

RESEARCH ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View IssueCite this: *Mater. Chem. Front.*,
2023, 7, 3146Distance produces beauty? regulating the distance of Fe atomic pairs to enhance electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction†Xiaofei Wei, Huakai Xu, Chuanhai Jiang, Zhifei Wang, Yuguo Ouyang, Chunyu Lu, Yuan Jing, Shiwei Yao, Xiaoqing Lu[✉] and Fangna Dai^{✉*}

Metal atom dispersed catalysts with high catalytic activity and accurate active sites are considered as promising catalyst materials for electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reactions (CO₂RRs). Electronic structure regulation of active sites is a crucial means to improve the catalytic performance. Hence, Fe atom catalysts (FeN₄-D_x (x = 1, 2, 3, and 4)) with pairs of Fe atoms at different distances were constructed and the effect of distance on the catalytic performance was further investigated. Based on the structural stability and the activation effect for CO₂, the FeN₄-D1 structure (D1 = 2.39 Å) exhibited good CO₂RR selectivity and catalytic activity for producing CO. Moreover, due to the double active sites of Fe atomic pairs, the FeN₄-D1 structure had superior catalytic performance and selectivity for the CO₂RR to ethanol by the *CO-*CHO coupling with low reaction free energy. Combined with detailed calculations of the electronic structure, a suitable distance of Fe atomic pairs regulated the d-band center of active sites, which modified the interaction between the FeN₄-D1 structure and *CO intermediates and promoted the C-C coupling process. Therefore, the FeN₄-D1 structure can be used as a promising electrocatalyst for the CO₂RR to C₂ products and the accurate regulation method of active sites may provide new ideas for the design of efficient catalysts.

Received 14th March 2023,
Accepted 24th April 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3qm00261f

rsc.li/frontiers-materials

1. Introduction

Electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) is an effective method to mitigate the greenhouse effect and promote the conversion of carbon into value-added chemicals.^{1–4} On the basis of high metal atom utilization rate and easily regulated active sites, atom-dispersed catalysts have broad application prospects in the CO₂RR.^{2,5–7} Further, several means have been used to further improve the catalytic activity of the active sites, such as structural defects,^{8,9} introducing heteroatoms,^{10–12} axial coordination,^{13–15} bimetallic centers,^{16–18} and regulating the distance of the active sites.^{19,20} However, the distance effect of the active sites on the catalytic performance of atom-dispersed catalysts for the CO₂RR is rarely reported. The double active sites of catalysts have two adsorption positions for the reaction intermediates, which may facilitate C-C coupling to proceed and C₂ products to form in the CO₂RR. Therefore, investigating the distance effect of the active sites on the

catalytic performance of atom-dispersed catalysts is of great significance for enhancing CO₂ catalytic conversion.

Generally, Cu-based materials are considered as effective catalysts for the CO₂RR to multi-carbon products,^{21–24} however, their poor product selectivity and weak interaction with CO₂ inhibit the activity for electrochemical CO₂ reduction.^{24–26} Recently, Fe atom catalysts have been found to exhibit outstanding electrocatalytic activity in the CO₂RR.^{5,6,12,14,27} Due to the stable adsorption interaction between CO₂ molecules and Fe active sites, the reaction free energy of initial CO₂ hydrogenation on the surface of Fe atom catalysts can be reduced. In addition, regulating the adsorption of key intermediates on Fe-based catalysts was an effective way to facilitate the C-C coupling process and the conversion of CO₂RR to C₂ products.^{27–29} The Fe-n-f-CNT single-atom catalyst synthesized by Hwang *et al.* exhibited superior electrocatalytic performance for the CO₂RR to ethanol with 45% faradaic efficiency.²⁷ Moreover, An *et al.* designed a hybrid Fe-B dual-atom center to facilitate C-C coupling of low free energy barrier by enhancing the spin state of Fe, which proved that Fe sites had the potential of producing C₂ products in the CO₂RR process.²⁹ Considering the size effect, single-atom catalysts can exhibit unique product selectivity.^{7,30–32} And regulating the distance of active sites may further improve the catalytic activity.^{19,20} Yu *et al.* synthesized

School of Materials Science and Engineering, China University of Petroleum, Qingdao, Shandong 266580, P. R. China. E-mail: fndai@upc.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3qm00261f>

FeN₄ single-atom catalysts with accurate structural control and found that the Fe atomic sites at a specific distance could exhibit strong oxygen reduction reaction activity by regulating the filling of occupied d orbitals of Fe sites.²⁰ However, the electrocatalytic activity for the CO₂RR on Fe atomic pair sites is rarely reported and the intrinsic mechanism of electronic structure regulation in Fe atomic pairs is not clear. Combining the superior adsorption and activity of Fe single atoms on CO₂, Fe atomic pairs may also exhibit good performance in the C–C coupling process. Therefore, catalyst materials with high electrocatalytic activity and product selectivity can be designed by adjusting the electronic structure between Fe atomic pair active sites, which is of great significance to promote the development of the CO₂RR.

Hence, in this work, FeN₄-D_x ($x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) structures of four Fe atomic pairs at different distances were designed, and the effect of distance on their electrocatalytic performance in the CO₂RR was investigated by density functional theory (DFT). Firstly, the structural stability of the four catalysts was analyzed from the perspectives of geometrical structure, electronic structure, thermodynamics, and electrochemistry by calculating geometric optimization, bonding interaction, formation energy, and dissolution potential, respectively. To analyze the initial catalytic activity of the four Fe atom pairs on CO₂, the adsorption and activation of CO₂ were calculated and compared in detail. Subsequently, the catalytic performance of FeN₄-D_x structures on the CO₂RR was further investigated, including the free energy of potential limiting steps and the comparison of the CO₂RR with side reactions. On the basis of double active sites, the C–C coupling process was calculated and possible C₂ products in the CO₂RR were analyzed. Finally, the electronic structure of the key intermediates was analyzed in detail, and the effect of distance on the electrocatalytic performance of the CO₂RR was discussed.

2. Computational method

All calculations in this work were performed by spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) in Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) 6.1.1.³³ Projector augmented wave (PAW) and Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA) were used to describe the ion–electron interaction and electronic exchange–correlation energy, respectively.³⁴ The plane wave cutoff energy was set as 450 eV. *K*-Point meshes of $3 \times 3 \times 1$ and high-density $15 \times 15 \times 5$ were used to optimize geometry structures and accurately analyze electronic structures such as Bader charge transfer, charge difference density, and density of states (DOS).³⁵ The electronic energy and the force of catalysts converged to 1.0×10^{-5} eV per atom and $0.02 \text{ eV } \text{\AA}^{-1}$, respectively. The lattice parameters of FeN₄-D_x structures were as follows: $a = 14.76 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 12.78 \text{ \AA}$, and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$. Besides, in order to minimize the interactions of repeated slabs, a vacuum space of $15 \text{ \AA}$ was constructed along the *c*-axis. And the Grimme (DFT+D3) method was performed to correct the van der Waals interactions. Considering the solvent

effect of water, the VASPsol package was used to build an implicit solvent model.³⁶

Generally, the adsorption energy (E_{ads}) between catalysts and adsorbates can be calculated by the following formula:

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{a}} - E_{\text{*}} - E_{\text{a}} \quad (1)$$

where E_{a} , $E_{\text{*}}$, and E_{a} represent the total energy of the system, the energy of the catalyst structures, and the energy of the adsorbates, respectively.

Charge density difference ($\Delta\rho$) can be used to analyze the electron transfer direction after the interaction between two fragments (A and B), which can be calculated by

$$\Delta\rho = \rho_{\text{AB}} - \rho_{\text{A}} - \rho_{\text{B}} \quad (2)$$

And the plane-averaged electron density difference along the *z* axis ($\Delta\rho(z)^{\text{avg}}$) is described as follows:³⁷

$$\Delta\rho^{\text{avg}}(z) = \int \sum (z) dx dy \Delta\rho = \sum_{ij} \Delta x_i \Delta y_j \Delta\rho_{i,j} \quad (3)$$

where the *z* axis is along the *c*-axis lattice of the structural model and *x/y* is the cross-section of the *x*–*y* plane at *z*, and *i* and *j* are the one-point divided lattice axis and *b*-axis lattice, respectively.

The calculation of reaction free energy was based on the computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) model.³⁸ And the values of the reaction free energy difference (ΔG) can be obtained by the following formula:

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} + \int C_{\text{p}} dT - T\Delta S \quad (4)$$

where ΔE is the energy change calculated by DFT. ΔE_{ZPE} is the zero-point energy correction. $\int C_{\text{p}} dT$ and ΔS are the enthalpic temperature correction and the reaction entropy change at room temperature ($T = 298.15 \text{ K}$), respectively. Specifically, the saturated vapor pressure of 0.035, 0.053, 0.166, and 0.077 atm was employed for H₂O, HCOOH, CH₃OH, and C₂H₅OH, respectively. And VASPKIT software was used to post-process the relevant results.³⁹

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Structure stabilities

To investigate the distance effect of metal atomic pairs on electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction, four typical structures (FeN₄-D_x, $x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) containing double FeN₄ active sites were constructed in this work. And every Fe metal site was anchored by four nitrogen atoms to ensure its same coordination environment. The optimized structural models of FeN₄-D_x ($x = 1$ – 4) are displayed in Fig. 1a–d, in which the distances of Fe atomic pairs were 2.39, 4.14, 6.53, and $8.62 \text{ \AA}$, respectively. Four FeN₄-D_x structures all had no obvious bending deformation in the geometry optimization. The detailed structural parameters are summarized in Table S1 (ESI[†]) and the Fe–N bond average lengths were between $1.87 \text{ \AA}$ and $1.91 \text{ \AA}$. Further, charge density difference between the metal atom and nitrogen-doped carbon and corresponding Bader charge transfer indicated




Fig. 1 The optimized structures of four different Fe atomic pairs (a–d), charge density difference between metal atoms and nitrogen-doped carbon (e–h) (the regions in yellow and cyan represent, respectively, electron accumulation and deletion. The isosurface levels are 0.01 e bohr^{-3}) and the corresponding electron localization function (i–l).

that Fe atomic pairs transferred electrons to adjacent nitrogen atoms, which can be anchored stably by coordinated pyridine nitrogen atoms (Table S1, ESI[†] and Fig. 1e–h). Based on the distance effect of the Fe atomic pairs, the magnetic moments and d-band centers of the four catalysts in Table S1 (ESI[†]) were different from each other, which may change the catalytic properties of Fe atom catalysts. According to the analysis of electron localization function in Fig. 1i–l, there is an obvious concentrated distribution of electrons in Fe–N bonds, indicating that Fe metal atoms have relatively strong electronic interaction with doped nitrogen. Moreover, projected density of states (PDOS) between Fe-3d and N-2p was used to analyze further their valence orbital interaction (Fig. S1, ESI[†]). Notably, Fe-3d orbitals in the four FeN₄-Dx structures have good orbital overlapping with N-2p near the Fermi level, which indicates that the different distance Fe atomic pairs anchored by pyridine nitrogen atoms have great structural stability in the electronic structures.

Formation energy (E_f) and dissolution potential (U_{diss}) are used to evaluate structural stability in thermodynamics and electrochemistry, which can be calculated as follows: $E_f = (E_{\text{total}} - E_{\text{NC}} - E_{\text{Fe}} \times 2)/2$ and $U_{\text{diss}} = U^0 - E_f/ne$, respectively. E_{total} , E_{NC} , and E_{Fe} represent the energies of the total FeN₄-Dx system, metal free nitrogen-doped carbon material, and Fe metal atom, respectively. U^0 and n are, respectively, the standard dissolution potential of bulk metal and the number of electrons transferred during the dissolution of the metal atom. As can be seen from Fig. S2 (ESI[†]), FeN₄-Dx structures have negative E_f values (from -9.29 eV to -7.21 eV) and positive U_{diss} values of $3.16\text{--}4.20 \text{ V}$, which ensures that FeN₄-Dx structures have stable thermodynamic and electrochemical stability, respectively. Combined with previous reports,²⁰ FeN₄-Dx structures containing

different distance metal atomic pairs have great potential to be synthesized and applied in electrocatalysis. Therefore, the distance effect of Fe atomic pairs might also enhance the performance of electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction, which can be further investigated in detail.

3.2 Initial CO₂ adsorption and activation

In general, the initial adsorption and activation of CO₂ molecules on electrocatalysts is considered as the first step in the CO₂ reduction reaction process, which is closely related to the catalytic activity of the electrocatalyst. The optimized CO₂ adsorption structures and structural parameters of the four FeN₄-Dx catalysts are summarized in Table S2 (ESI[†]). The C–Fe bond lengths between CO₂ and active sites ranged from 2.02 to 2.11 Å and the bond angles of O–C–O were activated to bend ($132.99^\circ\text{--}137.75^\circ$) after CO₂ adsorbed on the four FeN₄-Dx structures. Obvious structural deformation indicated that CO₂ molecules can be efficiently activated by Fe atomic pairs and exhibit stable chemisorption on metal centers. Further, Bader charge transfer and charge density difference of CO₂ adsorption structures were calculated to analyze the interaction between CO₂ molecules and metal centers (Fig. 2). As shown in Fig. 2, according to the Bader charge transfer, Fe metal centers mainly acted as electron donors, while CO₂ accepted most electrons to be activated. Charge density difference distribution and the corresponding profile map indicated that CO₂ can be adsorbed stably on FeN₄-Dx structures by strong C–Fe bond interaction. Moreover, the adsorption energies of CO₂ on FeN₄-Dx structures also indicated that Fe metal centers had a stable adsorption interaction with CO₂ (Table S2, ESI[†]). Partial density of states (PDOS) in Fig. S3 (ESI[†]) showed the valence




Fig. 2 (a–d) Charge density difference and Bader charge transfer of CO₂ adsorbed on FeN₄-D_x ($x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) (the regions in yellow and cyan represent, respectively, electron accumulation and deletion. The isosurface levels are $0.005 \text{ e bohr}^{-3}$).

orbital electron interaction between the Fe 3d-orbital of active sites and CO₂ 2p-orbital. The orbital overlapping between Fe-3d and CO₂-2p orbitals near the Fermi level further proved that the four types of Fe active sites all had good interaction with CO₂ molecules. Obvious bending deformation of CO₂ molecules on the four types of atomic pairs proved that different distance metal centers all had catalytic potential in the CO₂RR. Specifically, CO₂ was adsorbed on the bridge sites of the FeN₄-D1 structure due to its close Fe pair sites. And the stable adsorption configuration showed that CO₂ was more easily activated and adsorbed on FeN₄-D1 than on the top sites of other FeN₄-D_x structures. In addition, the values of the Bader charge transfer between CO₂ molecules and FeN₄-D_x structures show a similar trend with the change of CO₂ bond angles (Table S2, ESI[†]). Therefore, the special Fe pair sites of the FeN₄-D1 structure may have an advantage in promoting the initial CO₂ adsorption and activation.

3.3 CO₂RR to C₁ products and HER side reactions

Every elementary reaction of the CO₂RR after CO₂ adsorption and activation is an important factor to analyze the catalytic reduction performance of electrocatalysts. Generally, the initial CO₂ reduction steps can be divided into two hydrogenation reactions: hydrogen protons attacking oxygen atoms ($\text{*CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{*COOH}$) and hydrogen protons attacking carbon atoms ($\text{*CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{*HCOO}$). Different reduction products can be produced by different reaction pathways, depending on intermediates with relatively low reaction free energy. As for the CO product, CO₂ prefers to undergo this reduction process: $\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{*CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{*COOH} \rightarrow \text{*CO} \rightarrow \text{CO}$. As shown in Fig. 3a, the reaction free energies (ΔG) of the CO₂RR to CO on FeN₄-D_x ($x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) structures were compared and the ΔG values of critical steps were labeled. Obviously, the potential limiting step (PLS) of the CO₂RR to CO on FeN₄-D1 was the formation of the

*COOH intermediate ($\text{*CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{*COOH}$, $\Delta G = 0.31 \text{ eV}$). As for FeN₄-D2, FeN₄-D3, and FeN₄-D4 structures, the CO desorption was the PLS. The high reaction free energy of the CO desorption on FeN₄-D2, FeN₄-D3, and FeN₄-D4 structures indicated that the Fe atomic pair sites with long distance had powerful adsorption for CO and inhibited CO desorption. The PLS ΔG value of 0.31 eV on FeN₄-D1 was much lower than those on FeN₄-D2 ($\Delta G = 1.32 \text{ eV}$), FeN₄-D3 ($\Delta G = 1.26 \text{ eV}$), and FeN₄-D4 ($\Delta G = 1.17 \text{ eV}$) structures, which showed that FeN₄-D1 had the superior catalytic performance in the CO₂RR to CO compared to other catalyst structures. Formic acid (HCOOH), as another two-electron reduction product, had two reaction pathways: Path 1: $\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{*CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{*COOH} \rightarrow \text{*HCOOH} \rightarrow \text{HCOOH}$, and Path 2: $\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{*CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{*HCOO} \rightarrow \text{*HCOOH} \rightarrow \text{HCOOH}$. By comparing the free energy of the two reaction pathways and choosing the more favorable reaction process, the free energy profile of producing HCOOH on the four catalysts was compared and analyzed. As can be seen in Fig. 3b, FeN₄-D1, FeN₄-D3, and FeN₄-D4 structures followed Path 1 to reduce CO₂ to HCOOH, while FeN₄-D2 preferred to follow Path 2. And the PLS of forming HCOOH on the four catalysts was also different to some extent due to the distance difference of Fe atomic pair sites. By comparing the ΔG values of the PLS, the FeN₄-D3 structure was more advantageous than other catalysts in the CO₂RR to HCOOH, and its ΔG value was only 0.22 eV. Therefore, FeN₄-D3 with specific distance (6.53 Å) Fe pair sites had superior catalytic performance in producing formic acid compared to other catalyst structures.

It's worth noting that the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) is considered to be the main side reaction for electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction, which inhibits the CO₂RR process. Fig. 3c shows the reaction free energy of the HER on the four catalysts. On the basis of the high ΔG value of 0.33 eV, the FeN₄-D1 structure had a better inhibition effect on the HER than the other three catalyst structures, which was conducive to promoting the catalytic reduction of CO₂. Moreover, the selectivity of FeN₄-D_x ($x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) structures between the HER and CO₂RR was further investigated by comparing the ΔG values of first electron transfer proton coupling (Fig. 3d). The ΔG values of forming *COOH intermediates on the four catalyst structures were below the dotted line, which indicated that they all had good CO₂RR selectivity. Therefore, the Fe atomic pair sites in FeN₄-D_x ($x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) structures were worthy to further investigate their catalytic performance in the CO₂RR. Considering the stable adsorption of CO on FeN₄-D_x ($x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) catalysts, the multi-electron reduction products of the CO₂RR, such as methanol (CH₃OH) and methane (CH₄), were further compared and analyzed. On the basis of reaction free energy of different intermediates in Table S3 (ESI[†]), the optimal reaction pathways of the CO₂RR to CH₃OH and CH₄ on the four catalysts are summarized in Fig. 3e and f, respectively. Based on the linear scaling relations,^{40,41} the stable adsorption interaction between *CO intermediates and FeN₄-D_x ($x = 2, 3$, and 4) structures resulting in the *CO hydrogenation ($\text{*CO} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{*CHO}$) was still the rate-determining step of multi-electron C₁ reduction products. And the ΔG values of the PLS were as follows: FeN₄-D3 ($\Delta G = 0.73 \text{ eV}$) > FeN₄-D4




Fig. 3 Reaction free energy profile of CO₂ reduction to CO (a) and HCOOH (b). HER free energy profile (c) and the comparison between the HER and CO₂RR on the four catalysts (d). Reaction free energy profile of the CO₂RR to CH₃OH (e) and CH₄ (f) on FeN₄-D_x (x = 1, 2, 3, and 4) structures.

($\Delta G = 0.70$ eV) > FeN₄-D2 ($\Delta G = 0.66$ eV). Specifically, the FeN₄-D1 catalysts. The hydrogenation of *CHO to *CH₂O ($\Delta G = 0.53$ eV) on the FeN₄-D1 structure played the controlling role of producing




Fig. 4 (a) Schematic diagram of different reaction pathways of the *CO intermediate. (b-e) Free energy profile of CO desorption and *CO hydrogenation. (f-i) Comparison of C-C coupling on four FeN₄-D_x (x = 1, 2, 3, and 4) structures.

CH₃OH thermodynamically. It's worth noting that there were similar reaction intermediates before *CH₃OH in the formation of CH₃OH and CH₄. Hence, the two reduction products would have the same PLS in the whole reaction process. Limiting potential (U_L , V) can be obtained by the ΔG values of the PLS: $U_L = -\Delta G_{\max}/e$. As shown in Fig. S4 (ESI†), the FeN₄-D1 structure exhibited superior potential for the CO₂RR to CO and multi-electron C₁ reduction products, and the FeN₄-D3 structure had the optimal U_L values on producing HCOOH in the four catalysts. In a word, adjusting the distance of Fe atomic pair sites has an important regulatory effect on the electrocatalytic performance. Moreover, two active sites of Fe atomic pairs have the potential to promote deep CO₂ reduction.

3.4 *CO intermediate analysis and C-C coupling

*CO was a key intermediate in the CO₂RR process, participating in many possible reaction pathways such as CO desorption, two hydrogenation models, and three types of C-C coupling (Fig. 4a). In order to screen the optimal reaction pathway of *CO intermediate on the four catalysts, reaction free energies of different pathways were calculated and are compared in Fig. 4b-i. Firstly, compared with CO desorption and *CO hydrogenation to *COH, *CO intermediates were prone to form *CHO intermediates with the lowest ΔG pathway on the four FeN₄-Dx ($x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) structures (Fig. 4b-e). Therefore, the multi-electron reduction products might occupy a dominant position in the CO₂RR process. Generally, C₂ products have three types of C-C coupling: *CO-CO, *CO-*COH, and *CO-*CHO coupling. Due to the high ΔG of *CO hydrogenation to

*COH intermediates, *CO-*COH coupling was not considered when comparing the optimal coupling model of the CO₂RR process. As shown in Fig. 4f-i, the free energies of *CO-CO coupling and *CO-*CHO coupling on the four catalysts were calculated, respectively. Obviously, *CO-*CHO coupling exhibited the superior advantage of lower ΔG values than *CO-CO coupling, which indicated that *CO-*CHO coupling might be the main C-C coupling mode on Fe atom dispersed catalysts with Fe pair sites. What's more, the *CO-CHO coupling on the FeN₄-D1 structure had the lowest free energy ($\Delta G = 0.17$ eV) among the four catalysts, which indicated that the FeN₄-D1 structure had more potential to produce C₂ products in the CO₂RR process. The close Fe pair sites might also play an important role in reducing the energy required for the migration of critical intermediates. The ΔG values of *CO-CHO coupling on FeN₄-D2, FeN₄-D3, and FeN₄-D4 catalysts were, respectively, 1.04, 0.94, and 1.17 eV. Thus, the high C-C coupling free energy may be the main reason that Fe single atom catalysts have a poor catalytic performance for the CO₂RR to C₂ products. To sum up, precisely controlling the distance of Fe atomic pair sites may greatly improve their catalytic performance in the CO₂RR.

To investigate the catalytic performance of the FeN₄-D1 catalyst on the CO₂RR to C₂ products, the reaction free energies of C₂H₄ and C₂H₅OH were calculated (Table S4, ESI†) and the optimal reaction pathways are displayed in Fig. 5a. It can be seen that the endothermic process *CHOCHOH + H⁺ + e⁻ → *CHOCH + H₂O ($\Delta G = 0.34$ eV) was the key limiting step in producing C₂ products. And the key ΔG values of C₂H₄ and




Fig. 5 (a) Reaction free energy profile of CO₂ reduction to C₂H₄ and C₂H₅OH on the FeN₄-D1 structure. (b) Comparison of the FeN₄-D1 structure with some reported catalysts on the PLS free energy of C₂H₅OH.

C₂H₅OH were much lower than those of CH₃OH and CH₄, indicating that the FeN₄-D1 structure was more inclined to deeply reduce CO₂ to C₂ products when *CO intermediates were formed. Moreover, the *CH₂OHCH₂ intermediate was a watershed between C₂H₄ and C₂H₅OH, which had two hydrogenation methods to form *CH₂CH₂ and *CH₂OHCH₃, respectively, with endothermic reaction. The Boltzmann distribution formula $\exp[-(\Delta G)/(k_B T)]$ can be used to estimate the selectivity of two pathways based on their free energy difference.^{28,42,43} *CH₂OHCH₃ intermediates were more readily available than *CH₂CH₂, with a free energy difference of about 0.25 eV. Thus, $\Delta G = -0.25$ eV, $T = 298.15$ K, and the molar ratio between C₂H₅OH and C₂H₄ was about $(1.68 \times 10^4):1$, which indicated that the FeN₄-D1 structure showed a better product selectivity toward C₂H₅OH than C₂H₄ in the CO₂RR. In order to highlight the catalytic performance of the FeN₄-D1 structure on the CO₂RR to C₂H₅OH, some reported catalysts were summarized and compared (Fig. 5b and Table S5, ESI†).^{23,24,28,29,44–49} Compared with usual Cu catalysts and iron-containing catalysts, the FeN₄-D1 structure with specific distance Fe atomic

pair sites exhibited more competitive catalytic performance for the CO₂RR to ethanol. Therefore, the FeN₄-D1 structure can be used as a promising electrochemical catalyst for producing ethanol.

3.5 Electronic structure analysis of *CO intermediates

The FeN₄-D1 structure had the superior catalytic performance on the CO₂RR to ethanol, which can be attributed to the mild interaction between the key reaction intermediates and Fe atomic pair active sites with a specific distance (2.39 Å). *CO intermediates were important components in the C–C coupling process and played a pivotal role in the selectivity to C₁ and C₂ products. Therefore, the detailed electronic structures of *CO intermediates on the four different distance Fe pair sites were calculated and analyzed, and the nature of the catalytic reaction mechanism was further investigated. Fig. 6a–d shows the charge density difference between *CO intermediates and catalysts. To visualize the distribution of electron density intuitively, plane-averaged electron density difference along the z axis ($\Delta\rho(z)^{\text{avg}}$, e Å^{−1}) was drawn together as well. It can




Fig. 6 (a–d) Charge density difference and the corresponding plane-averaged electron density difference along the z axis of *CO intermediates adsorbed on FeN₄-D_x (x = 1, 2, 3, and 4) structures (the regions in yellow and cyan represent, respectively, electron accumulation and deletion. The isosurface levels are 0.005 e bohr^{−3}). (e–h) Partial density of states (PDOS) between the 2p-orbital of CO and 3d-orbital of adsorbed Fe sites on the four catalysts, respectively. (i) The relationship between the d-band center (ϵ_d) of adsorbed Fe sites and the adsorption energy of *CO intermediates.

be observed in Fig. 6a–d that a large number of electrons were concentrated on the C–Fe bonds between Fe atom active sites and *CO intermediates. Among them, the charge density concentration region of the *CO intermediate adsorbed on the FeN₄-D1 structure was weaker than that of other catalysts. And the curve of z axis plane-averaged electron density difference also indicated that the *CO intermediate adsorbed on the FeN₄-D1 structure had the lowest $\Delta\rho(z)^{\text{avg}}$ peak ($4 \text{ \AA} < z < 6 \text{ \AA}$) in the four *CO intermediate adsorption configurations. Therefore, low charge density distribution of C–Fe bonds may weaken the interaction between *CO intermediates and the FeN₄-D1 structure. Further, partial density of states (PDOS) between the 2p-orbital of CO and 3d-orbital of adsorbed Fe sites was calculated to analyze their valence shell electron interaction (Fig. 6e–h). It was obvious that the overlapping region between CO-2p and Fe-3d orbitals of the FeN₄-D1 structure below the Fermi level was the smallest among the four FeN₄-Dx catalysts. Moreover, the antibonding orbitals formed by *CO with FeN₄-Dx ($x = 2, 3$, and 4) were all higher than those formed by *CO with the FeN₄-D1 catalyst, which enhanced the electronic interaction between *CO and FeN₄-Dx ($x = 2, 3$, and 4) catalysts. The d-band center (ϵ_d) of metal active sites can serve as a descriptor to compare the adsorption interaction.⁵⁰ It is worth noting that Fe active sites of the FeN₄-D1 catalyst had the lowest d-band center position far away from the Fermi level. And the ϵ_d values of the four catalysts followed FeN₄-D2 ($\epsilon_d = -0.84$) > FeN₄-D3 ($\epsilon_d = -0.97$) > FeN₄-D4 ($\epsilon_d = -1.03$) > FeN₄-D1 ($\epsilon_d = -2.06$). Fig. 6i displays the relationship between the ϵ_d values and *CO intermediate adsorption energy. Obviously, the adsorption energies of *CO intermediates were linearly correlated with the d-band center of Fe active sites. The FeN₄-D1 catalyst had the weak interaction with *CO intermediates based on its low d-band center. Therefore, the appropriate distance of Fe atom pairs modulated the d-band center of active sites, which adjusted the interaction between key intermediates and catalysts, and promoted the catalytic performance of the CO₂RR.

4. Conclusions

In this work, different distance Fe atomic pairs were anchored by pyridine nitrogen to construct four FeN₄-Dx ($x = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) structures and the distance effect on the catalytic CO₂ reduction performance was further investigated. Combining charge density difference, electron localization function, and density of states between Fe atomic pair sites and coordination pyridine nitrogen, the four catalysts displayed good structural stability in electronic structure. Moreover, the negative E_f and positive U_{diss} indicated that the four different distance Fe atomic pairs can be stably anchored by nitrogen-containing carbon materials in thermodynamics and electrochemistry. The initial CO₂ adsorption and activation was the key to reflect the activity of the catalyst. The optimized adsorption geometry and Bader charge transfer displayed that the FeN₄-D1 structure had the best activation effect on CO₂ molecules. Thus, the FeN₄-D1

structure had good catalytic potential in the CO₂RR. On the basis of the free energy profile, the FeN₄-D1 structure exhibited better catalytic performance for the CO₂RR to produce CO than other catalysts in C₁ products. And compared with the HER side reaction, FeN₄-Dx structures all showed better CO₂RR selectivity, which further proved that Fe atomic pair catalysts had potential for application in electrocatalytic CO₂RR. Considering the double sites of Fe atomic pair catalysts, the C–C coupling was further calculated and analyzed. The hydrogenation of the *CO intermediate was prone to form *CHO with lower reaction free energy rather than *COH. And *CO–*CHO coupling with smaller ΔG values might be the main C–C coupling method in producing C₂ products. Among the four catalysts, the FeN₄-D1 structure had the lowest ΔG values in the C–C coupling process, which indicated that the FeN₄-D1 structure had good potential in reducing CO₂ to produce C₂ products. Further, the free energy profile showed that the CO₂RR on the FeN₄-D1 structure was prone to form ethanol with a low ΔG value (0.34 eV) and high selectivity, which was superior to most reported catalysts. Charge density difference, PDOS, and d-band center of *CO intermediates on the four catalysts proved that the distance regulation of Fe atomic pairs enabled the FeN₄-D1 structure to have the best catalytic performance for the CO₂RR to ethanol. To sum up, the accurate distance adjustment of Fe atomic pair active sites may greatly improve the electrocatalytic CO₂RR performance, and the FeN₄-D1 structure would have broad application prospects in electrochemical energy conversion.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2020KB010), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (22CX07010A).

References

- 1 L. Li, X. Li, Y. Sun and Y. Xie, Rational design of electrocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction for a zero-carbon network, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2022, **51**, 1234–1252.
- 2 R. Li and D. Wang, Superiority of Dual-Atom Catalysts in Electrocatalysis: One Step Further Than Single-Atom Catalysts, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2022, **12**, 2103564.
- 3 C. Jia, K. Dastafkan, W. Ren, W. Yang and C. Zhao, Carbon-based catalysts for electrochemical CO₂ reduction, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2019, **3**, 2890–2906.
- 4 Y. Xue, Y. Guo, H. Cui and Z. Zhou, Catalyst Design for Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ to Multicarbon Products, *Small Methods*, 2021, **5**, e2100736.

- 5 X. Li, S. Xi, L. Sun, S. Dou, Z. Huang, T. Su and X. Wang, Isolated FeN₄ Sites for Efficient Electrocatalytic CO₂ Reduction, *Adv. Sci.*, 2020, **7**, 2001545.
- 6 X. Sun, Y. Tuo, C. Ye, C. Chen, Q. Lu, G. Li, P. Jiang, S. Chen, P. Zhu, M. Ma, J. Zhang, J. H. Bitter, D. Wang and Y. Li, Phosphorus Induced Electron Localization of Single Iron Sites for Boosted CO₂ Electroreduction Reaction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 23614–23618.
- 7 Y. Wang, H. Su, Y. He, L. Li, S. Zhu, H. Shen, P. Xie, X. Fu, G. Zhou, C. Feng, D. Zhao, F. Xiao, X. Zhu, Y. Zeng, M. Shao, S. Chen, G. Wu, J. Zeng and C. Wang, Advanced Electrocatalysts with Single-Metal-Atom Active Sites, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 12217–12314.
- 8 Y. Zhang, L. Jiao, W. Yang, C. Xie and H. L. Jiang, Rational Fabrication of Low-Coordinate Single-Atom Ni Electrocatalysts by MOFs for Highly Selective CO₂ Reduction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 7607–7611.
- 9 Q.-X. Li, D.-H. Si, W. Lin, Y.-B. Wang, H.-J. Zhu, Y.-B. Huang and R. Cao, Highly efficient electroreduction of CO₂ by defect single-atomic Ni-N₃ sites anchored on ordered micro-macroporous carbons, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2022, **65**, 1584–1593.
- 10 D. Chen, L. H. Zhang, J. Du, H. Wang, J. Guo, J. Zhan, F. Li and F. Yu, A Tandem Strategy for Enhancing Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction Activity of Single-Atom Cu-S₁N₃ Catalysts via Integration with Cu Nanoclusters, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 24022–24027.
- 11 S. Chen, X. Li, C. W. Kao, T. Luo, K. Chen, J. Fu, C. Ma, H. Li, M. Li, T. S. Chan and M. Liu, Unveiling Proton-feeding Effect in Sulfur-doped Fe-N-C Single-Atom Catalyst for Enhanced CO₂ Electroreduction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **134**, e202206233.
- 12 F. Pan, B. Li, E. Sarnello, S. Hwang, Y. Gang, X. Feng, X. Xiang, N. M. Adli, T. Li, D. Su, G. Wu, G. Wang and Y. Li, Boosting CO₂ reduction on Fe-N-C with sulfur incorporation: Synergistic electronic and structural engineering, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **68**, 104384.
- 13 H. Zhang, J. Li, S. Xi, Y. Du, X. Hai, J. Wang, H. Xu, G. Wu, J. Zhang, J. Lu and J. Wang, A Graphene-Supported Single-Atom FeN₅ Catalytic Site for Efficient Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 14871–14876.
- 14 X. Wang, Y. Pan, H. Ning, H. Wang, D. Guo, W. Wang, Z. Yang, Q. Zhao, B. Zhang, L. Zheng, J. Zhang and M. Wu, Hierarchically micro- and meso-porous Fe-N₄O-doped carbon as robust electrocatalyst for CO₂ reduction, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **266**, 118630.
- 15 L. Lin, H. Li, C. Yan, H. Li, R. Si, M. Li, J. Xiao, G. Wang and X. Bao, Synergistic Catalysis over Iron-Nitrogen Sites Anchored with Cobalt Phthalocyanine for Efficient CO₂ Electroreduction, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, e1903470.
- 16 X. Wei, S. Wei, S. Cao, Y. Hu, S. Zhou, S. Liu, Z. Wang and X. Lu, Cu acting as Fe activity promoter in dual-atom Cu/Fe-NC catalyst in CO₂RR to C1 products, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **564**, 150423.
- 17 J. Zhu, M. Xiao, D. Ren, R. Gao, X. Liu, Z. Zhang, D. Luo, W. Xing, D. Su, A. Yu and Z. Chen, Quasi-Covalently Coupled Ni-Cu Atomic Pair for Synergistic Electroreduction of CO₂, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 9661–9671.
- 18 W. Ren, X. Tan, W. Yang, C. Jia, S. Xu, K. Wang, S. C. Smith and C. Zhao, Isolated Diatomic Ni-Fe Metal-Nitrogen Sites for Synergistic Electroreduction of CO₂, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 6972–6976.
- 19 Y. Li, R. Hu, Z. Chen, X. Wan, J.-X. Shang, F.-H. Wang and J. Shui, Effect of Zn atom in Fe-N-C catalysts for electrocatalytic reactions: theoretical considerations, *Nano Res.*, 2021, **14**, 611–619.
- 20 Z. Jin, P. Li, Y. Meng, Z. Fang, D. Xiao and G. Yu, Understanding the inter-site distance effect in single-atom catalysts for oxygen electroreduction, *Nat. Catal.*, 2021, **4**, 615–622.
- 21 A. J. Garza, A. T. Bell and M. Head-Gordon, Mechanism of CO₂ Reduction at Copper Surfaces: Pathways to C₂ Products, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 1490–1499.
- 22 C. Wang, C. Zhu, M. Zhang, Y. Geng and Z. Su, Copper Dimer Anchored in g-CN Monolayer as an Efficient Electrocatalyst for CO₂ Reduction Reaction: A Computational Study, *Adv. Theory Simul.*, 2020, **3**, 2000218.
- 23 Y. Jiao, Y. Zheng, P. Chen, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, Molecular Scaffolding Strategy with Synergistic Active Centers To Facilitate Electrocatalytic CO₂ Reduction to Hydrocarbon/Alcohol, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 18093–18100.
- 24 X. Su, Z. Jiang, J. Zhou, H. Liu, D. Zhou, H. Shang, X. Ni, Z. Peng, F. Yang, W. Chen, Z. Qi, D. Wang and Y. Wang, Complementary Operando Spectroscopy identification of in-situ generated metastable charge-asymmetry Cu₂-CuN₃ clusters for CO₂ reduction to ethanol, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 1322.
- 25 M. Behrens, F. Studt, I. Kasatkin, S. Köhl, M. Hävecker, F. Abild-Pedersen, S. Zander, F. Girgsdies, P. Kurr, B.-L. Kniep, M. Tovar, R. W. Fischer, J. K. Nørskov and R. Schlögl, The Active Site of Methanol Synthesis over Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ Industrial Catalysts, *Science*, 2012, **336**, 893–897.
- 26 J. A. Rodriguez, P. Liu, D. J. Stacchiola, S. D. Senanayake, M. G. White and J. G. Chen, Hydrogenation of CO₂ to Methanol: Importance of Metal–Oxide and Metal–Carbide Interfaces in the Activation of CO₂, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 6696–6706.
- 27 K. Lakshmanan, W. H. Huang, S. A. Chala, B. W. Taklu, E. A. Moges, J. F. Lee, P. Y. Huang, Y. C. Lee, M. C. Tsai, W. N. Su and B. J. Hwang, Highly Active Oxygen Coordinated Configuration of Fe Single-Atom Catalyst toward Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ into Multi-Carbon Products, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2109310.
- 28 X. Liu, Z. Wang, Y. Tian and J. Zhao, Graphdiyne-Supported Single Iron Atom: A Promising Electrocatalyst for Carbon Dioxide Electroreduction into Methane and Ethanol, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 3722–3730.
- 29 M. He, W. An, Y. Wang, Y. Men and S. Liu, Hybrid Metal-Boron Diatomic Site Embedded in C₂N Monolayer Promotes C-C Coupling in CO₂ Electroreduction, *Small*, 2021, **17**, 2104445.
- 30 S. K. Kaiser, Z. Chen, D. Faust Akl, S. Mitchell and J. Perez-Ramirez, Single-Atom Catalysts across the Periodic Table, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 11703–11809.

- 31 W. Song, X. Lv, Y. Gao and L. Wang, Photocatalytic HER Performance of TiO₂-supported Single Atom Catalyst Based on Electronic Regulation: A DFT Study, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2021, **38**, 1025–1031.
- 32 Y. Song, L. Tao, Y. Zhang, J. Pan and S. Du, A DFT Investigation on the Electronic Structures and Au Adatom Assisted Hydrogenation of Graphene Nanoflake Array, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2021, **37**, 1110–1115.
- 33 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169.
- 34 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865.
- 35 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1976, **13**, 5188–5192.
- 36 K. Mathew, R. Sundararaman, K. Letchworth-Weaver, T. A. Arias and R. G. Hennig, Implicit solvation model for density-functional study of nanocrystal surfaces and reaction pathways, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **140**, 084106.
- 37 Y. Yuan, X. Gong and H. Wang, The synergistic mechanism of graphene and MoS₂ for hydrogen generation: insights from density functional theory, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 11375–11381.
- 38 J. K. Nørskov, J. Rossmeisl, A. Logadottir, L. Lindqvist, J. R. Kitchin, T. Bligaard and H. Jonsson, Origin of the overpotential for oxygen reduction at a fuel-cell cathode, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 17886–17892.
- 39 V. Wang, N. Xu, J.-C. Liu, G. Tang and W.-T. Geng, VASPKIT: A user-friendly interface facilitating high-throughput computing and analysis using VASP code, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2021, **267**, 108033.
- 40 F. Abild-Pedersen, J. Greeley, F. Studt, J. Rossmeisl, T. R. Munter, P. G. Moses, E. Skulason, T. Bligaard and J. K. Nørskov, Scaling properties of adsorption energies for hydrogen-containing molecules on transition-metal surfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**, 016105.
- 41 H. Wu and F. He, Activity Origins of Graphdiyne Based Bifunctional Atom Catalysts for Hydrogen Evolution and Water Oxidation, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2021, **37**, 1334–1340.
- 42 G. Zhu, Y. Li, H. Zhu, H. Su, S. H. Chan and Q. Sun, Curvature-Dependent Selectivity of CO₂ Electrocatalytic Reduction on Cobalt Porphyrin Nanotubes, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 6294–6301.
- 43 D. Jiao, Y.-j. Liu, Q. Cai and J.-X. Zhao, Coordination Tunes Activity and Selectivity of Nitrogen Reduction Reaction on Single-Atom Iron Catalysts: A Computational Study, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **9**, 1240–1251.
- 44 T. G. Senthamaraiannan and D.-H. Lim, CO₂ Reduction to C₁ and C₂ Compounds on Sulfur-Deficient Mackinawite (FeS): A Density Functional Theory Study, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2022, **126**, 7012–7021.
- 45 S. Chen, H. Yuan, S. I. Morozov, L. Ge, L. Li, L. Xu and W. A. Goddard, 3rd, Design of a Graphene Nitrene Two-Dimensional Catalyst Heterostructure Providing a Well-Defined Site Accommodating One to Three Metals, with Application to CO₂ Reduction Electrocatalysis for the Two-Metal Case, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 2541–2549.
- 46 Y. Zhao, S. Zhou and J. Zhao, Selective C-C Coupling by Spatially Confined Dimeric Metal Centers, *iScience*, 2020, **23**, 101051.
- 47 X. Bai, Q. Li, L. Shi, X. Niu, C. Ling and J. Wang, Hybrid Cu⁰ and Cu^{x+} as Atomic Interfaces Promote High-Selectivity Conversion of CO₂ to C₂H₅OH at Low Potential, *Small*, 2020, **16**, e1901981.
- 48 J.-S. Wang, G.-C. Zhao, Y.-Q. Qiu and C.-G. Liu, Strong Boron–Carbon Bonding Interaction Drives CO₂ Reduction to Ethanol over the Boron-Doped Cu(111) Surface: An Insight from the First-Principles Calculations, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **125**, 572–582.
- 49 Q. Yang, X. Liu, W. Peng, Y. Zhao, Z. Liu, M. Peng, Y.-R. Lu, T.-S. Chan, X. Xu and Y. Tan, Vanadium Oxide Integrated on Hierarchically Nanoporous Copper for Efficient Electroreduction of CO₂ to Ethanol, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 3044–3051.
- 50 S. Sun, X. Zhou, B. Cong, W. Hong and G. Chen, Tailoring the d-Band Centers Endows (Ni_xFe_{1-x})₂P Nanosheets with Efficient Oxygen Evolution Catalysis, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 9086–9097.