


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2026, 16, 5535

A comprehensive study on the structure, microstructure and electrical properties of a Dy-doped LaBiO₃ ceramic for thermistor application

 Chandan Kumar Lenka and S. K. Parida *

In this work, the synthesis of dysprosium (Dy)-substituted lanthanum bismuth oxide (LaBi_{0.95}Dy_{0.05}O₃, LBDO) *via* solid-state reaction and its structural, microstructural, dielectric, and conductivity characterizations are systematically investigated. MADU Rietveld refinement of the X-ray diffraction data confirms that the compound exhibits an orthorhombic crystal structure at room temperature, with an average crystallite size of 49.1 nm, micro lattice strain of 0.000876, and dislocation density of $4.14 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^{-2}$. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis reveals a uniform grain distribution across the sample surface, which may contribute to improved electrical conductivity. Furthermore, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) verifies the presence of all constituent elements (La, Bi, Dy, and O) in both weight and atomic percentages within the prepared material. The investigation of dielectric properties as a function of temperature and frequency indicates the presence of Maxwell–Wagner type dielectric dispersion. Impedance analysis confirms the negative temperature coefficient of resistance (NTCR) behavior, while modulus spectroscopy reveals a non-Debye type relaxation process. The ac conductivity study suggests a thermally activated hopping mechanism in the material. Moreover, both Nyquist and Cole–Cole plot analyses validate its semiconducting nature, making it a promising candidate for energy storage device applications. Additionally, the resistance–temperature relationship confirms an NTC thermistor characteristic, highlighting its potential use in temperature sensor devices.

 Received 15th September 2025
 Accepted 19th January 2026

DOI: 10.1039/d5ra06969f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Single perovskite materials are widely studied due to their remarkable structural flexibility, tunable physical properties, and broad applicability in electronics, optoelectronics, and energy storage devices. Their simple ABO₃ structure allows for systematic substitution at A- or B-sites, enabling controlled modification of dielectric, ferroelectric, and electrical behavior. Studying single-phase perovskites provides clearer insights into intrinsic mechanisms without the complications arising from multiphase systems. These materials exhibit excellent dielectric properties, high thermal stability, and low dielectric loss, making them suitable for capacitors, sensors, and actuators.^{1–5} Research also emphasizes their role in replacing lead-based materials with eco-friendly alternatives. Overall, single perovskite systems serve as ideal models for understanding structure–property relationships essential for designing next-generation functional materials.

Doped single perovskite ceramic materials are increasingly significant due to their tunable physical and functional properties, making them suitable for a wide range of electronic and energy storage applications. Doping allows modification of the

crystal structure, electrical conductivity, dielectric behavior, and thermal stability of the base perovskite. By introducing aliovalent or isovalent ions into the A- or B-sites of the perovskite lattice (ABO₃), properties like dielectric constant, loss tangent, impedance response, and band gap can be precisely engineered. For instance, rare-earth doping (*e.g.*, Gd, Er, Nd, Sm) in LaBiO₃ ceramics improves their dielectric performance and conduction mechanisms, making them promising candidates for capacitors, sensors, and optoelectronic devices.^{6–13} Wang *et al.* investigated the photocatalytic properties of lanthanum bismuth oxide through CO₂ reduction. Their study found that La–Bi–O compounds (La_{0.225}Bi_{0.775}O_{1.5}, La_{0.6}Bi_{0.4}O_{1.5}, and La_{1.08}Bi_{0.92}O_{3.03}) exhibit promising semiconductor behavior, demonstrating excellent potential, stability, and efficiency for photocatalytic applications.¹⁴ Yun *et al.* conducted an in-depth investigation into the luminescence properties of dysprosium (Dy³⁺)-doped double perovskite tellurite compounds and predicted their use in white-light emitting devices and other luminescent applications.¹⁵

According to existing research, rare-earth-doped perovskites show a broader range of electrical and optical properties compared to their parent perovskites, suggesting enhanced application potential. Although extensive research has been conducted on Dy-doped perovskite materials,^{16–22} detailed investigations on lanthanum bismuth oxide perovskites remain

Department of Physics, ITER, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar-751030, India. E-mail: santoshparida@soa.ac.in



limited, particularly at low concentrations of Dy. Therefore, in this study, 5% of Dy-modified lanthanum bismuth oxide was synthesized *via* the solid-state reaction method to examine its structural and electrical characteristics and to assess its suitability for various scientific and industrial applications. The results demonstrate promising electrical behavior, indicating that this material could serve as a potential candidate for NTC thermistor-based temperature sensing devices.

2. Experimental details

2.1 Raw materials required

High-purity starting materials; Bi₂O₃ (99.9%), Dy₂O₃ (99.0%), and La₂O₃ (99.0%) were selected for synthesizing of the LaBi_{0.95}Dy_{0.05}O₃ ceramic. These analytical reagents (AR) grade chemicals with high purity levels were procured from Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd. The purchased metal oxide powders were weighed in a stoichiometric ratio by using a digital balance [Mettler Type; New classic MF Model; ML 204/A01] with a standard error bar of 0.0001. The required chemical equation for the synthesis of the final product is given as: $0.475\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 + 0.025\text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3 + 0.5\text{La}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{LaBi}_{0.95}\text{Dy}_{0.05}\text{O}_3$.

2.2 Synthesis and sintering

The weighed metal oxide powders were thoroughly mixed using an agate mortar and pestle. Initially, dry grinding was carried out for 2 hours, followed by wet grinding with methanol for another 2 hours to ensure better homogeneity of the mixture. Grinding was continued until uniform dispersion and nano-scale particle size were achieved. The resulting nano powders were then kept in a high-temperature-resistant crucible and subjected to calcination at 1050 °C for 5–6 hours in a programmable high-temperature furnace. The formation of the desired phase was confirmed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. The calcined powders were then pressed into cylindrical pellets with diameters of 12 mm and a thickness of 2 mm under a uniaxial hydraulic pressure of 4 MPa. To enhance homogeneity, the pellets were sintered at 1100 °C for 5 hours to achieve higher

densification and eliminate residual impurities (carbon and oxygen), if any.

2.3 Characterization

The structural properties of the synthesized sample were analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) with a RIGAKU Japan ULTIMA IV system, employing CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5405 \text{ \AA}$) and a step size = 0.02° used to perform structural investigation at room temperature over a broad range of Bragg's angles ($20^\circ \leq \theta \leq 80^\circ$). Surface morphology and elemental composition were examined through SEM-EDX analysis using a ZEISS EVO-18 instrument, operated at an accelerating voltage of 2000 kV and a working distance of 9270 μm . Before electrical measurements, both faces of the pellet were coated with conductive silver paint (SPI Supplies Silver Paste) to form uniform, low-resistance electrodes that ensure good electrical contact and homogeneous electric field distribution, thereby minimizing contact resistance and enabling accurate measurement of the intrinsic electrical properties of the sample. The dielectric properties were examined using an impedance analyzer (Model: N4L PSM 1735) over the frequency range of 1 kHz to 1 MHz and the temperature range of 25 °C to 500 °C. The subsequent section presents a detailed discussion of the structural, dielectric, and electrical characteristics of the synthesized perovskite material.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Sample formation and XRD analysis

The tolerance factor is a key parameter used to verify the structural stability of the synthesized sample. A typical single perovskite structure is denoted as ABO₃, where the A-site is generally occupied by trivalent ions and the B-site by trivalent ions. The tolerance factor of LaBi_{0.95}Dy_{0.05}O₃ was evaluated using the relation: $t = \frac{r_A + r_O}{\sqrt{2}(r_B + r_O)}$, where r_A and r_B represent the ionic radii of the A-site and B-site cations, respectively, and r_O corresponds to the ionic radius of oxygen.²³ Tolerance factor




Fig. 1 (a) Rietveld refinement and (b) Williamson–Hall plot to calculate the average crystallite size of the LBDO ceramic.



of the $\text{LaBi}_{0.95}\text{Dy}_{0.05}\text{O}_3$ ceramic was calculated using proper values of Shannon's ionic radii.²⁴ The obtained tolerance factor of 0.82 suggests the formation of a stable orthorhombic structure.²⁵ The XRD pattern of the LBDO ceramic is presented in Fig. 1(a), while Fig. 1(b) shows a Williamson–Hall plot to calculate average crystallite size.

In this present study, the structural Rietveld refinement was done using MADU software. In structural refinement, the best possible structure is selected to be orthorhombic crystal symmetry, and lattice constants extracted from refinement for the orthorhombic perovskite phase are: $a = 12.031567 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 16.368689 \text{ \AA}$, $c = 4.0890946 \text{ \AA}$, and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$. The reliability factors extracted from refinement are; $R_{\text{wp}} (\%) = 13.996441$, $R_{\text{exp}} (\%) = 4.6324773$, $R_b (\%) = 10.705353$, and $\sigma = 3.0213728$. The value of the average crystallite size, lattice strain and dislocation density of the prepared sample were calculated using Williamson–Hall (W–H) formula; $\beta \cos \theta = 4\varepsilon \sin \theta + k\lambda/D$, where $k = 0.89$, $\lambda = 0.154 \text{ nm}$, $\varepsilon =$ lattice strain, $D =$ average crystallite size, $\beta =$ full width at half maximum, and $\theta =$ Bragg's angle.²⁶ In the present study, the value of the average crystallite size is found to be 49.1 nm [error bar: 6.38331×10^{-4}], whereas the value of lattice strain is found to be 0.000876 [error bar: 4.8834×10^{-4}] in the studied sample. Based on a relation, dislocation density (δ) = $1/D^2$ can be calculated and found to be $4.14 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^{-2}$.

3.2 Microstructure study

Fig. 2(a–f) presents the selected area color mapping images with appropriate scaling for the synthesized sample. The mapping indicates a high level of purity, as all elements La, Bi, Dy, and O are distinctly represented by separate colors. The uniform color

distribution across the sample suggests an even dispersion of the constituent ions, which likely contributes to the improved physical properties observed in the material.

Fig. 3(a) displays the SEM image of the $\text{LaBi}_{0.95}\text{Dy}_{0.05}\text{O}_3$ ceramic at a resolution of $1 \mu\text{m}$. The image reveals a uniform grain distribution across the surface, with clearly defined grain boundaries. ImageJ software was utilized to determine the average grain size. The corresponding EDX spectrum validates the presence of the expected elements within a randomly selected region of the sample. Fig. 3(b) shows distinct peaks representing each element, and the inset provides the weight percentage (wt%), atomic percentage (at%), and net intensity (Net. Int.) for La, Bi, Dy, and O. Fig. 3(c) shows the distribution of different size of grains over the surface of sample in the form of histogram. The average grain size in the sample is found to be $1.002 \mu\text{m}$. For uniform and dense grain distribution, the agglomeration rate, *i.e.*, ratio of average grain size to average crystallite, is calculated, which is found to be 35 and supports better conductivity.²⁷ This microstructural and compositional analysis confirms the high purity of the synthesized compound, with a complete elemental composition matching the intended stoichiometry.

3.3 Dielectric study

The variation of the dielectric constant with frequency is shown in Fig. 4(a) at some selected temperatures. The complex permittivity is expressed as $\varepsilon^*(\omega) = \varepsilon'(\omega) - j\varepsilon''(\omega)$, where ε' denotes the real part, and ε'' denotes the imaginary part of the permittivity.²⁸ Furthermore, the real component can be determined using the relation $\varepsilon' = Cd\varepsilon_0A$, where ε_0 is the permittivity of free space. During the analysis of the dielectric constant with




Fig. 2 (a–f) Presents the selected area color mapping of the prepared compound, confirming the presence of all constituent elements (La, Bi, Dy, and O).






Fig. 3 (a) SEM micrograph, (b) EDX spectrum, and (c) grain size calculation using Gaussian fit of the LBDO ceramics.

frequency, we will use the Debye equation: $\epsilon' = \epsilon_{\infty} + \frac{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_{\infty}}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2}$, where τ represents the relaxation time, ϵ_{∞} represents the dielectric constant at extremely high frequency, while ϵ_0 represents the dielectric constant at extremely low frequency. Similarly, the expression for the dielectric loss can be calculated using the formula: $\tan \delta = \epsilon''/\epsilon'$.²⁹

The real component of the dielectric response represents the material's ability to store energy, whereas the imaginary component corresponds to energy loss. The overall dielectric constant arises from the combined effects of electronic, ionic, dipolar, and space charge polarizations, each dominating over specific frequency ranges. At low frequencies, Maxwell–Wagner-type dispersion arises mainly due to grain boundary effects, leading to a high dielectric constant as all polarization mechanisms contribute.^{30–32} At higher frequencies, dipoles cannot reorient quickly enough with the alternating field, causing the dielectric constant to drop and become frequency-independent. Additionally, increased dipole energy at high frequencies reduces space charge polarization, further lowering the dielectric constant.³³

The variation of the dielectric loss with frequency is shown in Fig. 4(b). Dielectric loss in a material arises from conduction, relaxation, and resonance mechanisms. Conduction loss, influenced by point defects like oxygen vacancies and impurities at phase boundaries, helps determine the material's electrical conductivity. Relaxation loss occurs when polarization cannot keep pace with a rapidly changing electric field, commonly seen at high frequencies. Resonance loss, on the other hand, appears at very high frequencies ($\sim 10^{13}$ Hz or more) due to the vibrations of electrons, atoms, and molecules.³⁴ At low frequencies, grain boundaries hinder charge carrier movement, increasing resistance and thus dielectric loss. Conversely, at high frequencies, grains offer lower resistance, resulting in reduced dielectric loss.³⁵

Fig. 4(c) shows the variation of dielectric constant with temperature, while Fig. 4(b) depicts the temperature dependence of dielectric loss at some selected frequencies. The dielectric loss in materials originates from dc conduction, dipole relaxation, and space charge migration, representing the energy dissipated when polarization lags behind the applied electric field, mainly influenced by grain boundary effects. At






Fig. 4 (a) Variation of the dielectric constant versus frequency and (b) $\tan \delta$ versus frequency of the LBDO ceramic. (c) Variation of dielectric constant versus temperature and (d) $\tan \delta$ versus temperature of the LBDO ceramic.

low frequencies, the relatively higher dielectric loss is associated with the greater resistivity of grain boundaries compared to grains.³⁶ The loss remains low at lower temperatures but increases with rising temperature, likely due to a mismatch between dipole relaxation and the frequency of the applied field.³⁷ In the present study, the synthesized sample demonstrates a high dielectric constant along with low dielectric loss, highlighting its potential for energy storage applications.

3.4 AC conductivity study

The study of ac conductivity in ceramic materials reveals charge transport mechanisms and frequency-dependent behavior. It helps identify contributions from grain and grain boundary effects, hopping conduction, and polarization processes. Analyzing ac conductivity provides insight into electrical properties, crucial for optimizing ceramics in electronic and energy storage applications. Fig. 5(a) represents ac conductivity versus frequency, while Fig. 5(b) shows the variation of ac conductivity versus $1000/T$ at some selected frequencies. The ac conductivity can be calculated using the formula: $\sigma_{ac}(\omega) = \omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \tan \delta$, all symbols have their usual meaning.³⁸

The graph shows that ac conductivity increases with frequency, mainly due to ion collisions, cation disorder, and space charge effects. At higher temperatures, more electrons gain enough energy to move into the conduction band, raising conductivity. While ac conductivity increases linearly with temperature at low temperatures, it levels off at higher temperatures. The overlapping conductivity curves indicate space charge release and recombination at elevated temperatures.³⁹ Fig. 5(c) represents activation energy versus frequency of the LBDO ceramic. The activation energy was calculated by using the formula: $\sigma_{ac} = \sigma_0 e^{-\frac{E_a}{k_B T}}$, where E_a = activation energy and all other symbols have their usual meaning. The plot of activation energy versus frequency reveals a decreasing trend of activation energy with the rise of frequency, supporting the presence of a thermally activated relaxation mechanism.⁴⁰

3.5 Impedance study

An impedance study is crucial for ceramic materials as it reveals electrical properties, distinguishes grain and grain boundary effects, and helps understand conduction mechanisms,






Fig. 5 (a) Variation of ac conductivity versus frequency, (b) ac conductivity versus $1000/T$, and (c) activation energy versus frequency of the LBDO ceramic.

essential for designing advanced electronic and energy devices. The complex impedance Z^* can be written as $Z^* = Z' - jZ''$, where Z' represents real (resistance) and Z'' represents the imaginary part representing reactance.⁴¹ Fig. 6(a) shows the real part of impedance (Z') versus frequency, while Fig. 6(b) represents Z'' versus frequency at some selected temperatures. At low frequencies, the real part of impedance (Z') decreases as temperature increases. This observation indicates an increase in the conduction process with rising temperature. This behavior aligns with the concept of negative temperature coefficient of resistance (NTCR), where the resistance of the material decreases as temperature increases.^{42,43} In this case, the decrease in Z' suggests enhanced conductivity with temperature, which is characteristic of many semiconducting or conductive materials. As frequency increases, all the curves representing Z' merge, irrespective of temperature. This

phenomenon occurs due to the release of space charge. At higher frequencies, charge carriers experience less resistance to movement, and any charge accumulations that were present at lower frequencies are dissipated more rapidly.⁴⁴ As a result, the impedance becomes less dependent on frequency and tends to converge to a similar value across different temperatures. A similar type of trend is observed from the analysis of imaginary plots of impedance.

The description of Fig. 6(c) indicates the presence of Nyquist plots, which are used to analyze impedance data in the complex plane. The presence of semicircular arcs in the Nyquist plots suggests a characteristic behavior associated with semiconducting materials.⁴⁵ The description notes that the radius of the semicircular arcs decreases with increasing temperature. This observation supports the semiconducting nature of the material. As a result, the relaxation processes or charge






Fig. 6 (a) Variation of Z' versus frequency, (b) Z'' versus frequency, and (c) Nyquist plots of the LBDO ceramic.

transport mechanisms represented by the semicircular arcs become more efficient, leading to a reduction in the radius of the arcs as temperature increases.

3.6 Modulus study

The complex modulus can be calculated using the relation: $M^* = M' + jM'' = 1/\epsilon^*$; $M' = \omega C_0 Z'$ and $M'' = \omega C_0 Z''$;

$$M' = A \left[\frac{(\omega RC)^2}{1 + (\omega RC)^2} \right] = A \left[\frac{(\omega\tau)^2}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$M'' = A \left[\frac{\omega RC}{1 + (\omega RC)^2} \right] = A \left[\frac{\omega\tau}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \right], \quad \text{where all symbols have}$$

their usual meaning.⁴⁶ Fig. 7(a) shows the variation of M' versus frequency at some selected temperatures. In the low-frequency domain, the value of M' approaches zero. This is likely due to the negligible electrode polarization effect. Electrode polarization occurs when charges accumulate at the electrode–electrolyte interface, creating a potential difference that opposes the applied electric field. At low frequencies, there's insufficient

time for significant charge accumulation, resulting in a minimal electrode polarization effect. As frequency increases, the value of M' rises. This could be attributed to various factors, but one potential explanation is the absence of a restoring effect from an induced electric field on the mobility of charge carriers. In other words, at higher frequencies, charge carriers experience less resistance to movement, leading to an increase in the real part of the modulus.⁴⁷

In Fig. 7(b), the frequency dependence charts of the imaginary part of the modulus (M'') are presented at various temperatures ranging from 25 °C to 500 °C. Similar to M' , M'' also rises with increasing frequency. This behavior is often associated with the response of materials to an applied electric field at different frequencies. As the frequency increases, the response of the material becomes more pronounced, leading to higher values of M'' . At a certain frequency, denoted as M''_{\max} , the imaginary part of the modulus reaches its maximum value. This frequency likely corresponds to a specific characteristic of the material or the system under study. As the temperature






Fig. 7 (a) Variation of M' versus frequency, (b) M'' versus frequency, and (c) Cole–Cole plots of the LBDO ceramic.

increases, the peaks in M'' shift towards lower frequencies. This suggests that temperature affects the dynamics of the charge carriers or the material properties, altering the frequency at which the maximum response occurs.⁴⁸ The behavior observed below the peak maximum frequency indicates long-range hopping of charge carriers. As the frequency increases beyond the peak maximum, the mobility of charge carriers becomes limited to potential wells, indicating short-range mobility. This transition from long-range to short-range mobility with increasing frequency provides insights into the charge transport mechanisms within the material. The shift of the peak towards higher frequencies with increasing temperature suggests that thermally stimulated processes, likely involving hopping mechanisms of charge carriers, dominate at higher temperatures. This indicates a temperature-dependent relaxation behavior within the material. The asymmetric broadening of the peak and the temperature dependence of its characteristics suggest a non-Debye relaxation behavior of the material. Peaks in M'' represent the actual dielectric relaxation process occurring within the material. The spread of the peak provides information about the distribution of relaxation time constants,

indicating the grain and grain boundaries effect within the material.

The Cole–Cole plots shown in Fig. 7(c) are essential for understanding the electrical properties of the sample under study, particularly in terms of its semiconducting behavior. A Cole–Cole plot is a graphical representation commonly used in the analysis of dielectric materials. It typically displays the real part of the electrical modulus (M') on the x -axis and the imaginary part (M'') on the y -axis. The plot often exhibits a semicircular arc shape. The presence of semicircular arcs in the Cole–Cole plots indicates a characteristic behavior associated with semiconducting materials.^{49,50} By analysing the shape and characteristics of the semicircular arcs in the Cole–Cole plots, researchers can extract valuable information about the electrical properties of the material. This includes parameters such as the relaxation time constant, distribution of relaxation times, and the nature of charge carrier dynamics. The presence of double peaks may indicate the existence of multiple relaxation processes within the material. Different relaxation mechanisms, such as dipolar relaxation, interfacial polarization, and electrode effects, can contribute to distinct relaxation






Fig. 8 (a) Represents the value of resistance versus temperature, and (b) $\ln R$ versus $1000/T$ of the LBDO ceramic. (c) Shows the thermistor constant versus temperature, and (d) the sensitivity factor versus temperature of the polycrystalline LBDO ceramic. (e) Activation energy versus temperature of the LBDO ceramic.



Table 1 Lists the thermistor parameters of the LBDO ceramic

| Temp. (°C) | Temp. (K) | 1/T | 1000/T | R (Ω) | ln R | β | α | E _a |
|------------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|----------------|
| 25 | 298 | 0.00336 | 3.3557 | 184 649.1 | 12.12621 | 592.3 | −0.667 | 0.05109 |
| 50 | 323 | 0.0031 | 3.09598 | 158 320.3 | 11.97238 | 2059.4 | −1.973 | 0.17762 |
| 100 | 373 | 0.00268 | 2.68097 | 67 351.6 | 11.11768 | 1700.7 | −1.222 | 0.14669 |
| 150 | 423 | 0.00236 | 2.36407 | 39 290.2 | 10.57873 | −549.8 | 0.307 | −0.04742 |
| 200 | 473 | 0.00211 | 2.11416 | 45 077.1 | 10.71613 | −2214.4 | 0.989 | −0.19099 |
| 250 | 523 | 0.00191 | 1.91205 | 70 524.3 | 11.16371 | −4682.5 | 1.711 | −0.40387 |
| 300 | 573 | 0.00175 | 1.7452 | 154 041.3 | 11.94498 | −3786.6 | 1.153 | −0.32659 |
| 350 | 623 | 0.00161 | 1.60514 | 261 802.9 | 12.47535 | 1255.5 | −0.323 | 0.10829 |
| 400 | 673 | 0.00149 | 1.48588 | 225 396.1 | 12.32561 | 1935.1 | −0.427 | 0.1669 |
| 450 | 723 | 0.00138 | 1.38313 | 184 753.3 | 12.12678 | 5619.1 | −1.074 | 0.48465 |
| 500 | 773 | 0.00129 | 1.29366 | 111 755.1 | 11.62407 | — | — | — |

timescales, leading to multiple peaks in the Cole–Cole plot. Finally, at low temperatures, heterogeneity (grain + grain boundary effects) is more pronounced, which produces “S-shaped” or multiple arcs, while at high temperatures, these differences become less significant (conductivities of grains and boundaries become similar) and the response merges into a single semicircular arc.

3.7 Resistance-dependent temperature

Many ceramics, like thermistors, show strong resistance changes with temperature, making them useful for temperature sensing or control.⁵¹ The resistance values were calculated from the Nyquist plots at different temperatures. Fig. 8 shows the variation of the resistance *versus* temperature. Thermistive materials fall into two primary categories: NTCR, where resistance decreases with rising temperature, and PTCR, where the resistance increases with rising temperature.⁵²

It is observed that resistance decreases from the temperature of 25 °C to 150 °C, following the NTC thermistor character.⁵³ Again, the resistance increases from 200 °C to 350 °C, following the PTC thermistor character. Interestingly, a fall in the value of resistance is observed with the increase in the temperature from 350 °C to 500 °C, thereby confirming the NTCR nature. Therefore, the studied material has two NTC thermistor ranges, which may be treated as one suitable candidate for a temperature sensor device in both low and high temperature ranges. Fig. 8(b) shows a plot between $\ln R$ *versus* $1000/T$ of the LBDO ceramic. From the analysis, it is found that the plot has two distinct regions and confirms both NTC and PTC nature in the said range of temperature.⁵⁴

The thermistor constant (β) is an important parameter that characterizes the relationship between temperature and resistance in NTC ceramic thermistors. The thermistor constant can be calculated using the formula: $\beta = \ln\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right) / \left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2}\right)$, where R_1 and R_2 denote the resistances measured at the corresponding temperatures T_1 and T_2 .⁵⁵ In this study, the thermistor constant is determined in both the NTC and PTC regions, as illustrated in Fig. 8(c). According to the literature, the thermistor constant typically ranges from 15 000 to 18 000 K for high-temperature thermistor applications, and from 1500 to 5000 K

for low-temperature thermistor applications.^{56,57} Interestingly, the thermistor constant of the investigated sample ranges from 593 K to 5620 K, indicating that the prepared sample is appropriate for low-temperature thermistor applications.⁵⁸

The sensitivity factor is mathematically expressed as the rate of change of the logarithm of resistance with respect to temperature. It indicates how efficiently a thermistor senses and reacts to temperature variations, making it an essential parameter for its design and performance. For an NTC thermistor based on the beta model, the sensitivity factor is given by: $\alpha = (-\beta/T^2) \times 100$, where all symbols retain their usual meanings.⁵⁹ Fig. 8(d) illustrates the variation of the sensitivity factor with temperature. Higher sensitivity values indicate improved resolution in detecting minor temperature variations.⁶⁰ In this study, the sample exhibits maximum sensitivity at 523 K, suggesting that it can serve as an effective temperature sensor at this temperature. Activation energy is the minimum energy required to initiate the movement of charge carriers that allows electrical conduction in a ceramic material.

Fig. 8(e) shows the plot between activation energy *versus* temperature in LBDO ceramic. Activation energy refers to the minimum energy necessary to enable the movement of charge carriers responsible for electrical conduction in ceramic materials. It can be determined using the relation $E_a = k_B \times \beta$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant (1.38×10^{-23} J K^{−1}).⁶¹ In the present study, the activation energy of NTC ceramic thermistors typically lies in the range of 0.051 to 0.484 eV. All the thermistor parameters like temperature, resistance, thermistor constant, sensitivity factor, and activation energy are calculated using the above-mentioned formula and listed in Table 1. Therefore, based on the above analysis of thermistor parameters and their variation, it can be concluded that the prepared sample is well-suited for NTC thermistor applications.

4. Conclusion

The orthorhombic LBDO ceramic was synthesized *via* the conventional solid-state reaction method. W–H plot is drawn to find average crystallite size of 49.1 nm, lattice strain of 0.000876, and dislocation density of 4.14×10^{14} m^{−2} in the reported sample. SEM analysis revealed uniformly distributed grains with well-defined grain boundaries, which facilitate the



conduction mechanism. The EDX spectrum confirmed the presence of all constituent elements (La, Bi, Dy, and O) in both weight and atomic percentages. Analysis of the dielectric constant variation with frequency indicated Maxwell–Wagner type dielectric dispersion, while impedance–frequency studies demonstrated that the sample exhibits NTCR behavior. Electrical modulus analysis confirms the presence of a non-Debye type relaxation process. The variation of ac conductivity with frequency and temperature indicates that the sample follows a thermally activated conduction mechanism. The reduction in grain boundary resistance, evidenced by the shrinking semi-circle radius in Nyquist plots with rising temperature, further supports its semiconducting behavior, making it a potential candidate for energy storage applications. Additionally, Cole–Cole plot analysis highlights the contributions of both grains and grain boundaries to the conduction process and reinforces the semiconducting nature inferred from the Nyquist analysis. Future studies should focus on compositional optimization through controlled substitution at B-sites to tailor the electrical and dielectric properties. Extended temperature- and frequency-dependent studies, including long-term thermal cycling and aging tests, are necessary to evaluate device stability. Despite these encouraging results, the present study has certain limitations. The investigation was restricted to a single composition, and hence the influence of compositional variation on structural, dielectric, and electrical properties remains unexplored. Again, the absence of direct energy storage parameters such as polarization–electric field (P – E) hysteresis loops also limit a comprehensive assessment of energy storage performance. However, the present study, the resistance *versus* temperature relationship confirms an NTC thermistor application in low range of temperature, particularly from 25 °C to 150 °C, highlighting its potential use in temperature sensor devices.

Conflicts of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest related to this work.

Data availability

Data will be made available on reasonable request from the authors.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the host institute for extending support in XRD characterization.

References

- 1 S. Zhang, F. Li, X. Jiang, J. Kim and J. Luo, Advantages and challenges of ferroelectric single perovskite ceramics for piezoelectric applications, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2013, **96**, 3677–3696.
- 2 S. Kumar and K. L. Yadav, Dielectric and ferroelectric properties of single perovskite BiFeO₃ ceramics, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2007, **40**, 4566–4570.
- 3 R. Guo and L. E. Cross, Lead-free single perovskite ceramics: Structure and dielectric behavior, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, **68**, 260–262.
- 4 W. Liu and X. Ren, Large piezoelectric effect in Pb-free ceramics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2009, **103**, 257602.
- 5 F.-Z. Yao, K. Wang, W. Jo and J.-F. Li, Phase boundary design for high-performance piezoelectrics in lead-free single perovskite ceramics, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 13570–13578.
- 6 N. K. Elangovan, R. Kannadasan, B. B. Beenarani, M. H. Alsharif, M.-K. Kim and Z. H. Inamul, Recent developments in perovskite materials, fabrication techniques, band gap engineering, and the stability of perovskite solar cells, *Energy Rep.*, 2024, **11**, 1171–1190.
- 7 F. Yu, Q. Lu, P. Zhou, C. Zhao, X. Wu, C. Lin, M. Gao and T. Lin, Microstructure and Photoluminescence Properties of Er³⁺/LaBiO₃ Co-Modified (K_{0.5}Na_{0.5})NbO₃ Transparent Textured Ceramics via Tape-Casting Method, *Mater. Sci. Eng., B*, 2022, **286**, 116073.
- 8 B. Zhong, C. Zuo, C. Yang, S. Yang, Y. Li, H. Yu and X. Wei, Bifunctional europium doped SrTiO₃ ceramics with energy storage and photoluminescence, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2022, **901**, 163556.
- 9 W. Liu, Y. Zhao, Y. Jin, F. Kong, J. Gao and S. Li, Enhanced dielectric tunability and reduced dielectric loss in the La/Fe co-doped Ba_{0.65}Sr_{0.35}TiO₃ ceramics, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2022, **901**, 163642.
- 10 S. K. Ghosh, M. Ganguly, S. K. Rout, S. Chanda and T. P. Sinha, Structural, Optical, and Dielectric Relaxor Properties of Neodymium-Doped Cubic Perovskite (Ba_{1-x}Nd_{2x/3})(Zr_{0.3}Ti_{0.7})O₃, *Solid State Sci.*, 2014, **30**, 68–75.
- 11 S. Sasikumar, T. K. Thirumalaisamy, S. Saravanakumar, S. Asath Bahadur, D. Sivaganesh and I. B. Shameem Banu, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2020, **31**, 1–9.
- 12 R. Sagar, S. Madolappa and R. L. Raibagkar, Surface Morphology and Dielectric Behaviour of Gd-Doped Ba(Zr_{0.5}Ti_{0.5})O₃ Perovskite Ceramics, *Trans. Indian Ceram. Soc.*, 2011, **70**, 131–134.
- 13 X. He, T. Wang, X. Li, S. Das, X. Liu and Z. Chen, Enhanced Piezoelectricity and Excellent Thermal Stability in Sm³⁺-Doped BiFeO₃–PbTiO₃ Ceramics, *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.*, 2022, **4**, 807–813.
- 14 Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, Y. Chen, Z. Li and Z. Zou, Lanthanum bismuth oxide photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction to CO with high selectivity, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2021, **5**, 2688–2694.
- 15 X. Yun, J. Zhou, Y. Jia, S. Liu, Y. Zhu, X. Li and D. Xu, Crystal Structure and Luminescence Properties of Dy³⁺-Doped Double-Perovskite Tellurites, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2022, **51**, 331–338.
- 16 Q. Sun, Q. Gu, K. Zhu, J. Wang and J. Qiu, Stabilized temperature-dependent dielectric properties of Dy-doped BaTiO₃ ceramics derived from sol-hydrothermally synthesized nanopowders, *Ceram. Int.*, 2016, **42**, 3170–3176.



- 17 W. Mao, X. Wang, L. Chu, Y. Zhu, Q. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Yang, X. Li and W. Huang, Simultaneous enhancement of magnetic and ferroelectric properties in Dy and Cr co-doped BiFeO₃ nanoparticles, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 6399–6405.
- 18 Z. Zou, S. Yang and Y. Li, Study on the luminescent properties of Dy³⁺-doped double perovskite LiYMgWO₆ phosphors for WLED applications, *Polyhedron*, 2025, **279**, 117655.
- 19 C. Ma, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Hu, Z. Zhai, J. Wu, W. Zhou and X. Lv, Photoluminescence and optical temperature sensing properties of Dy³⁺-doped Na_{0.5}Bi_{0.5}TiO₃ multifunctional ferroelectric ceramics, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2021, **254**, 119636.
- 20 A. Sridhar, D. Sunil Gavaskar, D. Balaganesh, M. Shruthi and M. Prasad, Effect of Dy³⁺ substitution on structural, optical, and magnetic properties with improved photocatalytic degradation of Ni-Co nanoferrites, *Ceram. Int.*, 2025, **51**, 38416–38435.
- 21 S. Samal, S. Mishra and S. K. Parida, Microstructure, dielectric, and impedance spectroscopy of the dysprosium-modified LaBiO₃ ceramic, *Ferroelectrics*, 2024, **618**, 321–333.
- 22 L. Zhang, J. Wang, D. Peng, F. Long, S. Mo, Y. Wu and Z. Zou, Photoluminescence and dielectric properties of pure/Yb-doped SrZrO₃ crystals, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2017, **104**, 1–7.
- 23 V. M. Goldschmidt, Die gesetze der krystallochemie, *Naturwissenschaften*, 1926, **14**, 477–485.
- 24 R. D. Shannon, Revised Effective Ionic Radii and Systematic Studies of Interatomic Distances in Halides and Chalcogenides, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys. Diffraction. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, **32**, 751–767.
- 25 L. Boudad, M. Taibi, A. Belayachi and M. A. Lefdi, Structural, morphological, dielectric and optical properties of double perovskites RBaFeTiO₆ (R = La, Eu), *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 40205.
- 26 S. Mishra and S. K. Parida, Electrical and optical properties of a lead-free complex double perovskite BaNaFeMoO₆: Photovoltaic and thermistor applications, *Mater. Sci. Eng., B*, 2023, **296**, 116629–116645.
- 27 A. Ben Jazia Kharrat, N. Moutiab, K. Khirouni and W. Boujelben, Investigation of electrical behavior and dielectric properties in polycrystalline Pr_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}MnO₃ manganite perovskite, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2018, **105**, 75.
- 28 B. D. Cullity and R. S. Stock, *Elements of X-Ray Diffraction*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 3rd edn, 2001.
- 29 A. A. Momin, R. Parvin, M. F. Islam and A. A. Hossain, Structural, magnetic, and electrical properties of multiferroic xLi_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Mn_{0.6}Fe_{2.1}O₄–(1–x)Bi_{0.8}Dy_{0.2}FeO₃ composites, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2021, **526**, 167708.
- 30 W. D. Kingery, H. K. Bowen and D. R. Uhlmann, *Introduction to Ceramics*, Wiley, 1976, vol. 17.
- 31 J. C. Maxwell, *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, Clarendon, 1873, vol. 1.
- 32 K. W. Wagner, On the theory of imperfect dielectrics, *Ann. Phys.*, 1913, **345**, 817–855.
- 33 R. Richert and H. Wagner, The dielectric modulus: Relaxation versus retardation, *Solid State Ionics*, 1998, **105**, 167–173.
- 34 A. A. Momin, R. Parvin, M. Shahjahan, M. F. Islam, H. Tanaka and A. A. Hossain, Interplay between the ferrimagnetic and ferroelectric phases on the large magnetoelectric coupling of xLi_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Mn_{0.6}Fe_{2.1}O₄–(1–x)Bi_{0.8}Dy_{0.2}FeO₃ composites, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2020, **31**, 511–525.
- 35 N. S. Kumara, R. P. Suvarna and K. C. B. Naidu, Microwave-heated lead cobalt titanate nanoparticles synthesized by sol-gel technique: Structural, morphological, dielectric, impedance, and ferroelectric properties, *Mater. Sci. Eng., B*, 2019, **242**, 23–30.
- 36 M. Yildirim and A. Kocyigit, A Systematic Study on The Dielectric Relaxation, Electric Modulus and Electrical Conductivity of Al/Cu: TiO₂ = N-Si (MOS) Structures/Capacitors, *Surf. Rev. Lett.*, 2020, **27**, 1950217.
- 37 B. S. Tripathy, B. Umamathi, P. Nayak and S. K. Parida, Comprehensive impedance spectroscopy, Raman, and infrared studies of the ferroelectric properties and application of BiFeWO₆, *RSC Adv.*, 2025, **6**, 6877–6893.
- 38 H. I. Hsiang, F. S. Yen and C. Y. H. C. Y. Huang, Effects of porosity on dielectric properties of BaTiO₃ ceramics, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 1995, **34**, 1922.
- 39 T. Wang, J. Hu, H. Yang, L. Jin, X. Wei, C. Li, F. Yan and Y. Lin, Dielectric relaxation and Maxwell-Wagner interface polarization in Nb₂O₅ doped 0.65BiFeO₃–0.35BaTiO₃ ceramics, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2017, **121**, 084103.
- 40 A. K. Jonscher, The universal dielectric response, *Nature*, 1977, **267**, 673–679.
- 41 S. Mishra, R. N. P. Choudhary and S. K. Parida, A novel double perovskite BaKFeWO₆: Structural, Microstructural, Dielectric and optical properties, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **145**, 110068.
- 42 W. Wieczorek, J. Plochanski, J. Przyłuski, S. Głowinkowski and Z. Pajak, Impedance spectroscopy and phase structure of PEO-NaI complexes, *Solid State Ionics*, 1988, **28**, 1014–1017.
- 43 D. C. Sinclair, T. B. Adams, F. D. Morrison and A. R. West, One Step Internal Barrier Layer Capacitor, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **80**, 2153–2155.
- 44 S. K. Parida, P. K. Das and R. N. P. Choudhary, Structural and Electrical Characterization of SrMn_{0.97}Ce_{0.03}O₃ Ceramics, *Integr. Ferroelectr.*, 2021, **221**, 215–230.
- 45 S. Kumar, J. Pal, S. Kaur, P. S. Malhi, M. Singh, P. D. Babu and A. Singh, The structural and magnetic properties, non-Debye relaxation and hopping mechanism in Pb_xNd_{1–x}FeO₃ (where x = 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3) solid solutions, *J. Asian Ceram. Soc.*, 2019, **7**, 133–140.
- 46 S. Mishra and S. K. Parida, Investigation of structure, dielectric, thermistor, and optical properties study of an eco-friendly double perovskite for photovoltaic and optoelectronic applications: CaLiFeWO₆, *Curr. Appl. Phys.*, 2024, **65**, 75–90.
- 47 M. M. Costa, G. F. M. Pires, A. J. Terezo and M. P. F. Graca, Impedance and Modulus Studies of Magnetic Ceramic Oxide



- Ba₂Co₂Fe₁₂O₂₂ (Co₂Y) Doped with Bi₂O₃, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2011, **110**, 034107.
- 48 M. Padhi, S. Mishra, A. Prasad Sahu and S. K. Parida, A comprehensive study on the structure, microstructure, dielectric, electrical, and infrared properties of the BiNaCoMoO₆ ceramic for NTC thermistor applications, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2025, **36**, 1–16.
- 49 B. Tilak, Ferroelectric relaxor behavior and spectroscopic properties of Ba²⁺ and Zr⁴⁺ modified sodium bismuth titanate, *Am. J. Mater. Sci.*, 2012, **2**, 110–118.
- 50 K. Kumari, A. Prasad and K. Prasad, Dielectric, Impedance/Modulus, and Conductivity Studies on [Bi_{0.5}(Na_{1-x}K_x)_{0.5}]_{0.94}Ba_{0.06}TiO₃ (0.16 ≤ x ≤ 0.20) Lead-Free Ceramics, *Am. J. Mater. Sci.*, 2016, **6**, 1–18.
- 51 S. Mishra and S. K. Parida, Electrical and optical properties of a lead-free complex double perovskite BaNaFeMoO₆: Photovoltaic and thermistor applications, *Mater. Sci. Eng., B*, 2023, **296**, 116629–116645.
- 52 D. Saha, A. Das Sharma, A. Sen and H. S. Maiti, Preparation of bixbyite phase (Mn_xFe_{1-x})₂O₃ for NTC thermistor applications, *Mater. Lett.*, 2002, **55**, 403–406.
- 53 S. K. Parida, Studies on structural, dielectric, and optical properties of Cu/W double double-substituted calcium manganite for solar cells and thermistor applications, *Phase Transitions*, 2021, **94**, 1033–1052.
- 54 D. B. Deutz, S. Zwaag and P. Groen, Effect of particle contact on the electrical performance of NTC-epoxy composite thermistors, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2020, **7**, 025702.
- 55 R. Gerhardt, Impedance and Dielectric Spectroscopy Revisited: Distinguishing Localized Relaxation from Long-Range Conductivity, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 1994, **55**, 1491–1506.
- 56 A. Feteira, Negative Temperature Coefficient Resistance (NTCR) Ceramic Thermistors: An Industrial Perspective, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2009, **92**, 967–983.
- 57 Y. Saad, I. Álvarez-Serrano, M. L. López and M. Hidouri, Dielectric response and thermistor behavior of lead-free xNaNbO₃–(1-x)BiFeO₃ electroceramics, *Ceram. Int.*, 2018, **44**, 18560–18570.
- 58 S. Sahoo, Enhanced time response and temperature sensing behavior of thermistor using Zn-doped CaTiO₃ nanoparticles, *J. Adv. Ceram.*, 2018, **7**, 99–108.
- 59 I. Chiba, M. Zannen, F. Hassen, T. Fourgassie, B. Dkhil, P.-E. Janolin, O. Lbder and C. Autret-Lambert, Thermal sensing characteristics of Nd_{0.06}Bi_{0.94}FeO₃ nanoparticles for thermistor applications, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2025, **1036**, 182028.
- 60 D. Saha, A. Das Sharma, A. Sen and H. S. Maiti, Preparation of bixbyite phase (Mn_xFe_{1-x})₂O₃ for NTC thermistor applications, *Mater. Lett.*, 2002, **55**, 403–406.
- 61 F. E. Wudy, D. J. Moosbauer, M. Multerer, G. Schmeer, H.-G. Schweiger, C. Stock, P. F. Hauner, G. A. Suppan and H. J. Gores, Fast Micro-Kelvin Resolution Thermometer Based on NTC Thermistors, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2011, **56**, 4823–4828.

