



Cite this: *Nanoscale Horiz.*, 2025, 10, 135

Received 15th July 2024,
Accepted 20th September 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4nh00341a

rsc.li/nanoscale-horizons

Polymer-confined synthesis of gram-scale high-entropy perovskite fluoride nanocubes for improved electrocatalytic reduction of nitrate to ammonia†

Guohao Xue,^a Tianlu Wang,^a Hele Guo,^{*ab} Nan Zhang,^{id a} Claire J. Carmalt,^{id c} Johan Hofkens,^{id b} Feili Lai^{id *bd} and Tianxi Liu^{*a}

High-entropy perovskite fluoride (HEPF) has gradually attracted attention in the field of electrocatalysis due to its unique properties. Although traditional co-precipitation methods can efficiently produce HEPF, the resulting catalysts often lack regular morphology and tend to aggregate extensively. Here, nanocubic $\text{K}(\text{CuMgCoZnNi})\text{F}_3$ HEPF (HEPF-2) was successfully prepared on a gram-scale by a polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)-confined nucleation strategy. Benefiting from its large electrochemically active surface area and well-exposed active sites, the HEPF-2 demonstrates dramatically enhanced electrocatalytic activity in electrocatalytic nitrate reduction to ammonia, leading to an improved ammonia yield rate ($7.031 \text{ mg h}^{-1} \text{ mg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}$), a high faradaic efficiency (92.8%), and excellent long-term stability, outperforming the irregular HEPF nanoparticles (HEPF-0) prepared without the assistance of PVP. Our work presents an efficient and facile method to synthesize perovskite fluorides with a well-defined structure, showing great promise in the field of high-performance electrocatalysis.

New concepts

High entropy perovskite fluorides (HEPFs) offer significant potential in electrochemical reactions due to their customizable elemental composition, diverse active sites, and structural flexibility. However, current preparation methods, such as ball milling and hydrothermal methods, are time-consuming and energy-intensive. Ball milling usually produces nanomaterials with irregular shapes and large sizes, while hydrothermal methods are challenging for large-scale production. Our research introduces a polyvinylpyrrolidone confined nucleation strategy to prepare gram-scale nanocubic $\text{K}(\text{CuMgCoZnNi})\text{F}_3$ HEPF (HEPF-2). In solution, the abundant and evenly distributed pyrrolidone groups on the PVP polymer chains serve as nucleation sites, promoting the uniform nucleation and growth of HEPF. This approach significantly enhances the electrochemically active surface area, reduces charge transfer resistance, and optimizes the surface potential of HEPF, thereby greatly improving the yield rate and faradaic efficiency for electrocatalytic nitrate reduction to ammonia. This strategy not only enhances the catalytic activity of HEPF but also provides a scalable and efficient method for the synthesis of high-entropy nanomaterials.

Introduction

Ammonia (NH_3) plays a pivotal role in contemporary society, particularly in the fields of agriculture, medicine, chemicals, and energy storage applications.^{1–4} Nevertheless, the extensive production of NH_3 through the Haber–Bosch process since the 20th century has presented notable environmental concerns. This method requires high temperature ($400\text{--}500^\circ\text{C}$) and

pressure ($10\text{--}30 \text{ MPa}$), as well as a significant amount of hydrogen obtained from natural gas, resulting in considerable fossil fuel consumption and carbon dioxide emissions.^{5–8} To achieve carbon neutrality goals for energy preservation and environmental protection, it is essential to prioritize the exploration of environmentally friendly and sustainable methods for NH_3 production.^{9–11} In recent years, the electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction reaction (eNRR) has been extensively studied as a method for NH_3 synthesis. However, the slow dissociation process of the exceptionally stable $\text{N}\equiv\text{N}$ triple bonds (binding energy of 941 kJ mol^{-1}) has led to a relatively low NH_3 yield rate and faradaic efficiency (FE) in this reduction process.^{12–15} This limitation hinders its ability to meet industrial requirements and may potentially result in unreliable experimental quantification due to trace impurities.^{16,17} Conversely, due to the considerable solubility of nitrate (NO_3^-) and the relatively weak $\text{N}=\text{O}$ double bond (with a binding energy of 204 kJ mol^{-1}), the electrocatalytic nitrate reduction reaction (eNTRR) has arisen as an alternative to the eNRR process, offering substantial improvements in both NH_3 yield rate and

^a The Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Biological Colloids, Ministry of Education, School of Chemical and Material Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, P. R. China. E-mail: txliu@jiangnan.edu.cn

^b Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, Leuven 3001, Belgium. E-mail: hele.guo@kuleuven.be, feili.lai@kuleuven.be

^c Department of Chemistry, University College London, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK

^d State Key Laboratory of Metal Matrix Composites, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China. E-mail: feililai@sjtu.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4nh00341a>



FE.^{18,19} Additionally, NO_3^- is also a prevalent pollutant in various types of wastewater.^{20,21} Consequently, eNITRR not only offers a prospective path for sustainable NH_3 production but also aids in the denitrification of wastewater, thereby assisting in the restoration of the disrupted nitrogen cycle.

High-entropy materials have demonstrated significant potential in electrocatalysis, particularly in electrochemical reactions, owing to their numerous intrinsic active sites, intricate electronic structures, and random element distribution.^{22–26} Within the realm of high-entropy materials, high-entropy perovskites (HEPs), specifically high-entropy perovskite oxides, have recently attracted interest in electrocatalysis due to their customizable elemental composition, varied active sites, and structural resilience.^{27–29} However, conventional preparation techniques for high-entropy perovskite oxides typically involve high-temperature sintering (usually up to 900 °C), which is energy-intensive and not fully reproducible. Substituting oxygen with fluorine can enhance the stability of perovskites since the highly electronegative fluorine (F) atoms (with an electronegativity of 3.98) bonded to metal in fluoride perovskites can create highly polarized metal–F bonds.^{22,30} This characteristic results in milder preparation conditions for fluoride perovskites, effectively inhibiting the self-reduction of catalysts and enhancing stability.³¹ Besides, ABF_3 -type perovskite fluorides with a robust open framework structure and intersecting tetragonal chains are beneficial for the rapid migration of ions.³² Moreover, fluoride perovskites exhibit wider band gaps and higher conductivities than oxides, which can promote the electron transfer of eNITRR, thereby improving the yield rate and FE of ammonia.²² However, the current methods for preparing high-entropy perovskite fluoride (HEPF) are mostly ball milling or hydrothermal methods, which are limited by poor product uniformity, difficulty in controlling particle size, complex post-treatment processes,

safety concerns, and challenges in scaling up production.³³ Therefore, developing a facile and efficient new method for large-scale preparation of nano-sized HEPF is of great significance.

Herein, a nanocubic $\text{K}(\text{CuMgCoZnNi})\text{F}_3$ HEPF (HEPF-2) was successfully fabricated on a gram-scale by a polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)-confined nucleation strategy. In solution, the abundant and uniformly distributed pyrrolidone groups on the PVP molecular chain were used as nucleation sites to facilitate uniform nucleation and growth of HEPF. Due to its larger electrochemical active surface area and well-exposed active sites, HEPF-2 exhibits markedly improved electrocatalytic activity for eNITRR, resulting in an improved ammonia yield rate ($7.031 \text{ mg h}^{-1} \text{ mg}_{\text{cat.}}^{-1}$), a high FE (92.8%), and excellent long-term stability. These metrics are significantly better than those of irregular HEPF nanoparticles (HEPF-0) synthesized without PVP assistance. This research work explores an efficient method to synthesize perovskite fluorides with a well-defined structure on a gram-scale, which shows great application prospects in the field of electrocatalytic nitrate reduction.

Results and discussion

As shown in Fig. 1, the traditional co-precipitation method for preparing HEPF undergoes a random nucleation and growth process, resulting in the formation of irregular HEPF nanoparticles. However, the PVP-confined nucleation strategy can promote the uniform nucleation and growth of HEPF, resulting in the formation of nanocubic $\text{K}(\text{CuMgCoZnNi})\text{F}_3$ HEPF. HEPF-0, HEPF-1, HEPF-2, and HEPF-3 were prepared, corresponding to the PVP mass fractions of 0%, 5%, 10%, and 15%, respectively. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of HEPF samples exhibit eight diffraction peaks at $2\theta \approx 22.2^\circ, 31.5^\circ, 38.8^\circ, 45.0^\circ, 50.6^\circ$,



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the traditional co-precipitation method and the PVP-confined nucleation strategy for preparing HEPF nanocubes.






Fig. 2 (a) XRD patterns of HEPF samples with different PVP contents. (b) Schematic presentation of the HEPF structure. (c) and (d) TEM and (e) HRTEM images of HEPF-2. (f) HAADF-STEM image and corresponding EDS elemental mappings of HEPF-2.

55.9°, 65.4°, and 74.4° corresponding to the (100), (110), (111), (200), (210), (211), (220), and (310) crystal planes of HEPF, consistent with prototype orthorhombic-type perovskites of KZnF_3 , indicating that the Cu^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Ni^{2+} ions are all integrated into KZnF_3 to form a new high-entropy $\text{K}(\text{CuMgCoZnNi})\text{F}_3$ solid solution (Fig. 2a). However, an impurity peak that appeared in the XRD pattern of HEPF-3 at 33.3° indicates the failed synthesis of pure HEPF (inset of Fig. 2a). Fig. 2b shows the ideal cubic structure of $\text{K}(\text{CuMgCoZnNi})\text{F}_3$. The B site cations (Cu^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , and Ni^{2+}) have 6 coordination numbers and occupy the center of the tightly packed octahedron, leading to the formation of a three-dimensional skeleton structure by sharing corner points. The large sphere in the center is the A-site cation (K^+), which is in the cavity formed by 8 octahedra. The transmission electron

microscopy (TEM) image reveals the disordered structure of HEPF-0 with severe agglomeration (Fig. S1, ESI†). In contrast, HEPF-1 predominantly displays a nanocubic structure with nanoparticle size at around 100 nm, while the agglomeration is still evident (Fig. S2, ESI†). Meanwhile, HEPF-2 exhibits good dispersion and a well-defined nanocubic structure with nanoparticle size under 100 nm (Fig. 2c and d). The lattice fringes of the HEPF-2 nanoparticles were measured to be 0.394 nm, corresponding to the *d*-spacing of the (100) plane of the cubic crystal structure (Fig. 2e). Additionally, the high-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) image of the HEPF-2 and corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mappings demonstrate the uniform distributions of K, Cu, Mg, Co, Zn, Ni, and F in HEPF nanocubes, as depicted in Fig. 2f, further proving the formation of single-phase $\text{K}(\text{CuMgCoZnNi})\text{F}_3$ solid solution.



X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed to elucidate the chemical compositions and states of HEPF-2 and HEPF-0. As shown in Fig. S3 and S4 (ESI[†]), the elements of K, Cu, Mg, Co, Zn, Ni, and F are clearly presented in the XPS spectra of HEPF-2 and HEPF-0. Fig. 3a shows the high-resolution F 1s spectra of HEPF-2 and HEPF-0, where the peaks at 684.80 eV are ascribed to the M–F bonds.³⁴ The high-resolution Cu 2p spectra of HEPF-0 and HEPF-2 are displayed in Fig. 3b. Both of them can be deconvoluted into six peaks, which are related to the Cu²⁺ 2p_{3/2} (936.90 eV), Cu²⁺ 2p_{1/2} (956.60 eV), Cu⁺ 2p_{3/2} (933.15 eV), Cu⁺ 2p_{1/2} (953.10 eV), and two satellite peaks.³⁵ Notably, the Cu⁺/Cu²⁺ ratio in HEPF-2 is calculated to be 1.97, which is significantly higher than that in HEPF-0 (1.19). This indicates that the HEPF-2 contains more Cu⁺, which is beneficial for the activation of NO₃[−].^{36,37} The high-resolution Mg 1s spectra of HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 (Fig. 3c) show that the Mg element exists in the form of Mg²⁺ (1304.65 eV).³⁸ The high-resolution Co 2p spectra of both HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 (Fig. 3d) can be divided into four distinct peaks belonging to Co²⁺ 2p_{3/2} (782.77 eV), Co²⁺ 2p_{1/2} (798.95 eV) species, and two satellite peaks.^{39,40} Moreover, the high-resolution Zn 2p spectra of HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 in Fig. 3e show the Zn 2p_{1/2} spin orbit at 1045.54 eV and the Zn 2p_{3/2} orbit at 1022.32 eV.^{41–43} Fig. 3f shows the high-resolution Ni 2p spectra of HEPF-2 and HEPF-0, both of which can be divided into four distinct peaks belonging to Ni²⁺ 2p_{3/2} (857.65 eV), Ni²⁺ 2p_{1/2} (876.09 eV) species, and two satellite peaks (863.60 and 882.50 eV).^{39,40,44} The high-resolution K 2p spectrum of HEPF-2 (Fig. S5, ESI[†]) can be deconvoluted into two peaks, which are related to the K 2p_{3/2} (292.79 eV) and K 2p_{1/2} (295.54 eV).⁴⁵ In addition, the high-resolution N 1s XPS spectrum of HEPF-2 shows no signal (Fig. S6, ESI[†]), indicating that PVP can be completely removed by the washing process.

To investigate the eNITRR activity of the HEPF catalysts, a series of detailed electrochemical tests were performed in 0.5 M K₂SO₄ electrolyte with 0.1 M KNO₃ using a three-electrode system. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves shown in Fig. 4a and Fig. S7 (ESI[†]) indicate that the current densities of the HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 catalysts in the electrolyte with 0.1 M KNO₃ are significantly higher than that in the electrolyte without KNO₃, suggesting the electroreduction of KNO₃. The eNITRR activity of the HEPF catalysts was further evaluated by monitoring the NH₃ yield after chronoamperometry at various potentials from −0.5 to −0.9 V *versus* the reversible hydrogen electrode (*vs.* RHE) for 1 h (Fig. S8, ESI[†]). The NH₃ yields of the HEPF catalysts were determined by measuring the ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorption curves at different potentials based on the calibration curves between absorbance and NH₃ concentration (Fig. S9, ESI[†]). The HEPF-2 catalyst demonstrates a notable NH₃ yield rate of 7.031 mg h^{−1} mg_{cat.}^{−1} and a maximum FE value of 92.8% at −0.6 V *vs.* RHE, substantially surpassing the performance of HEPF-1 (5.682 mg h^{−1} mg_{cat.}^{−1} and 83.0%) and HEPF-0 catalyst (5.160 mg h^{−1} mg_{cat.}^{−1} and 75.2%), as illustrated in Fig. 4b and c. At reduction potentials above −0.6 V, insufficient driving force causes intermediate desorption, hindering their conversion to NH₃ and lowering the FE value. Below −0.6 V, the intensified HER process can also decrease the FE value. As a result, the FE value of the HEPF-2 catalyst exhibits a volcanic trend, peaking at −0.6 V. To confirm the accuracy of the measurement, we also quantified the NH₃ production using the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) method (Fig. S10, ESI[†]). The results are similar to those obtained by the UV-Vis method (Fig. S11, ESI[†]). Fig. 4d shows that the NH₃ yield rate and FE value of each cycle fluctuate slightly but remain stable, suggesting excellent electrocatalytic



Fig. 3 High-resolution (a) F 1s, (b) Cu 2p, (c) Mg 1s, (d) Co 2p, (e) Zn 2p, and (f) Ni 2p XPS spectra of HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 catalysts.






Fig. 4 (a) LSV curves for HEPF-2 in 0.5 M K_2SO_4 electrolytes with and without KNO_3 , (b) NH_3 yield rates and (c) FE values of HEPF-0, HEPF-1 and HEPF-2 under different potentials. (d) NH_3 yield rates and FE values of HEPF-2 at -0.6 V vs. RHE for 5 cycles. (e) Long-term stability test of the HEPF-2 catalyst at -0.6 V vs. RHE in electrolyte with 0.1 M KNO_3 . (f) ^1H NMR spectra of NH_4^+ prepared with K^{15}NO_3 and K^{14}NO_3 as nitrogen sources. (g) The C_{dl} values of the HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 catalysts. (h) Nyquist plots of HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 catalysts.

stability of HEPF-2 for potential practical applications. The long-term stability of the HEPF-2 was also evaluated through chronoamperometry testing (Fig. 4e). The current density of HEPF-2 shows remarkable stability even after 15 h testing. The XRD, TEM, and XPS analyses provide further evidence supporting the morphological and structural stabilities of HEPF-2 during eNITRR (Fig. S12–S14, ESI†). The XRD pattern demonstrates the stable crystal structure, the TEM image reveals no alterations in nanocubic morphology, and the XPS spectra indicate no valence changes in the HEPF-2 catalyst before and after the catalytic reaction. These results confirm that HEPF-2 remains stable without undergoing structural reconstruction under eNITRR conditions. To confirm the nitrogen source involved in NH_3 synthesis, isotope labelling experiments were carried out using electrolytes with 0.1 M K^{15}NO_3 or K^{14}NO_3 , respectively. The identification of $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ and $^{14}\text{NH}_4^+$ products was confirmed through ^1H NMR spectra, distinguished by the chemical shifts of the doublet and triplet couplings, respectively. Fig. 4f presents the ^1H NMR spectrum of $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ obtained using an electrolyte containing 0.1 M

K^{15}NO_3 , revealing two distinct peaks of $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ at 6.93 and 7.11 ppm. In contrast, the ^1H NMR spectrum of the electrolyte with 0.1 M K^{14}NO_3 after the electrocatalytic reaction exhibits a triple characteristic peak of $^{14}\text{NH}_4^+$ at 6.90, 7.03, and 7.16 ppm. This isotope labelling experiment conclusively confirms that the nitrogen source in the synthesized NH_3 originates from the nitrate instead of any other contaminants. The remarkable eNITRR activity achieved by HEPF-2 is comparable to that of state-of-the-art high-entropy materials documented in the literature (Table S1, ESI†), highlighting that HEPF is a very promising class of electrocatalyst for eNITRR.

The overall activity of the electrocatalyst is influenced by the number of active sites and the intrinsic activity of each site. To characterize the electrochemically active surface areas (ECSAs) of the HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 catalysts, capacitive currents were measured at various scan rates (ranging from 20 to 100 mV s^{-1}) in non-Faraday potential regions (Fig. S15 and S16, ESI†). The linear fitting results unveil that HEPF-2 (0.075 mF cm^{-2}) shows a much higher double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}) value than HEPF-0 (0.047 mF cm^{-2}). Further electrochemical impedance



spectroscopy (EIS) analysis for HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 was conducted to explore the differences in charge transfer resistance. As shown in Fig. 4h, the values of charge transfer resistance for HEPF-2 and HEPF-0 are 9 and 12 Ω , respectively. Furthermore, a zeta potential analysis was performed. As shown in Fig. S17 (ESI[†]), HEPF-2 has a more positive potential (23.55 mV) than that of HEPF-0 (22.74 mV), which indicates that HEPF-2 exhibits a stronger adsorption capacity for negatively charged NO_3^- and promotes the desorption of positively charged NH_4^+ . The outstanding eNTRR activity of HEPF-2 is largely attributed to its well-defined nanocubic structure, large ECSA, low charge transfer resistance, positive surface potential, and the synergistic effect of its multi-element composition. Additionally, based on the review of the existing literature on eNTRR applications,⁴⁶ Fig. S18 (ESI[†]) presents a schematic of the possible eNTRR pathways on HEPF. These pathways involve three key stages: (1) adsorption of nitrate ($\text{NO}_3^- \rightarrow * \text{NO}_3$); (2) surface reactions of intermediates, including multi-step deoxygenation and hydrogenation; and (3) desorption of ammonia ($* \text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{NH}_3$).

Conclusion

In summary, we successfully prepared HEPF with a nanocubic structure on a gram-scale by a facile PVP-confined nucleation process. Due to its larger electrochemical active surface area and well-exposed active sites, HEPF-2 exhibits significantly enhanced electrocatalytic activity in eNTRR, resulting in an improved ammonia productivity ($7.031 \text{ mg h}^{-1} \text{ mg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}$), a high faradaic efficiency (92.8%), and an excellent long-term stability, outperforming HEPF-0 prepared without the use of PVP. This work paves the way for designing nano-HEPFs tailored for advanced electrocatalytic reactions, thereby expanding the scope for their application in various fields of technology and sustainable development.

Experimental section

Preparation of HEPF catalysts

The HEPF samples were synthesized by a PVP-confined nucleation method. Specifically, 30 mmol of KF was dissolved in 50 mL of aqueous solution containing X% ($X = 0, 5, 10, 15$) of PVP, marked as solution A. Subsequently, 10 mmol metal salts (2 mmol CuAc_2 , 2 mmol $\text{MgAc}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 2 mmol $\text{CoAc}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 2 mmol $\text{ZnAc}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and 2 mmol $\text{NiAc}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$) were dissolved in 20 mL of X% PVP aqueous solution, marked as solution B. Solutions A and B were heated to boiling, and then solution B was slowly added dropwise to solution A, leading to the generation of a large amount of pink precipitate immediately. The resulting pink product was further aged for 4 h to obtain a uniform particle size. The precipitate was then collected by high-speed centrifugation at 10 000 rpm and washed several times with deionized water and anhydrous ethanol to eliminate residual PVP and impurities. Finally, HEPF-0, HEPF-1, HEPF-2, and HEPF-3 were obtained after vacuum drying at 60 °C for 20 h, corresponding to the PVP mass fractions of 0%, 5%, 10%, and 15%, respectively.

Author contributions

Guohao Xue: methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing – original draft. Tianlu Wang: investigation, data curation. Hele Guo: conceptualization, methodology, data curation, validation, writing – original draft. Nan Zhang: formal analysis. Claire J. Carmalt: resources, writing – review & editing. Johan Hofkens: resources, writing – review & editing. Feili Lai: formal analysis, resources, conceptualization, project administration, supervision, writing – review & editing. Tianxi Liu: writing – review & editing, resources, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included in the figures and tables, as well as a part of the ESI.[†]

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12411530102, 52303151, 52161135302, 52211530489), the Royal Society (IEC\NSFC\233810), and the Research Foundation of Flanders (FWO, No. G0F2322N, VS06523N, 1298323N).

References

- 1 G. Soloveichik, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 377–380.
- 2 B. H. R. Suryanto, H.-L. Du, D. Wang, J. Chen, A. N. Simonov and D. R. MacFarlane, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 290–296.
- 3 X. Zhang, Y. Wang, C. Liu, Y. Yu, S. Lu and B. Zhang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **403**, 126269.
- 4 W. Chen, X. Yang, T. Huang, Y. Li, X. Ren, S. Ye, Q. Zhang and J. Liu, *Compos. Commun.*, 2023, **43**, 101715.
- 5 B. H. R. Suryanto, K. Matuszek, J. Choi, R. Y. Hodgetts, H.-L. Du, J. M. Bakker, C. S. M. Kang, P. V. Cherepanov, A. N. Simonov and D. R. MacFarlane, *Science*, 2021, **372**, 1187.
- 6 M. Xu, F. Xu, K. Zhu, X. Xu, P. Deng, W. Wu, W. Ye, Z. Sun and P. Gao, *Compos. Commun.*, 2022, **29**, 101037.
- 7 J. Wang, L. Yu, L. Hu, G. Chen, H. Xin and X. Feng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1795.
- 8 X. Wu, A. Ma, D. Liu, X. Li, Y. Zhou, A. T. Kuvarega, B. B. Mamba, H. Li and J. Gui, *Sci. China Mater.*, 2023, **66**, 4367–4376.
- 9 A. Paliwal, C. D. Bandas, E. S. Thornburg, R. T. Haasch and A. A. Gewirth, *ACS Catal.*, 2023, **13**, 6754–6762.
- 10 N. R. Singstock and C. B. Musgrave, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 12800–12806.
- 11 W. Zong, H. Gao, Y. Ouyang, K. Chu, H. Guo, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, R. Chen, Y. Dai, F. Guo, J. Zhu, Z. Zhang, C. Ye, Y.-E. Miao, J. Hofkens, F. Lai and T. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202218122.



- 12 K. Chu, F. Liu, J. Zhu, H. Fu, H. Zhu, Y. Zhu, Y. Zhang, F. Lai and T. Liu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**, 2003799.
- 13 Y. Wang, C. Wang, M. Li, Y. Yu and B. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 6720–6733.
- 14 T. Zhang, W. Zong, Y. Ouyang, Y. Wu, Y.-E. Miao and T. Liu, *Adv. Fiber Mater.*, 2021, **3**, 229–238.
- 15 Y. Zhong, H. Xiong, J. Low, R. Long and Y. Xiong, *eScience*, 2023, **3**, 100086.
- 16 N. Zhou, Z. Wang, N. Zhang, D. Bao, H. Zhong and X. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2023, **13**, 7529–7537.
- 17 M. De Ras, L. Hollevoet, J. A. Martens, T. Liu, B. M. Nicolai, M. L. A. T. M. Hertog, J. Hofkens and M. B. J. Roelofs, *Green Chem.*, 2024, **26**, 1302–1305.
- 18 Y. Wang, L. Zhang, Y. Niu, D. Fang, J. Wang, Q. Su and C. Wang, *Green Chem.*, 2021, **23**, 7594–7608.
- 19 K. Hadjiivanov and H. Knözinger, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **2**, 2803–2806.
- 20 K. Chu, W. Zong, G. Xue, H. Guo, J. Qin, H. Zhu, N. Zhang, Z. Tian, H. Dong, Y.-E. Miao, M. Roelofs, J. Hofkens, F. Lai and T. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 21387–21396.
- 21 Y. Qie, J. Gao, S. Li, M. Cui, X. Mao, X. Wang, B. Zhang, S. Chi, Y. Jia, Q.-H. Yang, C. Yang and Z. Weng, *Sci. China Mater.*, 2024, **67**, 2941–2948.
- 22 T. Wang, H. Chen, Z. Yang, J. Liang and S. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 4550–4554.
- 23 H. Guo, Z. Guo, K. Chu, W. Zong, H. Zhu, L. Zhang, C. Liu, T. Liu, J. Hofkens and F. Lai, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, **33**, 2308229.
- 24 H. Chen, K. Jie, C. J. Jafta, Z. Yang, S. Yao, M. Liu, Z. Zhang, J. Liu, M. Chi, J. Fu and S. Dai, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **276**, 119155.
- 25 H. Qiao, X. Wang, Q. Dong, H. Zheng, G. Chen, M. Hong, C.-P. Yang, M. Wu, K. He and L. Hu, *Nano Energy*, 2021, **86**, 106029.
- 26 S. Huang, H. Wu, Y. Chen, Z. Zhao, X. Liu, Y. Deng and H. Zhu, *Compos. Commun.*, 2023, **38**, 101510.
- 27 K. Chu, J. Qin, H. Zhu, M. De Ras, C. Wang, L. Xiong, L. Zhang, N. Zhang, J. A. Martens, J. Hofkens, F. Lai and T. Liu, *Sci. China Mater.*, 2022, **65**, 2711–2720.
- 28 T. Wang, J. Fan, C.-L. Do-Thanh, X. Suo, Z. Yang, H. Chen, Y. Yuan, H. Lyu, S. Yang and S. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 9953–9958.
- 29 M. Chen, N. Kitiphatpiboon, C. Feng, A. Abudula, Y. Ma and G. Guan, *eScience*, 2023, **3**, 100111.
- 30 Y. Li and R. Ding, *Nano Energy*, 2024, **124**, 109430.
- 31 J. Zhang, Y. Ye, Z. Wang, Y. Xu, L. Gui, B. He and L. Zhao, *Adv. Sci.*, 2022, **9**, 2201916.
- 32 P. Goel, S. Sundriyal, V. Shrivastav, S. Mishra, D. P. Dubal, K.-H. Kim and A. Deep, *Nano Energy*, 2021, **80**, 105552.
- 33 H. Wu, Q. Lu, Y. Li, M. Zhao, J. Wang, Y. Li, J. Zhang, X. Zheng, X. Han, N. Zhao, J. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Deng and W. Hu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 1924–1935.
- 34 C.-Z. He, Y.-X. Zhang, J. Wang and L. Fu, *Rare Met.*, 2022, **41**, 3456–3465.
- 35 D. Kim, Y. J. Lee and K. H. Ahn, *Compos. Commun.*, 2022, **30**, 101093.
- 36 Y. Wang, W. Zhou, R. Jia, Y. Yu and B. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 5350–5354.
- 37 Y. Xue, Q. Yu, Q. Ma, Y. Chen, C. Zhang, W. Teng, J. Fan and W. Zhang, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **56**, 14797–14807.
- 38 S. Mei, B. Xiang, S. Guo, J. Deng, J. Fu, X. Zhang, Y. Zheng, B. Gao, K. Huo and P. K. Chu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, **34**, 2301217.
- 39 L. Qin, Y. Liu, S. Zhu, D. Wu, G. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, L. Hou and C. Yuan, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 20405–20416.
- 40 S. Guddehalli Chandrappa, P. Moni, D. Chen, G. Karkera, K. R. Prakasha, R. A. Caruso and A. S. Prakash, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **4**, 13425–13430.
- 41 S. Dong, A. Niu, K. Wang, P. Hu, H. Guo, S. Sun, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, X. Sun and T. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2023, **333**, 122772.
- 42 Y. Wang, Y. Kang, Y. Miao, M. Jia, S. Long, L. Diao, L. Zhang, D. Li and G. Wu, *Compos. Commun.*, 2024, **48**, 101890.
- 43 Y. Wang, N. Li, H. Liu, J. Shi, Y. Li, X. Wu, Z. Wang, C. Huang, K. Chen, D. Zhang, T. Wu, P. Li, C. Liu and L. Mi, *Adv. Fiber Mater.*, 2023, **5**, 2002–2015.
- 44 S. C. Sekhar, B. Ramulu, S. J. Arbaz, M. Nagaraju and J. S. Yu, *Adv. Fiber Mater.*, 2024, **5**, 1229–1240.
- 45 R. Sawyer, H. W. Nesbitt and R. A. Secco, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2012, **358**, 290–302.
- 46 T. Hu, C. Wang, M. Wang, C. M. Li and C. Guo, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 14417–14427.

