Effect of rapid thermal annealing on crystallization and stress relaxation of SiGe nanoparticles deposited by ICP PECVD†
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This work demonstrates the viability of direct fabrication utilizing a single (deposition/anneal) process for polycrystalline silicon germanium sub-micro particles. The process combines plasma chemical vapor deposition enhanced with inductively coupled radio frequency plasma at intermediate pressure and high temperature for deposition and rapid thermal annealing as a final step to tune the particles’ growth. The deposition process utilizes high plasma density at low kinetic ion energy providing a relatively high deposition rate, favorable for industrial fabrication requirements. Our characterization was performed at two points in the process, post-deposition and post-annealing. Raman spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction were combined to determine the value of stoichiometry x and hence the nature of the obtained compound. Post-annealing, the samples were analyzed by atomic force microscopy, and scanning and transmission electron microscopy to investigate the crystallization, growth kinetics and the strain relaxation of the particles. Our findings show that optimized coarsening of the crystals occurred after annealing at 600 °C for 30 minutes which resulted in internal strain minimization while the composition stoichiometry is kept constant. In addition, the presence of well-defined geometrical facets observed on the surface of SiGe particles, as revealed by atomic force microscopy analyses, suggests that the SiGe particles seem to grow along a preferred crystallographic orientation.

A Introduction

The effort to develop Si-Ge semiconductor materials was started as a pilot project initially integrated with Si technology at IBM™. Interest in these materials grew rapidly due to their potential use in the field of telecommunication technology. Indeed, Si–Ge has seen significant implementation in complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor transistor technology thanks to the successful development of hetero epitaxial layer growth by metal–organic chemical vapor deposition and molecular beam epitaxy techniques. These techniques can provide a defect free Si–Ge layer on silicon substrates which gave rise to the strain engineering era, significantly boosting MOSFET performances.1,2 Unfortunately, the high temperature reached during chemical vapor deposition layer growth limits the applications to which these strained films can be implemented. New techniques for growth of these materials are being sought, to extend the functional improvements to materials systems that are sensitive to the current high processing temperatures. Poly-crystalline Si–Ge for instance exhibits the desired properties for micro-electrical mechanical system applications because of its tunable mechanical properties.3 Indeed, Si–Ge compounds provide flexibility during the growth phase to engineer their bandgap making them promising candidates for many applications such as solar cells and optoelectronics applications.4,5 Additionally, they are also suitable for light emitters, photodetectors and on-chip optical interconnects.6,9 Plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) was also reported as a viable pathway to fabricate Si–Ge compounds with additional benefit of demonstrating higher deposition rates at a significantly lower temperatures rate while enabling the additional capability of engineering the composition through gas mixture control providing some capability to tune the strained Si–Ge layer bandgap.10–13 Moreover, the Si–Ge deposition at low temperature makes their integration on glass substrate possible, opening new possibilities for photonic and photovoltaic applications.13 However, the drawback of this technique is the lack of consistency in the crystalline structure, which is characterized by the introduction of defects and quasi-amorphous grains requiring further annealing14–15 and metal induced crystallization process to obtain the Si–Ge polycrystals with desired properties.16–18 Moreover, SiGe compound can be used in various applications with respect to its morphology. Indeed, SiGe nanoparticles embedded in SiO2 can be used in electronic memories and optoelectronic devices.19,20 It has also been demonstrated that porous poly-SiGe layers are also
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desirable for applications in light emitting materials. Indeed, photoluminescence can be tuned by changing Ge composition and porosity tuning.\textsuperscript{21,22} Finally, SiGe porous layer can also be used in thermoelectric applications. Indeed, thermal conductivity and Seebeck effect can be tuned as a function of pore diameter of the porous SiGe layers.\textsuperscript{23} However, the aforementioned applications were obtained using PECVD deposition technique followed by annealing for nanoparticles or wet etching for porous poly SiGe layer. In this study, we propose a different approach using relatively high temperature ICP-PECVD deposition to process SiGe particles. Different thermal annealing are then applied to modify the structure of the deposited material. Various analytical techniques are then subsequently employed to determine the mechanisms of crystallization as well as the effects of internal strains on material composition and microstructure evolution. We also aim to investigate the growth of Si-Ge compound using low damage radio frequency inductively coupled plasma (ICP) PECVD technique, perform appropriate heat treatments and monitor the crystallization kinetics. Various analytical techniques are subsequently employed to determine the mechanisms of crystallization as well as the effects of internal strains on material composition and microstructure evolution.

B Experimental details

A Material fabrication and growth

We consider in this study the deposition of SiGe compound on top of a passivation layer made of SiO\textsubscript{2} film grown on Si substrate. The growth process starts with a low flow rate of GeH\textsubscript{4} (0.5 sccm) to assist the nucleation process at the SiGe–SiO\textsubscript{2} interface. Once this nucleation period is achieved, the SiGe particles start to grow as islands and then continue evolving vertically. The flow-rate of GeH\textsubscript{4} is then gradually increased to 1 sccm and 1.5 sccm to accompany this process. To ensure homogeneity and stable deposition, a dwell time of 10 minutes was applied in each deposition steps. The depositions were all performed in an Oxford Instruments Plasma Technology\textsuperscript{™} ICP 180 PECVD system equipped with a heating table. 6 inches, p-type (100) Si wafers were used for the purposes of this study. A SiO\textsubscript{2} layer was first deposited at 285 °C with a SiH\textsubscript{4} flow of 8.5 sccm, 161.6 sccm flow of N\textsubscript{2} and 710 sccm flow of N\textsubscript{2}O. During the course of the whole process, the chamber pressure was kept at 1 Torr. A radiofrequency (RF) power of 20 W was used to drive the plasma. The SiO\textsubscript{2} deposition was run for 2.5 minutes with an average thickness of ~200 nm, then, the chamber temperature was increased up to 800 °C allowing Si nanoparticles deposition to begin, which are used as Si nano seeds to grow the SiGe. Here, the SiH\textsubscript{4} flow was set at 1 sccm, for H\textsubscript{2} and Ar the flow rate was 100 sccm and 300 sccm, respectively. The chamber pressure was kept at 400 mTorr while the RF power was set at 10 W. By increasing the flow rate of Ge from 0.5 sccm to 1.0 sccm, and to 1.5 sccm, a sub-micro particles structure of SiGe was created. Each step of the deposition was carried out for 10 minutes. The deposited SiGe particles were then annealed at different temperatures (450 °C and 600 °C) and durations (10 minutes and 30 minutes), using rapid thermal annealing (RTA) system.

C Results and discussion

Fig. 2 shows the Raman spectra of as-deposited sample and the annealed samples considered in this study. From these spectra, four characteristic peaks are visible on all samples. The first peak measured at 521 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is related to the transversal optical
phonon mode of the silicon. The remaining peaks located respectively at ~280 cm\(^{-1}\), ~400 cm\(^{-1}\), and ~480 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the atomic vibrations of the Ge-Ge, Si-Ge and Si-Si bonds, respectively (transverse optic vibration modes). From these spectra, the composition of Si\(_{1-x}\)Ge, compound and the strain relaxation of the layers were extracted using the Tsang’s model\(^{27-29}\) using Si–Si and Si–Ge peaks positions according to the eqn (1) and (2):

\[
\omega_{\text{Si-Si}} = 520 - 68x - 830\epsilon \\
\omega_{\text{Si-Ge}} = 400.5 - 14.2x - 575\epsilon
\]

where \(\omega\) is the peak position, \(x\) is the Ge fraction in the grown SiGe particles, and \(\epsilon\) is the strain parallel to the substrate (planar strain). Moreover, Vegard’s law is used to predict the lattice parameter of the compound as a function of the Ge content\(^{30}\) as per eqn (3):

\[
d_{\text{SiGe}} = 5.4309 + 0.20032x + 0.02674x^2
\]

The frequencies shifts of the Si–Si and Si–Ge modes and the different parameters extracted from eqn (1)-(3) are summarized in Table 1. We found that the Ge content of the screened samples varies between 43% and 50%. These measurements represent an average Ge composition for each samples over time, and overlaps for the Si–Ge, Si substrate peaks.

Table 1: Results of the composition and the strain obtained from the Raman spectra using Tsang’s model\(^{27}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(\omega_{\text{Si-Si}}) (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\omega_{\text{Si-Ge}}) (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(x_{\text{Ge}}) Content</th>
<th>(\epsilon) (%)</th>
<th>(a_{\text{SiGe}}) (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-deposited</td>
<td>479.6</td>
<td>403.7</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min@450 °C</td>
<td>483.4</td>
<td>403.1</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min@450 °C</td>
<td>487.9</td>
<td>404.7</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min@600 °C</td>
<td>483.0</td>
<td>403.3</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min@600 °C</td>
<td>485.9</td>
<td>406.4</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

occur during the layer deposition; (3) high diffusion activity of Ge atoms into the Si layer during the heat treatment. The interdiffusion can be because of high strains and concentration gradient.\(^{36,31}\) The effect of RTA, for instance is clearly observed on the evolution of strain as a function of annealing time and temperature. For increasing annealing temperature and dwell time, significant strain relaxation was attained in the Si–Ge layer. Table 1 gives also the calculated lattice parameters for all samples using eqn (3) taking into account the measured strain of the layer.

Fig. 3 depicts the XRD spectra of SiGe sub-micro particles before and after annealing at various temperatures and durations. The spectrum of as-deposited specimen shows three representative peaks of SiGe at (111), (220) and (311) located at around 27.8°, 46.2° and 54.7°, respectively. Regarding the samples annealed at 450 °C for 10 minutes and 600 °C for 30 minutes, an additional peak appears at 33° commonly known to be representative of the Si substrate on (200) diffraction.\(^{32,33}\) The zoom in plotted in Fig. 3 highlights the peak at around 27.8°, which is the most relevant peak emphasizing the effect of annealing on the crystallographic structure of the SiGe layer. Indeed, as it can be seen in this figure, the peak intensity is higher for annealed sample compared to the as-deposited one. This phenomenon is the result of the increase of the recrystallization rate, which occurs during the annealing process. Moreover, a peak shift is also visible and it is related to strain relaxation of the Si–Ge layer due to the change of lattice parameter as confirmed by Raman experiments. The resulting lattice parameter of the annealed samples was deduced from eqn (4) and (5) and the SiGe composition was calculated using eqn (3):

\[
\text{As deposited} \\
10\text{min@450 °C} \\
30\text{min@450 °C} \\
10\text{min@600 °C} \\
30\text{min@600 °C}
\]
where \(d_{hkl}\) is the interplanar spacing, \(\lambda\) is the wavelength: \(\lambda (K\alpha)_{Cu} = 0.154\) nm, and \(\theta_{hkl}\) is the Bragg’s angle. In this calculation, the Ge composition of each sample is determined without considering the lattice parameter deformation due to the strain. Table 2 gives the microstructure characteristics of all screened samples such as interplanar spacing, lattice parameter and Ge composition stoichiometry x. Lattice parameters values calculated from (111) peak position are in good agreement with those obtained from Raman experiments (less than 1% difference). As for Raman analysis, the decrease of the Ge composition is accompanied with strain relaxation.

To confirm the Ge composition measured by these non-destructive techniques (i.e. Raman spectroscopy and XRD), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis combined with high angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscope (HAADF-STEM) were conducted on two different samples: as-deposited layer and annealed sample at 600 °C for 30 minutes. Fig. 4 shows the granular aspect of the two different samples. These two micrographs clearly show that the SiGe nanocrystals can be composed of different crystalline domains for both samples: as-deposited and annealed at 600 °C for 30 minutes. The different contrasts observed in the regions suggest different compositions of the SiGe compound. Elemental composition analysis is performed on these regions (delimited by clear boundaries) to determine the exact compositions of SiGe compound. The results given on the micrographs suggest three compositional domains ranging from 47.4% to 52.2%, which is in close agreement with the Ge composition extracted from Raman spectroscopy and XRD experiments. It is also worth noting the consistency of the germanium contents obtained with the three different techniques.

Fig. 4(c) shows a single nanoparticle observed by TEM for the sample annealed for 30 minutes at 600 °C. The image clearly shows the presence of multiple regions with various crystalline orientations. Fig. 4(d) and (e), which are high resolution zoom in of the red squared areas highlighted in Fig. 4(c), show diamond crystal structures with different zone axes that characterize the SiGe (cf. fast Fourier transform in Fig. 4(f) and (g)).

The evolution of the layer morphology as a function of annealing temperatures and durations and its impact on Si-Ge physical properties were investigated. SEM imaging for instance was used to quantify the porosity resulting from the particles clustering during the annealing process. Indeed, during the heat treatment, particles were observed to coarsen, coalesce and merge to form larger SiGe islands as shown in Fig. 5. This figure gives the microstructures of as-deposited and annealed sample at 600 °C for 30 minutes (Fig. 5(a) and 5(b) respectively). The SEM micrographs processed using threshold enhancement and watershed algorithm were used to extract the actual porosity. The obtained porosity is 34.9% and 19.8%, respectively for as-deposited and annealed sample at 600 °C for 30 minutes (porosity measurements for the other samples are given in Table 3). The porosity obviously decreases with increasing

\[
d_{hkl} = \frac{\lambda}{\sin \theta_{hkl}} \quad \text{(4)}
\]

\[
a_{latt} = \sqrt{d_{hkl}^2(r^2 + k^2 + l^2)} \quad \text{(5)}
\]
annealing times and durations while the grains size is increasing. Regarding the measurement of the particles size and the layers roughness AFM imaging was carried out on all samples. One example of AFM imaging is given in Fig. 6 for the as-deposited sample. Fig. 6(a) shows clearly the grains shape and the clustering effect making it easy to extract the grains size given in Table 3, whereas Fig. 6(b) emphasizes the different facets of typical nanoparticle, illustrating the high crystallinity aspect of the sample. The presence of these well-oriented facets suggests that the growth of SiGe particles is directional and monitored by a specific geometrical orientation. From Fig. 6(b), the facets seem to have a triangular shape consistent with \(<111>\) compact orientation as preferable direction of SiGe particles growth.

To evaluate the stress relaxation after the annealing, we followed the methodology known as law of mixture as reported elsewhere. This method consists of linking the mechanical properties to the degree of porosity of porous films. During the heat treatment, the material will undergo mechanical as well as crystalline property alteration leading to the strain relaxation caused by the relief of internal stresses inherent to fabrication process. These authors attributed this strain relaxation to the variation of apparent elastic modulus. Precisely, by plotting the ratio between the actual elastic modulus of strained sample \(E\) and the reference elastic modulus of the sample free of strain \(E_0\) versus the measured porosity \(P\), these authors found the following relationship given in eqn (6):

\[
\frac{E}{E_0} = 1 - \alpha P
\]  

(6)

where \(\alpha\) is a coefficient linked to the geometry of the porous material. Since SiGe material has a Poisson ratio close to 0.2, we used the approximation made by Rossi, hence \(\alpha = 2.1\). The as deposited Young’s modulus was calculated using the following equation:

\[
E_0 = 130.2 - 28.1x
\]  

(7)

The stress values of the different samples are finally calculated using Hook’s law:

\[
\sigma = E\varepsilon
\]  

(8)

where \(\varepsilon\) is the strain calculated from the relationship between the Raman peaks shift. Table 3 summarizes the results obtained from SEM, AFM and strain analysis, it shows that the lower porosity value (19.8%) is achieved for the sample annealed at 600 °C for 30 minutes with the highest average particle area (4.69 \(\mu m^2\)). This annealed sample also has the lower strain and stress values. Temperature and time both affect porosity, particles size and strain. The influence of these parameters on stress is less clear. Indeed, the sample annealed at 600 °C for 10 minutes has a higher strain value than the sample annealed at 450 °C for 10 minutes. This unexpected phenomenon is inversed for samples annealed for 30 minutes. These results are consistent with the fact that SiGe growth is accompanied by significant strain relaxation favoring the adjustment of SiGe crystals growth along a preferred crystallographic orientation.

D Conclusions

SiGe sub-micro particles with a relatively high amount of Ge content were successfully deposited on a 200 nm silicon dioxide substrate using Si nano particles as seeding materials. The obtained compound morphology and elemental composition were investigated by various advanced characterization techniques. Specifically, Raman spectrometry was used to validate Tsang’s model on polycrystalline sub-micro SiGe particles, which was subsequently confirmed by tedious techniques such as STEM-EDS and XRD. The influence of RTA parameters on the Ge stoichiometry with respect to strain relaxation was also determined. Based on this study, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The fabricated SiGe islands are highly crystalline with an average Ge content of 40% to 55%.
- The growth of SiGe is linked to porosity and voids of interspace between the growing SiGe particles, hence grain size and strain relaxation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Particle size ((\mu m^2))</th>
<th>Standard deviation ((\mu m^2))</th>
<th>Strain (\varepsilon) (%)</th>
<th>Stress (\sigma) (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As deposited</td>
<td>34.939</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>912.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min@450 °C</td>
<td>23.423</td>
<td>2.612</td>
<td>2.764</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>210.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min@450 °C</td>
<td>24.734</td>
<td>2.554</td>
<td>2.082</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>201.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min@600 °C</td>
<td>22.682</td>
<td>3.264</td>
<td>2.425</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>374.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min@600 °C</td>
<td>19.791</td>
<td>4.691</td>
<td>3.573</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>109.076</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6 AFM images (a) SiGe film appears as a cluster of nanoparticles with different shapes and sizes. (b) 3D image of one SiGe nanocrystal (red arrow in (a)) showing crystalline facets with triangular shape.

Table 3 Porosity, grains sizes and strain analysis for the as-deposited and annealed samples
• The minimum strain relaxation was found to be 0.16%, which was observed to occur after annealing at 600 °C for 30 minutes.
• The SiGe submicron particles exhibit a surface area varying, respectively from 0.59 μm² to 4.7 μm² for as-deposited and annealed particles at 600 °C for 30 minutes.
• After matching different observed facets of SiGe particles, these particles seem to conform to a preferred direction namely <111> while the composition stoichiometry was found unchanged. Indepth crystallography investigations are required to confirm the effective crystallographic orientation of these particles as described by Robinson et al.37
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