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Carbochlorination, a solvent-free top-down process, is a novel pathway for the hierarchization of zeolites. In contrast to other methods no further washing steps are required. The employed method should serve as a model system for the “upcycling” of coked and deactivated zeolites accumulated by the industry. In order to establish a basic understanding of the process, zeolite H-Y was taken as a model system and a thorough investigation of important reaction parameters, like chlorination temperature, time and concentration, carbon loading, and Si/Al ratio, was performed. Under optimized conditions, we have been able to hierarchize H-Y with high yield, doubling the mesopore volume while maintaining the crystalinity and surface area.

Introduction

Zeolites are microporous, crystalline aluminosilicates that are widely used as heterogeneous catalysts,1–4 catalyst supports,5,6 ion-exchangers,7 molecular sieve membranes,8,9 in the catalytic conversion of biomass10–12 and many more advanced applications.13 Their final performance in all of the mentioned applications strongly depends on the accessibility of the active sites, which is largely affected by pore size and pore connectivity.14,15 Diffusion limitations within these pores can lead to severe issues such as hindered mass transport, pore blocking, and especially in the field of heterogeneous catalysts, the formation of coke. This causes a decreasing catalytic activity and lifetime as well as undesirable product selectivities.16 Hierarchization, the incorporation of an additional transport system into the material, can overcome these obstacles and enhance the diffusion and mass flow properties of a zeolite material.17–27 Several synthesis routes are known for hierarchical zeolites, which are usually classified as bottom-up or top-down methods.17–19,23,28,30,39 Bottom-up approaches commonly apply hard- or soft-templates, which serve as a placeholder for the future secondary porosity, directly into the synthesis of the zeolite framework.23,30 Commonly carbon nanomaterials25–25 but also organic aerogels,26 salts such as CaCO3 (ref. 37) or biological templates28 have been used as hard-templates, while structure-directing agents (SDA) such as surfactants are applied as soft-templates.39,40 Non-templating synthesis relies on aggregation of nanocrystals,41,42 the crystallization of amorphous gels43 or modification of crystal growth direction.23 However, upscaling and industrial implementation of most of these approaches is difficult due to high production costs that arise from the expensive template nanostructures, long synthesis time, including the necessary post-synthesis template removal, or the fact that some approaches are only applicable to a few zeolite structures.23,30,35 Top-down approaches, in turn, are based on post-synthesis treatments with acids and bases that leech either atoms (Si or Al) or fragments out of the framework, consequently creating mesopores. With dealumination44–46 by acids, and desilication47,48 by NaOH or organic hydroxides two main modification routes exist. However, many of the existing top-down methods require one or multiple washing steps, what makes this process time-consuming and waste-producing. The material loss during etching strongly depends on the applied etching agents, but it is often in the range of ~50%.49 There are only a few publications reporting on the hierarchization preventing huge materials loss.50,51 In this respect particularly solvent-free methods have been developed allowing to synthesize and modify porous materials such as metal organic frameworks52–54 and zeolites.55

Here we present a radical new approach for the hierarchization of zeolites that is based on a one-step gas phase reaction (eqn (1) and (2)). This reaction, a carbochlorination, is known from the Kroll-process, the industrial process commonly used for the synthesis of titanium metal at temperatures between 750 and 1000 °C.56,57

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(\ell) + 3 \text{C}(s) + 3\text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow 2\text{AlCl}_3(\text{g}) + 3\text{CO}(\text{g}) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{SiO}_2(\ell) + 2\text{C}(s) + 2\text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{SiCl}_4(\text{g}) + 2\text{CO}(\text{g}) \quad (2)
\]

We utilized zeolite Y as model system because it is one of the most important heterogeneous catalysts in petrochemical industry, e.g. in fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) and hydrocracking.58
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This approach is in addition motivated by the idea to re-use coked and deactivated zeolites accumulating after a catalytic reaction. Hot chlorine gas in combination with the deposited carbon (coke) inside the zeolite framework will lead to etching of Si and Al species (eqn (1) and (2)) and thus the generation of mesoporosity (Fig. 1). No post-synthesis washing steps are required and the yield is higher as compared to traditional top-down approaches. To study this approach systematically, defined amounts of carbon were brought into the material by infiltration and pyrolysis of furfuryl alcohol (FA). We investigated the influence of different parameters such as chlorination temperature, reaction time, carbon concentration, Si/Al ratio and chlorine concentration on the porosity, hierarchization, crystallinity, and acidity of the resulting zeolites. We have shown that a high amount of mesoporosity can be introduced while ensuring a low material loss.

## Experimental section

### Zeolite–carbon composite synthesis

5 g of commercially available zeolite HY (CBV 400, Zeolyst International, SiO₂ to Al₂O₃ molar ratio: 5.1, specific surface area: 730 m² g⁻¹; CBV 901, Zeolyst International, SiO₂ to Al₂O₃ molar ratio: 80, specific surface area: 700 m² g⁻¹) were impregnated with 2 mL solution of furfuryl alcohol (FA) and ethanol (EtOH) by mixing with mortar and pestle for 15 min. The composition of each solution is shown in Table 1. The infiltrated zeolite was carbonized under argon flow (>110 mL min⁻¹) with several temperature steps.

Samples were treated for 2 h at room temperature, then heated for 24 h at 80 °C (heating rate 60 K h⁻¹), further for 8 h at 150 °C (heating rate 60 K h⁻¹) and finally for 4 h at 600 °C (heating rate: 300 K h⁻¹).

### Carbochlorination

The black zeolite–carbon-composites were flushed under argon atmosphere (150 mL min⁻¹) for 30 min, followed by heating to the reaction temperature (heating rate 450 K h⁻¹). Subsequently, the gas flow was changed to a mixture of 10 mL min⁻¹ chlorine and 70 or 110 mL min⁻¹ argon while the temperature was kept for 2 h, 4 h or 8 h, respectively. Then, the samples were cooled/heated from the reaction temperature (400–900 °C) to 600 °C under argon flow for 1 h and finally treated with 80 mL min⁻¹ hydrogen for another 1 h. Afterwards the samples were cooled down to room temperature under argon.

## Table 1 Preparation of zeolite–carbon composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Zeolite</th>
<th>VxFA/mL</th>
<th>VEtOH/mL</th>
<th>VFA molar-%/mL g⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite 1 (C1)</td>
<td>HY-5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite 2 (C2)</td>
<td>HY-5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite 3 (C3)</td>
<td>HY-5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite 4 (C4)</td>
<td>HY-80</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite 5 (C5)</td>
<td>HY-80</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite 6 (C6)</td>
<td>HY-80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Sample nomenclature

The sample name consists of its reaction parameters and has the following structure: Cα-β-γ-d/ε, where Cα is the composite, β is the reaction temperature in °C, γ is the reaction time in h, δ is the chlorine flow in mL min⁻¹ and ε is the argon flow in mL min⁻¹. For example, C1-500-4-10/70 means a carbochlorination of composite 1 at 500 °C for 4 h with a gas mixture of chlorine (10 mL min⁻¹) and argon (70 mL min⁻¹).

## Characterization

The argon physisorption was measured at −186 °C on an Autosorb iQ (Quantachrome Instruments) after vacuum activation at 300 °C for 16 h. Specific surface areas (SSA_{BET}) were evaluated using the multipoint BET equation according to the criteria stated from Rouquerol et al.²⁹ Pore size distributions (PSDs) were calculated using the non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) method (adsorption branch kernel) for argon adsorbed on zeolites/silica with a cylindrical/spherical pore shape at −186 °C. This kernel was also used to calculate specific surface area (SSA_{DFT}), total pore volumes (PV_{DFT}), micropore volume (PV_{micro,DFT}, d_pore < 2 nm) and mesopore volume (PV_{meso,DFT}, d_pore 2–50 nm).

Nitrogen physisorption experiments were operated at −196 °C on a Quadrasorb evo (Quantachrome Instruments). Total pore volumes (PV_{total}) were determined at p/p₀ = 0.95. The t-plot method (p/p₀ = 0.2–0.5) was used to determine the micropore volume (PV_{micro}) and external surface area (S_{EXT}). Determination of specific surface areas (SSA_{BET}) was done similar to argon physisorption. Nitrogen physisorption isotherms were measured after vacuum activation at 230 °C for 2 h.

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed on a XPERT PRO (PANalytical) using Cu Kα radiation as fourfold determination in the 2θ range 5–50° and 0.02° step width. The crystallinity was estimated according to the standardized procedure ASTM D 3966-03.⁶⁶ Therefore the area of the 15.7°, 18.7°, 20.4°, 23.7°, 27.1°, 30.8°, 31.5° and 34.2° 2θ reflections (equivalent to the [311], [511], [440], [533], [642], [822], [555] and [664] hkl planes, respectively) were taken after...
The chemisorbed ammonia was desorbed by heating the samples for 4 h with a gas mixture of 10 mL min\(^{-1}\) of chlorine and 70 mL min\(^{-1}\) argon. With higher reaction temperatures, the pore size distribution of the composite changes, with samples at 700 and 800 °C showing broadening of the pore size distribution with pores from 6 to 16 nm observed, while samples at 700 and 800 °C show two main pore sizes about 10 and 16 nm (Fig. 3b). The effect of a more intense etching reaction at higher temperatures can also be seen on SEM images. Fig. 4a shows the isotherms show increasing gas uptake in the \(p/p_0\) range from 0.6 to 0.8. The isotherm shape turns into type IV exhibiting a large hysteresis, which is typical for mesoporous materials. We can state that with higher chlorination temperature the total pore volume as well as the mesopore volume increases, while the micropore volume decreases. Consequently we can observe an increase in the SSA\(_{\text{EXT}}\) as well (Table 2). This trend reaches a maximum for 700 °C with 0.40 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) total pore volume, but brakes down at 800 °C where the sample shows a lower total pore volume (0.29 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)). The sample treated at 400 °C shows the lowest total pore volume (0.23 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) due to the high content of residual carbon within the pore network. At low temperatures [e.g. 400 °C] the etching is less efficient while at too high temperature (>800 °C) the destruction of the zeolite system becomes excessive. This effect is also reflected by the decrease of the specific surface area with the increase in chlorination temperature (Table 2).

Verboekend et al. introduced the hierarchical factor (HF), which is defined as a product of the relative micropore volume (\(V_{\text{mic}}/V_{\text{total}}\)) and the relative mesopore surface area (\(S_{\text{meso}}/S_{\text{SSA}}\)). Its purpose is to characterize hierarchization efficiency. Carbochlorination of composite 2 shows a good hierarchization performance at 500 °C with an increased HF of 0.050. As against at higher reaction temperatures the HF decreases to 0.031 for 700 °C and 0.038 for 800 °C due to an excessive introduction of mesopore volume.

Moreover, the chlorination temperature not only influences the amount of mesoporosity but also the pore size distribution of the hierarchical zeolites (Fig. 3). With an increase of reaction temperature the pore size is shifted to larger pore widths. Samples at 400 °C and 500 °C already show some pores with a diameter of 4 and 7 nm, respectively. For 600 °C, a broadening of the pore size distribution with pores from 6 to 16 nm is observed, while samples at 700 and 800 °C show two main pore sizes about 10 and 16 nm (Fig. 3b).

The proof of principle of this new hierarchization strategy is based on a commercially available zeolite H-Y which was impregnated with furfuryl alcohol. After coking, a defined gas mixture of chlorine and argon was applied to study the hierarchization process. First, the influence of chlorination temperature on porosity and textural properties of the hierarchical material is discussed. In continuing sections, the influence of carbon content, chlorination time, chlorine concentration, and Si/Al-ratio will be discussed.

### Chlorination Temperature

Hierarchization was performed at five different temperatures from 400 to 800 °C on carbon–zeolite-composite 2, which has a carbon content of about 7.26 w% (determined by TG-MS). All samples were treated for 4 h with a gas mixture of 10 mL min\(^{-1}\) chlorine and 70 mL min\(^{-1}\) argon. With higher reaction temperature, the hierarchization evolves and the amount of created mesopores increases. The Ar-physisorption measurement (Fig. 2) of the parent material (H-Y 5 pure) shows a type I isotherm characteristic for materials with almost exclusive microporous character. With higher chlorination temperatures...
Table 2 Characterization data of carbochlorinated samples at different temperatures. Physisorption data derived from Ar-isotherms measured at −186 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample description given in Experimental section</th>
<th>Si/Al(^{b}) mol mol(^{-1})</th>
<th>SSA(_{ads})^{c} m(^2) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>SSA(_{ext})^{c} m(^2) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>PV(_{total})^{d} cm(^3) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>PV(_{micro})^{d} cm(^3) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>PV(_{max})^{d} cm(^3) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>HF(^{e})</th>
<th>C(_{XRD})^{f}/%</th>
<th>Total acidity/ mmol g(^{-1})</th>
<th>C(_{res})/%</th>
<th>Yield/(^{b})%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-Y 5 pure</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-400-4-10/70</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-500-4-10/70</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-600-4-10/70</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-700-4-10/70</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-800-4-10/70</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Sample description given in Experimental section. \(^{b}\) ICP-OES elemental analysis. \(^{c}\) Multi-point BET-method. \(^{d}\) t-Plot method \(p/p_0\) from 0.2 to 0.5. \(^{e}\) Total pore volume at \(p/p_0 = 0.95\). \(^{f}\) Total acidity determined by TPAD. \(^{g}\) Residual carbon (\(C_{res}\)) analyzed by TG; \(C_{res} = C_{sample} - C_{H-Y 5 pure}\). Calculated by weighing before and after carbochlorination.

parent material with intact crystals. From Fig. 4b to d (corresponding to 400, 500 and 600 °C) we observe increasing leaching effects on the edges and faces of the crystals.

Nevertheless, the particle morphology and integrity remains conserved. Fig. 4e and f (700 and 800 °C) however show heavily attacked faces and edges after the carbochlorination. This destructive effect on the zeolite framework at higher temperatures is also visible in the powder XRDs (Fig. 5), where we notice a broadening of reflexes for 400 and 500 °C and a more and more amorphous signal from 17 to 30° 2\(\theta\) for the samples synthesized at 600, 700 and 800 °C. This trend can be quantified by the decreasing relative crystallinity with respect to that of H-Y 5 shown in Table 2. Although the main reflex [111] at 6° 2\(\theta\) is visible in the whole temperature range, the relative crystallinity calculated from eight main reflexes according to ASTM D 3906-03 (ref. 60) (15.7°, 18.7°, 20.4°, 23.7°, 27.1°, 30.8°, 31.5° and 34.2° 2\(\theta\)) decreases to 46% at 400 °C and 22% at 800 °C. The similar trend is observed for the total acidity, measured by TPAD (Fig. 6), that decreases with increasing reaction temperatures. The total acidity decreases from 69% at 400 °C, to 40% at 500 °C down to 18% at 800 °C compared to the parent material (H-Y 5 pure). In addition ICP-OES measurements state out that with increasing chlorination temperature the molar Si to Al ratio increases from 3.0 (H-Y untreated) to 18.3 (600 °C) and then decreases to 8.7 (800 °C). This implies that at lower temperatures (<600 °C) preferably Al-species are etched from the framework (dealumination). This process can be easily followed using \(^{29}\)Si CP-MAS NMR (Fig. S1†), where a decrease of Si(Al)-species can be observed. Furthermore, by employing \(^{27}\)Al CP-MAS NMR (Fig. S2†) it can be shown, that the leached aluminum is not deposited as extra-framework species but removed completely. The evidence of which is the increasing ratio of Al\(^{IV}\) to Al\(^{VI}\). At higher temperature (>600 °C) Al-species seem to be less favored and Si-species are etched in higher amount (desilication), however, there is still less Al than in the starting material. Dealumination at temperatures below 600 °C corresponds to the significant decrease of total acidity in TPAD likely caused by the elimination, of tetrahedral Al-species. Over

Fig. 3 (a) Cumulative pore volume (b) dV/log dP (c) pore size distribution calculated with NLDFT (adsorption branch kernel) from argon isotherms (Fig. 2).

Fig. 4 SEM images of carbochlorinated samples from composite 2 at temperatures from 400 to 800 °C.
600 °C, the total acidity decreases further due to dealumination and more loss of Si-species (e.g. silanol-groups, threefold Si).

In general with higher temperatures a stronger reaction takes place. This progress leads to decreasing residual carbon contents because more carbon is used during the reaction (eqn (1) and (2)). The composite contains 7.26 w% carbon and the concentration of residual carbon decreases from 7.17 w% at 400 °C down to 0.55 w% at 800 °C. Regarding the loss of carbon and framework species it is reasonable that the yield decreases with higher temperatures from 94 w% (400 °C) to 71 w% (800 °C).

Summing up, etching at 400 °C and 500 °C is favoured due to increased mesoporosity, HF, high yield, a moderate decrease in microporosity, total acidity and still intact crystallinity as represented in Table 2. Here it should be noticed that the increasing Si/Al ratio and the loss of acidity can even be beneficial for certain applications.

**Carbon content in composite**

The impact of the carbon content within the zeolite micropore framework is investigated based on three different carbon-zeolite-composites (Table 1), which were pyrolyzed with different FA loadings. These three composites C1, C2 and C3 correspond to carbon loadings of around 3.0, 7.3 and 14.1 w% carbon investigated by TG analysis, shown in Fig. S3.† The N2-physisorption isotherms (Fig. S4†) of the samples treated at 500 °C show type IV isotherms and an increase of mesopore volume from 0.19 (C1-300-4-10/70) to 0.30 cm³ g⁻¹ (C3-500-4-10/70), small differences in micropore volumes and therefore also an increase of total pore volume and HF with higher carbon loadings. Further N2-adsorption for samples treated at 400 °C and 600 °C (Fig. S5 and S6†) is provided in the ESI.† At a temperature of 400 °C the sample with the highest carbon loading shows the lowest N2-isotherm uptake, this can be explained by the high residual carbon content in the pore network (Fig. S5†). Sample C3-500-4-10/70, derived from composite 3 with 14 w% carbon loading shows the most promising results with slightly higher SSA (565 m² g⁻¹), pore volume (0.44 cm³ g⁻¹), mesopore volume (0.3 cm³ g⁻¹), hierarchy factor (0.13) and remaining total acidity (0.639 mmol g⁻¹). This higher mesopores volume is caused by the higher carbon ratio in the composite that in turn causes a stronger leaching of framework species.

In general, we can state that higher carbon content leads to a more intense reaction and likewise slightly higher mesopore volumes and increasing HF (Table 3). Comparable to the previous section higher chlorination temperatures again increases total and mesopore volume but decreases micropore volume, total acidity, and crystallinity. The gain of mesopores at higher carbon contents results in a lower crystallinity, which was already seen in Fig. 5. All three triples (C1, C2, C3 at 400 °C, 500 °C and 600 °C) show this effect (Fig. S8†). The total acidity, investigated by TPAD, shows especially for lower temperatures (400 °C: (Fig. S8†), 500 °C: (Fig. 7)) no big differences, thus the loss of acidic centers corresponds mostly to the chlorination temperature and not to the carbon content. However, at 600 °C TPAD shows a certain decrease of total acidity with higher carbon contents (Fig. S9†). Further characterization, presented in Table 3 shows an increasing molar Si/Al ratio with increasing carbon contents. Thus, the reaction with Al-species seems to be preferred over Si-species. For all three temperatures, the residual carbon content increases from composite 1 to composite 3, likely caused by the higher initial carbon content. In contrast, samples derived from the same composite and therefore same initial carbon loading show a diminution of residual carbon with increasing temperature. All samples were produced with high yields about 70 to 90%. Only the composite 3 sample at 600 °C (C3-600-4-10/70) shows a smaller 58% yield caused by a strong reaction and an increased loss of framework material. We can summarize that higher carbon contents lead to a higher hierarchization and therefore higher mesoporosity, total pore volume and hierarchy factor. This can be explained, by a more exhaustive leaching of Al- and Si-species corresponding to eqn (1) and (2). Whereas the crystallinity is reduced by higher carbon ratios the total acidity is retained. The SSA is preserved or even slightly increased for higher carbon contents.

**Chlorination time**

Fig. S10† shows the N2-physisorption isotherms of samples prepared from composite 2 that have been carbochlorinated at...
400 °C and 500 °C for 2, 4, and 8 h, respectively. The N2-isotherms at 400 °C and 500 °C are nearly superimposed. Similar result can also be seen for the samples at 600 °C (Fig. S11†).

Thus, the reaction time seems to have no significant influence on the porosity (Fig. S10†) and acidity (Fig. S11†), as TPAD and N2-adsorption show similar values (Table 4). The XRD measurements (Fig. S13†) show comparable crystallinity for samples synthesized at 500 °C or 600 °C for 2 h and 4 h, respectively. Nevertheless to long reaction times lead to decreasing crystallinity and HF, as seen for 8 h at 500 °C (C2-500-8-10/70). In contrast the 400 °C samples show an increase of total acidity and crystallinity from 4 h to 8 h reaction time. A possible reason can be the reinsertion of Al-species in the framework over time under this relatively mild conditions (Fig. S11†).

Chlorine concentration

Few investigations with different chlorine concentration were performed. Higher chlorine concentration leads to a stronger hierarchization with an increased total and mesopore volume (Fig. S14†). However, we can also observe a decreased crystallinity and a lower total acidity of the samples (Fig. S15 and S16†). All characterization data is provided in ESI (Table S1†).

Si/Al ratio

In addition to the parameters discussed above we also investigated the influence of the Si/Al ratio on the chlorination process. With a Si/Al ratio of 40, the HY-80 presents a steep increase in this parameter but it inherently possesses a few mesopores owing to the fabrication process. Due to the decreased aluminum content, the hierarchization requires higher temperatures. This is in accordance with findings from the ICP-OES (Table 2). In the experiments, beneficial effects on the adsorption behavior can be observed at 800 °C to 900 °C (Table 5 and Fig. S17†). Temperatures higher than these lead to an excessive destruction of the zeolite network and hence a drastic decrease in surface area and pore volume of the material. The impact of the reduced aluminum content can also be observed in the TPAD measurements. Where the HY-5 loses nearly 83% of its acidity during the treatment at 800 °C the HY-80 loses only 28% under the same conditions. More interestingly, even at the higher temperatures, the zeolite maintains most of its crystallinity (Table 5 and Fig. S18†). This is in sharp contrast to HY-5 (Fig. 5).

Fig. 7 TPAD of all three composite samples after carbochlorination at 500 °C and calcination. C1-500-4-10/70 (red), C2-500-4-10/70 (blue), C3-500-4-10/70 (green).

Table 3 Characterization data of samples out of all three different composites (C1, C2 and C3) at 400, 500 and 600 °C. Physisorption data derived from N2-isotherms measured at −196 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Si/Al† mol mol⁻¹</th>
<th>SSA_BET†/m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>SSA_EXT†/m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>PV_total†/cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>PV_micro†/cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>PV_meso†/cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>HF*</th>
<th>C_XRD † mmol g⁻¹</th>
<th>C_red † w%</th>
<th>Yield † w%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HY 5 pure</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-400-4-10/70</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-400-4-10/70</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-400-4-10/70</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>12.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-500-4-10/70</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-500-4-10/70</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-500-4-10/70</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-600-4-10/70</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-600-4-10/70</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-600-4-10/70</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Sample description given in Experimental section. ‡ ICP-OES elemental analysis. † Multi-point BET-method. ‡ Plot method p/p0 from 0.2 to 0.5. ‡ Total pore volume at p/p0 = 0.95. ‡ PV_meso = PV_total - PV_micro. * Hierarchy factor HF = (PV_meso/PV_total) (SSAEXT/SSABET)‡. † All characterization data is provided in ESI (Table S1†).
A variation of the carbon content was undertaken in the same fashion as for the HY-5, the results of which are presented in the lower half of Table 5. These three composites C4, C5 and C6 correspond to carbon loadings of around 3.6, 6.5 and 9.3 w% carbon as determined by TG analysis (Fig. S19†). The N2-physisorption isotherms (Fig. 8) for the samples treated at 800 °C show type IV isotherms and an increase of mesopore volume from 0.28 (C4-800-4-10/70) to 0.47 cm3 g−1 (C6-800-4-10/70) and therefore also an increase of total pore volume with higher carbon loadings. Sample C6-500-4-10/70, derived from composite 6 with 9.3 w% carbon loading shows the most promising results with a higher SSA (817 m2 g−1), pore volume (0.68 cm3 g−1), mesopore volume (0.47 cm3 g−1), hierarchy factor (0.111) and remaining total acidity (0.055 mmol g−1). The higher carbon ratio in the composite causes a stronger leaching of framework species and thereby creates a higher mesopore volume. In contrary to the HY-5 samples the crystallinity of all

![Fig. 8](image-url) N2-isotherms at −196 °C of samples at 800 °C from different zeolite–carbon composite red (C4-500-4-10/70), blue (C5-500-4-10/70), green (C6-500-4-10/70).
The best results were obtained with HY-80, where the treatment leads to a doubling of the pore volume while the surface area and crystallinity of the material could be maintained. Carbochlorination yields materials with a 1.5 to 1.75 times higher hierarchy factor (HF) and around 40 to 70 w% of initial total acidity with a yield from 70 to 94 w% compared to an industrially available zeolite Y material. We can state the following findings:

1. Higher carbochlorination temperatures lead to a stronger hierarchization with higher hierarchy factors and meso-/micropore volume ratios, reduced crystallinity, decreased SSA, and lower total acidity.

2. The Si/Al ratio plays a major role in the carbochlorination behavior of zeolites, with higher ratios making for a higher crystallinity and meso-/micropore volume ratios, a similar or higher SSA, and a lower total acidity.

3. Higher initial carbon contents lead to stronger hierarchization.

4. Increasing reaction time did not significantly impact porosity, total acidity, SSA or yield. Only some differences in crystallinity and residual carbon occur.
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