

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, 14, 12541

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 24th August 2023  
Accepted 13th October 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc04447e

rsc.li/chemical-science

# Skeletal rearrangement through photocatalytic denitrogenation: access to C-3 aminoquinolin-2(1H)-ones†

Swati Singh, Gopal Chakraborty and Sudipta Raha Roy\*

The addition of an amine group to a heteroaromatic system is a challenging synthetic process, yet it is an essential one in the development of many bioactive molecules. Here, we report an alternative method for the synthesis of 3-amino quinolin-2(1H)-one that overcomes the limitations of traditional methods by editing the molecular skeleton *via* a cascade C–N bond formation and denitrogenation process. We used TMSN<sub>3</sub> as an aminating agent and a wide variety of 3-ylideneoxindoles as synthetic precursors for the quinolin-2(1H)-one backbone, which demonstrates remarkable tolerance of sensitive functional groups. The control experiments showed that the triazoline intermediate plays a significant role in the formation of the product. The spectroscopic investigation further defined the potential reaction pathways.

## Introduction

Selective inclusion of amine functionality on the *N*-heteroaromatic ring is always a fascinating tool for pharmaceutical industries as it is present in one-third of small-molecule marketed drugs.<sup>1</sup> In this regard, as a prominent heterocycle, 3-aminated quinolin-2(1H)-one, exhibits impressive pharmacological effects, including anticancer activity,<sup>2</sup> KCNQ2 channel opener,<sup>3</sup> neuronal maxi-K channel activator,<sup>4</sup> antitubercular agent and inhibitor of the Hsp90 protein (Scheme 1a).<sup>5</sup> Moreover, selective *N*-heteroaromatic amination can also be a building block of diverse synthetic scaffolds.<sup>6</sup> Conventionally, introducing an amine group on the quinolin-2(1H)-one ring is conducted by electrophilic aromatic nitration and subsequent reductive hydrogenation (Scheme 1b).<sup>7</sup> The regioselectivity in this procedure must be precisely controlled, and it demands extremely acidic conditions.<sup>8</sup> Other approaches that are frequently utilized to synthesize 3-aminated quinolin-2(1H)-ones are the Hofmann rearrangement of quinolinone-3-carboxylic acid and the cyclization process of *N*-chloroacetyl-*ortho*-aminobenzophenone derivatives.<sup>9</sup> Later, Messaoudi and group disclosed the copper-catalyzed approach for 3-aminoquinolinones from 3-bromoquinolinones at elevated temperatures (Scheme 1b).<sup>10</sup> As a result, it continues to motivate the synthetic community to develop new methodologies to overcome the associated challenges, such as harsh conditions and site selectivity, and forgo prefunctionalized precursors.

In recent years, skeletal editing has drawn attention in the synthetic community to address inaccessible reactions and maintain the selectivity that is difficult to achieve with peripheral C–H bond editing.<sup>11</sup> To meet these demands, several efforts have been made to synthesize pyridine scaffolds from pyrrole's backbone, including the well-known Ciamician–Dennstedt rearrangement, which provides 3-halopyridines through the haloform-derived carbene. The Dimroth rearrangement is yet



Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi, 110016, India. E-mail: srr@chemistry.iitd.ac.in

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2251989. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3sc04447e>

Scheme 1 Photoinduced C-3 amination of quinolin-2-ones and the reported approaches.


another skeletal editing reaction in which the endocyclic and exocyclic nitrogen substituents switch their place to form a six-membered *N*-heterocycle.<sup>12</sup> Similarly, synthetic procedures using an isatin moiety as a skeletal editing precursor have been reported for the synthesis and subsequent functionalization of quinolin-2-ones.<sup>13</sup> Encouraged by these skeletal editing strategies, we envisioned that easily accessible 3-ylideneoxindoles could also serve as a synthetic precursor for the quinolin-2-one backbone, and thus, the development of a method for obtaining selective 3-aminated quinolin-2(1*H*)-ones from 3-ylideneoxindoles under milder conditions remains an intriguing objective.

Moreover, with the ability to act as electrophilic, nucleophilic, and radical acceptors, azides are a potent synthon for introducing amines into the organic framework through the irreversible expulsion of dinitrogen.<sup>14</sup> Additionally, it has been demonstrated that azides efficiently undergo a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction with alkenes and alkynes constituting triazoline and triazole rings, respectively.<sup>15</sup> However, the feasibility of using azide as an amine precursor in skeletal editing has not been extensively investigated. Thus, while the synergistic effect of the cycloaddition and ring expansion process for amination is appealing, converting the intermediate triazoline ring into an amine moiety at ambient temperature is still challenging.<sup>16</sup> Our perception was that the inclusion of a photocatalyst would turn the nucleophilic skeletal expansion process into a radical one, making the endeavor possible. The discovery by Li and Yang of visible-light mediated denitrogenative coupling using benzotriazoles for radical amination provided strong support for our hypothesis.<sup>17</sup> Here, we present a novel photoinduced skeletal expansion strategy for regioselective denitrogenative amination to access C-3 aminoquinolin-2(1*H*)-ones (Scheme 1c).

## Results and discussion

To evaluate the viability of the photoinduced amination process along with the search for suitable reaction conditions, we initiated our investigations employing ethyl (*E*)-2-(1-methyl-2-oxindolin-3-ylidene)acetate (**1a**) as a model substrate and azidotrimethylsilane (TMSN<sub>3</sub>) (**2**) as an aminating agent (Table 1, see the ESI† for more details). After a thorough investigation of commercially available photocatalysts (Table 1, entries 1–4), we found that Ir(dFppy)<sub>3</sub> (**PC4**), using 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) as the base in MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O mixed solvent and irradiated with a 3 W blue LED, gave us the desired product **3a** in an 82% yield. With [Ir(dF(CF<sub>3</sub>)ppy)<sub>2</sub>(dtbbpy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**PC1**), however, the desired product was obtained in a yield of 40%. The desired product could not be obtained using alternative photocatalysts, including Ru(dtbbpy)<sub>3</sub>(PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**PC2**) or MesAcr<sup>+</sup>BF<sub>4</sub><sup>−</sup> (**PC3**). We found no significant improvement when we replaced DMAP with other common bases, such as the organic bases DABCO and DIPEA or the inorganic base K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (Table 1, entry 5). Subsequently, evaluation of different solvents, such as DCE and <sup>t</sup>BuOH demonstrated that MeCN consistently produced a higher yield (Table 1, entry 6). The addition of water was notable, which had a substantial beneficial effect on the

**Table 1** Optimization of the reaction conditions for the amination of 3-ylideneoxindoles with azidotrimethylsilane<sup>a</sup>



Entry	Variations from above	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	None	82
2	<b>PC1</b> instead of <b>PC4</b>	40
3	<b>PC2</b> instead of <b>PC4</b>	n.r.
4	<b>PC3</b> instead of <b>PC4</b>	n.r.
5	DABCO/DIPEA/K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> instead of DMAP	33/63/34
6	DCE/ <sup>t</sup> BuOH instead of CH <sub>3</sub> CN	41/42
7	MeCN without the addition of 40 μL H <sub>2</sub> O	53
8	NaN <sub>3</sub> instead of TMSN <sub>3</sub>	n.r.
9	No DMAP	n.r.
10	No <b>PC4</b>	Trace
11 <sup>c</sup>	No light	n.r.


<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: unless otherwise specified, 3-ylideneoxindoles (**1a**) (0.2 mmol), **2** (0.4 mmol), DMAP (0.24 mmol), and **PC** (2 mol%) in 40 μL of water and 1.6 mL solvent irradiated with a blue LED (455 nm) for 24 h under an argon atmosphere. <sup>b</sup> yields determined by NMR using trichloroethylene as a standard. <sup>c</sup> Under dark conditions.

formation of **3a** (Table 1, entry 7). In addition, when we used sodium azide (NaN<sub>3</sub>) as an alternate aminating agent, it did not provide the expected product (Table 1, entry 8). The significance of a base, a photocatalyst, and light on our model reaction (Table 1, entry 9–11) to generate the desired aminated product **3a** was implied by control experiments.

Furthermore, X-ray crystallography and NMR analysis confirmed the formation of **3a** and also helped us to pinpoint the amine moiety on the quinolin-2(1*H*)-one ring. Though Croix *et al.* revealed the cycloaddition-ring expansion of the 3-substituted 1*H*-pyrrolo[2,3-*b*]pyridin-2(3*H*)-one derivative with an azide source at elevated temperature under microwave irradiation, they produce mixtures of the two regioisomeric aminated product 3- and 4-amino-naphthyridin-2(1*H*)-one derivatives with less preference towards the 3-aminated one.<sup>18</sup>

Therefore, after optimizing the reaction conditions, we explored the scope of ethyl (*E*)-2-oxindolin-3-ylidene acetate derivatives to produce a 3-aminated quinolin-2(1*H*)-one derivative in order to establish the generality of the photoinduced heteroaryl amination process (Scheme 2). To begin, we evaluated our standard reaction conditions on a series of *N*-alkylated 3-ylideneoxindole derivatives in the presence of TMSN<sub>3</sub>. *N*-Isopropyl, *N*-benzyl 3-ylideneoxindoles, and *N*-cyclopropyl methyl 3-ylideneoxindoles, in addition to our model substrate **1a**, which afforded the corresponding aminated heteroarenes (**3a–d**). Intriguingly, the cyclopropyl ring was preserved in the final product when ethyl (*E*)-2-(1-(cyclopropylmethyl)-2-oxindolin-3-ylidene)acetate was used. Furthermore, even an unprotected 3-ylideneoxindole derivative was tolerated under optimized reaction conditions and provided the desired product **3e** in 85% yield. In addition, *N*-alkyl groups containing double and triple-bond functional moieties were preserved during the course of






**Scheme 2** Scope of the 3-ylidene oxindoles. Reaction conditions: unless otherwise specified, 3-ylideneoxindoles (1) (0.2 mmol), 2 (0.4 mmol), DMAP (0.24 mmol), and PC4 (2 mol%) in 40  $\mu$ L of water and 1.6 mL acetonitrile irradiated with a blue LED (455 nm) for 24 h under an argon atmosphere.

the photoinduced reaction, and moderate to good yields of the desired products (**3f–g**) were obtained.

Also, 3-ylideneoxindoles containing *N*-alkyl group having an electron-withdrawing substituent ( $-\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Et}$ ) were capable of producing the aminated product (**3h**) with a yield of 72%. In our optimized reaction system, *N*-phenyl 3-ylideneoxindoles furnished the expected product (**3i**) with excellent yield. Unfortunately, *N*-benzoyl 3-ylideneoxindoles failed to provide the desired product (**3j**) in our developed strategy.

Then, we tried to understand the impact of the electronic effect on our reaction strategy by anchoring electron-rich to electron-poor systems with 3-ylideneoxindole derivatives. We were gratified to find that our developed protocol was successful in producing good to excellent yields of the corresponding product (**3k–l**) from 3-ylideneoxindoles bearing  $-\text{Me}$  and  $-\text{OMe}$  groups. Moreover, 3-ylideneoxindoles substituted with  $-\text{OCF}_3$ ,  $-\text{F}$ ,  $-\text{Cl}$ , and  $-\text{Br}$  groups were well tolerated, affording the desired 3-amino quinolone derivatives (**3m–q**) in decent yield. It was worth mentioning that the position of the halo groups on the aromatic ring did not restrict the ring expansion process, which was the crucial step in the synthesis of 3-amino quinolone derivatives. To investigate the synthetic potential of our amination methodology in a more efficient manner, a tenfold scale-




**Scheme 3** Scope of the 3-ylidene oxindoles. Reaction conditions: unless otherwise specified, 3-ylideneoxindoles (1) (0.2 mmol), 2 (0.4 mmol), DMAP (0.24 mmol) and PC4 (2 mol%) in 40  $\mu$ L of water and 1.6 mL acetonitrile irradiated with a blue LED (455 nm) for 24 h under an argon atmosphere.

up reaction was conducted under optimized reaction conditions, and to our delight, we obtained a 75% yield of the aminated product **3a**, demonstrating the practical applications of our reaction system.

Subsequently, we studied the scope of various functionalities on the olefinic bond of oxindoles. As shown in Scheme 3, ester-substituted 3-ylideneoxindoles with alkyl as well as aryl groups were well tolerated in the system giving the desired product (**3r–u**) with 71 to 87% yield.

Next, we examined the compatibility of benzoyl substitution on the olefinic bond of oxindoles to produce 3-amino-4-benzoyl-1-methylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one (**3v**). It is crucial to recognize that such moieties have never been synthesized. Subsequently, we looked into the amination reaction using (*E*)-1-methyl-3-(2-oxo-2-phenylethylidene)indolin-2-one attached with an electron-donating ( $-\text{OMe}$  and  $-\text{Me}$ ) group, which gave us good to excellent yields of the desired compound (**3w–x**). Moreover, 3-amino-4-phenyl-1-methylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one (**3y**) was also obtained by the developed amination strategy with (*E*)-3-benzylidene-1-methylindolin-2-one. When (*Z*)- and (*E*)-3-(4-bromobenzylidene)-1-methylindolin-2-one were treated separately with our optimized conditions, we were pleased to know that a halogen ( $-\text{Br}$ ) group substituted on the phenyl ring of the olefinic bond of oxindoles was well tolerated to give the expected product (**3z**) in good yield, regardless of the stereochemistry of the 3-ylideneoxindoles. It is evident from the literature that 3-benzylidene-1-methylindolin-2-one derivatives could function as a photo-switch when exposed to light;<sup>19</sup> therefore, photoisomerization of (*Z*)- and (*E*)-3-(4-bromobenzylidene)-1-methylindolin-2-one during the optimised reaction conditions cannot be ruled out to get the desired product **3z** (see the ESI†).





Scheme 4 Control experiments.

The significance of continuous visible-light irradiation was also demonstrated by means of subsequent light on/off studies, and the graph illustrates the requirement for light radiation for

this skeletal editing approach (see the ESI† for details). The reaction process and the purpose of the photocatalyst or other additives were then investigated through a series of control experiments (Scheme 4). When the model reaction was performed using the radical scavenger BHT, the reaction was completely suppressed, and the involvement of radical intermediacy during this transformation was ascertained. Moreover, the BHT-adduct of radical intermediate (4) was detected by HR-MS (Scheme 4a).

In addition, we were able to detect the radical intermediate adduct (5) by introducing 1,1-diphenylethylene into the model reaction and analyzing an aliquot of the reaction mixture with HR-MS (Scheme 4b). We then performed our model reaction with and without PC4 in the dark for 3 h; in both cases, we obtained a triazoline intermediate (6), which was isolated and characterized by NMR spectroscopy, demonstrating that the photocatalyst does not play a substantial role in this step (Scheme 4c). In addition, when isolated triazoline intermediate (6) was used under optimized conditions, the desired product was produced in 96% yield, providing additional evidence that the reaction pathway included a triazoline intermediate (Scheme 4d). Moreover, when we performed the model reaction in the absence of the base, there was no formation of any product after 3 h (Scheme 4e). However, after 24 h, we observed the 2 + 2 cycloaddition product 7 rather than the desired product 3a, demonstrating the essential role of the base.<sup>20</sup>



Fig. 1 (A) Combined Stern–Volmer plot of a solution of PC4 (0.02 M) in acetonitrile with 6 (blue line), DMAP (red line), and 2 (black line) as the quencher. (B) Fluorescence quenching spectra of a solution of PC4 (0.02 M) in acetonitrile with 6 (0.2 M) as the quencher. (C) ReactIR reaction progress data. (D–E) Surface spectral changes in the ReactIR reaction profile for 1a decreasing (black line,  $\bar{\nu}$  1201  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , D), 3a increasing (red line,  $\bar{\nu}$  1556  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , E), and 6 ( $\bar{\nu}$  1317  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , blue dotted line).





To substantiate the results obtained from control experiments, various spectroscopic studies were performed. UV-vis studies exclude the possibility of complex formation between **PC4**, **1a**,  $\text{TMSN}_3$ , and DMAP at the ground state (see the ESI† for details). To ascertain the exact role of a photocatalyst during this photoinduced skeletal rearrangement amination process, we studied the steady-state emission spectra of the photocatalyst (**PC4**) in the presence of  $\text{TMSN}_3$  (**2**), DMAP, and the **6** separately and conceived the Stern–Volmer plot (Fig. 1A and B). The sequential addition of **6** to a solution of **PC4** (0.02 M) resulted in a steady decrease in the fluorescence intensity of **PC4**. In contrast, the sequential addition of **2** or DMAP showed only a minor decrease in the emission intensity of **PC4**. In addition, a higher level of quenching of **PC4** emission intensity was observed with **1a** (see the ESI†), which further supported the possibility of a [2 + 2] cycloaddition product as a side product obtained during control experiments. However, we can anticipate that the faster rate of triazoline intermediate formation perhaps completely suppressed the [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction as we observed from control experiments (Scheme 4c and e). Furthermore, the Stern–Volmer plots of **PC4** with increasing concentrations of triazoline intermediate (**6**), DMAP, and  $\text{TMSN}_3$  revealed that **6** contributes significantly to the steady-state fluorescence quenching of **PC4** in the excited state.

In addition, *in situ* FTIR analysis revealed that as the reaction progresses, there is rapid consumption of **1a** to form triazoline intermediates **6** (Fig. 1C–E). The reaction profile depicted in Fig. 1C shows that the peak for **1a** (black line,  $\tilde{\nu}$ : 1201  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) gradually decreases, whereas the peak for **6** (blue dotted line,  $\tilde{\nu}$ : 1317  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) first increased gradually and then decreased, demonstrating the involvement of intermediate **6** which transformed into the product **3a** (red line,  $\tilde{\nu}$ : 1556  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ).

Based on the aforementioned mechanistic studies and literature precedents, a plausible mechanism for the amination




Scheme 6 Synthetic applications of the amination reaction.

strategy is depicted in Scheme 5. Initially, we reasoned that  $\text{TMSN}_3$  reacts with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and DMAP generates an azide anion ( $\text{N}_3^-$ ), which further participates in [3 + 2] cycloaddition with the exocyclic olefinic bond of **1a** to generate the triazoline intermediate **6**. Then, upon irradiation with light, **Ir(III)** transformed into **Ir(III)\*** which was then involved in SET with the **6** to form the triazoline radical cation **A**. This procedure conforms to the results of the fluorescence quenching experiment. Then, the deprotonation of **A** with the assistance of DMAP leads to the formation of the corresponding radical intermediate **B**. Furthermore, the ring-expansion process facilitates the formation of another radical intermediate **C**, and, subsequently, the elimination of nitrogen ( $\text{N}_2$ ) to generate an intermediate **D**, which further rearranges and is involved in SET with a reduced **Ir(III)** to produce anionic intermediate **F**. Finally, the protonation process gave intermediate **G** followed by the enolization process, which delivers the desired aminated product **3a**.

Finally, the applicability of this skeletal rearrangement reaction to synthesize C-3 aminoquinolin-2(1*H*)-one derivatives was showcased by the post-transforming reactions (Scheme 6). The decarboxylation of **3a** produces 3-amino quinolin-2(1*H*)-one (**8**), while benzoylation of the amine group yields the corresponding amide product (**9**).<sup>21</sup> In addition, the pharmaceutical utility of our protocol was demonstrated by the synthesis of an HSP90 protein inhibitor (**10**) in moderate yield.<sup>5b</sup>

## Conclusion

In summary, an intriguing approach was disclosed for peripheral editing of 3-ylideneoxindoles with  $\text{TMSN}_3$  driven by visible light. This methodology utilized a step-economic and milder approach for regioselective C-3 amination of quinolin-2(1*H*)-one compared to the literature precedents, which require elevated temperature or extreme acidic conditions. Along with broad functionality on 3-ylideneoxindoles, the benzoyl group and aryl group on the olefinic bond of 3-ylideneoxindoles were also compatible providing the C-4 benzoyl/aryl substituted 3-aminoquinolin-2(1*H*)-one. Mechanistic investigation was carried out to comprehensively view the reaction path and photocatalytic involvement in the skeletal editing of the triazoline intermediate to deliver the 3-aminated quinolin-2(1*H*)-one.



Scheme 5 Plausible reaction mechanism for the photoinduced amination of 3-ylideneoxindoles.



## Data availability

All experimental data, detailed procedures, NMR spectra and characterization data for all compounds can be found in the ESI.†

## Author contributions

SRR and SS conceived the research concept. SS performed majority of the synthetic work and the analytical components of this project. GC also performed the synthesis and characterisation of several compounds reported in this manuscript. SS and GC prepared the ESI.† All authors discussed the results and contributed to the conceptualization of the project and editing the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

SRR thanks SERB (CRG/2022/002306) for financial support. SS and GC thank the CSIR and UGC, New Delhi, for research fellowships. The authors acknowledge CRF, IIT Delhi, for instrument facilities.

## References

- (a) E. Vitaku, D. T. Smith and J. T. Njardarson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **57**, 10257–10274; (b) T. Cernak, K. D. Dykstra, S. Tyagarajan, P. Vachal and S. W. Krska, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 546–576; (c) D. C. Blakemore, L. Castro, I. Churcher, D. C. Rees, A. W. Thomas, D. M. Wilson and A. Wood, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 383–394; (d) W. Z. Bi, K. Sun, C. Qu, X. L. Chen, L. B. Qu, S. H. Zhu, X. Li, H. T. Wu, L. K. Duan and Y. F. Zhao, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **4**, 1595–1600; (e) P. S. Fier, S. Kim and R. D. Cohen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 8614–8618; (f) W. S. Ham, H. Choi, J. Zhang, D. Kim and S. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 2885–2892.
- N. Talaat, M. Abass, H. Mohamed Hassanin and D. Abdel-Kader, *Synth. Commun.*, 2022, **52**, 1756–1767.
- P. Hewawasam, N. Chen, M. Ding, J. T. Natale, C. G. Boissard, S. Yeola, V. K. Gribkoff, J. Starrett and S. I. Dworetzky, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2004, **14**, 1615–1618.
- P. Hewawasam, W. Fan, J. Knipe, S. L. Moon, C. G. Boissard, V. K. Gribkoff and J. E. Starrett, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2002, **12**, 1779–1783.
- (a) J. Escribano, C. Rivero-Hernández, H. Rivera, D. Barros, J. Castro-Pichel, E. Pérez-Herrán, A. Mendoza-Losana, Í. Angulo-Barturen, S. Ferrer-Bazaga, E. Jiménez-Navarro and L. Ballell, *ChemMedChem*, 2011, **6**, 2252–2263; (b) D. Audisio, S. Messaoudi, L. Cegielski, J.-F. Peyrat, J.-D. Brion, D. Methy-Gonnot, C. Radanyi, J.-M. Renoir and M. Alami, *ChemMedChem*, 2011, **6**, 804–815.
- (a) D. Moser, Y. Duan, F. Wang, Y. Ma, M. J. O'Neill and J. Cornella, *Angew. Chem.*, 2018, **130**, 11201–11205; (b) F. J. R. Klauck, H. Yoon, M. J. James, M. Lautens and F. Glorius, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 236–241; (c) F. Zhao, C. L. Li and X. F. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 9182–9185; (d) C. Ghiazza, T. Faber, A. Gómez-Palomino and J. Cornella, *Nat. Chem.*, 2022, **14**, 78–84; (e) S. Z. Sun, Y. M. Cai, D. L. Zhang, J. B. Wang, H. Q. Yao, X. Y. Rui, R. Martin and M. Shang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 1130–1137; (f) M. Jakubczyk, S. Mkrtchyan, M. Shkooor, S. Lanka, Š. Budzák, M. Iliaš, M. Skoršepa and V. O. Iaroshenko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 10438–10445; (g) C. Ghiazza, L. Wagner, S. Fernández, M. Leutzsch and J. Cornella, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, DOI: [10.1002/anie.202212219](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202212219).
- (a) P. Roschger, W. Fiala and W. Stadlbauer, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 1992, **29**, 225–231; (b) A. Katritzky, E. Scriven, S. Majumder, R. Akhmedova, N. Akhmedov and A. Vakulenko, *Arkivoc*, 2005, **2005**, 179–191; (c) M. Orlandi, D. Brenna, R. Harms, S. Jost and M. Benaglia, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2018, **22**, 430–445.
- N. Nishiwaki, M. Sakashita, M. Azuma, C. Tanaka, M. Tamura, N. Asaka, K. Hori, Y. Tohda and M. Ariga, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 473–478.
- (a) K. H. Raitio, J. R. Savinainen, J. Vepsäläinen, J. T. Laitinen, A. Poso, T. Järvinen and T. Nevalainen, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2006, **49**, 2022–2027; (b) P. Cheng, Q. Zhang, Y.-B. Ma, Z.-Y. Jiang, X.-M. Zhang, F.-X. Zhang and J.-J. Chen, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **18**, 3787–3789.
- S. Messaoudi, J.-D. Brion and M. Alami, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 1677–1687.
- (a) J. C. Reisenbauer, O. Green, A. Franchino, P. Finkelstein and B. Morandi, *Science*, 2022, **377**, 1104–1109; (b) J. Wang, H. Lu, Y. He, C. Jing and H. Wei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 22433–22439; (c) E. E. Hyland, P. Q. Kelly, A. M. McKillop, B. D. Dherange and M. D. Levin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 19258–19264; (d) D.-W. Ji, Y.-C. Hu, X.-T. Min, H. Liu, W.-S. Zhang, Y. Li, Y. J. Zhou and Q.-A. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202213074; (e) D. M. Soro, J. B. Roque, J. W. Rackl, B. Park, S. Payer, Y. Shi, J. C. Ruble, A. L. Kaledin, M.-H. Baik, D. G. Musaev and R. Sarpong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 11245–11257.
- (a) B. D. Dherange, P. Q. Kelly, J. P. Liles, M. S. Sigman and M. D. Levin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 11337–11344; (b) C. Wentrup, M. S. Mirzaei, D. Kvaskoff and A. A. Taherpour, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **86**, 8286–8294; (c) P. Finkelstein, J. C. Reisenbauer, B. B. Botlik, O. Green, A. Florin and B. Morandi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 2954–2959.
- (a) Y. Tangella, K. L. Manasa, N. H. Krishna, B. Sridhar, A. Kamal and B. N. Babu, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 3639–3642; (b) A. Ali, H. K. Harit, M. Devi, D. Ghosh and R. P. Singh, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **87**, 16313–16327.
- (a) D. Huang and G. Yan, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2017, **359**, 1600–1619; (b) D. Ichinari, Y. Ashikari, K. Mandai, Y. Aizawa, J. Yoshida and A. Nagaki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 1567–1571; (c) J. P. Soni, M. Kadagathur and N. Shankaraiah, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **10**, 3186–3200;



- (d) A. Nayl, A. A. Aly, W. A. A. Arafa, I. M. Ahmed, A. I. Abdelhamid, E. M. El-Fakharany, M. A. Abdelgawad, H. N. Tawfeek and S. Bräse, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**, 3716.
- 15 (a) E. Lallana, R. Riguera and E. Fernandez-Megia, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 8794–8804; (b) F. Sebest, L. Casarrubios, H. S. Rzepa, A. J. P. White and S. Diez-González, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 4023–4035; (c) S. Santra, R. Bean, B. Heckert, Z. Shaw, V. Jain, L. Shrestha, R. Narayanam and Q. Austin, *Polym. Chem.*, 2020, **11**, 3723–3731; (d) F. Sebest, K. Lachhani, C. Pimpasri, L. Casarrubios, A. J. P. White, H. S. Rzepa and S. Diez-González, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2020, **362**, 1877–1886; (e) H. M. Pineda-Castañeda, Z. J. Rivera-Monroy and M. Maldonado, *ACS Omega*, 2023, **8**, 3650–3666; (f) D. Bauer, S. M. Sarrett, J. S. Lewis and B. M. Zeglis, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2023, **18**, 1659–1668.
- 16 (a) Y. Zhang, X. Dong, Y. Wu, G. Li and H. Lu, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 4838–4842; (b) K. S. Stankevich, A. K. Lavrinenko and V. D. Filimonov, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2021, **27**, 305.
- 17 (a) Y. Jian, M. Chen, B. Huang, W. Jia, C. Yang and W. Xia, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 5370–5374; (b) J. Li, S. Wang, J. Zhao and P. Li, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 5977–5981.
- 18 C. Croix, S. Massip and M.-C. Viaud-Massuard, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 5538–5541.
- 19 (a) C. Raji Reddy, V. Ganesh and A. K. Singh, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 28630–28634; (b) D. Doellerer, D. R. S. Pooler, A. Guinart, S. Crespi and B. L. Feringa, *Chem. –Eur. J.*, 2023, e202301634.
- 20 (a) M. Milanese, D. Viterbo, A. Albini, E. Fasani, R. Bianchi and M. Barzaghi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 3416–3425; (b) S. K. Pagire, A. Hossain, L. Traub, S. Kerres and O. Reiser, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 12072–12075.
- 21 Y. Cao, X. Liu, J. Zhang, Z. Liu, Y. Fu, D. Zhang, M. Zheng, H. Zhang and M.-H. Xu, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2023, **14**, 829–838.

