ChemComm

Thermally stable coordinatively unsaturated alkyl complexes resistant to β-hydride elimination: $\mathrm{Tp^{iPr}M{-}CH_2CH_3}$ (M = Co, Fe)

Nobuhiko Shirasawa, Munetaka Akita,* Shiro Hikichi and Yoshihiko Moro-oka*

Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan. E-mail: makita@res.titech.ac.jp

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 3rd December 1998, Accepted 27th January 1999

Coordinatively unsaturated hydrocarbyl complexes bearing β-hydrogen atoms, $\mathrm{Tp^{iPr}M{-}CH_2CH_3}$ [M = Fe, Co; $\mathrm{Tp^{iPr}}$ = hydrotris(3,5-diisopropylpyrazolyl)borate], 14e and 15e species, respectively, are prepared; they are resistant to β-hydride elimination.

'18-Electron (EAN) rule' and 'β-hydride elimination' are usually key principles in predicting stability of organometallic compounds.[1] In a previous paper, we reported a complex which does not obey the 18e rule: the coordinatively unsaturated 14e η^1-allyliron complex supported by the $\mathrm{Tp^{iPr}}$ ligand [$\mathrm{Tp^{iPr}}$ = hydrotris(3,5-diisopropylpyrazolyl)borate], $\mathrm{Tp^{iPr}Fe{-}CH_2{-}CH{=}CH_2}$.[2] Although η^3 (π)-coordination of the allyl ligand would give a 16e species, closer to the coordinatively saturated 18e configuration, X-ray crystallography of the allyliron complex revealed that the η^1 (σ)-coordination of the allyl ligand to the iron center led to the highly coordinatively unsaturated 14e species. Our communication was followed by a recent report by Parkin *et al.*, who disclosed the synthesis and unusual reactivity of the related methyliron complex, $[\mathrm{PhTp^{Bu^t}}]\mathrm{Fe{-}Me}$ [$\mathrm{PhTp^{Bu^t}}$ = PhB $(3\text{-}\mathrm{Bu^t}\text{-pz})_3$, pz = pyrazolyl],[3] and thus the study on the coordinatively unsaturated hydrocarbyl complexes of late transition metals has attracted increasing attention.[4] During the course of our study, we have succeeded in the synthesis and characterization of coordinatively unsaturated alkyl complexes resistant to β-hydride elimination.

Reaction of the Fe and Co chloride complexes **1** with ethylmagnesium bromide in THF afforded pale yellow (**2a**) and blue products (**2b**), respectively, after removal of the inorganic salts by filtration through a Celite pad followed by crystallization from pentane [eqn. (1)]. X-Ray crystallography of the Co complex **2b** [Fig. 1(a)]† revealed the formation of the ethyl complex with tetrahedral coordination geometry as judged by the similar N–Co distances and N–Co–$\mathrm{CH_2}$ angles [Co1–N11 2.049(6), Co1–N21 2.017(7), Co1–N31 2.025(7) Å; N11–Co1–C1 123.1(3), N21–Co1–C1 125.2(3), N31–Co1–C1 124.5(3)°]. The Co–$\mathrm{CH_2}$ distance [Co1–C1 2.01(1) Å] falls in the accepted range of the Co–C σ-bond lengths. The structural parameters are comparable to those of the allyliron complex mentioned above.[2] The iron complex **2a** was also assigned to $\mathrm{Tp^{iPr}Fe{-}CH_2CH_3}$ with tetrahedral structure, on the basis of its cell parameters† similar to those of **2b**, but could not be refined satisfactorily due to the low quality of the crystals. In contrast to the Co and Fe complexes, the reaction of the Ni complex **1c** afforded an intractable mixture of products from which no characterizable product could be isolated.



In order to further confirm the presence of a M–C bond in **2**, the ethyl complexes **2a**,**b** were subjected to carbonylation. Stirring a toluene solution of **2a**,**b** for 1 h under CO atmosphere (1 atm) produced **3a**,**b**, respectively. Their IR spectra containing



Fig. 1 Molecular structures of **2b** (a) and **3c** (b) drawn at the 30% probability level.

ν(CO) and ν(C=O) vibrations suggest formation of acyl complexes.‡ The diamagnetic iron complex **3a** was characterized on the basis of its ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra indicating the $(CO)_2Fe–C(=O)CH_2CH_3$ functional group in addition to the κ^3-Tp^{iPr} ligand, and its IR spectrum suggests the presence of two rotamers as observed for $TpFe(CO)_2–C(=O)CH_3$.[5] The assignment of the monocarbonyl structure of the cobalt complex **3b** is based on the single ν(CO) absorption. Interestingly, although the ethylnickel complex **2c** could not be isolated from the Grignard reaction mentioned above, the reaction under CO atmosphere produced the acyl complex **3c**, which was characterized spectroscopically and structurally [Fig. 1(b)].† The Ni center adopts a trigonal bipyramidal structure with the N11–Ni1–C1 axis, where the κ^3-Tp^{iPr} ligand occupies the two basal and one apical coordination sites and the acyl and CO ligands occupy the remaining apical and coordination sites, respectively, as judged by the interligand angles [N11–Ni1–C1 171.7(1) (≈ 180°); N21–Ni1–C1 89.8(2), N31–Ni1–C1 87.7(1), C1–Ni1–C4 87.2(2), N11–Ni1–N21 85.1(1), N11–Ni1–N31 86.6(1), N11–Ni1–C4 101.1(2)° (≈ 90°); N21–Ni1–N31 98.9(1), N21–Ni1–C4 131.0(2), N31–Ni1–C4 129.8(2)° (≈ 120°)]. In accord with this view, the axial N–Ni distance is slightly longer than the equatorial ones [Ni1–N11 2.058(3) Å > Ni1–N21 2.018(3), Ni1–N31 2.034(3) Å]. As for the Ni(CO)–C(=O)Et part, the structure of the acyl part is normal [Ni1–C1 1.947(4), O1–C1 1.192(5) Å; Ni1–C1–O1 124.2(3), Ni1–C1–C2 115.7(3), C1–C2–C3 112.2(4)°], and the CO ligand is coordinated to the Ni center in a typical η^1-fashion [Ni1–C4 1.767(5), O2–C4 1.126(6) Å; Ni1–C4–O2 177.0(4)°]. Thus the successful characterization of the acyl complexes **3** supports the presence of the $M–CH_2CH_3$ functional group in the starting complexes **2**. The formation of the acyl complexes **3** *via* addition and insertion of CO molecules is in contrast to the result of carbonylation of the related iron–alkyl complex, [PhTp$^{Bu^t}$]Fe–Me, giving the Fe(I) carbonyl complex, [PhTp$^{Bu^t}$]Fe–CO.[3] The difference may arise from the highly sterically demanding PhTp$^{Bu^t}$ ligand, which would hinder formation of penta- or hexa-coordinated species leading to the acyl structure.

When the ethyl complexes **2a**,**b** were heated in heptane for 5 h at 110 °C, only small amounts of ethane [10 (**2a**) and 8% (**2b**) yields] were detected together with trace amounts of ethene by GLC analysis of the gas phase. In contrast, hydrogenolysis (2 atm; 16 h at room temperature) produced ethane in 60 (**2a**) and 91% (**2b**) yields and protonolysis with aqueous HCl afforded ethane in 66 (**2a**) and 96% (**2b**) yields. Thus complexes **2** bearing β-hydrogen atoms were found to be resistant to 'β-hydride elimination'.

The ethyl-iron and -cobalt complexes prepared in the present study are highly coordinatively unsaturated 14e (Fe: **2a**) and 15e species (Co: **2b**), respectively, which are quite sensitive to air and moisture. It is remarkable that, despite their coordinatively unsaturated electronic structures, they are resistant to β-hydride elimination and are stable at room temperature. The magnetic moments [μ = 5.0 μ_B (**2a**), 4.2 μ_B (**2b**)] close to the calculated spin only magnetic moments [4.90 (S = 2), 3.87 (S = 3/2)] and EHMO calculations indicate that the ethyl complexes **2** are high spin species and all their non-bonding d-orbitals are fully or half occupied. The stability of the ethyl complexes **2** may be interpreted in terms of the lack of a vacant coordination site (a vacant non-bonding d-orbital)[1] and the high spin electronic configurations should be a result of the tetrahedral coordination geometry regulated by the tripodal Tp^{iPr} ligand. Their electronic structure, however, should be flexible. Upon interaction with an appropriate substrate the electronic configuration may be changed so as to form a vacant coordination site and accommodate a substrate into the coordination sphere as typically exemplified by carbonylation giving the acyl complexes **3**.

We are grateful to the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of the Japanese Government (Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research: No. 08102006 and 09238105) for financial support of this research.

Notes and references

† X-Ray diffraction measurements were made on a Rigaku RAXIS IV imaging plate area detector with graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation. The structures were solved by using the teXsan crystal structure analysis package. *Crystal data*: **2b**: $C_{26}H_{51}N_6BCo$, M = 553.5, T = −60 °C, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 10.309(3), b = 16.21(2), c = 19.207(5) Å, β = 100.02(2)°, V = 3160(4) Å^3, Z = 4, D_c = 1.16 g cm^{-3}, μ = 5.7 cm^{-1}, R_1 = 0.083 for the 3267 unique data with $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$ and 347 parameters, wR_2 = 0.224 for all 4201 unique data.

3c: $C_{31}H_{51}BN_6NiO_2$, M = 609.3, T = −60 °C, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 9.968(5), b = 15.820(2), c = 21.570(2) Å, β = 97.02(2)°, V = 3376(1) Å^3, Z = 4, D_c = 1.20 g cm^{-3}, μ = 6.1 cm^{-1}, R_1 = 0.084 for the 5974 unique data with $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$ and 431 parameters, wR_2 = 0.238 for all 6368 unique data. The disordered C25, C26, C28, C29, C38 and C39 parts were refined taking into account the minor components. CCDC 182/1153. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/417/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

Cell parameters for **2a**: T = −60 °C, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 10.465(4), b = 16.18(1), c = 19.200(8) Å, β = 100.87(1)°, V = 3193(3) Å^3.

‡ *Selected spectral data*: **2a**: 2543 cm^{-1} (ν_{BH}). **2b**: 2541 cm^{-1} (ν_{BH}). **3a**: 2553 (ν_{BH}), 2024, 2000, 1957, 1930 (ν_{CO}), 1668, 1620 cm^{-1} ($\nu_{C=O}$). δ_H(CD_2Cl_2) 6.39 (2H, 2, pz), 6.36 (1H, s, pz), 3.67 (q, J 7.0 Hz, CH_2). δ_C(CD_2Cl_2) 259.5 [s, Fe–C(=O)], 215.0 (s, Fe–CO), 56.8 (t, J 130 Hz, CH_2), 10.3 (q, J 128 Hz, CH_2CH_3). **3b**: 2540 (ν_{BH}), 1999 (ν_{CO}), 1636 cm^{-1} ($\nu_{C=O}$). **3c**: 2547 (ν_{BH}), 2009 (ν_{CO}), 1682 cm^{-1} ($\nu_{C=O}$). δ_H(CD_2Cl_2) 5.84 (3H, s, pz), 1.88 (q, J 7.4 Hz, CH_2), 0.45 (t, J 7.4 Hz, CH_2CH_3). δ_C(CD_2Cl_2) 225.9 (s, Ni–C(=O)), 187.4 (s, Ni–CO), 40.7 (t, J 132 Hz, CH_2), 11.1 (q, J 127 Hz, CH_2CH_3).

1 A. Yamamoto, *Organotransition Metal Chemistry*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1986; J. P. Collman, L. S. Hegedus, J. R. Norton and R. G. Finke, *Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry*, University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, 1987; R. H. Crabtree, *The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2nd edn., 1994.

2 M. Akita, N. Shirasawa, S. Hikichi and Y. Moro-oka, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 973.

3 J. L. Kisko, T. Hascall and G. Parkin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 10 561.

4 Several examples of coordinatively unsaturated hydrocarbyl complexes kinetically stabilized by bulky ligands are known. See, for example: B. K. Bower and H. G. Tennent, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 2512; A. Kose, E. Solari, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa, C. Rizzoli and N. Re, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 9123; R. C. Kerber, *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, ed. E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone and G. Wilkinson, Pergamon, Oxford, 1995, vol. 7, ch. 2.3.1.

5 F. A. Cotton, B. A. Frenz and A. Shaver, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1973, **7**, 161.

Communication 8/09441A