for Nuclear Imaging and Peripheral Blood Leukocytes Sorting

Zipeng Li,^a Zheng Liu,^a Ding Yu,^a Qichao Yao,^a Wanying Ma, ^b Changyu Zhang, ^{ab} Jiangli Fan, *abc and Xiaojun Peng ac

Nucleus is a membrane-bound organelle in eukaryotic cells and plays a crucial role in cellular processes. Visualizing nuclear morphology is essential for investigating nuclear functions and understanding the relationship between nuclear morphological alterations and multiple diseases. Fluorescent dyes have been developed to visualize nuclear morphology, but the selection of red nuclear-labeling fluorescent dyes remains limited (high price, unknown structure, or high toxicity). Herein, we have developed a red ultra-bright nuclear-targeted dye, **BPC1**, through the engineering of unsymmetrical cyanine dyes derived from D-π-A systems. **BPC1** exhibits ultrahigh fluorescence brightness and exceptional cell permeability, and selectively stains nuclear DNA rather than mitochondrial DNA, enabling the visualization of nucleus in diverse cells by extremely low doses (100 nM) and laser power (0.8 μW). Furthermore, **BPC1** is utilized for nuclear staining in blood cells, aiding in the distinct visualization of white blood cell nucleus and facilitating the identification and enumeration of various leukocyte types. Our study implies considerable commercial potential for **BPC1** and underscores its capacity to serve as a powerful tool in life sciences and cell biology research.

1 Introduction

Nucleus is a membrane-bound organelle in eukaryotic cells and control key cellular processes including gene expression, DNA replication, and cell division. The notable characteristic of the nucleus is plasticity, as the diverse environment can influence its resting state (shape, size and contents). $1-3$ The nuclear morphology and chromatin are considered as markers of cellular physiological activity and associated with numerous human diseases such as cancer, neurodegenerative disorders, and aging.4-7 Fluorescence imaging technology possesses features of non-invasiveness, realtime feedback, high sensitivity, and superior spatial resolution, granting it indispensable advantages in nuclear detecting.8-12 The most direct strategy involves using genetically encoded fluorescent proteins to label nuclear proteins.13, 14 While this method offers high precision, it is limited by factors such as low transfection efficiency, protein overexpression, and complex procedures. Nuclear DNA fluorescent dyes with high sensitivity and signal-to-noise ratio have been developed.15, 16 However, dyes intended for DNA staining commonly exhibit nonspecific binding with RNA, because the variance in base pairing between DNA and RNA is minimal, and both molecules exhibit major and minor grooves within their secondary structures.17-20 Moreover, a small quantity of mitochondrial DNA is present in the cytoplasm.21-23 The coexistence of DNA in the cell nucleus and cytoplasm poses a challenge in the development of targeted fluorescent dyes for nuclear DNA staining.

To date, DNA-specific blue fluorescent dyes such as **DAPI** and **Hoechst** are still widely favored for nuclear labeling due to their simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and independence from genetic modification requirements.^{24, 25} However, they rely on high-energy ultraviolet lasers excitation, potentially causing DNA damage and cell death.26-28 In recent years, there has been a substantial increase in the demand for long-wavelength fluorescent dyes, particularly in the Red/Near-Infrared Region (NIR).^{29, 30} In contrast to short-wavelength fluorescent dyes, these dyes exhibit reduced spontaneous fluorescence interference and enable deeper tissue imaging. However, the selection of commercially available red nuclearlabeling fluorescent dyes remains limited. To date, **SYTO Deep Red** from Thermo Fisher Scientific exhibits high usage costs and undisclosed structure. **DRAQ5**, due to its notorious high toxicity, is gradually being phased out.^{31, 32} Recent studies have shown that conjugating visible light-excited fluorochromes to the Hoechst via linker groups is a frequently strategy to construct the red nuclearlabeling fluorescent dyes. $33, 34$ Alternatively, the elongation of conjugate chain length in thiazole orange dyes allows for the generation of red fluorescent dyes that exhibit selective binding to cell nuclear DNA.³⁵⁻³⁸ However, their affinity for binding to nuclear DNA is generally low, leading to nonspecific retention by mitochondria. Therefore, nuclear labeling dyes are urgently needed to match the increasing scope and precision of the studies in nuclear biology. Next-generation Red Ultra-bright Fluorescent Dyes for

Nuclear Imaging and Peripheral Blood Leukocytes Sorting

Next-generation Red Ultra-bright Fluorescent Dyes for

Next-generation Red Ultra-bright Fluorescent Dyes for

> In this study, a series of unsymmetrical cyanine dyes with different Donor-π-Acceptor (D-π-A) systems were designed (**BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1** and **QPC2**, Figure 1b). The absorption wavelengths of these dyes range between 550 nm and 700 nm, and their inherent fluorescence is minimal due to molecular rotation (Figure 1a). Once upon binding to DNA, their fluorescence is significantly enhanced to varied degrees. Among these dyes, the Benzothiazole N-aryl Pyridinium Cyanine dye (**BPC1**) specifically bind to DNA and exhibited

a.State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, Frontiers Science Center for Smart Materials Oriented Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China. E-mail: fanjl@dlut.edu.cn

b.Ningbo Institute of Dalian University of Technology, Ningbo 315016, China. c. Liaoning Binhai Laboratory, Dalian 116023, China.

[†] Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

ARTICLE Journal Name

red emission without being influenced by other biomolecules. It displays ultrahigh fluorescence brightness, exceptional cell permeability and selectively stains nuclear DNA rather than mitochondrial DNA, enabling real-time visualization of nucleus by 3D imaging, super-resolution fluorescence imaging, and fluorescence

lifetime imaging by extremely low doses (100 nM) a[nd laser power](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC04848B) (0.8 μW). Due to the excellent nuclear targeting abinty, the use of **BPC1** for nuclear staining of blood cells can effectively distinguish various leukocyte nucleus and facilitate the classification and counting of different types of leukocytes.

Figure 1. (a) Schematic illustration nuclear targeted DNA maker dyes by combining dsDNA. (b) Structures of **BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1** and **QPC2**. (c) The ratio of maximal fluorescence intensities for different dyes with CT DNA or yeast RNA. (d) Fluorescence spectrum of concentration titration for **BPC1** (4 μM) with different concentrations of CT DNA (0-100 μg/mL). (e) Optimized geometry and HOMO/LUMO of **BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1**, and **QPC2** in the ground state at the B3LYP/6-31G* level. (f) dsDNA binding efficiency of **BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1** and **QPC2**.

2 Results and Discussion

2.1 Design and Synthesis

Thiazole-Orange-3 (**TO3**) dye exhibits favorable properties for nucleic acid response. However, its insufficient DNA specificity (Figure S1 b, e) and inadequate nuclear pores permeability restrict their use as DNA labeling dyes for nuclear labeling (Figure S8). Thus, we replaced the D-π-A scaffold of **TO3** with different nitrogen-containing heterocycles (benzothiazole, N-arylpyridine, and quinoline), then generated **BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1** and **QPC2** with an appropriate conjugated length and a molecular skeleton that can be twisted (Figure 1b). We envision these modifications can change the DNA specificity and nuclear pores permeability.³⁹ These candidate dyes were synthesized via similar processes. First, the quaternary ammonium salt condenses with N, N-diphenylformamidine to obtain an asymmetric cyanine dye intermediate, then further condensed with another part of the quaternary ammonium salt to obtain the

corresponding asymmetric cyanine dyes **BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1** and **QPC2**. The specific synthesis process and chemical structure characterization (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HR-MS) are shown in Scheme S1-S7 and Figures S15-S26 (SI).

2.2 Photophysical Properties

The photophysical properties of **BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1** and **QPC2** were first investigated in the phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) at pH 7.4. As shown in Figure S1 a-l and Table S1, **BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1** and **QPC2** exhibited maximal absorption at 580 nm, 580 nm, 615 nm and 665 nm with negligible fluorescence emission, respectively. By contrast, after addition of calf thymus DNA (CT DNA), they showed red-shifted absorption peaks (**BPC1**: 600 nm, **BPC2**: 605 nm, **QPC1**: 635 nm, **QPC2**: 690 nm) alongside a notable fluorescence emission peak (**BPC1**: 627 nm, **BPC2**: 637 nm, **QPC1**: 665 nm, **QPC2**: 715 nm), while the addition of yeast RNA resulted in only a marginal enhancement of the fluorescence signal. The fluorescence quantum yield and lifetime of dyes were tested in the absence or presence of nucleic

acid (CT DNA or yeast RNA). It was observed that the addition of CT DNA notably increased the quantum yield and prolonged the fluorescence lifetime to varied extents (Table S1-S2 and Figure S2). In contrast, the extension in fluorescence lifetime occurred in the presence of yeast RNA, yet the increase in quantum yield was minimal. By calculating the alterations in radiative and non-radiative rate constants of each dye pre- and post-binding to CT DNA or yeast RNA (Table S2), it was determined that the radiative rate constants of dyes significantly increased after binding to CT DNA (**BPC1**: 1.55 × 10^8 s⁻¹, **BPC2**: 1.84×10^8 s⁻¹, **QPC1**: 6.71×10^7 s⁻¹, **QPC2**: 7.29×10^7 s⁻ ¹), surpassing those after yeast RNA binding (BPC1: 4.00×10^7 s⁻¹, **BPC2**: 4.23×10^7 s⁻¹, **QPC1**: 2.24×10^7 s⁻¹, **QPC2**: 2.59×10^7 s⁻¹). Therefore, the fluorescence quantum yield was much higher in the presence of CT DNA than yeast RNA. In addition, the fluorescent brightness of the dyes bound to CT DNA was calculated using a specific formula ($\varepsilon_{\text{max}} \times \Phi_f$). **BPC1** exhibited the highest fluorescent brightness (**BPC1**: 71820, **BPC2**: 40752, **QPC1**: 2408, **QPC2**: 9216). To our knowledge, It's the brightest DNA-binding dye discovered thus far (Table S2).

We further investigated the discriminatory capacity of the dyes for DNA/RNA by comparing the fluorescence enhancement multiple after binding with DNA or RNA. As shown in Figure 1c and Table S1, the I_{DNA}/I_{RNA} of **BPC1, BPC2, QPC1, and QPC2** for nucleic acids was 7.09, 11.10, 2.49, 5.46, respectively, which was significantly higher than **TO3** (1.98) and **SytoDeep Red** (3.54, commercial red DNAlabeling dye). Additionally, these four dyes show negligible fluorescence over time. When in the presence of DNA, the fluorescence intensities reached their maximum plateau within seconds and remained stable for the next 5 minutes, indicating their rapid binding with DNA (Figure S4). And there is a good correlation between the fluorescence intensity and DNA concentrations (0-100 μg/mL) (Figure 1d and S5). The affinity of these four dyes to DNA were $(1.08 \pm 0.10) \times 10^6$ M⁻¹, $(2.33 \pm 0.08) \times 10^6$ M⁻¹, $(1.05 \pm 0.04) \times$ 10^6 M⁻¹ and (6.61 ± 0.28) × 10⁶ M⁻¹, respectively (Figure 1f and S3), indicating that they all have a high affinity for DNA. These results indicate that the synthesized series of pyridine cyanine dyes have the potential for quantitative detection DNA concentration.

2.3 Gaussian Calculation

Considering the notable disparities in the optical and chemical characteristics of the pyridine cyanine dyes, we conducted Density Functional Theory (DFT) and Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory (TD-DFT) calculations using the B3LYP function and the 6- 31G* basis set. Figure 1e and Table S4 depicted the Highest Occupied Molecular Orbitals and Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbitals (HOMOs and LUMOs). Both of four dyes exhibited a similar dihedral angle of approximately 48° between the phenyl moiety and the pyridine moiety, as observed in the optimized geometry. The larger dihedral angle caused the molecule to undergo non-radiative transitions back to the ground state from the excited state, resulting in fluorescence quenching. Additionally, the energy gap between HOMO and LUMO decreased with the red shift in absorption of the dyes **BPC1**, **BPC2**, **QPC1**, and **QPC2**, consistent with the experimental test results.

2.4 Selectivity and Binding Constant

Given its superior performance in terms of fluoresc[ence quantum](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC04848B) yield (54%), signal-to-noise ratio (7.09), and brightness (71820) following DNA binding, we chose **BPC1** as the primary focus of our subsequent research. Considering the intracellular microenvironment is complex and contains many active substances, the ability of **BPC1** to resist interference is an important indicator for its biological applicability. Therefore, the specificity of **BPC1** for DNA was investigated against various analytes including ions (Na⁺, K⁺, PO₄³⁻, CO₃²⁻, CH₃COO⁻, C₂O₄²⁻), reactive species (H₂O₂, GSH), sugars (glucose, sucrose), ATP, various amino acids (L-Ala, L-Leu, L-Pro, L-Trp, L-Phe, L-Gln, L-Cys, L-Asp, L-Glu, L-His), and Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA). As shown in Figure 2a, the addition of each analyte at a concentration of 100 μM resulted in negligible interference. Specifically, BSA tends to trigger false positive signals with its hydrophobic pockets,⁴⁰ yet **BPC1** exhibits little non-specific binding for BSA. These findings demonstrated the high specificity of **BPC1** for DNA, making it well-suited for studying nucleic acids within cells without interference from other biomolecules.

Furthermore, we studied the binding capability of **BPC1** to different types of nucleic acids (Table S5 and Figure 2b). We found that the fluorescence signal of **BPC1** almost did not increase upon addition of RNA (NC and ssRNAmix). Upon addition of several atypical DNAs (mitochondrial DNA, G4-DNA, and ssDNA), a weak fluorescence enhancement was observed. In contrast, significant fluorescence enhancements were observed upon the addition of dsDNAmix, and the fluorescence intensity increased more notably following the addition of specific DNA sequences $ds(AT)_{20}$ than ds(GC)₂₀. And the fluorescent intensity of **BPC1** was linear correlated with the concentration of typical dsDNA $(ds(AT)_{20}$ and $ds(GC)_{20}$ (Figure 2c and S6a). Furthermore, the Job plot analysis showed that **BPC1** interacted with $ds(AT)_{20}$ in a 1:2 stoichiometric ratio, and the binding constant was estimated to be $(2.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-6}$ M⁻¹ (Figure 2d-e and S6 b-c). **BPC1** interacted with $ds(GC)_{20}$ in a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, the binding constant is $(7.27 \pm 1.87) \times 10^{-5}$ M⁻¹. Thus $Ka(AT)_{20}$ was approximately an order of magnitude higher than Ka(GC)₂₀. All the results indicate that **BPC1** tends to bind to AT base pairs. This state, we must be continue the state of powers in each galactic and the one rate of the state of t

2.5 Binding Mechanism

To determine the binding mode of **BPC1** with nucleic acids, thermal melting analysis was conducted (Figure 2f). The results indicated that DMSO had no significant effect on the Tm of dsDNA, and the addition of 4 μ M **BPC1** did not alter the T_m either, suggesting a nonintercalative binding mode of **BPC1** with dsDNA. The concentrationdependent CD spectra of **BPC1** (0-0.2 mM) in a fixed concentration of dsDNA (0.5 mg/mL) revealed positive signals at 280 nm, negative signals at 245 nm, and an Induced Circular Dichroism (ICD) signal enhancement at 550 nm and 610 nm, indicating a groove binding mode of **BPC1** with dsDNA (Figure 2g). Molecular docking simulations was further used to reveal the mechanism of **BPC1** with nucleic acids. X-ray crystal structures of dsDNA (3FDQ) and ssRNA (6UGI) were obtained from the PDB database. Subsequently, docking with **BPC1** was performed using the Local Search Parameters module of AutoDock 4.2. The results showed that **BPC1** had a higher binding energy (8.5 kcal/mol) with dsDNA than the binding energy of **BPC1** with dsRNA (8.0 kcal/mol) (Table S6). Taken a closer look at the

ARTICLE Journal Name

detailed binding modes (Figure 2h), **BPC1** adopted a planar conformation to bind to the minor groove of dsDNA, surrounded by the nucleotides DT (5, 6, 7, 9) and DA (8, 10, 11, 12, 13). By contrast, **BPC1** bound to the major groove of dsRNA and the conformation of **BPC1** was obviously twisted (Figure 2i). The energy of **BPC1** can still return to the ground state through non radiative deca[ys. The analysis](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC04848B) implied that the BPC1-DNA complex could Leffectively restrain the free rotation of **BPC1** via the hydrophobic interactions and Van der Waals forces, thereby maximizing the energy of radiative decays and lighting up the **BPC1** fluorescence in aqueous solution.

Figure 2. (a) Fluorescence intensity of **BPC1** (4 μM) at 625 nm responses to varying substances. (b) Fluorescence intensity of **BPC1** (1 μM) at 625 nm responses to varying oligonucleotides (4 μ M). (c) Fluorescence spectrum of **BPC1** responses to varying concentrations of ds(AT)₂₀ DNA. (d) Job's plot for **BPC1** and ds(AT)₂₀ DNA. X-axis, mole fraction of ds(AT)₂₀ DNA. The total concentrations for **BPC1** and ds(AT)₂₀ DNA were fixed to 10 µM. (e) Benesi-Hildebrand plots of the reciprocal changes in fluorescence intensity at 625 nm as a function of the reciprocal ds(AT)₂₀ DNA. (f) Melting temperature (T_m) profiles of CT DNA (10 μg/mL) under different conditions: blank, **BPC1** (4 μM, 4 μL), and DMSO (4 μL). (g) CD spectra of CT DNA (0.5 mg/mL) to varying concentrations of **BPC1** (0-0.2 mM). Molecular docking calculation of **BPC1** with (h) dsDNA (3FDQ) or (i) ssRNA (6UGI).

2.6 Cell Imaging

To determine the ability of four dyes to stain cell nucleus, we investigated the signal distribution on MCF-7 cells exposed to these dyes and quantified the ratio of fluorescence intensity between the nucleus and cytoplasm (Figure S9). Interesting, only **BPC1** has a high nuclear to cytoplasm ratio (7.4), enabling clear staining of the cell nucleus. To further clarify the relationship between the structures of the dyes and permeability, we determined the oil-water partition coefficient (ClogP) of four dyes (Table S7). The data showed that the ClogP value of **BPC1** was 2.73, within the range of 1.84 (**QPC1**, **QPC2**) to 2.9 (**BPC2**), indicating that the outstanding cellular membrane permeability of **BPC1** was due to an appropriate lipophilic balance.

Then, we performed colocalization analyses on cells exposed to **BPC1** and **Hoechst 33342** (a commonly used commercial nuclear dye). These cells were incubated with 0.5 μM **BPC1** for 30 minutes, allowing for sufficient uptake of **BPC1** within the cells. Subsequently, they were stained with 1 μM **Hoechst 33342** for an additional 30 minutes and observed using Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM). As demonstrated in Figure 3a and S10, **BPC1** (red) and **Hoechst 33342** (blue) could efficiently reach the nucleus. Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC) between the fluorescence signals (red and blue) were calculated as 0.92 and 0.94 in the MCF-7 and MCF-10A cells, respectively. These suggested that **BPC1** has the capability to selectively target the cell nucleus, offering potential for specific labeling of cell nucleus DNA at the cellular level. Notably, curve chart demonstrated that the fluorescence intensity line of **BPC1** (red line) exhibited a smoother pattern than that of **Hoechst 33342** (blue line)

4 | *J. Name*., 2012, **00**, 1-3 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

(Figure 3b), which suggested a significantly higher signal-to-noise ratio for **BPC1** imaging in comparison to **Hoechst 33342**.

Then, fixed MCF-7 and MCF-10A cells were stained with **BPC1** and **Hoechst 33342**. The fluorescence analysis in Figure S10 revealed a

substantial overlap between the fluorescence of **BPC1** and the blue fluorescence of **Hoechst 33342** in fixed MCF⁻⁷ and MCF^{410A4&4RS}, indicating **BPC1**'s outstanding localization ability for fixed cells.

Figure 3. (a) Confocal imaging of living MCF-7 cells co-stained with **BPC1** (0.5 μM) and **Hoechst 33342** (1 μM). Signal distribution and Pearson's correlation were obtained from LAS AF. (b) Curve chart: intensity correlation of **BPC1** and **Hoechst 33342**. (c) Confocal imaging of fixed MCF-7 cells co-stained with **BPC1** (0.5 μM) following treatment with DNase I (100 U/mL) or RNase A (100 μg/mL), respectively. (d) Confocal imaging of MDA-MB-231, 4T1, L929, HepG-2, HCC 1937 and HeLa cells stained with **BPC1** (0.5 μM). (e) Confocal imaging of MCF-7 cells stained with different dose of **BPC1** or **Hoechst 33342**. (f) Statistical chart of mean fluorescence intensity. Scale bar: 20 μm.

Furthermore, to confirm that the fluorescence signal in cells specifically arises from **BPC1** binding to nuclear DNA, MCF-7 cells were fixed and fluorescence of **BPC1** was measured pre- and posttreatment with DNase I and RNase A (Figure 3c). As expected, the

fluorescence intensity of **BPC1** was significantly reduced after treatment with DNase I, and the fluorescence intensity had hardly changed after treatment with RNase A. The results confirmed that

the fluorescence intensity primarily originated from **BPC1**'s interaction with nuclear DNA in cells.

To assess the universality of **BPC1**'s capability for labeling the cell nucleus, more types of cells were stained with **BPC1**. The results (Figure 3d) indicated that **BPC1** had remarkable nuclear labeling capability across various cell types. It was noteworthy that chromatin distribution in most cells tends to be dispersed and located near the nuclear membrane. In contrast, the chromatin in L929 cell nucleus was concentrated near the nuclear membrane, while in 4T1 cells, it was centralized around the nucleus's core. The findings suggested that in addition to labeling the cell nucleus, **BPC1**'s fluorescence signal can partly reflect the distribution of chromatin within the nucleus.41-43

Figure 4. (a) Confocal imaging of MCF-7 cells co-stained with **BPC1** (0.5 μM) or **SYTO Deep Red** respectively at varying degree of laser intensity. (b) Consecutive confocal imaging and (c) quantitative analysis of MCF-7 cells co-stained with **BPC1** (0.5 μM) or **SYTO Deep Red** respectively. Scale bar: 20 μm.

Since a lower dose not only reduces costs but also demonstrates higher biocompatibility, we next sought to validate the image quality after reducing the dye dosage. As shown in Figure 3e and 3f, it still effectively labels the cell nucleus even the concentration of **BPC1** was as low as 100 nM. Conversely, the cell nucleus could not be clearly observed at concentrations below 300 nM after incubation with **Hoechst 33342**. MTT experiments (Figure S11-S12) showed that cell survival exceeded 90% after co-incubating with **BPC1** for 12 h, 24 h or even 48 h at working concentrations (100 nM), indicating that **BPC1** has good biocompatibility and can't affect cell proliferation. Furthermore, we compared **BPC1** with the commercial red DNA labeling dye **SYTO Deep Red** (Figure 4a). It was observed that with the same laser intensity (0.2% rated power, 0.8 μW), **BPC1** effectively labeled the cell nucleus, greatly reducing light-induced damage to the cells. While there was not observable fluorescence signal for **SYTO Deep Red** until a further increase in laser intensity to 17% (68 μW). After fifty consecutive photos were captured in the designated field of view with their corresponding matched powers (Figure 4b, c), the fluorescence intensity showed no significant decrease, suggesting that **BPC1** possesses anti-photobleaching properties and meets the requirements for cell biology research. Subsequently, we performed continuous imaging of individual cell using **BPC1** (Supporting Movie). The results demonstrate that **BPC1** effectively anchors the cell nucleus without causing significant staining in other cellular regions over a 5-hour-long imaging period. Further imaging In the case of the first of each of the case of the c

experiments confirmed the ability of **BPC1** to track t[he cell division](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC04848B) process (Figure S13), highlighting its efficacy as a fluorescent marker for observing dynamic structural changes in the cell nucleus.

Fluorescence dye-based imaging technologies such as 3D imaging, super-resolution fluorescence imaging, and Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy (FLIM), have gained notable advances in recent years.44, 45 We first performed 3D reconstruction to get clear 3D confocal images (Figure 5a). The unfolded MCF-7 cell nucleus presented an elliptical spherical shape. These indicated that **BPC1** can spatially analyse subcellular structures in various directions. Next, we assessed the performance of **BPC1** in super-resolution fluorescence imaging using Leica Lightning technology (Figure 5b). Upon local magnification of the region of interest, the cell nucleus chromatin in the confocal imaging appeared blurry, making it difficult to distinguish between adjacent chromatin. In contrast, the chromatin in Lightning super-resolution imaging appeared clear, displaying the shape of the chromatin, underscoring **BPC1** as a potent tool for observing the intricate structure of the cell nucleus by super-resolution imaging.

Compared to traditional imaging methods based on fluorescence intensity, fluorescence lifetime is unaffected by changes in excitation light intensity and fluorescence molecule concentration, but it is influenced by the microenvironment surrounding the molecule, including viscosity, polarity, temperature, and pH. This makes fluorescence lifetime more suitable for the quantitative imaging of microenvironments in biological systems. Given the property that the fluorescence lifetime of **BPC1** increases after binding to DNA. We utilized FLIM technology to monitor the signal distribution of **BPC1** in living cells. As shown in Figure 5d, we separated the short lifetime (0.7 ns) generated by non-specific dye retention from the long lifetime (2.8 ns) generated by DNA specific binding, achieving more accurate imaging of nuclear DNA. The above cell experimental results demonstrated that **BPC1** is a powerful tool for observing the structure, size, and spatial distribution of the cell nucleus.

Figure 5. (a) Confocal 3D imaging of nucleus by **BPC1** (0.5 μM). (b) Confocal lightning imaging of nucleus by **BPC1** (0.5 μM) from LAS AF. Scale bar: 10 μm. (c) Intensity correlation of confocal and lightning imaging. (d) FLIM images of nucleus by **BPC1** (0.5 μM). Scale bar: 10 μm.

2.7 Human Peripheral Blood Sample Analyses

Peripheral blood contains various types of blood cells, such as White Blood Cells (WBCs), Red Blood Cells (RBCs), and Platelets (PLTs).⁴⁶ Specifically, red blood cells and platelets lack the nucleus, while

white blood cells consist of five main types (monocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils) exhibiting variation in nucleic acid content and cell morphology.⁴⁷ For instance, neutrophils possess rod-shaped or lobed cell nuclei, whereas lymphocytes have elliptical cell nuclei. Based on **BPC1**'s excellent performance for the selective labeling of nucleus DNA, we further explored whether **BPC1** was applied for leukocytes sorting with real blood sample from hospital. As shown in Figure 6b, we successfully captured the rod-shaped, bilobed, and trilobed cell nuclei of neutrophils by using CLSM imaging after staining with **BPC1**, as well as the elliptical cell nuclei of lymphocytes. Furthermore, granulocytes consist of neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils with similar size and complexity, which make it difficult to distinguish each other in Forward Scatter (FSC) vs Side Scatter (SSC) by flow cytometry. Given the super sensitivity to DNA, the fluorescence intensity can represent the amount of DNA in the cells. Therefore, we used flow cytometry to achieve leukocyte subtyping. Firstly, the cells were treated with red blood cell lysis buffer (F-LD, selectively lyses red blood cells and keeps the original characteristics of the leukocytes as much as possible) for 5 minutes, then **BPC1** (5 μM) was directly added to the

cells and incubated for 1 minute. Four parts could [be remarkably](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC04848B) separated including monocytes, lymphocytes,¹⁰ heat rophils, ⁸ and eosinophils by collecting SSC vs Side Fluorescence (SFL) by the flow cytometer (Figure 6c-d). Interestingly, these results confirmed that monocytes and eosinophils had a higher DNA content than lymphocytes and neutrophils by comparing of the SFL axis fluorescence intensity. Moreover, we conducted the same test using commercial white blood cell classification dyes (FD, Automatic Hematology Analyzer F-FD Fluorescent Dye, can divide white blood cells into different groups based on fluorescence intensity). According to the flow cytometry dot-plot images and statistical results shown in Figure S14 and Figure 6d, the count percentage of four kinds of WBCs in the **BPC1** group was similar to the FD group. And the most of the coefficients of variation (CV) for **BPC1** and FD were below 10% (Table S8 and S9), revealing that **BPC1** can achieve the classification of WBCs based on the quantification of DNA content. These results indicated that DNA marker **BPC1** also showed great potential to facilitate blood cell classification and counting via flow cytometry.

Figure 6. (a) Workflow for the peripheral blood sample preparation. (b) Confocal imaging of peripheral blood cells stained with **BPC1** (0.5 μM). Splicing diagram, Local magnification diagram. (c) Flow cytometry dot-plot image of staining with BPC1 (5 μM) on peripheral blood cells. (d) The quantified percentage of different kinds of WBCs.

3 Conclusions

In summary, a series of new asymmetric cyanine dyes with nucleic acid recognition properties have been designed and synthesized by incorporating various nitrogen-containing heterocycles. These dyes

demonstrated high selectivity for DNA under long-wavelength excitation (550 nm to 700 nm). Notably, among these dyes, **BPC1** exhibited the highest fluorescence brightness compared to existing DNA labeling dyes, offering excellent DNA specificity and cell permeability. **BPC1** can label the cell nucleus at extremely low doses

ARTICLE Journal Name

(100 nM) and laser power (0.8 μW), making it suitable for real-time and high-fidelity imaging. Moreover, our study demonstrated the adaptability of **BPC1** to various microscopy techniques, including 3D confocal imaging, super-resolution imaging, and fluorescence lifetime imaging. In addition to these remarkable properties, **BPC1** was utilized for staining clinical blood samples, exhibiting excellent blood cell classification and counting ability by labeling the nuclei of WBCs, highlighting its significant potential as a clinical diagnostic tool, particularly effective in conjunction with existing blood cell analyzers. Overall, we foresee substantial commercial prospects for **BPC1** and its potential to serve as a powerful tool in life sciences and cell biology research. are a free for example, and the specific and the specific control in the specific and the specific and

Author Contributions

Z. P. Li designed and performed all the experiments and wrote manuscript. Q. C. Yao participated in calculating and data analysing. Z. Liu, D. Yu and W. Y. Ma participated in some experiments including in vitro test and cell experiments. J. L. Fan directed the whole process in this work, guided the writing and revised the manuscript. C. Y. Zhang, J. L. Fan, and X. J. Peng offered constructive suggestions on the improvement of this work and provided financial support.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (21925802, 22338005, 22208045), Liaoning Provincial Science and Technology Joint Fund (2023JH2/101800039 and 2023JH2/101800037), Liaoning Binhai Laboratory (LBLB-2023-03), the Ningbo Natural Science Foundation (2023S115), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities(DUT22LAB601), the NINGBO Medical & Health Leading Academic Discipline Project (No. 2022-F03) and Ningbo Clinical Research Center for Thoracic & Breast Neoplasms (No. 2021L002).

Notes and references

- 1. Y. Kalukula, A. D. Stephens, J. Lammerding and S. Gabriele, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2022, 23, 583-602.
- 2. A. J. Lomakin, C. J. Cattin, D. Cuvelier, Z. Alraies, M. Molina, G. P. F. Nader, N. Srivastava, P. J. Saez, J. M. Garcia-Arcos, I. Y. Zhitnyak, A. Bhargava, M. K. Driscoll, E. S. Welf, R. Fiolka, R. J. Petrie, N. S. De Silva, J. M. González-Granado, N. Manel, A. M. Lennon-Duménil, D. J. Müller and M. Piel, Science, 2020, 370, eaba2894.
- 3. Z. Shen and P. Niethammer, Science, 2020, 370, 295-296.
- 4. M. M. Nava, Y. A. Miroshnikova, L. C. Biggs, D. B. Whitefield, F. Metge, J. Boucas, H. Vihinen, E. Jokitalo, X. Li, J. M. García Arcos, B. Hoffmann, R. Merkel, C. M. Niessen, K. N. Dahl and S. A. Wickström, Cell, 2020, 181, 800-817.
- 5. G. P. d. F. Nader, S. Agüera-Gonzalez, F. Routet, M. Gratia, M. Maurin, V. Cancila, C. Cadart, A. Palamidessi, R. N. Ramos, M. San Roman, M. Gentili, A. Yamada, A. Williart, C. Lodillinsky, E. Lagoutte, C. Villard, J.-L. Viovy, C. Tripodo, J. Galon, G. Scita, N. Manel, P. Chavrier and M. Piel, Cell, 2021, 184, 5230-5246.
- 6. I. Heckenbach, G. V. Mkrtchyan, M. B. Ezra, D. Bakula, J. S. Madsen, M. H. Nielsen, D. Oró, B. Osborne, A. J. Covarrubias, M. L. Idda, M. Gorospe, L. Mortensen, E. Verdin, R. Westendorp and M. Scheibye-Knudsen, Nature Aging, 2022, 2, 742-755.
- 7. L. Fan, X. Liu, D. Guallar and J. Ding, Life Medicine, 2023, 2, lnad010.
- 8. G. Fang, D. Liu, M. Zhang, L. Shao, X. Shao, J. Chen, C. Meng, Y. Wang, K. Zeng and Q. Chen, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2024, 504, 215670-215689.
- 9. H. Li, D. Kim, Q. Yao, H. Ge, J. Chung, J. Fan, J. Wang, X. Peng and J. Yoon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 17268-17289.
- 10. H. Li, H. Kim, F. Xu, J. Han, Q. Yao, J. Wang, K. Pu, X. Peng and J. Yoon, Chem Soc Rev, 2022, 51, 1795-1835.
- 11. Y. Dong, Y. Zou, X. Jia, L. Yin, W. He, X. Luo, X. Qian and Y. Yang, Smart Mol., 2023, 1, e20230001.
- 12. F. Yang, P. Lu, T.-B. Ren, X.-B. Zhang and L. Yuan, Smart Mol., 2023, 1, e20220002.
- 13. Q. Qiao, W. Liu, W. Chi, J. Chen, W. Zhou, N. Xu, J. Li, X. Fang, Y. Tao, Y. Zhang, Y. Chen, L. Miao, X. Liu and Z. Xu, Aggregate, 2023, 4, e258.
- 14. C. Jing and V. W. Cornish, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 784-792.
- 15. T. Xia, G. Chen, D. Zhou, W. Liu, X. Li, H. Gu, Y. Ye, J. Du, J. Fan and X. Peng, ACS Sens., 2022, 7, 469-476.
- 16. K. Uno, T. Sasaki, N. Sugimoto, H. Ito, T. Nishihara, S. Hagihara, T. Higashiyama, N. Sasaki, Y. Sato and K. Itami, Chem Asian J, 2017, 12, 233-238.
- 17. M. Kaushik, S. Kaushik, K. Roy, A. Singh, S. Mahendru, M. Kumar, S. Chaudhary, S. Ahmed and S. Kukreti, Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports, 2016, 5, 388-395.
- 18. K. V. Morris and J. S. Mattick, Nat. Rev. Genet., 2014, 15, 423- 437.
- 19. A. K. Gaigalas, L. Li, O. Henderson, R. Vogt, J. Barr, G. Marti, J. Weaver and A. Schwartz, J Res Natl Inst Stand Technol., 2001, 106, 381-389.
- 20. C. Zhang, R. Zhang, C. Liang, Y. Deng, Z. Li, Y. Deng and B. Z. Tang, Biomaterials, 2022, 291, 121915-121924.
- 21. T. Xia, Z. Xia, P. Tang, J. Fan and X. Peng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2024, 146, 12941-12949.
- 22. T. Xia, Y. Hou, Z. Xia, G. Chen, P. Tang, J. Fan and X. Peng, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2024, 35, 108577-108582.
- 23. S. Anderson, A. T. Bankier, B. G. Barrell, M. H. L. de Bruijn, A. R. Coulson, J. Drouin, I. C. Eperon, D. P. Nierlich, B. A. Roe, F. Sanger, P. H. Schreier, A. J. H. Smith, R. Staden and I. G. Young, Nature, 1981, 290, 457-465.
- 24. T. R. Chen, Exp. Cell Res., 1977, 104, 255-262.
- 25. J. Kapuscinski, Biotechnic & Histochemistry, 1995, 70, 220-233. 26. Z. Zhang, W. Wang, M. O'Hagan, J. Dai, J. Zhang and H. Tian, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202205758.
- 27. M. Purschke, N. Rubio, K. D. Held and R. W. Redmond, Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences, 2010, 9, 1634-1639.
- 28. R. E. Durand and P. L. Olive, Journal of Histochemistry & Cytochemistry, 1982, 30, 111-116.
- 29. J. Wu, Z. Shi, L. Zhu, J. Li, X. Han, M. Xu, S. Hao, Y. Fan, T. Shao, H. Bai, B. Peng, W. Hu, X. Liu, C. Yao, L. Li and W. Huang, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2022, 10, 2102514.
- 30. M. Dai, Y. J. Yang, S. Sarkar and K. H. Ahn, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2023, 52, 6344-6358.
- 31. E. Richard, S. Causse, C. Spriet, N. Fourré, D. Trinel, X. Darzacq, B. Vandenbunder and L. Heliot, Photochem. Photobiol., 2011, 87, 256-261.
- 32. P.-O. Mari, V. Verbiest, S. Sabbioneda, A. M. Gourdin, N. Wijgers, C. Dinant, A. R. Lehmann, W. Vermeulen and G. Giglia-Mari, DNA Repair, 2010, 9, 848-855.

- 33. G. Lukinavicius, C. Blaukopf, E. Pershagen, A. Schena, L. Reymond, E. Derivery, M. Gonzalez-Gaitan, E. D'Este, S. W. Hell, D. Wolfram Gerlich and K. Johnsson, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 8497-8503.
- 34. X. Zhang, Z. Ye, X. Zhang, H. Man, Z. Huang, N. Li and Y. Xiao, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 1951-1954.
- 35. X. Peng, T. Wu, J. Fan, J. Wang, S. Zhang, F. Song and S. Sun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 4180-4183.
- 36. K. Uno, N. Sugimoto and Y. Sato, Nat. Commun., 2021, 12, 2650- 2658.
- 37. K.-N. Wang, L.-Y. Liu, D. Mao, S. Xu, C.-P. Tan, Q. Cao, Z.-W. Mao and B. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 15095-15100.
- 38. S. Wu, Y. Cui, M. Zhou, F. Tao, W. Wu, S. Xing, R. Sun, X. Li and Q. Hu, Anal. Chem., 2023, 95, 4005-4014.
- 39. J. Robinson, S. G. Stenspil, K. Maleckaite, M. Bartlett, M. Di Antonio, R. Vilar and M. K. Kuimova, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2024, 146, 1009-1018.
- 40. J. Shang, X. Zhang, Z. He, S. Shen, D. Liu, W. Shi and H. Ma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202205043. DOI: 10.1039/D4SC04848B
- 41. G. Kristiansen, Lancet Oncol., 2018, 19, 275-277.
- 42. D. Amiad-Pavlov, D. Lorber, G. Bajpai, A. Reuveny, F. Roncato, R. Alon, S. Safran and T. Volk, Sci. Adv., 7, eabf6251.
- 43. R. Jingu, M. Ohki, S. Watanabe, S. Tamiya, S. Sugishima and T. Kaku, ACTA CYTOL, 2011, 55, 455-459.
- 44. J. Chen, C. Wang, W. Liu, Q. Qiao, H. Qi, W. Zhou, N. Xu, J. Li, H. Piao, D. Tan, X. Liu and Z. Xu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 25104-25113.
- 45. J. Ma, F. Luo, C.-H. Hsiung, J. Dai, Z. Tan, S. Ye, L. Ding, B. Shen and X. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., n/a, e202403029.
- 46. P. P. Banik, R. Saha and K.-D. Kim, Expert Syst. Appl., 2020, 149, 113211-113224.
- 47. K. A.-. Dulaimi, J. Banks, K. Nugyen, A. Al-Sabaawi, I. Tomeo-Reyes and V. Chandran, IEEE Rev. Biomed. Eng., 2021, 14, 290- 306.

Data Availability Statement for: Next-generation Red Ultra-bright Fluorescent Dyes for Nuclear ^{Wey Article Online} **Imaging and Peripheral Blood leukocytes Sorting**

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.

