Monocyte cell membrane-derived nanoghosts for targeted cancer therapy†
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Core–shell type ‘nanoghosts’ were synthesized with a drug-loaded biodegradable PLGA core and a monocyte cell membrane-derived shell. The nanoghosts were monodisperse with an average size <200 nm, and showed good serum stability for 120 h. Doxorubicin-loaded nanoghosts showed greater cellular uptake and cytotoxicity compared to non-coated nanoparticle controls in metastatic MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines.

Nanoparticle (NP)-mediated drug delivery systems have contributed significantly to the advancement of clinical therapy.1,2 Liposomes and polymeric NPs are the most commonly-used NP drug delivery platforms,3 and many of which have entered clinical trials and received US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for a variety of clinical indications.2

In recent years, however, researchers have explored newer modalities of drug delivery, such as the use of whole biological cells as targeted delivery systems. These whole cell carriers, as they are commonly referred to, have intact cytoplasm and organelles, and are typically chosen for their ability to home towards a site of interest or release a therapeutic payload.4 To date, these carriers include red blood cells (RBCs),5–7 exosomes,8,9 mesenchymal stem cells,10,11 macrophages,12 lymphocytes,13 and cancer cells,14–16 among others.

Although whole cell carriers have been used successfully in pre-clinical studies, there are a number of limitations to their use. Therapeutic or diagnostic molecules, which are typically loaded by cell lysis and serial ultracentrifugation and have a biologically intact bilayer membrane that can be used for both active and passive targeting. Additionally, serial extrusion can give rise to cell ghosts in the 100–200 nm range (‘nanoghosts’)14 that can be used to exploit the enhanced permeation and retention (EPR) effect observed in tumors.22

Typically, drugs are conjugated to or encapsulated within the cell ghosts, with glutaraldehyde commonly used as a common chemical cross linker to conjugate drugs such as daptomycin15 and doxorubicin (Dox)23 to RBC ghosts. To increase the drug loading capacity of the nanoghosts and prevent drug leakage, researchers have encapsulated drug-loaded NPs into the nanoghosts instead of free or conjugated drugs.24,25 Zhang et al. encapsulated poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) NPs within RBC ghosts by serial extrusion, for use as nanosponges that absorb pore forming toxins26 and for antitoxin vaccination.27 Other NPs that have been encapsulated include gold nanocages for photothermal cancer therapy28 and gelatin NPs containing vancomycin as a broad-spectrum antibiotic.29 Besides RBCs, researchers have used cancer cells and leukocytes to encapsulate NPs. Zhang et al. used B16-F10 mouse melanoma cell coated-polymeric NPs to deliver adjuvants for dendritic cell maturation and elicit a tumor-specific immune response.30,31 Leukocyte cell carriers have also been used to evade the immune system and for payload delivery of nanoporous silicon microparticles32 and Dox-encapsulated silica NPs.33

We have previously designed hybrid NP systems comprising a polymeric core and a lipid shell.34,35 These hybrid NPs...
Systems typically contain a PLGA core surrounded by a lipid monolayer shell consisting of either synthetic lipids or purified lipid populations extracted from soybean. In this study, we design monocyte-derived cell membrane-coated PLGA NPs to actively target cancers that recruit circulating monocytes as a supply of cell-proliferating growth factors.\(^{38}\) Previously, breast cancer cell lines were shown to overexpress cell adhesion molecules such as VCAM-1 that bind to circulating monocytes expressing cell adhesion molecules such as \(\alpha_4\beta_1\) integrin.\(^{39}\) PLGA was selected for the NP core due to its excellent biocompatibility and prior FDA approval for clinical use.\(^{40}\) Dox was chosen for its anti-proliferative properties and loaded into the PLGA core by nanoprecipitation. Meanwhile, cell membranes from U937 monocytes were purified by hypotonic lysis of the cells, homogenization, and subsequent isolation of the membrane fraction by serial ultracentrifugation (Fig. 1A). The purified cell membranes were coated onto Dox-loaded PLGA NPs by serial extrusion through polycarbonate membranes (pore size 400 nm and 200 nm) to form nanoghosts as shown in Fig. 1B.

Before cell membrane coating, dynamic light scattering studies showed that the Dox-loaded PLGA NPs were monodisperse with an average hydrodynamic diameter of 157 nm and a zeta potential of \(-8.3\) mV. The size and zeta potential of the pure membrane fraction were 28 nm and \(-13.6\) mV, respectively. After coating, the average diameter of the nanoghosts increased by \(-20\)–\(-40\) nm and the zeta potential became more negative at \(-16.5\) mV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images showed similar size profiles before and after cell membrane coating (Fig. 2A and B). The nanoghosts and PLGA NPs exhibited good serum stability over a period of 120 h (Fig. 2C). Dox loading of PLGA NPs was high at \(21\%\) (drug to polymer weight) and remained at similar levels after extrusion to form the nanoghosts. The nanoghosts released Dox in a sustained fashion over 72 h, similar to PLGA NP controls, but drug release was slower initially (<30 h) and plateaued at 60 h as shown in Fig. 2D. The slower release from nanoghosts could be due to an additional cell membrane bilayer that possesses a diffusional barrier.

To confirm the post-purification retention and integrity of CD49d, which is a part of the heterodimeric integrin \(\alpha_4\beta_1\) that binds to VCAM-1 on target cells, the nanoghost membrane protein profile was compared to the U937 cell membrane extract profile by SDS-PAGE and western blotting. As shown in Fig. 3A, protein expression patterns were similar between U937 cell membranes and nanoghosts, including integrin \(\alpha_4\beta_1\) and control cell membrane marker proteins sodium–potassium.

---

**Fig. 1** (A) Illustration of cell membrane purification and (B) nanoghost preparation. (A) U937 monocyte cell membranes were extracted by hypotonic lysis, homogenization and serial ultracentrifugation. (B) Subsequently, nanoghosts were prepared by serial extrusion with preformed PLGA NPs and U937 cell membrane fractions.
ATPase and GAPDH, suggesting that the U937 cell membrane coated onto the nanoghosts are biologically relevant. The intracellular uptake of nanoghosts into metastatic breast cancer cells was tested using MCF-7 cell lines. MCF-7 cells were treated with FITC-loaded nanoghosts or FITC-loaded PLGA NP controls for 2, 4 and 6 h and NP uptake was quantified by flow cytometry. At each time point, the percentage of FITC-positive cells in the overall cell population was analyzed. The number of FITC-positive cells increased over time in both samples, and the percentage of FITC-positive cells was significantly higher at all timepoints in the nanoghost samples, in comparison with PLGA NP control samples (Fig. 3B). Similarly, the uptake of these NPs in primary mouse skeletal myocytes that have negligible expression of cell adhesion molecules like VCAM-1, ICAM-1 and ELAM-1 was carried out as a control (Fig. 3C). NP uptake was similar for both the nanoghosts and PLGA NP samples at all time points and reached a maximum of ~10%. We hypothesize that the higher cellular uptake observed with the nanoghosts in cancer cells is due to the monocyte cell membrane coating that provides enhanced cancer cell targeting, cell receptor-specific binding and intracellular uptake.

Finally, the cytotoxicity of Dox-loaded nanoghosts was evaluated using MCF-7 cells. The cytotoxicity of blank nanoghosts and PLGA NPs was assessed to rule out non-specific toxicity. Both formulations showed no observable toxicity towards MCF-7 cells up to the tested concentrations of 2 mg mL$^{-1}$ and for a treatment period of 72 h (Fig. 4A). Next, MCF-7 cells were treated with free Dox, Dox-loaded nanoghosts or Dox-loaded PLGA NPs for 6 h, followed by 66 hours of incubation. Using a standard MTS cytotoxicity assay, free Dox was shown to have a half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) of 0.5 µM, while nanoghosts and PLGA NPs had respective IC$_{50}$ values of 4 µM and 12 µM (Fig. 4B).

The difference in cytotoxicity between the free drug and NP samples could be because of the fundamentally different routes of uptake. Comparing the two NP formulations, however, it can be seen that the nanoghosts had a significantly lower IC$_{50}$ value compared to PLGA NPs. Therefore, these results demonstrate the significance of using biological cell membrane coatings for efficient NP-mediated drug delivery applications.

In summary, nanoghosts combine both synthetic and biological features for enhanced target specificity and efficacy. Further studies planned include optimizing the ratio of PLGA NPs and cell membranes to minimize the presence of free cell membranes that might cause physical aggregation. In vivo experiments aimed at investigating the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles, tumor-specific accumulation and tumor reduction of the nanoghosts will be performed on MCF-7 xenograft mice models. We believe that cell membrane-coated NP systems such as our monocyte cell membrane-derived nanoghosts hold great promise in biomimetic applications for targeted cancer therapy, and that further research will only help advance their clinical relevance.
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