Effect of graphene incorporation in carbon nanofiber decorated with TiO₂ for photoanode applications†
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The photovoltaic performance of dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) using a photoanode fabricated with graphene incorporated carbon nanofibers with a TiO₂ layer on their surfaces is reported. The composite nanofibers were prepared through a process consisting of electrosprining and sol–gel process. The distribution of TiO₂ particles on the surface of the graphene-incorporated carbon nanofibers was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). From the microscopic studies, we found that graphene plays a significant role to form TiO₂ particles on carbonaceous materials. Further studies on chemical composition using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy reveal that different oxidation states of Ti in the synthesized titanium oxide were achieved by incorporating graphene in the nanofibers. Furthermore, TiO₂ synthetic conditions in the sol–gel process largely affected photovoltaic performance, particularly short circuit current; acidic conditions for the sol–gel process were more effective compared to neutral conditions. The incorporated graphene facilitates conducting charge carriers in TiO₂ by coordination with carbon, and increasing the adsorption of dye molecules owing to homogeneous distribution of TiO₂ along the nanofibers. This study further highlights the advantages of hybridizing different materials with 1D nanofiber geometry, offering a promising route to improving the resulting efficiency in light harvesting applications.

Introduction

Dye sensitized solar cells (DSSC) have attracted considerable attention as important alternatives to conventional silicon-based solar cells due to a simple fabrication process, high energy conversion efficiency and low cost.1–7 A DSSC is based on the cooperative interplay of subsystems such as a sensitized photoanode, electron mediators containing a redox couple (I⁻/I₃⁻), and a catalytic counter electrode.8 When dye molecules adsorbed onto a TiO₂ surface are exposed to sunlight, photovoltaic performance, particularly short circuit current; acidic conditions for the sol–gel process were more effective compared to neutral conditions. The incorporated graphene facilitates conducting charge carriers in TiO₂ by coordination with carbon, and increasing the adsorption of dye molecules owing to homogeneous distribution of TiO₂ along the nanofibers. This study further highlights the advantages of hybridizing different materials with 1D nanofiber geometry, offering a promising route to improving the resulting efficiency in light harvesting applications.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: DSSC device fabrication, TEM image of rGO incorporated CNF, SEM images of TCFN-H. See DOI: 10.1039/c6ra26301a
sensitizers, electrolytes and substrates is also an important issue for further applications of DSSC such as portable and wearable electronic devices. Typically, TiO₂ mesoporous films for photoanode are prepared at high temperature (∼500 °C) where it gives an effective interparticle connection with the removal of organic additives in the TiO₂ paste. However, plastic substrate cannot physically maintain such a high temperature annealing. One of possible ways to address this issue is using flexible nanofibers as a substrate, e.g. carbon nanofiber (CNF), one of fascinating one dimensional (1D) materials, showing outstanding properties for use as a template for supporting TiO₂ as photoanode, for example, high accessible surface area, superior electronic conductivity and excellent mechanical strength, to define TiO₂ layer on the nanofiber substrate. Also, the use of a 1D carbon based nanostructure photoanode offers a route to provide high surface area, effective pathway of photogenerated electrons and high flexibility. Several reports have demonstrated that carbon nanofibers efficiently capture and transport photogenerated electrons through long charge carrier pathway. Additionally, considering the efficient electron transfer characteristics of carbon nanofiber, its combination with TiO₂ is expected to be useful to avoid recombination of electrons and holes. Furthermore, due to outstanding physical and electronic properties, graphene can be used as an efficient nanofiller to enhance mechanical strength and conductivity in composite materials. Also, graphene potentially provides additional conducting pathways of electrons, reduces recombination rate of e⁻/h⁺, and increases dye absorption area, hence ultimately improving the efficiency of DSSC.

Herein, we report the fabrication of graphene-incorporated carbon nanofiber decorated with TiO₂ as a flexible photoanode, which shows enhanced conductivity and efficiency in DSSC device. Though DSSC devices fabricated with graphene/TiO₂ composite have been reported in literature, to the best of our knowledge, DSSC devices achieved using graphene/CNF composite system have not been demonstrated yet. In fabrication process, graphene plays a significant role to synthesize TiO₂ on the surface of carbon nanofibers. Consequently, optimization of processing parameters in proposed fabrication process affected final performance of DSSC devices. This work highlights the potential of the conductive polymer based nanofibrous materials that can be combined with functional inorganic materials to create photoanode for new types of photo-electrochemical devices.

Preparation of nanofibers

Graphene powder was dispersed in mixture of H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ (3 : 1 v/v) with sonication for 3 h. The solution was neutralized with aqueous NaOH solution, followed by filtration and drying. Carbon nanofiber (CNF) and graphene-incorporated carbon nanofiber (GCNF) were synthesized using PAN polymer sample. PAN was mixed with DMF and mechanically stirred at 65 °C for 2 h to make 10 wt% concentration. For GCNF, oxidized graphene (GO) powder was dispersed in PAN solution (3 wt% to the amount of PAN). Prepared solutions were subjected to electrospinning process; a high-voltage power supply (Har-100×12, Matusuda Co., Tokyo, Japan) capable of generating voltage up to 100 kV was used as the source of the electric field. The polymer solutions were supplied through a plastic syringe to a capillary tip with an inner diameter of 0.6 mm. A cooper wire connected to a positive electrode was attached to an ejection needle, and a negative electrode was linked to a metallic collector. A supplied voltage was 10 kV, and the tip-to-collector distance was 12 cm in a room-temperature environment with the humidity of 30–40% for all electrospinning process. Resulting PAN or GO-incorporated PAN (GPAN) nanofibers were stabilized by annealing at 300 °C for 2 h under nitrogen atmosphere (heating rate: 1 °C min⁻¹) using an electric furnace (NHV-1515D, Motoyama, Co.). To prevent thermal shrinking, nanofiber sheets were tied up with alumina frame at the end of each. Stabilized nanofibers were further subjected to a carbonization process at 900 °C for 1 h under nitrogen atmosphere (heating rate: 5 °C min⁻¹) using electric heat-treating furnace (AMF-9P-III THV, Asahi Rika Seiskujo), resulting in CNF and GCNF.

Resulting CNF or GCNF nanofiber samples were immersed in a TiO₂ precursor solution (1.6 M TTIP in isopropanol), followed by heating at 60 °C for 1 h with mechanical stirring. Then, DI water or 1 M HCl aqueous solution (5% to total solution) was slowly added to solution. Afterward, the nanofiber sheet samples were washed copiously with DI water for four to five times to remove unreacted reactants and unattached TiO₂ particles to nanofibers. Finally, annealing at 500 °C for 1 h (heating rate 5 °C min⁻¹) was carried out as a calcination process.

Experimental

Materials

Polyacrylonitrile (PAN, Mₚ = 150 kg mol⁻¹) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. N,N-Dimethylformamide (99.5%, DMF), sulfuric acid, nitric acid, isopropanol, ethanol (99.5%), acetone (99.5-99.8%), sodium hydroxide (97%, NaOH), acetonitrile (99.5%), iodine (99%), titanium tetraisopropoxide (TTIT, 99.7%) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) were obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Japan). Graphene samples were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Di-tetrabutylammonium cis-bis(isothiocyanato)bis(2,2′-bipyridyl-4,4′-dicarboxylato)ruthenium(II) (N719 dye, 95%), 1-methy-3-propylimidazolium iodide (98%, PMII), lithium iodide (99%), 4-tert-butyl pyridine (96%, TBP) (used as an electrolyte) and TiO₂ paste were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). All chemicals were used without further purification unless otherwise noted.

Fabrication of DSSC device for J-V measurements

DSSC devices operated with carbon-based photoanode were fabricated with following procedure: FTO substrate (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was dipped and sonicated in NaOH aqueous solution for several times to clean the surface. TiO₂ paste was coated slightly on FTO glass, then fabricated CNFs were applied on FTO glass, followed by drying at 80 °C for 24 h. Finally, the sample was subjected to a calcination process at 150 °C for 2 h.
(heating rate: 5 °C min⁻¹). The resulting FTO glass was immersed in 0.03 mM N719 dye solution in ethanol at 30 °C for 24 h. To make a counter electrode, 20 nm of Pt thin film was deposited to cleaned surface of FTO glass substrate. The counter electrode and dye-anchored photoanode were assembled to sandwich structure with 6 × 6 mm active area using ~50 μm thick tape (3 M) as a spacer. The active space of the cell was filled with a liquid electrolyte, which consists of 0.6 M PMII, 0.05 M LiI, 0.05 M I₂ and 0.5 M TBP solution in acetonitrile. To limit the effective irradiation area, 5 × 5 mm photomask was applied on photoanode. The schematic illustration of an assembled DSSC device and its digital photograph are provided in Fig. S1.† The plots of current density vs. voltage (J-V) curves of fabricated DSSC devices was measured using potentiostat with four electrodes under 1 sun using solar simulator.

The power conversion energy efficiency was calculated using the following equation;

\[
\eta = \frac{FF}{V_{oc}} \cdot \frac{J_{sc}}{E_{in}} \cdot A
\]

where \(J_{max}\) and \(V_{max}\) is current and voltage at maximum power point, respectively, \(V_{oc}\) is the open circuit voltage, \(J_{sc}\) is the short circuit current density, \(E_{in}\) is the incident irradiation power and \(A\) is the active surface area (25 mm²). \(J_{sc}\) and \(V_{oc}\) were determined as the highest current and voltage obtained from the cell.

Characterization

Morphologies of nanofibers were examined by field emission-scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, S-5000, Hitachi) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, 2010FasTEM, JEOL). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, AXIS-ULTRADLD, Shimadzu), Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FT-IR, IR Prestige-21, Shimadzu), and Raman spectrometer with excitation wavelength of 532 nm (Hololab 5000, Kaiser Optical Systems, Inc., USA) were utilized to characterize chemical compositions of nanofibers. Electrochemical analysis was carried out using Versastat-4 potentiostat at a scan rate ranging from 5 mV s⁻¹ to 100 mV s⁻¹. X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were performed at room temperature using R-AXIPSD3C (Rigaku Co., Japan) operating at 40 kV and 150 mA. Nickel-filtered Cu Kα radiation was used as X-ray source and diffraction was detected with an angular range of 20° to 80°. Electrical measurement was performed using four-point probe instrument (Loresta GP MCP-T610, Mitsubisi chemical analytech).

Incident photon-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectra were measured with a digital multimeter (Advantest AD7461A) at zero bias, under monochromatic light irradiation from a light source (Bunko Keiki SM-25A). The intensity of the monochromatic light at each wavelength was measured with a calibrated silicon photodiode (Hamamatsu Photonics S1337-1010BQ). A source meter (Keithley 2410) was used to obtain the current density versus voltage (J-V) curves both with and without 100 mW cm⁻² artificial solar light irradiation of air-mass 6 1.5 global (AM1.5 G) from a Newport Oriel solar simulator (Model 91160) with an AM1.5G filter (Newport Oriel 81088) and a light-intensity stabilizer (Newport Oriel, Model 68945).

Results and discussion

Morphology and chemical properties of CNF and GCNF

Graphene was oxidized by strong acid to generate polar groups on graphene surface before blending with PAN solution, leading to well-dispersed GO/PAN solution. Electrospinning of this solution also resulted in well-dispersed graphene oxide in CNF, as confirmed from Fig. 1 showing typical SEM and TEM images of CNF and GCNF. At a glance, in SEM images, both nanofibers clearly exhibit a randomly oriented, bead-free structure, and smooth surface similarly, with average diameters of CNF and GCNF of 160 ± 29 nm and 180 ± 34 nm, respectively. An addition of GO led to the increase of solution viscosity, hence average diameter slightly increased. After carbonization process of GO/PAN, GO is simultaneously reduced to graphene, resulting in reduced graphene oxide (rGO) incorporation in CNF. In TEM images (Fig. 1c and d), significant difference in surface morphology between CNF and GCNF can be found; GCNF has a rougher surface than CNF. The red circle in Fig. S2a† indicates rGO platelet in CNF, indicating successful incorporation of rGO in CNF through our fabrication process.

Graphene incorporation was further evidenced with Raman spectroscopy studies as presented in Fig. 2a. The Raman spectra of both CNF and GCNF exhibit two well-known bands of carbon at ~1345 cm⁻¹ and 1595 cm⁻¹, D band and G band, respectively. The G band arises from the phonons propagating along the graphitic structure, and the D band is related to the disordered turbostratic structures or defects in the graphitic structure. The intensity ratio of the D band to the G band (I_D/I_G) is often used to estimate the defect concentration in carbon materials. The I_D/I_G ratio of GCNF was 1.02 higher than 0.84 of CNF, indicating more ordered graphite crystalline structure and lower degree of defects in CNF compared to GCNF due to not fully reduced graphene oxide under a given processing condition and composite material system. Also, it is feasible that the addition of GO into PAN enhances the defect ratio of CNF due to the integration of defects from not fully reduced graphene oxide. Zhao et al. reported that thermal reduction of graphene oxide at temperature in range of 200–900 °C for 2 h produced rGO with different reduction level, indicating that rGO still has oxygen containing functionalities under the conditions. Enhanced D peak in GCNF spectrum suggests that GO reduction through carbonization of PAN is not complete, resulting in the functional groups on graphene surface. In addition, interestingly, the rGO having functional groups also exhibits relatively high electrical conductivity in their report. This is also in good agreement of our experimental results on conductivity measurements of GCNF; GCNF exhibited two times higher conductivity than CNF due to incorporation of conductive graphene (Fig. 2b). After carbonization, the defects in carbon materials are considerably reduced, leading to enhancement of conductivity in the composite. Therefore, graphene was successfully
incorporated into CNF without aggregation of graphene, also the conductivity was highly improved.

**Growth of TiO$_2$ on CNF and GCNF**

TiO$_2$ particles were grown on the surface of CNF or GCNF by simple sol–gel process under acidic condition and subsequent calcination at 500 °C. The SEM images of TiO$_2$ decorated CNF (TCNF) and GCNF (TGCNF) are shown in Fig. 3. Low magnification images of TCNF and TGCNF in Fig. 3a and b shows TiO$_2$ particles decorated on the surface of CNF or GCNF. It is noted that TiO$_2$ particles with uniform size were evenly distributed along GCNF surface, whereas much larger and aggregated TiO$_2$ particles were formed on CNF. The precursor, TTIP, is a well-known inorganic alkoxide compound which is an ideal chemical precursor for sol–gel synthesis of TiO$_2$ due to its reactivity with water and further reaction toward polymerization.

When Ti metals are introduced to graphene, the TiO$_2$/graphene composite can be easily formed due to the high binding energy between graphene and Ti. The electronic structure in graphene is strongly perturbed when it interacts with Ti metal, in particular, the characteristic conical points of graphene at K point. Graphene p$_z$ orbitals hybridize (relatively) strongly with the metal d states and the corresponding bands acquire a mixed graphene–metal character, resulting in the chemisorbed TiO$_2$ on graphene. Thus, by introducing graphene in CNF, the strong chemisorption of TiO$_2$ is expected, resulting in the uniform distribution of initiation sites for sol–gel process as presented in Fig. 3b, whereas TiO$_2$/CNF showed the highly
aggregated TiO$_2$ as presented in Fig. 3a. In addition, it is worth noting that TiO$_2$ particles on GCNF show uniform rectangular shape; however, the particles on CNF surface exhibit irregular shape (Fig. 3c and d).

The crystallinity of synthesized TiO$_2$ on both CNF and GCNF was studied with XRD experiments as shown in Fig. 4a. Specifically, the diffraction pattern from the TGCNF sample exhibited two crystalline phases, anatase and rutile, with sharper and more intense peaks compared to CNF sample. The peaks at 25.5°, 48°, 54° and 55° are assigned to (101), (200), (105) and (211) facets of anatase TiO$_2$; other peaks at 28°, 36°, 41.3°, 54.5°, 63° and 69° are corresponding to (110), (111), (211), (220) and (301) reflections in the rutile TiO$_2$ phase, respectively. In other hands, TCNF showed tenuous peaks indicating low crystallinity of TiO$_2$. However, in Raman spectra shown in Fig. 4b, the peak intensity ratio of D and G band was not changed much in both nanofibers compared to before sol–gel process; the $I_D/I_G$ of TCNF and TGCNF were 0.84 and 1.02, respectively. Those values are almost identical to $I_D/I_G$ of CNF and GCNF, indicating that the TiO$_2$ particles on the surface of nanofibers do not significantly affect the chemical structure of both nanofibers as D and G bands are solely originated from graphitic structure of carbon materials.

The chemical composition of nanofibers was further investigated using XPS. Since XPS typically is used to gain the information on energy state of core electrons in elements of
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**Fig. 3** Low magnification SEM images of (a) TCNF and (b) TGCNF and high magnification SEM images of (c) TCNF and (d) TGCNF.
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**Fig. 4** (a) XRD spectra of TCNF (blue) and TGCNF (black) and (b) Raman spectra of TCNF (blue) and TGCNF (black).
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**Fig. 5** XPS spectra of (a) TGCNF (black) and TCNF (blue), and (b) Ti 2p core levels in TGCNF (black) and TCNF (blue).
sample surface, we are able to further analyze oxidation state and chemical environment of metallic element, for example, titanium. As shown in full survey spectra in the range 0–1100 eV (Fig. 5a), both TCNF and TGCNF samples exhibit Ti, C and O characteristic peaks, indicating titanium compounds were formed on their surfaces. Among them, multiplex spectra of Ti 2p region at ~460 eV was further measured to study oxidation state of titanium. Two prominent peaks (2p$_{3/2}$ and 2p$_{1/2}$ due to spin–orbit splitting) were observed in the XPS spectrum of Ti 2p. In the spectrum of TCNF sample, those two peaks were observed at 457.2 eV and 459.1 eV, assigned to Ti$^{3+}$; however, two peaks in the spectrum of TGCNF sample appeared at higher positions of 463.1 eV and 464.9 eV, indicating Ti$^{4+}$, which is the oxidation state of titanium in TiO$_2$. Therefore, XRD and XPS studies strongly suggest that crystalline TiO$_2$ is formed in GCNF due to interaction between functional groups of graphene and TiO$_2$ precursor, which is not the case in CNF. Therefore, the result strongly suggests that graphene-incorporated in CNF plays a significant role to hold titanium precursor molecules on the surface, leading to an effective formation of TiO$_2$.

Effect of acid treatment on TiO$_2$ formation on nanofibers
The sol–gel reaction to form TiO$_2$ can be controlled by adjusting the pH of TTIP solution. During the sol–gel process, the hydrolysis of alkoxy group in TTIP occurs by the reaction of water molecules in solution with TTIP, subsequently, the addition reaction undergoes by attacking to another titanium in hydroxyl Ti or alkoxy Ti to produce Ti–O–Ti linkage. Also, the...
byproduct of condensation reaction (water) can act as an additional reactant for further hydrolysis and subsequent condensation reactions. Moreover, the acid is well-known catalyst for hydrolysis reaction in sol–gel process. Under acidic condition, Ti–OR group is easily protonated compared to under neutral condition, resulting in more facile condensation of TiO₂ precursor.

To understand the pH effect in our system, a certain amount of 1 M HCl solutions instead of water was added to each TTIP solution containing CNF and GCNF samples, which are denoted as TCNF-H and TGCNF-H, respectively. In case of TCNF (Fig. 3a) and TCNF-H (Fig. S3†), any big differences in morphologies were not observed in SEM analysis; both TCNF and TCNF-H exhibited a large TiO₂ particles or aggregates without specific shape, which non-uniformly cover CNFs or locate besides the CNFs. However, TGCNF-H sample showed quite homogeneously distributed particles on nanofibers compared to TCNF samples, and almost all TiO₂ particles are located on the surface of nanofibers (Fig. 6b). High resolution SEM and TEM micrographs in Fig. 6c–f further show the effect of additional acid on the particle morphology. Particles of TGCNF are rectangular as shown in Fig. 3d, however, particles of TGCNF-H exhibit irregular or more spherical shape with full coverage on TGCNF surface. These results suggest that protonation of Ti precursor under acidic condition may induce strong interaction with GCNF, resulting in well-distributed precursor molecules on nanofiber surface.

Fig. 7a shows the XPS survey spectra of TGCNF and TGCNF-H. As presented in both spectra, the two peaks of core level of Ti⁴⁺ emerge as interpreted above,3¹ indicating the formation of TiO₂ particles. However, upon sol–gel process with acid, both peaks at Ti 2p₁/₂ and Ti 2p₃/₂ in TGCNF-H slightly upshifted from 464.9 to 465.2 eV, and from 459.1 to 459.5 eV, respectively. Typically, the position of Ti 2p₃/₂ peak in crystalline TiO₂ is at ~459 eV.3² Therefore, the upshift of Ti 2p₃/₂ peak in TGCNF-H may indicate the changes in its crystal structure. This result implies that the samples shown in Fig. 6 do not have an identical crystal structure, and also reaction condition might affect

![Fig. 7](a) XPS spectra of Ti 2p core level of TGCNF (black) and GCNF-H (red), and (b) Raman spectra of TCNF-H and TGCNF-H.

![Fig. 8](a) J–V characteristics of DSSC based on TCFN (black), TCNF-H (green), TGCNF (blue), and TGCNF-H (red), and (b) photocurrent action spectra of DSSC, represented by IPCE (%) \(=\frac{1240 \times J_{SC}}{I_{inc} \times 100} \), where \(J_{SC} \) is the short-circuit current density and \(I_{inc} \) is the power of the incident light. Each IPCE curves depict TCFN (black), TCNF-H (green), TGCNF (blue), and TGCNF-H (red), respectively.
resulting crystalline structure during the formation of TiO2 particle. One possible scenario on this phenomenon is that the interaction between the protonated alkoxy groups and functional groups of graphene under acidic condition induces the reaction at the surface of the nanofiber, and alcohol byproduct may be captured on the surface upon further reaction, possibly allowing carbon doping in TiO2 and consequent distortion of its lattice structure and hence crystallinity.

Raman studies were also conducted to understand the effect of acid to CNF chemical structure (Fig. 7b). The $I_D/I_G$ ratio values of TCNF-H and TGCNF-H were increased from 0.84 to 1.14 and from 1.02 to 1.23, respectively, compared to TCNF and TGCNF samples. Since TiO2 formation without acid did not significantly affect D and G bands of CNF as discussed above, the increase of $I_D/I_G$ ratio indicates the change in oxidation state of nanofibers in acidic solution. This further suggests the increase of sites on CNF where the precursor molecules can interact, leading to more efficient formation of TiO2 on nanofiber surfaces.

Photovoltaic performance of fabricated nanofibers

Fabricated nanofibers were further utilized for DSSC devices. The resulting $J-V$ curves and extracted important parameters are shown in Fig. 8 and Table 1. The efficiencies of TCNF and TCNF-H cells were 0.7% and 0.75%; however, TGCNF and TGCNF-H cells exhibited higher efficiencies of 0.93 and 1.54%, respectively, indicating higher power conversion efficiency when graphene was incorporated. As mentioned in XPS studies above, the oxidation states of titanium in titanium oxide formed on CNF and GCNF were +3 and +4, respectively. That is, TiO2 formed on graphene incorporation is more desirable for higher efficiency of photovoltaic devices. Also, the increase the surface area is another possible reason for the enhancement to facilitate penetration of the redox $I^-/I^{3-}$ couples to the TiO2 interface.

As seen in Table 1, $J_{sc}$ value is the most critical factor for final efficiency values among all parameters. In detail, TGCNF-H exhibited $J_{sc}$ of 3.73 mA cm$^{-2}$ while the TCNF and TCNF-H devices exhibits only 2.97 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 2.26 mA cm$^{-2}$, and also validated in incident photon to current conversion efficiency (IPCE) action spectra in Fig. 8b. The current density increase typically interpreted to high absorption and improved charge transfer, possibly TGCNF has more favourable surface to adsorb a larger amount of dye, leading to more effective charge carrier transfer upon light excitation.\textsuperscript{53,54} IPCE data also have a similar trend to $J_{sc}$ of DSSC; the efficiency of TGCNF-H is the highest compared to that of other nanofibers over whole measurement range between 300 nm and 700 nm (maximum at 360 nm). The power conversion efficiency presented here might not be high enough for the purpose of real solar cell device applications as fabricated device is not fully transparent to sunlight, resulting in relatively low efficiency; however, the chemical and physical principles of fabricated graphene incorporated CNF with TiO2 decoration toward DSSC application will be invaluable fundamentals to improve the efficiency. Also it is further feasible to improve the efficiency by involving the use of better dopants, catalytic counter electrode, and cell assembly.

Conclusions

In summary, TiO2 particles were synthesized on graphene incorporated CNF which was fabricated by electrospinning and further annealing, chemical treatment processes. The incorporation of graphene significantly affects the formation of TiO2 particle due to the strong interaction with Ti precursors, resulting in formation of homogeneously distributed crystalline TiO2 (4+ oxidation state of titanium) particles along nanofibers, as confirmed by morphology studies using electron microscope analysis and spectroscopic studies using XPS, XRD and Raman. Highest efficiency in DSSC device was observed in TiO2 decorated GCNF-H, indicating its ability to interact with DSSC dyes, resulting in high absorption and improve charge transfer process. The enhancement can be ascribed to improve short circuit current density ($J_{sc}$) to 3.73 mA cm$^{-2}$. We found that graphene incorporation in CNFs and TiO2 synthesis under acidic condition is effective to enhance the efficiency of resulting DSSC, suggesting graphene plays a significant role in overall process and final target application. The material platform presented in this work may offer a new way to fabricate conductive polymer based nanofibrous materials which can be hybridized with functional inorganic materials for creating photoanode for new types of photo-electrochemical devices.
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