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We report that the depolymerization kinetics of cell-penetrating poly(disulfide)s depend exclusively on their length and propose a kinetic uptake model to explain why their intracellular destination changes with the increasing length from the endosomes over the cytosol to the nucleoli.

Substrate-initiated cell-penetrating poly(disulfide)s (siCPDs) have been introduced recently as a conceptually innovative approach for the covalent delivery of unmodified substrates into cells.1,2 Inspired by the ease and reliability of ring-opening disulfide-exchange polymerization3 on solid surfaces,4 we found that guanidinium-rich cell-penetrating poly(disulfide)s5 can be grown on substrates of free choice under the mildest conditions (Fig. 1).2 Right after uptake, the siCPD transporter is destroyed by depolymerization with glutathione in the cytosol.1 This liberates the native substrate and minimizes toxicity, overcoming one of the main limitations of classical cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) and other protein transduction domain (PTD) mimics.6,7 To cross the membranes barrier, siCPDs bind thiols covalently at the surface and then pass through counterion-mediated transient micellar pores (Fig. 2). This promising counterion1,7-thiol-mediated1,8 uptake mechanism drives the concept of covalent delivery of unmodified substrates to the extreme and bypasses endosomal capture via endocytosis, overcoming the second main limitation of CPPs.6

Interestingly, the intracellular localization of siCPDs with a nearly identical global structure differed significantly, at least within the HeLa cells.1 More hydrophobic siCPDs accumulated in the endosomes. Most remarkably, the original siCPD 113.5, made from lipoic acid and L-arginine with a molecular weight of $M_{\text{w}} = 13.5$ kDa, was found in the nucleoli, whereas siCPD 225.6 accumulated mainly in the cytosol. These differences suggested that siCPD 225.6 is destroyed immediately after reaching the cytosol, whereas the depolymerization of siCPD 1 is slow enough to enable the diffusion of the polymer into the nucleus and ultimately bind to the oligonucleotides in the nucleoli. Different depolymerization kinetics could originate from differences in the polymer length or structure. Different rates observed for siCPDs 113.5 and 225.6 suggested that either the polymer structure or polymer length would determine depolymerization kinetics. The objective of this study was to clarify this question, and to elaborate on the relationship between depolymerization kinetics and intracellular localization. We report that depolymerization kinetics of siCPDs depend exclusively – and more strongly than expected – on their length. According to a unified kinetic model (Fig. 2), these findings suggest that the increasing length of unbiased
siCPDs change their destination from the endosomes over the cytosol to the nucleoli.

The siCPDs 1 and 2 of different lengths were grown with strained propagators on carboxyfluorescein (CF) or carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) initiators as described previously (Scheme S1†). The polymerizations were performed in neutral water at room temperature. It was possible to control the polymer size simply by changing the initiator concentration, monomer concentration or polymerization times (Table S1†).

We obtained polymer 1 ranging from 1 to 20 kDa average molecular weight $M_w$ (Table S2†) and polymer 2 with $M_w = 1–5$ kDa (Table S3†), with a polydispersity index lower than PDI = 1.4 in most of the cases, according to GPC analysis.† So far we were unable to obtain polymer 2 with a $M_w$ higher than 5 kDa, but comparisons between different functional groups were still possible because of the similarity in $M_w$ to at least the shorter versions of polymer 1.

The translocation activity of the synthesized polymers was determined as fluorescent signals increased upon the addition of siCPDs to fluorescent vesicles (LUVs) composed of egg yolk phosphatidylcholine (EYPC) and loaded with 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein (CF). This well-established method allowed us to correlate the transmembrane transport activity ($EC_{50}$) of siCPDs carrying the two different functional groups with their chain length in a rapid and straightforward way. Interference from the CF initiator in the siCPD transporter was negligible because the concentration of CF used in the assay was much higher (50 mM intravesicular CF against <1 µM transporter).

The activity of both classes of polymers decreased with decreasing length, resulting in an increase of the $EC_{50}$ (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, the activity in vesicles also depended on the type of functional group carried by the polymers. The simple guanidinium cation in propagator 2 gave more effective polymers than the arginine derivative 1. This was evident by comparing polymers 1 and 2. Although being formed by the same number of monomer units, the activity was more than 10 times higher when the short linker of 2 was present between the guanidinium cation and the lipoid acid.

To determine whether differences in the transmembrane activity or differences in the length are responsible for the different localizations within cells, we investigated the depolymerization kinetics of polymers of different lengths under conditions that mimic the cytoplasm of HeLa cells. Polymers were incubated at 37 °C in buffered solutions (pH = 7.4) containing different amounts of glutathione (GSH, 0.1–5.0 mM). The depolymerization kinetics were then followed monitoring the loss in activity in LUVs with depolymerization. GPC and product analysis by HPLC confirmed that the observed decrease in activity in LUVs indeed originates from depolymerization. For each polymer, the half-life time $t_{50}$ was determined as a function of the concentration of GSH (Table S4, Fig. S4†) by comparing the maximal transport activity $Y$ of the polymer in LUVs with incubation times, using the Hill equation (eqn (S3)†). Once the $t_{50}$ for each polymer at different concentrations of GSH was obtained, linear regression was applied. Using the following equation (eqn (1)), the depolymerization rate constants $k_d$ were determined for each polymer (Table S5†).

$$
\log t_{50} = (1 - n) \log[GSH] + \log\left(\frac{2^{n-1} - 1}{k_0(n - 1)}\right)
$$

Depolymerization was found to follow second-order kinetics (1: $n = 1.84–2.07$, 2: $n = 1.77–1.89$). The $k_d$ decreased with the polymer length as expected (Fig. 3B). Most importantly, depolymerization kinetics were independent of the nature of the polymer (Fig. 3B, dashed line). For example, polymers 1 and 2, containing the same number of monomers, had a $k_d$ of 7.19 and 6.96 s⁻¹ M⁻¹, respectively, although the latter showed five times higher transport activity. This result demonstrated that the depolymerization of siCPDs by GSH depends only on their length, whereas their transport activity can depend on both the polymer length as well as the structure of their side chains.

To better understand the influence of functional groups on the intracellular localization, HeLa cells were incubated with polymers 1 and 2. Because these two polymers are composed of the same number of monomers, eventual differences...
in intracellular localization would have to originate from their different structures. In the images recorded by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CSLM) after 15 minutes of incubation, the localization of the fluorophores was reported independent of the degree of depolymerization of the siCPD transporters. As shown in Fig. 4A and B, both polymers 15.7 and 25.0 localized mainly in the cytosol, although they could also be seen in the nucleoli and endosomes. This distribution for polymer 25.0 was in agreement with previously obtained results with siCPD 25.6 of similar length (Fig. 4D).1 However, localization of 15.7 in the cytosol and also endosomes differed clearly from the previously found accumulation of the clearly longer siCPD 113.5 in the nucleoli (Fig. 4C).1 The fluorescence patterns observed for the endosomes (particularly Fig. 4A) and nucleoli (particularly Fig. 4C) were characteristic to an extent that co-localization experiments did not appear necessary.

Taken together, depolymerization kinetics of siCPDs depend on their length and not on their structure, their activity in vesicles and their intracellular localization can depend on their length and on their structure. Slow transmembrane translocation increases endosomal capture (e.g. 15.7, Fig. 3AO and 4A). Fast transmembrane translocation and slow depolymerization lead to selective delivery to the nucleoli (e.g., 113.5, Fig. 3AO, B□ and 4C). Fast transmembrane translocation and fast depolymerization increase accumulation in the cytosol (e.g. 25.0, 25.6, Fig. 3AO, BC, 4B and D). These insights support a simple kinetic model for cellular uptake of unbiased transporters, i.e. without specifically added recognition motifs for intracellular targeting (Fig. 2).7 If endocytosis is faster than direct transmembrane translocation (k1 > k2), transporters go to the endosomes. If entry into cytosol and nucleus are faster than endocytosis and depolymerization (k1 > k2, k3), transporters go to the nucleus. If entry into cytosol and nucleus are faster than endocytosis but slower than depolymerization (k2 > k1 > k3), transporters go to the cytosol. Examples of endosomal delivery with (k1 > k3) include too hydrophobic or too short and inactive siCPDs (Fig. 4A, or CPPs, etc.)7.7 Direction of endosomal delivery (i.e., endosomal bypass rather than endosomal escape) has been achieved by siCPD elongation (Fig. 4C) or an accelerated direct transmembrane entry (pyrenebutyrate trick).7 siCPDs of intermediate length go to the cytosol because of k1 > k2, longer siCPDs are found in the nucleoli because of k1 < k3, k2. We feel that this unified kinetic model for unbiased siCPD delivery, although certainly oversimplifying in many situations, provides a useful general basis to guide future studies on this important topic.

The kinetic model assumes that the velocities of direct transmembrane translocation into the cytosol and entry into the nucleus are in the same range. This assumption is very speculative. The apparent labeling of the nuclear envelope, a double lipid bilayer membrane, with the shorter, less active polymer 15.7 is an intriguing observation from this point of view (Fig. 4A). More detailed studies on the nuclear entry of siCPDs are ongoing and will be reported in due course.
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**Fig. 4** CLSM images of HeLa cells after 15 min of incubation with 500 nM polymer 15.7 (A) and polymer 25.0 (B) compared to polymer 113.5 (C) and 25.6 (D) at 37 °C in Leibovitz medium.


