# Chem Soc Rev



# REVIEW ARTICLE

Cite this: *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, 49, 6329

# Biomass-derived chemical substitutes for bisphenol A: recent advancements in catalytic synthesis†

Francesca Liguori, <sup>D</sup> Carmen Moreno-Marrodan D and Pierluigi Barbaro D<sup>\*</sup>

Bisphenol A is an oil-derived, large market volume chemical with a wide spectrum of applications in plastics, adhesives and thermal papers. However, bisphenol A is not considered safe due to its endocrine disrupting properties and reproductive toxicity. Several functional substitutes of bisphenol A have been proposed in the literature, produced from plant biomass. Unless otherwise specified, the present review covers the most significant contributions that appeared in the time span January 2015–August 2019, describing the sustainable catalytic synthesis of rigid diols from biomass derivatives. The focus is thereupon on heterogeneous catalysis, use of green solvents and mild conditions, cascade processes in one-pot, and continuous flow setups. More than 500 up-to-date references describe the various substitutes proposed and the catalytic methods for their manufacture, broken down according to the main biomass types from which they originate. **REVIEW ARTICLE**<br> **(a)** Check forwaldes<br> **EVALUATION CONTINUES CONTINUES A**<br> **EVALUATION CONTINUES ARENT CONTINUES ARENT CONTINUES ARENT CONTINUES ARENT CONTINUES SYSTEMS CONTINUES SYSTEMS AND CONTINUES SYSTEMS FORCESCO.** 

Received 28th February 2020 DOI: 10.1039/d0cs00179a

[rsc.li/chem-soc-rev](http://rsc.li/chem-soc-rev)

### 1. Introduction

There is no doubt that plastic materials are central to our society. Plastics are widespread in everyday products that we

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Chimica dei Composti Organo Metallici, Via Madonna del Piano 10, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy.

E-mail: pierluigi.barbaro@iccom.cnr.it

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0cs00179a



Francesca Liguori

Francesca Liguori got her PhD in Chemistry in 2005 from the University of Florence. After several collaborations as a grant-holder at the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR, Italy), in 2011 she became a Permanent Researcher at the Istituto di Chimica dei Composti Organo Metallici – CNR. Currently her research interests mainly concern green chemistry, flow chemistry, catalysis for the synthesis of value-added chemicals

from renewable sources and the synthesis of materials as supports for catalysts. She was a co-author of several peer reviewed papers on ISI journals and an investigator in research and industrial projects in the field of heterogeneous catalysis.



Carmen Moreno-Marrodan

Currently, she is a Permanent Researcher in the Italian National Research Council (ICCOM) in Florence. Her research interests focus on biomass valorisation through the development of heterogeneous catalysis and flow technologies.

use for housing, personal care, packaging, clothing, transport, adhesives and electronics. $<sup>1</sup>$  There is equally no doubt that, just</sup> because of their versatility and ubiquity, today plastics are also a source of concern. Multiple issues relate to plastics. $2,3$ 

Plastics are usually synthetic polymers recalcitrant to biodegradation. They accumulate in the environment if discarded, thus representing important pollutants.<sup>4,5</sup> Plastics may contain or release toxic components or volatile organic compounds dangerous to human health and the habitat.<sup>6,7</sup> Most plastics

Carmen Moreno-Marrodan studied Chemistry in the University of La Rioja, Spain. In 2008 she won a Leonardo da Vinci European Programme Fellowship in the chemical industry in Cork, Ireland. In 2009 she was awarded a Marie Sklodowska Curie Fellowship and joined the ITN Nano-HOST in the Institute of Chemistry of Organo-Metallic Compounds (ICCOM), Florence, Italy. In 2013 she received her PhD in Chemistry from the University of Florence.

are manufactured from oil, which contributes to the carbon footprint of the process industry and to the depletion of exhaustible raw materials.8,9 Not all plastics can be recovered and reused, or have significant recycling economic value; therefore, they are liable to end up in landfills or the environment.<sup>10,11</sup>

To overcome, at least in part, these problems, considerable efforts have been devoted in recent years to the development of compostable and bio-based polymers, $12,13$  and to the synthesis of alternative monomers. $14,15$  Transnational strategies have been launched to this  $\dim$ ,<sup>16,17</sup> including the United Nation Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 13 Climate Action, SDG 12 Responsible Consumption and Production), $18$  the European Strategy for Plastics in a Circular Economy, $19$  and the United States Sustainable Materials Management Program Strategic Plan.<sup>20</sup> Research agendas<sup>21,22</sup> and position papers<sup>23,24</sup> have been made available from European Technology Platforms and Consortia.<sup>25</sup> Review Article<br>
are manufactured from So, Rev. to the Solution of the Solution of the Society are considered on the Society and the Society are on the Creative Construction of the Society are considered under the Creative

Bisphenol A (2,2-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)propane, BPA) is a component of several plastic materials, from which it may leach under certain circumstances. Unfortunately, BPA is considered a hazardous compound.<sup>26</sup> A number of chemicals have thus been proposed as substitutes for BPA, some of them obtainable from plant biomass derivatives.

The present manuscript reviews the most significant contributions that appeared in the last five years' literature, describing the catalytic synthesis of functional monomer substitutes of BPA, which are manufactured from biomass or from biomass-derived compounds. The synthetic processes are illustrated according to the raw materials used and the corresponding value chains. The topic was partially covered by previous surveys,  $27,28$  particularly in relation to the synthesis of epoxy monomers.<sup>29,30</sup> The aim of the present review is to provide the reader with the basic concepts behind chemical substitution, and with an analysis of the advancements proposed for the sustainable synthesis of BPA

replacements from renewable feedstock. The focus is thereupon on heterogeneous catalysts enabling multistep reaction sequences in one-pot, use of green solvents, mild conditions and continuous flow setups. Strategies, challenges and prospects in the field are discussed. No functional substitutes other than diols are considered (e.g. epoxides, amines), neither petroleum-derived chemicals, nor biocatalytic processes will be detailed.31,32

### 2. BPA

#### 2.1. Properties and uses

BPA (CAS No. 80-05-7) is a diphenylmethane derivative bearing two hydroxy groups in the *para* positions. The chemical structure of BPA is reported in Scheme 1. BPA is industrially produced by the acid-catalysed condensation reaction of phenol with acetone, using an excess of phenol.<sup>33</sup> All by-products of the BPA synthesis, including unreacted phenol, are toxic. $34,35$  An environmental profile associated with the production of BPA as a monomer is available from plastic manufacturers.<sup>36</sup> Lab-scale yields up to 96% were reported for this process. $37$  A purity greater than 98% is required for most uses of BPA.38,39

BPA is largely incorporated as a monomer in a variety of polymers, namely polycarbonates, epoxy resins, polyethers, polysulphones and polyesters.<sup>40</sup> Representative structures are shown in Scheme 2. End-user applications of polycarbonates include food and beverage containers, optical lenses, electronic and household appliances, safety helmets, telephones,



Scheme 1 Structure of bisphenol A (BPA).



Pierluigi Barbaro

Pierluigi Barbaro was born in 1962 in Firenze. He obtained his PhD in Chemistry from the University of Firenze, then he spent a two-year post-doctorate fellowship at ETH Zurich. Presently he is the Researcher Director at the Istituto di Chimica dei Composti Organo Metallici, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (Italy). He was a member of the Network of Excellence IDECAT and a Coordinator of the Initial Training

Network NANO-HOST (European Commission VII FP). He is a member of the Board of Directors of the European Research Institute of Catalysis, Brussels. His activities are in the fields of green chemistry, catalysis and flow processes for biomass valorisation.



Scheme 2 Representative structures of some BPA-based polymers.

automotive components, construction parts, medical equipment and toys. Epoxy resins are primarily used for the production of consumer and industrial coatings, paints and adhesives.

The extensive use of BPA justifies for a global BPA market above 6 million tons in 2017, with an expected compound annual growth rate of ca. 6% over the period 2019-2024. $41,42$ This makes BPA one of the highest volume chemicals produced worldwide. To date, the manufacture of polycarbonates and epoxies accounts for about 68% and 30% of the production capacity of BPA, respectively.<sup>43</sup> The remaining market is shared among other polymers and the components of antioxidants, flame retardants, colour agents and thermal papers. In 2018, the amount of BPA used for thermal papers in the EU market was around 3 ktons.<sup>44</sup>

In addition to its double functionality and intrinsic stability, $45,46$ one other reason for the massive use of BPA in polymer manufacture is its rigid structure. The limited degrees of freedom associated with the BPA molecule are believed to endow the resulting polymers with excellent thermal and mechanical properties, including stiffness, toughness and hardness.<sup>47,48</sup> Indeed, BPA-based plastics usually feature high flexural strength,<sup>49</sup> glassy moduli, glass transition temperatures  $(T_g)$ ,<sup>50,51</sup> tensile moduli,<sup>52,53</sup> and tensile and impact strength.<sup>54,55</sup> A detailed description of the synthesis and properties of the resulting polymers is outside the scope of the present review. The reader may refer to the above cited specialised reviews for this purpose.

BPA's physico-chemical properties, potentially relevant to toxicological and exposure aspects, are its low solubility in water (300 mg  $\rm L^{-1})^{56}$  and volatility (5.3  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup> Pa), $^{57}$  and its significant octanol/water partition coefficient ( $\log K_{\rm ow}$  3.42).<sup>58</sup> These parameters result in a high tendency for BPA sorption to soil and sediments, which ultimately controls the fate of BPA in aquatic and terrestrial environments.<sup>59,60</sup>

BPA-derived resins and polycarbonates are sensitive to hydrolysis $61,62$  and temperature.  $63,64$  Together with the presence of monomer residues, due to incomplete polymerization,<sup>65,66</sup> or the incorporation of BPA as an additive, $67$  this may cause the release of BPA from BPA-containing materials and related plasticware, including bottles,<sup>68,69</sup> dental sealants,<sup>70,71</sup> textiles,<sup>72</sup> papers,<sup>73,74</sup> food packaging<sup>75,76</sup> and cans.<sup>77,78</sup> Consequently, significant levels of BPA are detected in air, dust, wastewater, drinking water, food, $79,80$  and human fluids and tissues, $81,82$ particularly in infants.<sup>83</sup>

#### 2.2. Health and environmental effects

A plethora of studies have been carried out on the diverse effects of BPA on human health. Several reviews are available in the literature. $84,85$  Exposure to BPA occurs mainly through ingestion, although inhalation and dermal absorption may contribute significantly.<sup>86,87</sup> BPA is recognised as an endocrine disrupting and estrogenic chemical.<sup>88,89</sup> Data have indicated BPA as potentially responsible for a variety of health problems, such as obesity,  $90,91$  diabetes,  $92,93$  carcinogenesis,  $94,95$  neurobehavioral and neurological disorders (autism, anxiety, cognitive deficits, hyperactivity),  $96,97$  and reproductive  $98,99$  and developmental impairment.100,101

On the above basis, several regulations have therefore been enforced worldwide on the production and use of BPA.<sup>108</sup>

Use of BPA in the manufacturing of baby bottles has been banned in Europe since  $2011$ <sup>109</sup> The marketing of any packaging, container and utensil containing BPA, intended to come into direct contact with food, has been prohibited in France since 2015.<sup>110</sup> In 2017, following the European Union Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) regulation, $111$  the European Chemical Agency (ECHA) placed BPA on the Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern  $(SVHC),<sup>112</sup>$  classifying it as toxic for reproduction (Article 57c) and endocrine disrupting (Article 57f). $113$  In December 2016, the EU published a new regulation stating that BPA ''shall not be placed on the market in thermal paper in a concentration equal to or greater than 0.02% by weight after 2 January 2020".<sup>114</sup> In July 2019, the General Court of the European Union confirmed ''the inclusion of Bisphenol A as a substance of very high concern on account of its properties as a substance toxic for reproduction".<sup>115</sup> Chem Soc Rev<br>
automotive computers, constraints parts, medical equipment The risk associated with 1PA crossure assessed in the<br>
ant tops, player resist are product and the production of equilibrical interactions common an

BPA is on the List of Chemicals Known to the State of California to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity (Proposition 65), because it is considered harmful to the female reproductive system. $116$  Since July 2013, the manufacture, sale or distribution of bottles or cups that contain BPA above 0.1 ppb has been prohibited in California, if they are designed as containers for food and beverages used by children.<sup>117</sup> Other twelve US states and the District of Columbia have enacted law restrictions on BPA since 2009.<sup>118</sup> According to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, the use of BPA-based polycarbonates and epoxy resins in baby bottles, sippy cups and infant formula packaging has been abandoned since 2012.<sup>119,120</sup> In 2014, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency released a report on BPA alternatives in thermal paper, for stakeholders interested in chemical substitution.<sup>121</sup>

Starting from 2010, baby bottles containing BPA polycarbonate have no longer been manufactured advertised, sold and imported in Canada.<sup>122</sup>

### 3. Chemical substitutes of BPA from biomass

#### 3.1. Principles of chemical substitution

The increasingly restrictive rules concerning the use of chemicals of risk to human health and the environment have become a major driver for their substitution in both research and industry.123,124

Chemical substitution is ''the replacement or reduction of hazardous substances in products or processes by less hazardous or non-hazardous substances, or by achieving an equivalent functionality via technological or organisational measures".<sup>125,126</sup>

Therefore, chemical substitution is considered as a primary prevention strategy, rather than a mere reduction of the risk associated with a hazard.127,128 Research in chemical substitution is ''solution-oriented'': the target is not the replacement with a specific compound (e.g. drop-in chemicals), $129,130$  but the functions that the chemicals may provide.<sup>131,132</sup> Whenever structure– activity data are available, ''safety-by-design'' chemicals may be proposed for substitution. $133,134$  In case that large volume chemicals are targeted for substitution, economic assessment attributes, other than hazard and functional performance, shall be considered for optimal replacement: capital investment, costs, technical feasibility, environmental impact of manufacture, life-cycle of chemicals.135,136 Substitution using non-fossil feedstock is certainly a preferred option and a priority from a circular economy perspective.137,138 Support and assessment tools are available online for chemical substitution.<sup>139,140</sup>

#### 3.2. Proposed substitutes for BPA

A number of ''bisphenol analogues'' have been produced to replace BPA in various applications.141,142 The largest market shares are held by BPF (4,4'-methylenediphenol), BPS (bis-(4-hydroxyphenyl)sulfone) and BPAF (2,2-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl) hexafluoropropane), whose structures are shown in Scheme 3. However, they are neither considered safer than BPA,<sup>143,144</sup> nor synthesized from renewable sources.<sup>145,146</sup> Therefore, they will not be discussed further in the present review. Reports and databases are available on the viability of these monomers as BPA substitutes.<sup>147,148</sup>

Lignocellulose, together with cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, accounts for around 80% (non-edible portion) of plant biomass (Fig. 1).<sup>149,150</sup> Terpenes, terpenoids and triglycerides account for ca. 10%.<sup>151</sup> These matters can be used as alternative carbon sources for the manufacture of most materials currently obtained from oil. In contrast to biofuels, the estimated amount of feedstock available from biomass is enough to







Fig. 1 Composition of plant biomass

produce high value-added chemicals that we need in everyday life, $152,153$  including solvents, pharmaceutical building blocks, agrochemicals, food additives, hygiene and cosmetic components, and monomers for bio-plastics.<sup>154,155</sup> A limited number of functional molecules, called platform chemicals,<sup>156</sup> can be produced through biomass conversion and usable as bulk chemicals in this direction.<sup>157,158</sup> Examples include 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), sorbitol, furfural, and xylitol,<sup>159,160</sup> The upgrade of these intermediates usually requires multi-step sequences of dehydration, isomerisation, esterification, oxidation or hydrogenation reactions that, for their economic feasibility, each should be performed with high selectivity and a safe environmental profile.<sup>161,162</sup> In practice, the best way to achieve this goal is through catalysis: chemical and biocatalysis each have their role to play.<sup>163,164</sup> Excellent reviews are available covering most aspects of catalytic valorisation of lignocellulosic biomass.<sup>165,166</sup> From an industrial point of view, heterogeneous catalysts are preferred due to the easier catalyst/product separation, catalyst reuse and integration in existing reactor equipment.<sup>167,168</sup> This, however, often requires the immobilisation of tailored catalysts onto appropriate insoluble support materials.<sup>169,170</sup> On the other hand, multi-step processes are most efficiently achieved in one-pot by using truly bifunctional catalysts, i.e. a combination of well-defined supported acid and metal sites acting under the same reaction conditions, notably metal catalysts immobilized onto solid acids.<sup>171,172</sup> The strategy has been successfully applied to the upgrade of bio-derived platform molecules.<sup>173,174</sup> A step forward in sustainability and process intensification is provided by performing catalysis under continuous flow conditions, because of the considerable advantages compared to conventional batch operations: increased safety and reactor volume productivity, simpler downstream processing, smaller hold-up volumes, improved heat transfer and process control.<sup>175,176</sup> Continuous refreshes of the catalyst surface may also reduce active site inhibition due to the adsorption of (by)products.<sup>177</sup> Facilities integrating ''biomass conversion processes and equipment to produce fuels, power, heat and value-added chemicals from biomass'' are called biorefineries.<sup>178,179</sup> A 4th generation of biorefineries, focusing on economically feasible technologies, production of unconventional platforms and use of advanced catalysts, is currently under development.<sup>180,181</sup> Review Article<br>
Therefore, chemical substitution is considered as a primary product big between detecting between the proposition big between the common and common and the signe of the signe of the signe of the signe of t

> Several studies have been devoted to the catalytic synthesis of alternative monomers for plastics from renewable resources, particularly from lignocellulose.<sup>182,183</sup> The finished polymers are often referred to as ''sustainable polymers'' or ''renewable polymers".<sup>184,185</sup> Metrics to evaluate the sustainability of bioderived polymers are available.<sup>186,187</sup> Functional substitutes of BPA from biomass and its derivatives have also been proposed, mainly from cellulose and lignin and a few from terpenoids and hemicellulose.<sup>188</sup> Schematic representations of the main BPA substitutes from biomass found in the literature are reported in Fig. 2.

> Cellulose is a linear-chain homopolysaccharide consisting of a well-defined sequence of p-glucose units linked by  $\beta(1,4)$ glycosidic bonds.<sup>189</sup> Cellulose is the main component of the cell walls of green plants and many algae, and the most



Fig. 2 Sketches of the main BPA substitute types recently proposed from biomass



Scheme 4 Structures of H, G and S monolignol subunits of lignin.

abundant organic polymer in nature.<sup>190</sup> Unlike cellulose, lignin is a very complex, cross-linked polymer reducible to three main methoxylated 4-hydroxycinnamyl alcohol building blocks, known as monolignols (Scheme 4). $^{191}$  They form a variety of structural and functional motifs, whose composition depends on the plant from which lignin is extracted.<sup>192,193</sup> Lignin is considered a waste material from the paper and forestry industries, with a production of around 100 million tons per year.194,195

It is generally agreed that the proposed monomer BPA substitutes from biomass shall be bifunctional and have a rigid structure, either due to a ring scaffold or due to the inhibition of rotational flexibility.196,197 The present paper reviews the substitutes based on rigid diol units which are obtained by

catalytic methods. No linear diols will therefore be considered.198 The syntheses of these compounds will be reviewed in the following sections, according to the main biomass feeds from which they originate: cellulose, lignin or others.

### 4. Substitutes from the cellulose depolymerisation chain

Cellulose is a source of C6 carbohydrate platform molecules. Upon full acid-catalysed hydrolytic depolymerisation, cellulose affords glucose, $199,200$  from which two important platform molecules can be obtained via catalysis: sorbitol<sup>201</sup> and HMF.<sup>202,203</sup> These materials are the starting materials used in industry to produce a variety of useful chemicals, including isosorbide and furanic diols, also through catalytic methods.<sup>204,205</sup> The overall value chain is outlined in Scheme 5. Isosorbide, as well as isohexides in general, and bis(hydroxymethyl)furans have been investigated as BPA replacements. Routes to these compounds from glucose (or cellulose) involve sequences of two or more acidcatalysed dehydration (hydrolysis) and metal-catalysed reduction steps. Use of upstream substrates, other than sorbitol or HMF, is clearly more attractive owing to the intensification, $206$  reduced complexity and cost of the overall process.<sup>207</sup> However, its drawbacks are the high pressures and temperatures usually needed, and the significant amounts of mineral acids reported to overcome the recalcitrance of glucose, cellulose and the



Scheme 5 Simplified reaction pathways for the catalytic conversion chain of cellulose to isosorbide and furanic diols.

non-edible biomass in general.<sup>208</sup> Process selectivity under such harsh conditions is often moderate, leading to variable quantities of (humin) by-products, partly because of the suboptimal catalysis used, whose stability may be an issue as well.<sup>209</sup>

Therefore, improved catalysts and processes shall be developed, in order to convert efficiently and selectively original cellulosederived feeds to the desired bio-based building blocks.

#### 4.1. Isohexides

Isohexides are chiral V-shaped diols consisting of two fused tetrahydrofuran units, with secondary hydroxyl groups in endo/ endo (isomannide), exo/endo (isosorbide) and exo/exo (isoidide) configurations (Scheme 6). Their very low toxicity (Table S1, ESI†), rigid bicyclic structure, acceptable chemical stability, different stereochemistries and potential for selective functionalizations make them very attractive for several applications,



Scheme 6 Structures and epimerization of isosorbide, isomannide and isoidide.

including synthesis of pharmaceutical intermediates (e.g. isosorbide dinitrate); $^{210}$  synthesis of fuel additives, surfactants, chiral auxiliaries; $^{211,212}$  and bio-based plastics.<sup>183</sup> The preparation and properties of polymers (polycarbonates, polyesters or polyamides) based on isohexides,<sup>213</sup> particularly isosorbide, $214,215$  scaffolds have been reviewed earlier. The exo/exo configuration of the least sterically hindered isoidide results in polymers with the highest molecular weights, whereas the endo/ endo configuration of isomannide provides polymers with higher thermal stability, compared to the other isomers. Importantly, biodegradable polymers based on isohexide units have been developed.<sup>216,217</sup>

Synthetic methods and applications of isomannide and isoidide are yet limited. Due to the poor availability of their sugar alcohol precursors ( $L$ -iditol for isoidide,  $D$ -mannitol for isomannide), and the low selectivity of the associated dehydration processes, they are still produced on a bench scale.<sup>182,218</sup> Recently, an unprecedented 63% yield of isomannide from mannitol was achieved over solid acid  $H\beta$  zeolite catalysts having a Si/Al ratio of  $75.^{219}$  The efficiency of the catalysts was attributed to the structural properties of the zeolite and to the balanced acid loading and hydrophobicity of H $\beta$ -75. Based on density functional theory calculations, it was suggested that a challenging selective 1,4-dehydration path can be achieved, thanks to the inhibition of a bulkier 2,5-dehydation transition state within the zeolite micropores (Scheme 7). The catalyst could be reused with a slight activity decrease (ca. 10%) over four cycles. The synthesis of isomannide in 92% yield was also reported in the homogeneous phase, using the basic catalyst 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC), via a mechanism analogous to that described



Scheme 7 Pathways of acid-catalyzed dehydration of mannitol to isomannide.

below for isosorbide (Scheme  $10$ ).<sup>220</sup> Isomannide could be isolated after evaporation of the excess of DMC and methanol solvent, without a chance of catalyst reuse, however.

The most efficient strategy for the synthesis of isoidide is the catalytic epimerization of the other isohexides. After the first approaches of Fletcher and Wright,<sup>221,222</sup> a detailed study was reported in 2013 by Le Nôtre, wherein the process was achieved in water over heterogeneous Ru@C catalysts and in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> (40 bar, 220 °C).<sup>223,224</sup> It was demonstrated that epimerization occurs through a dehydrogenation–hydrogenation path (Scheme 6). The catalyst could be reused once with a

Due to the large availability of bio-based precursors (sorbitol, glucose or cellulose), isosorbide is by far the most important of isohexides. The synthesis<sup>182,212</sup> and applications<sup>183,215</sup> of isosorbide have been reviewed up to 2016. Hence, only the most recent achievements in catalytic synthesis will be described herein. Table 1 summarises the most significant reaction parameters (solvent, temperature,  $H_2$  pressure) for the catalytic processes described in the present review which lead to isohexides from biomass-derived sources. Catalyst productivity to the products specified, as mmol<sub>prod</sub>  $g_{\text{cat}}^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup>, is also reported aimed at providing a significant and quantitative estimate of catalyst efficiency.

4.1.1. Sorbitol dehydration. Isosorbide is a monomer for several bioplastics, including poly-(ethylene-co-isosorbide) terephthalate (PEIT), poly(isosorbide carbonate) (PIC) and poly(isosorbide oxalate). The isosorbide volume demand for

Table 1 Summary of recent catalytic systems for proposed BPA monomeric replacements from isohexides<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> See Section 4.1 for labelling and abbreviations.  $\frac{b}{b}$  Reaction solvent, substrate concentration (M) in brackets.  $\frac{c}{c}$  Substrate conversion.  $\frac{d}{c}$  Selectivity to the product indicated. <sup>e</sup> Catalyst productivity to the product indicated. <sup>f</sup> Nafion–silica composite. <sup>g</sup> Sorbitol 70 wt%. <sup>h</sup> Two-stage process. <sup>i</sup> Mechanical mixture. <sup>j</sup> Calculated with respect to Ru@C.

PEIT production was around 3 ktons in 2012, while the global isosorbide market is expected to reach 300 million Euros by 2023.226 The conventional route to isosorbide synthesis involves a sequence of metal-catalysed hydrogenation of glucose to sorbitol, followed by twofold acid-catalysed dehydration of sorbitol through 1,4-sorbitan (Scheme 5). The latter steps are slowed down in the presence of water, thus requiring much higher reaction temperatures.227 On an industrial scale, isosorbide is currently produced in high purity via a three-stage process, comprising enzymatic depolymerisation of starch, hydrogenation over Ni catalyst and  $H_2$ SO<sub>4</sub>-catalysed dehydration,<sup>228,229</sup> however after a complex purification procedure.<sup>230,231</sup> The world's largest manufacturer of isosorbide, the French company Roquette with a production capacity of 20 000 tons year<sup>-1</sup>, has recently patented an isosorbide process based on acidic ion-exchange resin dehydration under vacuum, whose yield based on sorbitol is around 94%.<sup>232</sup> On the other hand, sorbitol itself is largely used in the food and pharmaceutical industries due to its low-calorie sweetener, laxative and humectant properties, as well as in the preparation of several other chemicals, including vitamin C, besides isosorbide. $201,233$  As a matter of fact, sorbitol has the biggest market share among the sugar alcohols.<sup>234</sup> Review Article<br>
Purp poducion was around 3 kton in 2012, while the global the appropriate continuation of acidity, pore size (as 3 wm) into accession control box on 02 August 2020. The same of the same of the same of the

As mentioned above for the synthesis of isomannide, several zeolites were used in the solid acid-catalyzed dehydration of sorbitol and, among them,  $H\beta$ -75 showed the highest activity, which was attributed to its appropriate Brønsted/Lewis acidic site ratio, hydrophobicity and three-dimensional porous structure, favorable for the diffusion of sorbitol. A constant 87% isosorbide yield was observed in water at 200  $^{\circ}$ C over H $\beta$ -75, wherein the catalyst could be reused three times, with no significant efficiency decay, after calcination at 550  $^{\circ}$ C.<sup>235</sup> Solvent-free conditions resulted in 76% yield at 127  $°C.^{236}$ 

Sulfonic acid heterogeneous catalysts, inorganic, silicabased or polymeric, have also been successfully used in sorbitol dehydration reaction. Excellent isosorbide yields (94%) were obtained at 140  $\degree$ C, using a large amount (20 wt%) of magnetically-recoverable Glu–Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>–SO<sub>3</sub>H catalysts under neat conditions.237,238 The Nafion–silica composite SAC-13 showed up to 83% isosorbide yield under solvent-free conditions, 130  $\degree$ C and high vacuum, better than those obtained using the comparable catalysts Amberlyst-15 (67%), Deloxan (68%), Dowex 50WX2 (78%), Purolite CT269 (75%) or Sulfonated Hydrothermal Carbon (SHTC, 80%).<sup>239,240</sup> This finding was tentatively attributed to the better site-accessibility of silica under catalytic conditions, compared to polymeric support materials, and to the higher acidic strength of the perfluorosulfonic groups. Indeed, similar catalytic performances were observed using sulfonic acid-functionalized silica catalysts. For instance, an 84% isosorbide yield was obtained over microbead silica catalysts, having different acid densities (120 $\degree$ C, solvent-free), and obtained by reacting silica with variable amounts of (3-mercaptopropyl)trimethoxysilane (MPTS).<sup>241</sup> The yield of isosorbide increased by increasing the acid density (up to 60% MPTS loading). The catalyst was reused ten times, showing no activity loss, but significant selectivity decrease (ca. 10%). The excellent catalytic performance was attributed to

the appropriate combination of acidity, pore size  $(ca. 8 nm)$  and high surface hydrophilicity of the silica support, favoring the adsorption of feed, in that case. The effect of acid density/ hydrophilicity balance on the catalytic efficiency of sorbitol dehydration was studied over mesostructured SBA-15 silica, functionalized with different amounts of hydrophilic propyl sulfonic acid groups and hydrophobic silyl moieties. $242$  The results indicated that an increase in the acid content slowed down the sorbitol to sorbitan step, but increased the rate of the sorbitan to isosorbide dehydration. On the other hand, an increase in surface hydrophobicity reduced the affinity of sorbitol for the catalyst, but increased the accessibility of sulfonic groups, thus slowing down the first step, but enhancing the second one. The best compromised results (70% yield at 150  $^{\circ}$ C) were obtained over 10% propyl sulfonic silica catalysts bearing trimethylsilane substituents. The catalyst lost most of its activity after the first run, which was ascribed to (by)-product adsorption, although decomposition of silica-bound propyl sulfonic groups cannot be ruled out. $243,244$  Comparable results (71% isosorbide yield at 170  $^{\circ}$ C) were obtained using an arenesulfonic acid-functionalized SBA-15 catalyst.<sup>245</sup>

Metal phosphates have been shown to be convenient dehydration catalysts, due to their nontoxicity, thermal stability and strong acidity.246,247 A series of phosphates were tested in the dehydration of 70 wt% aqueous sorbitol and their activity was found to increase in the order Al  $\rm < Zr$   $\rm <$  Fe  $\rm <$  La  $\rm <$  Ce  $\rm <$  B.<sup>248</sup> At 250  $\degree$ C a yield of 79.9 mol% of isosorbide was achieved using boron-phosphate. Quantitative sorbitol conversion, with 73% isosorbide selectivity, was obtained over porous zirconium phosphate (ZrP) at 210  $\degree$ C without solvents.<sup>249</sup> ZrP reusability was examined, showing quantitative sorbitol conversion up to the fifth run, with only a slight selectivity decrease (5%). A similar performance, though at a lower reaction temperature (180 $^{\circ}$ C), was observed using a mesoporous sulfated titania catalyst calcined at 450 °C (MST-450).<sup>250</sup>

Brønsted acidic ionic liquids (BILs) were recently explored as catalysts, due to their inherent advantages, compared to conventional mineral acids, in terms of reduced volatility and corrosivity.<sup>251</sup> A series of ionic liquids bearing diverse acidic substituents and counterions was synthesized, and their efficiency rationalized in terms of the Gutmann acceptor number,<sup>252</sup> rather than inherent acidity. Kinetic studies indicated that the ionic liquids have diverse abilities in forming adducts with sorbitol and the intermediate 1,4-sorbitan. The best results were achieved using BIL-5 catalyst (Scheme 8), which resulted in 85% isosorbide yield at 130  $^{\circ}$ C. The catalytic efficiency compared favourably with that of the homogeneous catalysts  $H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and



Scheme 8 BIL-4 Brønsted acidic ionic liquid catalyst for sorbitol dehydration.

 $CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H$  under the same reaction conditions. Despite the increasing interest and use of BILs in catalysis, some questions still remain to be addressed, particularly in relation to toxicity,<sup>253,254</sup> reaction kinetics, $255$  and reusability. $256$  In the cited work, catalyst recycling experiments were performed by vacuum distillation of the product at 170  $^{\circ}$ C, well below the TGA decomposition temperature of  $ca. 300 °C$ , followed by addition of a fresh amount of substrate, showing pretty constant catalytic efficiency over five consecutive runs.

Metal tosylate salts were also explored as homogeneousphase acidic catalysts. Thus, use of  $Bi(OTS)_{3}$  resulted in full conversion and 67% isosorbide yield, under solvent-free conditions, 145 °C and vacuum (40 mbar).<sup>257</sup> Heterogenization onto Amberlyst 70 resin, although enabling effective removal of catalyst, resulted in their substantial deactivation.

Recently, a 93% isosorbide yield was achieved under mild conditions (170 $\degree$ C, solvent-free), thanks to a new strategy based on sorbitol ketalization by methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK), followed by double intramolecular etherification over  $H\beta$ -20 zeolite catalysts (Scheme 9). $258$  The approach allowed reducing the number of etherification active sites, thus controlling cyclisation selectivity and minimizing byproduct formation (humins, 2,5-sorbitan). Intramolecular etherification was facilitated by the ketone, as a better leaving group than water. After regeneration at 550  $^{\circ}$ C, the solid catalyst could be used three times without loss of activity.

Although sorbitol dehydration to isosorbide mostly occurs by acid catalysis, in a few cases it was achieved in the presence of strong (*i.e.* sodium methoxide)<sup>259,260</sup> or nitrogen bicyclic (*i.e.* DBU) bases.<sup>220</sup> Thus, using dimethyl carbonate as both a carboxymethylating agent and a leaving group, the double intramolecular cyclization of sorbitol yielded isosorbide almost quantitatively (98%) (Scheme 10). However, the reaction was performed in the homogeneous phase and details on the fate of soluble catalysts were not provided. Carboxymethyl derivatives of isosorbide may be observed as by-products.

4.1.2. Glucose conversion. The one-pot, one-stage synthesis of isosorbide, directly from glucose and in water, was recently reported using a bifunctional (hydrogenation/acid) heterogeneous

> intramolecula etherification

> > isosorbide



Scheme 10 Mechanism of sorbitol dehydration in dimethyl carbonate under basic conditions. Adapted with permission from ref. 260 (F. Aricò, P. Tundo, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2016, 12, 2256–2266). Copyright 2016, Aricò and Tundo, Open Access article under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License. ([https://creativecommons.org/licenses/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.it) [by/4.0/deed.it\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.it) license Beilstein-Institut.

catalyst comprising Ru nanoparticles (1.3 nm) immobilized onto commercial sulfonic-acid ion-exchange resin Dowex 50WX2  $(Ru@Dowex-H).^{261}$  Selection of the appropriate reaction conditions (190 °C, 30 bar  $H_2$ , 48 h) allowed obtaining isosorbide in a remarkable 85% yield (based on glucose) at full substrate conversion, with the only significant by-product being 1,4-sorbitan. Interestingly, the process outcomes could be selectivity tuned to the intermediate sorbitol  $(> 99\%$  yield), by a simple modulation of the reaction conditions (*i.e.* 120  $^{\circ}$ C, 30 bar  $H_2$ , 7 h). The effect of the reaction temperature on the reaction products, at fixed time and  $H_2$  pressure, is reported in Fig. 3. The high selectivity of the catalyst was attributed to the combination of appropriate swelling of the gel-type resin support in water and to the balanced loading of Ru-hydrogenation and Brønsted acid-dehydration sites (rate-determining).<sup>262,263</sup> Chem Soc Rev **Examples Articles Americans Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles American** 

> 4.1.3. Cellulose conversion. Methods for the direct, catalytic conversion of aqueous lignocellulosic biomass to isosorbide (Scheme 5) are receiving increasing attention, though only a few articles describe real improvements in terms of yields and catalyst reusability. Selectivity in such processes is an usual drawback, as several (poisoning) by-products may form and the



Fig. 3 Effect of reaction temperature at 24 h reaction time on the direct, catalytic conversion of glucose over bifunctional Ru@Dowex-H (0.2% w/w Ru, water, 30 bar H<sub>2</sub>). Adapted with permission from ref. 261. Copyright 2016, Royal Society of Chemistry.

 $R_1 = CH_3$  $R_2 = CH_2C(CH_3)_2$ 

OH OH

sorbito

ŌН ŌН

carbon balance of the final products is often moderate.<sup>264,265</sup> A new efficient catalyst, based on Ru oxide on sulfonated carbon, showed high catalytic activity in an optimized twostage isosorbide synthesis from lignocellulosic substrates, whether containing lignin (bagasse pulp, yield 49 wt%) or not (microcrystalline cellulose, yield 56 wt%).<sup>266</sup> The first stage involved the hydrolysis of cellulose to glucose and hydrogenation of glucose into sorbitol (170 $\degree$ C), whereas the acid-catalysed dehydration to isosorbide was accomplished in the second stage (200 $^{\circ}$ C). A carbon balance in the range 85-92% was observed, wherein the only products detected, besides isosorbide, were unreacted intermediate glucose, sorbitol and 1,4-sorbitan, and the partial dehydration isomers 1,5- and 2,5-sorbitan. A reasonable selectivity of 56% to isosorbide was measured. Compared to conventional  $Ru@C/H_2SO_4$  catalytic mixtures, the system showed constant activity over four consecutive runs (although with slight changes in the distribution of products) and superior recyclability, even for lignin-containing substrates. This was ascribed to the oxidative treatment of the catalyst, which increased the amount of carboxylic acid groups on the carbon support and reduced the adsorption of oligomer by-products (e.g. glucose) on the catalyst surface. Review Article<br>
carbon balanced since are on 04 August 2020. The case are calculated articles. A controller the case are controller to the case are controller to the controller to the controller to the controller to the c

The one-pot, single-stage conversion of milled cellulose in water was performed using a mechanical mixture of commercial Ru@C and sulfonated resin Amberlyst-70 catalyst at 190  $°C.^{267}$ A 56% isosorbide yield was observed in the first run, which decreased to 11% in the second run, due to the adsorption of by-products on the ruthenium surface (including 1,4-sorbitan, isomannide and various amounts of unidentified soluble materials). The same catalyst was used, under the same conditions, to convert lignocellulosic biomass from Japanese cedar (ca. 40 wt% cellulose content). However, the isosorbide yield was less than 30%.<sup>268</sup>

A sophisticated bifunctional catalyst featuring a core@void@ shell structure  $(Ru/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QvoidQ)PMO-SO<sub>3</sub>H)$  was recently developed and used in the direct conversion of cellulose to isosorbide, for the first time.269 By tailoring the size of Ru nanoparticles (2.2 nm), on a yolk-shell nanostructure containing an  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ core and a sulfonated periodic mesoporous organosilica (PMO) shell, an almost complete conversion of cellulose (98 wt%) was achieved at 220  $^{\circ}$ C, with a carbon efficiency of around 80%. A selectivity to isosorbide of 59% was observed, due to the significant formation of a variety of by-products, including sorbitol, mannitol, xylitol, erythritol and ethylene glycol originating from several side-reactions. The isosorbide productivity was 2.19  $\mathrm{mol_{isosorb}~h^{-1}~g_{Ru}}^{-1}$ , higher than that obtained using previously reported ruthenium-based bifunctional catalysts, e.g. Ru@mNbPO. $270$  No significant decrease in the yield and conversion after four runs was observed.

#### 4.2. Furanic diols

5-Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) is an important platform molecule obtainable from cellulose depolymerisation, via three-fold acid-catalyzed dehydration reaction of glucose (or fructose). $271,272$ HMF is usable to produce several value-added chemicals, including monomers for polymers (Scheme 5).<sup>273,274</sup> Reduction of HMF provides a ''family'' of rigid cyclic diols, namely 2,5-bis-

(hydroxymethyl)furan (BHMF) and 2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran (BHMTHF), that have been proposed as BPA replacements. The topic has been partially covered by previous reviews.275,276 Actually, given the double functionality susceptible of reduction within HMF (C-O and C $=$ C), its reduction is prone to significant selectivity issues, due to the complex network of potential reduction products that can be generated (Scheme  $11$ ),  $277,278$  in addition to those usually observed in the processing of sugar-derived compounds (oligomers, humins, levulinates). $279,280$  As for any other partial reduction process, the challenge in BHMF and BHMTHF synthesis is to achieve the reduction of one specific group at full substrate conversion, which strongly depends on a subtle combination of metal catalyst, reaction conditions and, in the case of heterogeneous systems, properties of the support material. $^{281,282}$  Continuous flow catalysis can be particularly useful in this regard, as it allows the fine tuning of reaction conditions and contact times, while enabling fast removal of intermediate products before further reduction.<sup>283,284</sup> Table 2 summarises the main features of the catalytic processes hereinafter described leading to furanic diols from biomass-derived sources.

The hydrogenation of HMF has been recently reported by Hashmi et al. using homogeneous-phase catalysts in toluene solution.<sup>285,286</sup> A screening study was performed through a variety of ligands and metals, wherein the best BHMF (98%) and BHMTHF (79%) yields were obtained at full HMF conversion with Ru bispyridine and DTBM–SEGPHOS complexes, respectively, at 120 °C and 10 bar  $H_2$  (Scheme 12). However, despite its fundamental interest and good yields, the system suffers from limitations that hamper its use for real applications: high catalyst loading, cost of chiral ligands, non-reusability of catalysts, and toxic toluene solvent.

Several heterogeneous catalysts have been reported in recent years for the hydrogenation of HMF. Use of commercial Ru@C



Scheme 11 Simplified network of hydrogenation products originating from HMF.





<sup>a</sup> See Section 4.2 for labelling and abbreviations. <sup>b</sup> Reaction solvent, substrate concentration (M) in brackets. <sup>c</sup> Substrate conversion. <sup>d</sup> Selectivity to the product indicated. <sup>*e*</sup> Catalyst productivity to the product indicated. <sup>*f*</sup> Homogeneous-phase catalysts. <sup>*g*</sup> Calculated with respect to the amount of Ru. <sup>*h*</sup> Under continuous flow conditions. <sup>*f*</sup> First of Calculated with respect to the amount of Ru@SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. <sup>m</sup> Calculated with respect to the amount of HT-Cu@ZnO@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. <sup>n</sup> Catalytic transfer hydrogenation.  $\degree$  Reduction by silanes.  $\degree$  Calculated with respect to the amount of KF.



gave BHMF and BHMTHF from aqueous HMF in 93% and 95.3% yield, respectively, by a simple change in the reaction temperature (from 50 $\degree$ C to 100 $\degree$ C) and hydrogen pressure (from 30 bar to 50 bar).<sup>287</sup> The same catalyst gave BHMF in 73% (100 °C and 50 bar H<sub>2</sub>) using a crude HMF-rich hydrolyzate mixture from fructose dehydration as a substrate. Ru nanoparticles immobilized onto carbon nanofibers (CNF) were used in the hydrogenation of HMF in 2-butanol. $288$  BHMF was obtained in 82% yield at 86% HMF conversion at 150  $^{\circ}$ C and 20 bar H<sub>2</sub>. On the other hand,  $Ru(OH)_x@ZrO_2$  in 1-butanol gave BHMF in 99% yield at full HMF conversion at 120  $\degree$ C and 15 bar  $\rm{H_2.^{289}}$  A turnover frequency (TOF) of 304  $\rm{h^{-1}}$  was calculated, in that case. The catalyst could be recovered and reused five times with no significant loss of activity, after acetone/NaOH

washings. Heterogeneous catalysts based on noble metals other than Ru (Pd, Pt) were reported for the synthesis of BHMTHF with high selectivity.<sup>290</sup> This approach can be rationalized in terms of the different affinities toward C–O (Pt, Ru), rather than C=C bond hydrogenation (Pd, Rh).<sup>291,292</sup> In a recent study, a palladium catalyst immobilized onto amine-functionalized metal-organic frameworks  $[Pd@MIL-101(Al)-NH<sub>2</sub>]$  was used to obtain a 96% yield of BHMTHF, at full conversion of aqueous HMF, under mild reaction conditions (30  $^{\circ}$ C, 10 bar H<sub>2</sub>). The choice of metal–organic framework supports was motivated by their tunable physicochemical properties. In that case, amine group functionalization resulted in the modification of the nanoparticle dispersion and acidity/basicity of the catalyst, hence in its selectivity, due to the stronger hydrogen bonding interaction between MIL-101(Al)-NH<sub>2</sub> and the intermediate BHMF, instead of HMF (Scheme 13).<sup>293</sup> Comparable results in terms of conversion  $(>99\%)$  and BHMTHF selectivity (96%) were obtained using Pd catalysts immobilized onto mesoporous graphitic carbon nitride (Pd@mpg- $C_3N_4$ ) under similar reaction conditions (water, 60 °C, 10 bar  $H_2$ ).<sup>294</sup>

Use of non-noble catalysts (Cu, Ni) was investigated due to their low cost and higher hydrogenation activity toward C–O than  $C=C$  bonds. Thus, mineral-derived Cu/ZnO catalysts



Scheme 13 Molecular interactions in the hydrogenation of HMF over Pd/ MIL-101(Al)-NH<sub>2</sub>. Gray and blue balls represent carbon and nitrogen atoms, respectively. The palladium nanoparticles are golden in color. Reprinted with permission from ref. 293. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.

(malachite, rosasite and aurichalcite) provided BHMF in 99% yield from HMF at 100 $\degree$ C, whereas an increase in the reaction temperature to 220 $\degree$ C afforded the fully C–O hydrogenated product 2,5-dimethylfuran.<sup>295</sup> A sharp decrease of the catalytic efficiency was observed after the first run, which was attributed to the deposition of the carbonaceous material on the catalyst surface. Cu/Zn nanoalloys with particle sizes  $\langle 150 \rangle$  nm were employed to synthesize BHMF from HMF with an excellent yield (95%), at 120 °C and a high hydrogen pressure of  $H_2$  $(70 \text{ bar})$ <sup>296</sup>

A Ni–Al layered double hydroxide (LDH) bearing supported Ni nanoparticles (8–10 nm) was tested in the liquid-phase hydrogenation of HMF in water  $(0.4 \text{ M})$  at 80  $^{\circ}$ C and 20 bar  $H_2$ <sup>297</sup> Complete conversion (96%) was achieved after 6 h, yielding a mixture of BHMF (25%) and BHMTHF (71%), however. Higher BHMTHF yields (>99%) were obtained upon increasing the reaction time to 12 h, whereas an increase of the reaction temperature promoted the formation of by-products via ring opening-cyclization (to 3-hydroxymethylcyclopentanone) and cross-polymerisation. Better BHMF yields (96%) were achieved using a carbon-nanotube (CNT)-supported bimetallic Ni–Fe catalyst at 110 °C, wherein 2,5-dimethylfuran formed preferentially at 200 °C (91% yield).<sup>298</sup> Hydrotalcite-derived Ni-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts were scrutinized in the hydrogenation of HMF to 2,5 dimethylfuran, BHMF and BHMTHF. Selectivity was found to be dependent on the calcination temperature, which was ascribed to the different dispersion of the surface metallic sites. The best results were obtained for BHMTHF (96% selectivity at full conversion) using catalysts calcined at 450  $\degree$ C, 60  $\degree$ C reaction temperature and 60 bar  $H_2$ .<sup>299</sup>

In a few studies, the catalytic hydrogenation of HMF was examined using continuous flow setups. A BHMTHF yield of 98% was obtained in two-stages, wherein two different catalysts were used sequentially, under the same reaction conditions:  $RANEY^{\textcircled{R}}$  Cu for the hydrogenation of HMF to BHMF, and

RANEY<sup>®</sup> Ni for further hydrogenation to BHMTHF (90 $°C$ , 90 bar  $H_2$ , water solution rate 0.05 mL min<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>300</sup> The calculated productivity of BHMTHF was 1.45 mmol  $g_{\text{catalyst}}$ <sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (based on BHMF, yield 98%). The intermediate BHMF stream showed an average 94% HMF conversion and 92% selectivity. Unfortunately, the catalytic activity decreased significantly after 32 h time-on-stream, due to  $RANEY^{\textcircled{B}}$  Ni deactivation. Lower BHMTHF yields (77%) were previously reported using double layered catalyst Pd@SiO<sub>2</sub>/Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>, 100 °C, 50 bar H<sub>2</sub> and THF solvent.<sup>301</sup> HMF in ethanol (0.24 M) was also hydrogenated by flowing through a potassium-doped  $Cu@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalyst at 120 °C, using a concurrent stream of H<sub>2</sub> (20 bar, 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup>), to give BHMF in 98.9% yield.<sup>302</sup> The high efficiency was tentatively attributed to the fact that the addition of appropriate amounts of potassium improved the dispersion of copper and reduced the acidity of the catalyst, thus enhancing the catalytic activity, while suppressing unwanted side reactions. A totally selective hydrogenation of HMF to BHMF was achieved in the gas phase over Au-Cu@CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, using in situ generated hydrogen by dehydrogenation of 2-butanol.<sup>303</sup> **Example on Access Article Commons Article.** Published on 2022 August 2020. The simulated on 04 August 2022 3:28:48 AM. The simulated state is likely and the simulated under the simulated state is likely and the simulated

The synthesis of furanic diols was also sought by direct conversion of carbohydrates. Thus, a heterogeneous catalyst based on the combination of acid-treated  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  (HF and  $H_3PO_4$ ) and hydrophobic Ru@SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (treated with trimethylchlorosilane) was used for the one-pot dehydration– hydrogenation reaction of diverse carbohydrates (mono-, di- and polysaccharides) (Scheme 5).<sup>304</sup> Niobic acid and its derivatives are well-known strong solid acids, featuring high tolerance to water and catalytic activity. $305,306$  The best results in terms of conversion (98%) and selectivity to BHMTHF (41%) were obtained using fructose as a substrate (160  $\degree$ C, 40 bar H<sub>2</sub>, in cyclohexane.) Under the same conditions, the selectivity for glucose was 63%, at 32% conversion, however. Conversions and selectivities around 30–40% were achieved using disaccharides (sucrose, maltose, cellobiose). A high conversion value (96%), but lower selectivity (33%), was obtained using inulin polysaccharides. In order to foster the production of BHMF directly from fructose, an integrated batch process, consisting of dehydration over Amberlyst-15 and a further hydrogenation over a Cu–SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite in 1-butanol, was developed.<sup>307</sup> Highly concentrated fructose (15 wt%) gave HMF in 95% yield at 100 °C. The HMF feed obtained after extraction was hydrogenated with Cu-SiO<sub>2</sub> (50% Cu), to give BHMF in 88% yield. A continuous process for the direct conversion of fructose to BHMF was recently engineered, combining two catalysts in the same reactor: HY zeolite for the conversion of fructose to HMF, and hydrotalcite HT-Cu@ZnO@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for the hydrogenation of HMF to BHMF.<sup>308</sup> The tandem dehydration–hydrogenation of fructose was achieved by pumping a fructose solution (3 wt%) in  $\gamma$ -butyrolactone/water, together with a  $H_2$  stream. The effects of the water content, the reaction temperature and the fructose weight-hourly-space-velocity (WHSV) were examined, to give 48.2% yield of BHMF under optimized conditions (15 wt% water, 140  $^{\circ}$ C, 15 mL min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub> flow and of  $0.02 h^{-1}$  WHSV).

Other approaches than  $H_2$  hydrogenation were used to reduce HMF to BHMF or BHMTHF. For instance, catalytic transfer

hydrogenation (CTH) is a conventional method previously applied to diverse biomass-derived substrates.<sup>309,310</sup> The strategy proved to be particularly useful in the selective synthesis of BHMF. The most successful heterogeneous systems recently reported include 3Dnanostructured NiO catalysts with a sea urchin-like morphology, yielding 74% BHMF at 150  $°C$ ,<sup>311</sup> and Ru@Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, yielding 80% BHMF at 170  $\degree$ C,<sup>312</sup> both using 2-propanol as a hydrogen source. Better BHMF vields (91%) were obtained in 2-propanol at 150  $^{\circ}$ C using a mixed Zr-Ba oxide catalyst supported on SBA-15 mesoporous silica.<sup>313</sup> The efficiency of the mixed catalyst was attributed to the negligible amount of Brønsted acidic sites, usually favoring etherification side-reactions, and to the content of Lewis acidic sites, promoting the reduction of HMF via a Meerwein–Ponndorf– Verley mechanism.314 Despite its moderate BHMF yield (71%), the magnetically recoverable catalyst  $Al<sub>7</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>(QFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was particularly interesting in terms of ease of separation from the reaction mixture and reuse.<sup>315</sup> Recently, an excellent (96.8%) yield of BHMF was achieved in 2-propanol at 130  $^{\circ}$ C, using a large amount of Hf-TPA catalyst (50 wt%) prepared from hafnium tetrachloride and diethylene triamine penta(methylene)phosphonic acid (TPA).<sup>316</sup> The high catalytic efficiency was attributed to the synergistic effect of Hf<sup>4+</sup> Lewis acidic sites and  $O^{2-}$  Lewis basic sites, in that case. The catalyst could be easily recovered and reused for five successive recycles, without activity drops. Chem Soc Rev Bouthead on 2011 is a conveniental method on 2012 and 2020 and 2020 and 2020. Downloaded on 2021 and 2020. The three speeches are the speech

Silanes were used as cheap and easy-to-handle reducing agents. A  $K_2CO_3$ -catalyzed conversion of HMF to BHMF in 94% yield was reported at room temperature, using diphenyl silane as reagent and bio-based 2-methyltetrahydrofurane as solvent.<sup>317</sup> Although an irritant and a high-boiling point liquid, Ph<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub> avoids usual noble metal-based hydrogenation catalysts and high  $H_2$  pressures. A mechanism was speculated in which a carbonate-promoted hydride transfer from silane to the aldehyde group occurs, to afford a siloxane intermediate that gives BHMF after reaction quenching with methanol (Scheme 14). Use of potassium fluoride as a catalyst and polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS) as a hydrogen donor was described, providing BHMF in 95% yield with a TOF of 4.2  $h^{-1}$  at room temperature.<sup>318</sup> The catalyst could be reused over five runs, without activity loss. An increase of the reaction temperature to 80 °C resulted in 98% yield. A two-step, one-pot

process for the direct conversion of fructose to BHMF in 88% yield was also achieved, combining Amberlyst-15 for the dehydration of the sugar to HMF at 120 $\degree$ C, and KF/PMHS, for the hydrogenation step at room temperature.

#### 4.3. Other compounds

The synthesis of other alicyclic compounds, potential functional substitutes of BPA, such as 3-hydroxymethylcyclopentanol (HCPO) which is currently produced from petrochemicals,  $319,320$  has recently been reported using HMF as a substrate in water. Use of a catalyst composed of a mechanical mixture of  $Pt@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ and  $Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  gave HCPO in 88% yield, through a multi-step, one-pot process based on Pt-catalyzed hydrogenation of HMF to BHMF, Lewis acid-catalyzed Piancatelli ring-rearrangement to 4-hydroxy-4- (hydroxymethyl)-cyclopenten-2-one (HHCPEN), and successive acid-catalyzed hydrodeoxygenation and hydrogenation to HCPO, at 140 °C and 30 bar  $H_2$  (Scheme 15).<sup>321</sup> Similarly, HCPO was obtained in higher yield (94%) using  $Co@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  as a bifunctional catalyst at 140 °C and 20 bar  $H_2$ .<sup>322</sup>

In a different approach, homo- or cross-coupling of HMF with furfural (see Section 6) was catalyzed to give furoin-type difuranics, usable in the synthesis of polyurethanes and polyesters.323,324 Thus, organocatalytic homocoupling of HMF using TPT-OMe (5-methoxy-1,3,4-triphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,4 triazoline) gave the triol DHMF shown in Scheme 16 (95% yield), which could then be oxidized  $(MnO<sub>2</sub>$  or air, 95–86% yield)<sup>325,326</sup> or reduced (NaBH<sub>4</sub>, 63% yield),<sup>327</sup> to give the diol BHF and the tetraol BHMH, respectively. Furfural–HMF cross-coupling, catalyzed by N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) in the presence of a base, gave C11 furoins in around  $60\%$  yield.<sup>328</sup> The production of C10 and C12 furoins could be significantly improved to 97% yield by using an azolium catalyst grafted onto either silica or Merrifield resin.329

It must be mentioned that  $p, p'$ -diphenolic acid (DPA) was previously proposed as a BPA substitute from biomass. DPA can be produced from levulinic acid and phenol using mineral acids (HCl,  $H_2SO_4$ ),<sup>330</sup> Brønsted acidic ionic liquids,<sup>331</sup> heteropolyacids<sup>332</sup> and sulfonated polymer<sup>333</sup> catalysts (Scheme 17). Levulinic acid and alkyl levulinates are obtainable from woody raw materials, particularly non-edible agricultural wastes, and from biorefinery side-streams.<sup>334</sup> Technologies are nowadays



Scheme 14 Proposed mechanism for carbonate-catalyzed reduction of HMF by Ph<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub>



Scheme 15 Catalytic synthesis of HCPO from HMF.





available for the production of levulinic acid on a tons per day scale by acid-catalysed dehydration of cellulose sugars.<sup>335,336</sup> Polyether ketones containing DPA units,<sup>337</sup> as well as polyethers bearing DPA pendant groups,<sup>338</sup> have been synthesized.

Table 3 summarises the main features of the catalytic processes detailed in the present review which lead to proposed BPA substitutes from other cellulose-derived sources.

### 5. Substitutes from the lignin depolymerisation chain

Lignin is extracted from biomass using different processes that greatly influence its structure and composition.<sup>339,340</sup> Methods have then been developed to depolymerise and fragment lignin into a huge variety of smaller aromatic molecules, usually referred to as lignin-derived platforms, whose nature depends

on the native biomass and the deconstruction method used.<sup>341,342</sup> Selected examples are shown in Scheme 18. These lignin platforms may be categorised according to the monolignol G, H and S units from which, at least formally, they are derived (Scheme 4). $343,344$  A detailed description of the several strategies, techniques and processes to extract, fraction (particularly *via* reductive catalysis)<sup>345,346</sup> and depolymerise lignin is outside the scope of the present review and can be found elsewhere. $347,348$ As lignin is by far the most abundant renewable source of aromatic platforms,<sup>349,350</sup> exploding interest has been recorded in recent years toward lignin valorisation.<sup>351,352</sup> The lignin biorefinery concept has equally become of increasing importance.<sup>353,354</sup>

Several possibilities exist to convert lignin platforms into building blocks for polymers, taking advantage of the multiple functionalities that they feature: phenolic, aromatic and side-chain.<sup>355,356</sup> Catalytic methods for the synthesis of diol building blocks will be considered in the present review. Other compounds (e.g. epoxies, esters, ethers) can then be obtained by further functionalisation.357,358 It must be underlined, however, that most proposed BPA substitutes from lignin are based on bis-phenolic moieties that, with few notable exceptions, are still obtained by means of classical organic processes using noxious reagents and/or solvents, e.g. coupling reactions by carcinogenic formaldehyde. The development of innovative scaffolds and synthetic strategies is thus strongly desirable, including improved heterogeneous catalysts.

#### 5.1. Substitutes from coniferyl-derived compounds

5.1.1. Vanillin-derived substitutes. One of the most important lignin-derived platforms is vanillin and its direct derivatives (vanillic acid, vanillyl alcohol, 2-methoxyhydroquinone, creosol), together referred to as "vanillin platforms".<sup>359</sup>

A reason for that lies in the usual difficulty of separating and recovering aromatic compounds, with purities and yields attractive for commercial applications, from lignin-depolymerisation streams.<sup>360</sup> Indeed, vanillin is the highest volume, and one of the few, aromatic molecules currently produced on an industrial scale from lignin. To date, the vanillin obtained from lignosulfonates has accounted for around 15% of the total vanillin market (ca. 20 000 tons year<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>361,362</sup> The remaining amount is still produced from oil, through the catechol-guaiacol process.<sup>363,364</sup>





<sup>a</sup> See Section 4.3 for labelling and abbreviations. <sup>b</sup> Reaction solvent, substrate concentration (M) in brackets. <sup>c</sup> Substrate conversion. <sup>d</sup> Selectivity to the product indicated.  $\epsilon$  Catalyst productivity to the product indicated.  $\epsilon$  Mechanical mixture.  $\epsilon$  Calculated with respect to the Pt catalyst.  $\hbar$  Calculated with respect to NEt<sub>3</sub>.



Scheme 18 Some aromatic molecules obtained from lignin deconstruction processes and used in the production of monomeric substitutes of BPA.

Lignin-to-vanillin processes have been detailed in a recent account.365

The building blocks prepared from vanillin platforms, and the bio-polymers thereof, have been exhaustively reviewed up to 2017.<sup>366,367</sup> These building blocks include divanillin (by enzymatic catalysis)<sup>368,369</sup> and the corresponding methylated diol,<sup>370</sup> mesohydrovanilloin, $371$  vanillin acetals  $(1 \text{ and } 2)$ ,  $372,373$  polyaromatic Schiff-bases<sup>374,375</sup> and C1-bridged<sup>376,377</sup> and C2-bridged diphenols  $(4 \text{ and } 5)^{378}$  shown in Scheme 19. Catalytic steps in the syntheses of these compounds from platform molecules were limited to  $C=$ side-chain hydrogenation, over conventional PtO<sub>2</sub> catalysts,  $377$ and acid-catalysed acetalisations (by  $p$ -toluenesulfonic acid). The various coupling methods leading to these building blocks have been described in a recent survey.<sup>379</sup> It is worth mentioning, however, that the synthesis of bisguaiacol F (BGF) isomers has recently been reported via the acid-catalysed condensation of vanillyl alcohol with guaiacol, as safer alternatives to formaldehyde, with the preferential formation of the  $p, p'$ -BGF isomer (70% yield, 82% purity, Scheme 20). Use of the solid acid resin catalyst Dowex DR2030 adds significant benefits to the method.<sup>380</sup> A later study showed that the estrogenic activity of these BGF isomers was lower than that of BPA, thus suggesting their potential as viable alternatives to BPA.<sup>381,382</sup> A number of vanillin-based bis-epoxides,<sup>383,384</sup> polycarbonates and polyesters<sup>385,386</sup> have been made available from the above mentioned scaffolds.

More recently, a diol with a spirocyclic acetal structure (3) was obtained by alkali treatment of 2 with ethylene carbonate.<sup>387</sup> Therein, the greenhouse gas emissions generated by the production of 3 were evaluated to be around one-half of those related to BPA. Copolymerization of 3 with 1,6-hexanediol and dimethyl terephthalate resulted in copolyesters showing improved glass transition temperature and thermal stability, upon incorporation of the spiroacetal unit. The synthesis of the bisphenol carbonate BHMC shown in Scheme 21 was also reported, however using an un-catalysed reaction sequence, chlorinated and THF solvents and toxic phosgene reagent.<sup>388</sup>

5.1.2. Substitutes from ferulic acid. Ferulic acid is the second monophenolic compound produced from lignin by volume.<sup>389,390</sup> It is highly abundant (predominantly the trans isomer) in the cell walls of flowering plants, as well as in sugar beet and sugar cane.<sup>391,392</sup>

Ferulic acid was previously reported as a substrate in the synthesis of homopolymers mimicking the thermal properties of polyethylene terephthalate (PET), i.e. poly(dihydroferulic acid), via Pd@C hydrogenation, followed by  $Zn(OAc)_{2}$  polymerisation (Scheme 22).<sup>393</sup> More recently, a ferulic acid-derived bisphenol containing an isosorbide core (Section 4.1), namely bis-o-dihydroferuloyl isosorbide (IDF), was reported via two-step acid-catalysed esterification and Pd@C-catalysed hydrogenation of ferulic acid in ethanol, followed by lipase-mediated transesterification with isosorbide (Scheme  $23$ ).<sup>394</sup> The as-prepared macromonomer was used in the synthesis of polyesters.197

5.1.3. 4-n-Propylguaiacol. A valuable product of softwood lignin catalytic depolymerisation is 4-n-propylguaiacol.<sup>395</sup> Brønsted acid-catalysed condensation of 4-n-propylguaiacol with formaldehyde, by either homogeneous HCl<sup>396</sup> or heterogeneous zeolites (FAU-40), $397$  afforded the bisguaiacol 5,5'-methylenebis-(4-n-propylguaiacol)  $(m,m'$ -BGF-4P) represented in Scheme 24, in moderate yield and high purity (minor amounts of  $o, m'$  and  $o,o'$  regioisomers were detected). As for other condensation or dehydration processes (Section 4.1.1), the rationale for the use of acidic zeolite catalysts is to avoid unrecoverable mineral acids (e.g. HCl,  $H_2SO_4$ ) or thermolabile sulfonated resins (e.g. Dowex, Amberlyst) often used to this aim. The benefits of zeolites include their thermal stability, high Brønsted acidic-site density and ease of recovery. Indeed, the zeolite catalyst could be easily separated and reused, unfortunately showing a significant loss of activity, which can be regenerated by coke burn-off. Common shortcomings of zeolites are the limited site accessibility and mass transfer of large substrates, due to their intrinsic microporous structure, that can be partially circumvented by the introduction of additional mesoporosity. Noteworthily,  $m, m'$ -BGF-4P showed













Scheme 22 Synthetic pathway to poly(dihydroferulic) acid.





reduced estrogenic receptor activity compared to BPA. This finding, together with the similar one previously reported for BGF, suggests that the presence of methoxy groups in the ortho position strongly decreases the ability to bind and/or activate estrogen receptors in alkylphenols, which was attributed to steric hindrance.<sup>398,399</sup> Polycarbonates ( $T_g$  99 °C), cyanate ester  $(T<sub>g</sub> 193 °C)<sup>396</sup>$  and a variety of epoxy resins were prepared from  $m,m'$ -BGF-4P.<sup>400,401</sup> The increase of the length of the phenolic

side-chain did not affect significantly the yield of polycarbonates (around  $60\%$  for the *n*-propyl, ethyl and methyl monomers), whereas it lowered their tendency to crystallize and their glass transition temperature and improved their solubility in common organic solvents.

5.1.4. Eugenol. Eugenol (and iso-eugenol) can be isolated from lignin and, in higher amounts, from the essential oils of several plants.<sup>402,403</sup> About 2000 tons of eugenol are produced



Scheme 24 Synthesis of C1-bridged diphenols from propylguaiacol and propylsyringol.



Scheme 25 Catalytic metathesis-hydrogenation pathway to C2-bridged diphenols.

yearly from clove oil.<sup>404</sup> These molecules may be used in the synthesis of polymer building blocks.<sup>405</sup>

Thus, the dihydroxystilbene 4 and its di(hydroxyphenyl) ethane 5 analogue were prepared from eugenol, via a catalytic process involving isomerising metathesis and  $C=C$  bond hydrogenation, respectively (Scheme 25).<sup>406</sup> A combination of Pd isomerisation  $( [Pd(\mu-Br)(t-Bu_3P)]_2 )$  and Ru metathesis (Hoveyda–Grubbs type) homogeneous catalysts was required for the first step, whereas the second step was accomplished over conventional Pd@C. Compared to the previously reported synthesis from vanillin, $378$  the benefits of the approach are use of safer solvents (ethanol), a simpler purification procedure, an

entirely catalytic protocol, potential for a one-pot process, and use of a less expensive hydrogenation catalyst (Pd vs. Pt). As for most homogeneous-phase catalysts, the drawbacks include the non-reusability of expensive metal complexes. Both 4 and 5 were found to be non-estrogenic. Polycarbonate and thiol–ene polymers were prepared from these monomers. Polycarbonates were obtained in ca. 80% yield by reacting the monomers with diphenyl carbonate and catalytic LiOH at 180 $\degree$ C, showing lower molecular weights compared to the BPA analogue and  $T_g$  127 °C and 81 $\degree$ C, respectively.

Table 4 summarises the main features of the catalytic processes described in the present review leading to proposed BPA substitutes from coniferyl-derived compounds.

#### 5.2. Substitutes from sinapyl-derived compounds

Various sinapyl-derived molecules (including syringaldehyde, 4-n-propylsyringol, 4-n-propanolsyringol, and 4-propenylsyringol) can be obtained with remarkable selectivity by lignin depolymerisation through catalytic oxidation or reduction processes, 407,408 particularly hydrogen-free fractionation of woody biomass over Pd@C catalysts<sup>409</sup> and lignin-first strategies.<sup>410,411</sup>

Enzymatic oxidative dimerization of sinapyl alcohol, either from lignin degradation or by chemical reduction of sinapic acid, affords syringaresinol (SYR) in very high yield.<sup>412</sup> SYR is a naturally occurring phenol that can be found is small quantities in some flowering plants.<sup>413,414</sup> It can also be obtained by chemical methods from sinapyl alcohol via multistep reaction processes and using stoichiometric amounts of peracids or potassium ferricyanide, however.<sup>415,416</sup> The structure of SYR consists of a rigid cis-fused bis-tetrahydrofuranic ring and two pendant syringol units (Scheme 26). Its rigid core makes it a good functional substituent candidate for BPA. Compared to IDF, featuring a similar bisfuran core (Scheme 23), SYR lacks the flexibility of the aliphatic ester side-chain. Indeed,  $poly(hydroxy)$ urethanes<sup>417</sup> and epoxy-amine resins were synthesized based on the epoxy derivative SYR-EPO, showing better thermal properties compared to parent IDF-based resins.<sup>418</sup> Syringaresinol showed no endocrine disruption activity.

5.2.1. Syringaldehyde. Bisphenols having structures with diverse flexibilities were synthesized from syringaldehyde, via a two-step process comprising uncatalysed Wittig olefination (step 1), followed by either HCl treatment or acid-catalysed (p-TsOH) addition of di(trimethylolpropane) (DTMP) (step 2), to



Catalyst	Biomass-derived substrate	Product	Reaction conditions			Conv.	$\text{Sel}^d$	$\text{Prod}.^e$	
			Solvent <sup>b</sup> $(M)$	$T(^{\circ}C)$	$H_2$ (bar)	(%)	(%)	$\text{[mmol}_{\text{prod}} g_{\text{cat}}^{-1} h^{-1}$	Ref.
Dowex DR2030	Vanillyl alcohol	$p, p'$ -BGF	Free	60		70	82	0.5	380
$K_2CO_3$	Vanillin acetal 2		DMF(0.4)	160		100	90	9.1	387
$Pd@C + CAL-B$	Ferulic acid	IDF	Ethanol $(1.4)$	r.t. $+ 75$		100	72	n.a.	197
<b>FAU-40</b>	4-n-Propylguaiacol	$m,m'$ -BGF-4P	Toluene $(0.5)$	100		40	80	4.3	397
$Pd$ + Ru complexes $J$	Eugenol		Ethanol $(0.3)$	70		100	81	n.a.	406
Pd@C	4		Ethanol $(0.3)$	50		100	95	19.1	406

 $^a$  See Section 5.1 for substrate and product numbering and labelling.  $^b$  Reaction solvent, substrate concentration (M) in brackets.  $^c$  Substrate conversion.  $d$  Selectivity to the product indicated.  $e$  Catalyst productivity to the product indicated.  $f$  Homogeneous-phase catalysts.



Scheme 26 Catalytic synthesis of SYR and the structure of the epoxy derivative SYR-EPO.



Scheme 27 Two-step synthesis of bisphenols from syringaldehyde

give the annulated-HS and the bis-acetal DTMP-HS products shown in Scheme 27, respectively.<sup>419</sup> These compounds could also be obtained directly from lignin after selective degradation in methanolic  $H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , in much lower yields, however. The corresponding bis-epoxy based resins were also synthesized, showing glass transition temperatures of 130  $^{\circ}$ C and 67  $^{\circ}$ C, respectively.

A series of highly rigid triphenylmethane polyphenols (TPs), bearing a various number of methoxy and alkyl substituents, were prepared by conventional acid-catalysed condensation of lignin-derived aldehydes (including syringaldehyde and vanillin) and bio-based phenols (guaiacol, syringol, catechols). $420,421$  Their structures are reported in Scheme 28. The benefits of the method include the use of aromatic aldehydes as bridging reagents, thus avoiding toxic formaldehyde usually employed for this purpose. However, an excess of concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  (ca. 3:1) was required



to achieve yields in the range 5–69%, which decreased upon increasing the number of methoxy substituents. This clearly reduces the feasibility of bulkier TPs as precursors for polymers. Indeed, epoxy thermosets were prepared using TPs with up to four methoxy groups, which exhibited excellent glassy moduli and glass transition temperatures, which was attributed to the rigidity of the TP scaffold. An increase in the number of methoxy groups decreased the  $T_{\rm g}$  value (132–118 °C) and the glassy modulus (2.7–2.2 GPa), in this case.

5.2.2. 4-n-Propylsyringol. Similar to that described above for 4-n-propylguaiacol, the bissyringol 5,5'-methylenebis(4-npropylsyringol) (m,m'-BSF-4P) was isolated in 60% yield and 99% purity, by condensation of 4-n-propylsyringol (obtained by catalytic hydrogenolysis of hardwood lignin over Ru@C; a detailed study was provided) with formaldehyde using HCl as a catalyst (Scheme 24). $422$  Compared to BPA, the bissyringyl scaffold showed lower potency and efficacy toward human estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ . The presence of the bulky methoxy substituents in the 2,6-positions and the *para*-propyl side chain had two significant consequences: reduced tendency to form oligomers and high thermal resistance of the resulting polymers, which may be tentatively attributed to the hindered rotation. Indeed, m,m'-BSF-4P-based aromatic polyesters were synthesized in  $>$  90 wt% yield, showing glass-transition (157 °C) and degradation temperature  $(T_{d,5\%}$  345 °C) values among the highest reported for lignin-based thermoplastics.

Table 5 summarises the main features of the catalytic processes detailed in the present review which lead to proposed BPA substitutes from sinapyl-derived compounds.

### 6. Substitutes from the hemicellulose depolymerisation chain

Hemicellulose is a group of branched heteropolysaccharides containing mainly pentose (xylose, arabinose), as well as hexose units (galactose, glucose, mannose). $423,424$  Hemicellulose is an amorphous polymer, hence more easily solubilised and attacked than cellulose. It represents a natural source of C5

Table 5 Summary of recent catalytic systems for proposed BPA monomeric replacements from sinapyl-derived compounds<sup>a</sup>

	Biomass-derived		Reaction conditions		Conv. $\overset{c}{\cdot}$ (%)	$\text{Sel}^d$	$\text{Prod.}^e$	
Catalyst	substrate	Product	Solvent <sup>b</sup> (M)	$T({}^{\circ}C)$		(%)	$(mmol_{prod} g_{cat}^{-1} h^{-1})$	Ref.
Laccase	Sinapyl alcohol	Syringaresinol	$CH_3CN/H_2Of$	50	100	93	30.4	412
$p$ -TSA $g$	Syringaldehyde	DTMP-HS	Toluene/methanol (n.a.)	70	100	58	n.a.	419
$H_2SO_4$	Syringaldehyde	SYA-PhOH	Ethanol $(0.6)$	65	100	64	0.05	421
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Syringaldehyde Syringaldehyde	<b>SYA-GUA</b> <b>SYA-DMP</b>	Ethanol $(0.6)$ Ethanol $(0.6)$	65 65	100	46 $5^h$	0.03	421 421
HCl	4-n-Propylsyringol	$m,m'$ -BSF-4P	$H_2O$	100	100	60	n.a. n.a.	422
	hemicellulose-derived xylose via challenging threefold acid-						of non-petrochemical phenols. They are obtained on a thousands	
	production methods rely on aqueous phase mineral acid $(H2SO4)$ treatment. <sup>431,432</sup> Several key industrial intermediates and consumer chemicals are then available through further furfural processing. <sup>433,434</sup> Thus, a bis-furanic diol was reported earlier, having a structure resembling that of BPA, and obtained by classical organic reaction from furoic acid and acetone, via mineral acid- mediated coupling and unviable LiAlH <sub>4</sub> reduction (Scheme 29). <sup>435</sup>		catalyzed dehydration, at high temperatures. <sup>429,430</sup> Current				of tons per year scale from trees, from essential oils or as by-products of the food and paper industries. <sup>436</sup> Besides being extracted from plants, terpenoids may also be produced via chemical synthesis from terpenes. In particular, carvacrol is obtained from the essential oils of thyme and origanum, <sup>437,438</sup> and synthetically from bio-sourced limonene (found in citrus fruit oils). <sup>439</sup> The $p, p'$ -isomer of the methylene-bridged bisphenol 6 shown in Scheme 30 was synthesized in high yields, by the HCl-catalyzed coupling of carvacrol with 1,3,5-trioxane. <sup>440</sup> The	
	The parent epoxy derivatives were synthesized. 7. Substitutes from other biomass-derived sources The catalytic synthesis of rigid diols has been described						bisphenol was used as building block for polycarbonates, cyanate ester and epoxy resins <sup>441</sup> whose thermomechanical properties were evaluated, showing $T_g$ values around 120 °C. Cashew nut shell liquid (CNSL) is a non-edible waste product of the cashew nut industry, whose yearly production is around 450 ktons. <sup>442,443</sup> Crude CNSL consists of a mixture of phenol,	

### 7. Substitutes from other biomass-derived sources



Scheme 29 Synthesis of diols from furoic acid

Cashew nut shell liquid (CNSL) is a non-edible waste product of the cashew nut industry, whose yearly production is around 450 ktons.442,443 Crude CNSL consists of a mixture of phenol, resorcinol, 2,6-xylenol and salicylic acid derivatives having C-15 aliphatic side chains, with different unsaturation degrees.<sup>444,445</sup> The main component of refined CNSL is cardanol.<sup>446</sup> Several polymer and surfactant building blocks were prepared from cardanol. The topic has been reviewed in detail up to 2018.447,448

Lately, the cardanol-derived bisphenol 7 was prepared by conventional formaldehyde condensation using oxalic acid as a catalyst (Scheme 31). $449$  This was used in the synthesis of thermosetting epoxy resins that showed higher tensile strength and impact strength compared to the BPA-based congener.



	Biomass-derived substrate		Reaction conditions			Conv.	$\text{Sel}^d$	Prod <sup>e</sup>	
Catalyst		Product	Solvent <sup>b</sup> $(M)$	$T({}^{\circ}C)$	$H_2$ (bar)	(%)	(9)	(mmol <sub>prod</sub> $g_{cat}^{-1}$ h <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.
нcі	Carvacrol		H <sub>2</sub> O (0.9)	80		100	55	0.9	440
Oxalic acid	Cardanol		Free	100		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	449
Pd@C	<i>trans-Resveratrol</i>	Dihydroresveratrol	<b>THF</b>	r.t.	0.5	100	98	n.a.	450
Cu/Zn/Al	4-Formylcyclohex-3- enecarboxylate	<b>CHDM</b>	Ethanol $(0.6)$	240	40	100	76	2.3	460

 $a$  See Section 7 for substrate and product numbering and labelling.  $b$  Reaction solvent, substrate concentration (M) in brackets.  $c$  Substrate conversion.  $d$  Selectivity to the product indicated.  $e$  Catalyst productivity to the product indicated.





trans-Resveratrol, as well as its congeners cis-resveratrol and dihydroresveratrol, was used as a basis for cyanate ester thermosettings, showing very high  $T_g$  (>350 °C) and decomposition temperature ( $>$ 400 °C), which are significantly higher than those of the BPA and BPE parents. $450$  trans-Resveratrol is found in remarkable amounts in the skin of grapes, peanuts and Japanese knotweed.<sup>451,452</sup> The structure of transresveratrol, shown in Scheme 32, consists of a stilbene scaffold bearing three hydroxyl groups, from which the cis isomer and the dihydro-derivative can be obtained by UV irradiation $453$  and usual Pd@C hydrogenation,<sup>454</sup> respectively.

Recently, several homo- and co-polymers were described containing the octahydro-2,5-pentalenediol (OPD) unit, which features a rigid bicyclic skeleton of fused cyclopentane rings (Scheme 33).455,456 This scaffold was aimed at increasing the thermal stability of the isosorbide core (Section 4.1), while retaining a similar rigidity. OPD can be prepared from naturally occurring citric acid, via dimethyl-1,3-acetonedicarboxylate and glyoxal, through a multiple reaction sequence of classical, un-catalysed organic reactions.457,458 Co-polycarbonates containing OPD, CHDM (see below) and diphenyl carbonate were synthesized, showing an increase in tensile modulus and glass transition temperature upon increasing the OPD content.<sup>459</sup>

It is worth mentioning that cyclohexane oxygenates, such as 1,4-cyclohexanedimethanol (CHDM) and 1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid (CHDA), were obtained from biomass-derived raw materials and used in the synthesis of copolyesters.<sup>460</sup> These



Scheme 33 Synthesis of OPD from citric acid



Scheme 34 Biomass-derived synthesis of CHDM.

monomers are usually prepared by hydrogenation of oil-based phthalic acids under harsh reaction conditions. A mild two-step procedure using crotonaldehyde, formaldehyde and ethyl acrylate, from biomass fermentation/gasification processes, afforded CHDM in 76% yield, as sketched in Scheme 34. In the first step, a one-pot proline-catalyzed [3+1+2] cycloaddition gave 4-formylcyclohex-3-enecarboxylate, which was then hydrogenated over commercial Cu/Zn/Al catalysts (240  $^{\circ}$ C, 4.0 MPa H<sub>2</sub>). An alternative hydrogenation, oxidation, and hydrolysis reaction sequence yielded 78% CHDA.

Although outside the timespan covered by the present review, it must be mentioned that BPA substitutes were also proposed from bio-sourced gallic acid (from hydrolyzable tannins found in several flowering plants), <sup>461</sup> hydroxytyrosol (from oleuropein found in argan oil, olive oil and olive leaves),  $462$ anethole and other substrates.

Anethole, predominantly in the trans form, is a flavouring aromatic compound found in the essential oils of several plants, including anise, fennel liquorice and star anise. The latter oil contains more than 90% anethole and it is produced on a hundreds of tons per year scale.<sup>463</sup> A peculiar rigid monomer has been reported based on a cis-fused ring diphenol (8) obtained from anethole in two steps: strong acid treatment,







Scheme 36 Structures of 2,3-pentanedione-derived bisphenols and CBDO.

followed by demethylation (Scheme 35). Low overall yields were, however, observed, wherein stoichiometric use of dangerous reagents  $(H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, pyridine, phosphorus oxychloride) was$ required.<sup>464</sup>

The bisphenols shown in Scheme 36 were obtained in good yields by the acid-catalysed condensation of phenol with lactic acid-derived 2,3-pentanedione.465,466 In turn, lactic acid can be produced catalytically from bio-sourced polysaccharides.<sup>467,468</sup> Interestingly, homogeneous and heterogeneous sulfonic acid catalysts could be used for this purpose, wherein the reusability of insoluble ones (e.g. Nafion NR50) was demonstrated. The as-prepared bisphenols were coupled with PET to afford polyesters with improved thermal properties, compared to the BPA analogues.

2,2,4,4-Tetramethylcyclobutan-1,3-diol (CBDO, Scheme 36) and its derivatives have been recently used to replace BPA in commercial copolyesters, including Tritan<sup>tM</sup>, PETF,<sup>469</sup> PPTF, PBTF<sup>470</sup> and PECTF.<sup>471</sup> The incorporation of these cyclic diols resulted in the improved thermal and mechanical properties of the polymers. However, CBDO is obtained from petroleum derived isobutyric anhydride.<sup>472</sup> In 2012 a synthesis of CBDO was proposed via Meldrum's acid, starting from bio-based malonic acid, through a complex eight-step procedure, wherein a catalytic C=C hydrogenation was achieved over  $Pd@C.^{473}$ 

Finally, it is worth mentioning that, while submitting the present review, at least two notable contributions appeared describing the selective hydrogenation of HMF to BHMF over a bimetallic Ni–Re@TiO2<sup>474</sup> catalyst and the continuous flow hydrogenation of vanillin to vanillyl alcohol over Ni@porous nitrogen-doped carbon, $475$  respectively. Further, the synthesis

of the unconventional  $m_p p'$ -BGF and  $o_p p'$ -BGF isomers was achieved by condensation of guaiacol with bio-derived isovanillyl and ortho-vanillyl alcohol, respectively.<sup>476</sup> The oestrogenic activity of  $p$ , $p'$ -BGF was also shown to be two orders of magnitude lower than that of the  $p, p'$ -BPF homologue, thus confirming the beneficial effect of ortho-methoxy substituents on the toxicity of bis-phenols. The readers may refer to the relevant literature for details.

### 8. Conclusions and future perspectives

Polymers are an important component of our society, contributing to the improvement of the quality of our life. Yet, they pose severe environmental issues.477,478 Most commercial polymers are produced from fossil sources and disposed within relatively short periods, compared to the long time required for their biodegradation, if any. Still, they may contain or release components toxic to human health and the environment. An alternative and renewable supply of carbon feedstock is embedded in polysaccharides, lignin, terpenes, and other small molecules available from plants. From these matters it is possible to produce a large part of the chemicals currently manufactured form oil, including polymers. A clear industrial example is 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid, used to replace noxious terephthalic acid in plastic bottles, and obtained from biomass  $via$  glucose conversion.<sup>479,480</sup> Significant improvements are needed in the identification of abundant crops not competing with the food industry, including a better use of agricultural and forestry waste, and in the conversion of these crops into useful platforms through sustainable and safer processes. Chem Soc Rev<br>
Chem Access Article 2020.<br>
Chem Access Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles American Critics Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles America

#### Synthetic strategies for BPA replacements from biomass

A perusal of the literature highlights the great potential of catalysis, and the significant advancements achieved, in the synthesis of building blocks for polymers manufactured from biomass and its derivatives. A variety and a number of potential BPA substitutes have been made available through catalytic methods, using platform raw materials mostly originating from cellulose and lignin deconstruction processes. While cellulose is the source of furanic and alicyclic rigid diols, a spectrum of bis-phenols featuring hindered rotation is accessible from lignin. These bio-based diols have been demonstrated to be key building blocks in the design and synthesis of highperformance plastic materials, characterised by improved thermal and mechanical stabilities.

Since cellulose is a regular, monosaccharide-based polymer, a variety of catalysts and strategies have been developed allowing for the upgrade of cellulose-derived sugars to specific building blocks based on these units, each with its own advantages. Significant advancements were recently offered in the engineering of heterogeneous catalysts, often sophisticated, featuring high efficiency in the conversion of cellulose-derived platforms (sugar alcohols, HMF) to rigid diols, sometimes using concentrated aqueous solution feeds. Several catalytic systems have been

demonstrated, enabling dehydration and hydrogenation steps. Still, by surveying the recent literature, a number of major challenges may be identified in this field. Challenge one: in order to be transferred from the bench to the industrial scale, catalysts should be available in appropriate amounts at competitive costs and, possibly, implemented into existing reactor plants. Therefore, a desirable achievement is the sustainable manufacture of heterogeneous catalysts based on non-critical support raw materials and non-noble metals.<sup>481,482</sup> Moreover, besides costs and efficiency, catalyst resistance is also considered as a crucial issue. Most reports claim catalyst recyclability; however, parameters such as selectivity drop, changes in reaction kinetics upon catalyst reuse, metal leaching, long-term productivity and the need for catalyst regeneration are not always evaluated quantitatively.<sup>483,484</sup> Challenge two: a major weakness of the above processes, particularly if intermediate conversion products are targeted, is selectivity under mild reaction conditions.<sup>485</sup> This is critical for industrial applications, wherein minimisation of energy inputs shall couple with reduced downstream processing (i.e. separation, purification). Use of organic solvents often used for this purpose,  $486,487$  as well as for the enhancement of substrate/product solubility, should also be avoided in favour of (concentrated) original water solutions. Great potential for selectivity improvement may be provided by catalysis under continuous flow conditions, because of the possibility for a careful control of reaction conditions, namely contact time with the catalyst and homogeneous heating.<sup>488</sup> Challenge three: design of novel catalysts enabling efficient multistep cascade processes in one-pot. This would open up the possibility for process intensification via direct conversion of cellulose to target chemicals, while avoiding intermediate conventional mineral acid depolymerisation protocols.<sup>489</sup> The engineering of truly heterogeneous bifunctional catalysts, wherein acidic and metal functionalities are comprised in a single catalytic body, will be both critical and intriguing to this aim. The efficiency of such catalysts is ruled by the fine tuning of diverse factors and their interplay: nature of metal, type and strength of acidic sites, balance of acid and metal loading, and site accessibility. Actually, examples have already been reported for the direct upgrade of cellulose without isolation of intermediate streams, for instance in the case of isosorbide synthesis (Section 4.1.3). However, these systems underperform with respect to carbon balance, mostly due to the significant formation of cellulose acidic depolymerisation by-products (humins, levulinates, oligomers) and dehydration isomers. This is partially due to the mixtures of supported metals and soluble acid catalysts often used to this aim.<sup>490,491</sup> Therefore, the development of innovative solid acid catalysts will be of utmost importance in the biorefinery industry,  $492,493$  provided that high acidic-site density and tolerance to water and to medium to high temperatures are ensured. Indeed, the conventional sulfonated resin catalysts used in the acid-catalysed depolymerisation steps suffer from limited thermal resistance. This may be circumvented, for example, using inorganic niobia or polymeric perfluorosulfonic acids.<sup>494,495</sup> Further, the engineering of single (continuous flow) processing lines, in which specialised Review Article<br>
Sum Soc Rev. and the mass of the mass are allowed to the mass are allowed to common a common at on

molecules are directly obtained from upstream substrates, would be highly desirable, e.g. combining supported enzymatic catalysis (for depolymerisation) and inorganic catalysis (for the upgrade of the intermediate platforms).<sup>496,497</sup> Innovative solid support materials, with improved stability, mass transport properties and efficient processing, are thus required. This may be the case of unconventional, inorganic monoliths featuring interconnected dual porosities (meso, macro).<sup>498,499</sup> Finally, the existing gap in catalysis between the laboratory scale and the validation scale at the industrial readiness level shall be bridged in most cases.

By contrast, lignin is a very complex polymer which results in a variety of chemicals by deconstruction, depending on the biomass source and the method, and hardly reducible to single platform molecules. As a consequence, despite the huge amount of lignin available, its potential for chemical synthesis is still underutilised. Despite the recent remarkable advancements, e.g. reductive catalytic fractionation or catalytic oxidative depolymerization methods,<sup>410,500</sup> here the bottleneck is the immature technology for the selective depolymerisation of lignin and the conversion to the desired platforms.501,502 The further step is the upgrade of lignin platforms to potential BPA substitutes, which at present is often available through unexceptional organic methods, however. Indeed, recent advancements, although significant, restrict to the adoption of zeolite or enzyme catalysts for the synthesis of diphenolic scaffolds from monolignol units.<sup>397</sup> Herein some challenges can be identified. Challenge one: development of improved technologies for the selective conversion of lignin to chemicals, economically and sustainably. These new solutions shall optimise the utilisation of heterogeneously composed raw materials, while enabling the large-scale production of bulk chemicals for the process industry. Challenge two: development of catalytic deconstruction technologies affording novel building blocks, other than monolignol-derived monomers, directly from lignin, *i.e.* bis-phenols as in the case of naturally occurring BPF,<sup>503</sup> SYR and lignans.<sup>504,505</sup> Challenge three: most proposed BPA replacements from lignin are based on bis-phenol moieties. However, with the few notable exceptions outlined above, they are usually synthesized via conventional, sometimes uncatalysed, organic reactions. Typical is the case of C1-bridged bis-phenols obtained by formaldehyde coupling, using soluble mineral acids  $(H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and HCl) and, often, noxious or unstable solvents (see Tables 4–6). Thus, inventive solutions are needed, which avoid use of toxic reagents and media and which comply with the principles of green and sustainable chemistry. Novel rigid scaffolds have to be designed, for instance mimicking natural molecules and built upon C0-linked phenols or fused rings. $504$  Use of Brønsted acid solid catalysts would be, once again, highly beneficial in this case too.

As emerged from the literature, several other BPA replacements may be produced from the catalytic conversion of other biomass sources. Some specific challenges may be envisaged. Challenge one: to increase the scenario of available platforms and production of BPA replacements, featuring structure alternatives to monosaccharide or phenol-based ones. High-throughput

#### Chem Soc Rev Review Article **Chem Soc Review Article**

screening methods are expected to be particularly useful to this aim. Herein, possibilities are plenty, provided that the amount of raw material is suitable for industrial use. From that, a second challenge is closely related: to improve the uptake of unconventional, non-edible biomass sources, such as algae, biorefinery side-streams and waste (food, agricultural). The role of catalysis will be crucial in developing flexible and sustainable conversion routes in this direction.

#### Properties of BPA monomer replacements and derived polymers

Although the present review does not explicitly cover the specific properties of monomers aiming at the manufacture of polymers with improved performances, rather the catalytic synthesis of the monomers themselves, some challenges may be inferred from the literature targeting a rational design of effective BPA replacements. They can be briefly summarised herein. Challenge one: are the proposed BPA replacements really safe? Before evaluating the potential for use in sustainable polymer synthesis, novel building blocks should undergo preliminary (endocrine) toxicity tests. This particularly concerns phenolic scaffolds, due to the known adverse health effects.<sup>506,507</sup> The absence of relevant data is not proof for safety. Hence, caution must be exercised whenever replacing chemicals of known toxicity, with others of unknown toxicity. We scrutinized the data available from ECHA for all BPA substitutes described in the present review. The Harmonised Classification and Labelling of Hazardous Substances reported at the time of publication are collected in the ESI,† Tables S1 and S2. With very few exceptions, a perusal of these tables shows that very little is known about the toxicity of most of the proposed BPA replacements. Indeed, no hazards have been classified in the REACH register only for isosorbide and isomannide. All other chemicals are not registered (mostly), pre-registered or registered in Annex III (i.e. substances predicted or suspected to meet hazard criteria). This means that most of the claimed BPA substitutes are actually "potential" substitutes, at least from a hazard point of view, and that this gap must be filled by further data.508,509 On the other hand, additional issues shall be considered in this regard. In some cases, e.g. cashew nutshell phenols, $510,511$  processable feedstock is toxic, which complicates their effective use on the industrial scale. Also, the endocrine activity of most replacement candidates was shown to be ruled by a combination of subtle factors. For instance, evidence indicates that the presence of ortho methoxy substituents in bis-phenols reduces their activity.<sup>476</sup> Further screenings are thus highly recommended, eventually extended to various receptors. Challenge two: are the suggested BPA replacements viable? As outlined in Section 3.1, once safety criteria are met, chemical substitution at large should be offered at competitive costs, compared to existing technologies. From a catalysis point of view, this includes evaluation of the cost of catalysts, the long term productivity and selectivity of catalysts, the cost of feedstock pre-treatment (if any) and downstream processing, and the availability of raw materials. This requires a techno-economic analysis which is seldom performed.<sup>186,387</sup> Other relevant parameters are

the sustainability and environmental impact of production. Key enabling technologies, such as bifunctional or continuous flow catalysis, the use of concentrated water solutions and mild reaction conditions, would contribute substantially to this end, but still underutilised for the synthesis of monomers from biomass, see e.g. Tables 1–6. Challenge three: are the proposed replacements equally relevant to the synthesis of polymers? What is offered by the present overview is a panorama of suitable monomers for BPA substitutions. It is then the duty of polymer chemists to make a selection of appropriate scaffolds and strategies targeted at the obtainment of polymers with the desired properties. This will end up in the identification of novel industrially relevant chemical building blocks. However, this requires a more detailed molecular level understanding of structure–function relationships. For instance, in the case of BPA replacements, a ''rigid structure'' is a requirement that refers to a rather vague attribute, lacking detailed molecular descriptors. Computer-aided molecular simulations may help in addressing this point. Further, in most cases, the proposed substitutes indeed have been shown to result in polymers with the desired stability and thermoplastic properties, however with very different reactivities and processabilities, which sometimes hamper their effective use in the manufacture of polymers. This may be the case of triphenylmethane polyphenols.420 Challenge four: once the toxicity, viability and effectiveness of proposed BPA substitutes are ascertained, it would be essential to perform degradation tests of the derived polymers. Deconstruction of polymers into low molecular weight, useful compounds or reusable monomers would help in addressing a circular economy of plastics, which is usually achieved (when possible) *via* enzymatic biocatalysis,  $512,513$  and, more recently, via organo<sup>514,515</sup> or metal-based catalysis.<sup>516,517</sup> Open Access Article. Published on 04 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/30/2024 3:18:48 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cs00179a)**

In conclusion, the results reported in the recent literature describing catalysts and approaches for the synthesis of monomers from biomass that are proposed as BPA replacements and that are collected in the present review provide a large choice of potential candidates for substitution. While quite advanced catalytic systems are available for the upgrade of cellulosederived feeds, the conversion of platforms originating from lignin still needs significant improvements, mostly due to the inherent complexity of lignin materials. In addition, further studies should be performed aiming at a careful evaluation of compliance with the viability and effectiveness criteria of the proposed BPA replacements, whose extension to chemical substitution, in general, would contribute to a safer and more sustainable future.

### List of acronyms



BPF 4,4'-Methylenediphenol

1 PlasticsEurope, Association of Plastics Manufacturers, Plastics – the Facts 2018, Brussels, 2018. 2 L. M. Heidbreder, I. Bablok, S. Drews and C. Menzel, Sci. Total Environ, 2019, 668, 1077–1093. 3 T. Narancic and K. E. O'Connor, Microbiology, 2019, 165, 129–137. 4 R. V. Moharir and S. Kumar, J. Cleaner Prod., 2019, 208, 65–76.

Notes and references

5 N. Raddadi and F. Fava, Sci. Total Environ, 2019, 679, 148–158.

6 S. L. Wright and F. J. Kelly, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 6634–6647.

7 R. Lehner, C. Weder, A. Petri-Fink and B. Rothen-Rutishauser, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2019, 53, 1748–1765.

8 J. Zheng and S. Suh, Nat. Clim. Change, 2019, 9, 374–378.

9 A. Dormer, D. P. Finn, P. Ward and J. Cullen, J. Cleaner Prod., 2013, 51, 133–141.

10 K. Ragaert, L. Delva and K. Van Geem, Waste Manage., 2017, 69, 24–58.

11 P. Thomas, N. P. Rumjit, C. W. Lai, M. R. B. Johan and M. P. Saravanakumar, Polymer-Recycling of Bulk Plastics in Reference Module in Materials Science and Materials Engineering, Elsevier, 2019.

12 For the IUPAC definition of biobased polymers, see: M. Vert, Y. Doi, K. H. Hellwich, M. Hess, P. Hodge, P. Kubisa, M. Rinaudo and F. Schué, Pure Appl. Chem., 2012, 84, 377–410.

13 T. P. Haider, C. Völker, J. Kramm, K. Landfester and F. R. Wurm, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 50–62.

14 S. Spierling, E. Knüpffer, H. Behnsen, M. Mudersbach, H. Krieg, S. Springer, S. Albrecht, C. Herrmann and H. J. Endres, J. Cleaner Prod., 2018, 185, 476–491.

15 D. K. Schneiderman and M. A. Hillmyer, Macromolecules, 2017, 50, 3733–3749.

16 World Economic Forum, Ellen MacArthur Foundation and McKinsey & Company, The New Plastics Economy – Rethinking the future of plastics, 2016.

17 Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Policies for Bioplastics in the Context of a Bioeconomy, OECD Science, Technology and Industry Policy Papers, No. 10, OECD Publishing, Paris, 28 October 2013.

18 United Nations General Assembly, Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, A/RES/70/1, 21 October 2015.

19 European Commission, A European Strategy for Plastics in a Circular Economy, COM(2018) 28 final, Brussels, 16 January 2018.

20 United Sates Environmental Protection Agency, Sustainable Materials Management Program Strategic Plan, Fiscal Year 2017–2022, October 2015.

21 Sustainable Process Industry through Resource and Energy Efficiency, SPIRE Roadmap 2030, Brussels, 2019.

22 Bio-based Industries Consortium, Strategic Innovation and Research Agenda, Brussels, May 2017.

BPS Bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)sulfone BILs Brønsted acidic ionic liquids  $m,m'$ -BSF-4P 5,5<sup> $\prime$ </sup>  $5,5'$ -Methylenebis(4-*n*-propylsyringol) CBDO 2,2,4,4-Tetramethylcyclobutan-1,3-diol CHDA 1,4-Cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid CHDM 1,4-Cyclohexanedimethanol CNF Carbon nanofibers CNSL Cashew nut shell liquid CNT Carbon-nanotubes CTH Catalytic transfer hydrogenation DBU 1,8-Diazabicyclo<sup>[5.4.0]</sup>undec-7-ene DMC Dimethyl carbonate DMF N,N-Dimethylformamide  $p$ ,  $p'$ -Diphenolic acid DTMP Di(trimethylolpropane) ECHA European Chemical Agency GBL  $\gamma$ -Butyrolactone HCPO 3-Hydroxymethylcyclopentanol HHCPEN 4-Hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)-cyclopenten-2-one HMF 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural HT Hydrotalcite IDF Bis-o-dihydroferuloyl isosorbide LDH Layered double hydroxide MIBK Methyl isobutyl ketone MPTS (3-Mercaptopropyl)trimethoxysilane MST Mesoporous sulfated titania NHC N-Heterocyclic carbene OPD Octahydro-2,5-pentalenediol PET Polyethylene terephthalate PEIT Poly-(ethylene-co-isosorbide)terephthalate PIC Poly(isosorbide carbonate) PMHS Polymethylhydrosiloxane PMO Periodic mesoporous organosilica TGA Thermogravimetric analysis TOF Turnover frequency TPA Diethylene triaminepenta(methylene)phosphonic acid TPT-OMe 5-Methoxy-1,3,4-triphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,4 triazoline p-TsOH p-Toluenesulphonic acid REACH Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals SDG Sustainable development goals SHTC Sulfonated hydrothermal carbon SVHCs Substances of very high concern SYR Syringaresinol SYR-EPO Diepoxy syringaresinol TPs Triphenylmethane polyphenols WHSV Weight-hourly-space-velocity ZrP Zirconium phosphate Open Access Article<br>
2020. And the significant articles. And the significant articles are the significant and the significant article is licensed under the significant and the significant and the significant and the signif

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

- 23 European Bioplastics, Industrial use of agricultural feedstock, Berlin, January 2019.
- 24 The European Chemical Industry Council, Innovation can impact the European Strategy for Plastics, Brussels, April 2019.
- 25 SusChem European Technology Platform for Sustainable Chemistry, Strategic Innovation and Research Agenda, Brussels, 2017.
- 26 U. Gundert-Remy, J. Bodin, C. Bosetti, R. FitzGerald, A. Hanberg, U. Hass, C. Hooijmans, A. A. Rooney, C. Rousselle, H. van Loveren, D. Wölfle, F. Barizzone, C. Croera, C. Putzu and A. F. Castoldi, Bisphenol A (BPA) hazard assessment protocol, European, Food Safety Authority (EFSA), EFSA supporting publication 2017:EN-1354, 2017. Open Access Article. Published on 04 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/30/2024 3:18:48 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cs00179a)**
	- 27 A. Llevot, E. Grau, S. Carlotti, S. Grelier and H. Cramail, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2016, 37, 9–28.
	- 28 A. M. Nelson and T. E. Long, Polym. Int., 2012, 61, 1485–1491.
	- 29 F. Ng, G. Couture, C. Philippe, B. Boutevin and S. Caillol, Molecules, 2017, 22, 149–197.
	- 30 S. Ma, T. Li, X. Liu and J. Zhu, Polym. Int., 2016, 65, 164–173.
	- 31 D. J. Burke, T. Kawauchi, M. J. Kade, F. A. Leibfarth, B. McDearmon, M. Wolffs, P. H. Kierstead, B. Moon and C. J. Hawker, ACS Macro Lett., 2012, 1, 1228–1232.
	- 32 M. Habib, M. Trajkovic and M. W. Fraaije, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 5549–5552.
	- 33 A. de Angelis, P. Ingallina and C. Perego, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2004, 43, 1169–1178.
	- 34 F. W. Neumann and W. E. Smith, J. Org. Chem., 1966, 31, 4318–4320.
	- 35 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Toxicological profile for phenol, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Atlanta, Georgia, September 2008.
	- 36 Eco-profiles and Environmental Product Declarations of the European Plastics Manufacturers – Bisphenol A (BPA), PlasticsEurope, Brussels, January 2019.
	- 37 K. Shimizu, S. Kontani, S. Yamada, G. Takahashi, T. Nishiyama and A. Satsuma, Appl. Catal., A, 2010, 380, 33–39.
	- 38 H. M. Belfadhel, J. Brouwer, M. Vieveen, C. Wold and E. Brander, WO061274A1, 2013.
	- 39 G. M. Kissinger and N. P. Wynn, US5362900, 1994.
	- 40 P. Czub, in Bisphenol-A in Handbook of Engineering and Specialty Thermoplastics, Volume 3: Polyethers and Polyesters, ed. T. Sabu and P. M. Visakh, Wiley, 2011, ch. 7.
	- 41 Bisphenol A (BPA) Market Analysis By Application (Polycarbonates, Epoxy resins) Regional and Segment Forecasts To 2025, Report ID 978-1-68038-169-6, Grand View Research Inc., San Francisco, June 2015.
	- 42 Bisphenol A (BPA) Market Growth, Trends, and Forecast (2019–2024), Report ID 4520075, Research and Markets, Dublin, April 2019.
	- 43 Data from [http://bisphenol-a-europe.org/,](http://bisphenol-a-europe.org/) accessed 18 July 2019.
	- 44 European Chemicals Agency, Use of bisphenol A and its alternatives in thermal paper in the EU – 2018 update, Helsinki, June 2019.
- 45 X. Xua, S. Zong, W. Chen and D. Liu, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 369, 470–479.
- 46 P. V. L. Reddy, K. H. Kim, B. Kavitha, V. Kumar, N. Raza and S. Kalagara, J. Environ. Manage., 2018, 213, 189–205.
- 47 A. A. Jones, Macromolecules, 1985, 18, 902–906.
- 48 E. Can, S. Kusefoglu and R. P. Wool, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2002, 83, 972–980.
- 49 J. Wei, S. Ma, H. Yue, S. Wang and J. Zhu, Macromol. Res., 2018, 26, 529–538.
- 50 K. Sommer, J. Batoulis, W. Jilge, L. Morbitzer, B. Pittel, R. Plaetschke, K. Reuter, R. Timmermann, K. Binder, W. Paul, F. T. Gentile, D. W. Heermann, K. Kremer, M. Laso, U. W. Suter and P. J. Ludovice, Adv. Mater., 1991, 3, 590–599.
- 51 C. Berti, M. Colonna, M. Fiorini, C. Lorenzetti and P. Marchese, Macromol. Mater. Eng., 2004, 289, 49–55.
- 52 C. Koning, J. Wildeson, R. Parton, B. Plum, P. Steeman and D. J. Darensbourg, Polymer, 2001, 42, 3995–4004.
- 53 W. J. Choi, R. K. Bay and A. J. Crosby, Macromolecules, 2019, 52, 7489–7494.
- 54 D. Freitag, G. Fengler and L. Morbitzer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 1598–1610.
- 55 J. Karger-Kocsis, O. Gryshchuk and N. Jost, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2003, 88, 2124–2131.
- 56 A. Shareef, M. J. Angove, J. D. Wells and B. B. Johnson, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2006, 51, 879–881.
- 57 M. Zielinska, I. Wojnowska-Baryla and A. Cydzik-Kwiatkowska, Bisphenol A Removal from Water and Wastewater, Springer, 2019, ch. 2.
- 58 S. Borrirukwisitsak, H. E. Keenan and C. Gauchotte-Lindsay, Int. J. Environ. Sci. Dev., 2012, 3, 460–464.
- 59 J. Im and F. E. Löffler, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2016, 50, 8403–8416.
- 60 J. Corrales, L. A. Kristofco, W. B. Steele, B. S. Yates, C. S. Breed, E. S. Williams and B. W. Brooks, Dose-Response, 2015, 3, 1–29.
- 61 C. Brede, P. Fjeldal, I. Skjevrak and H. Herikstad, Food Addit. Contam., 2003, 20, 684–689.
- 62 P. Mercea, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2009, 112, 579–593.
- 63 J. H. Kang, K. Kito and F. Kondo, J. Food Prot., 2003, 66, 1444–1447.
- 64 Y. Takao, H. C. Lee, S. Kohra and K. Arizono, J. Health Sci., 2002, 48, 331–334.
- 65 C. Nerin, C. Fernández, C. Domeňo and J. Salafranca, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2003, 51, 5647–5653.
- 66 K. A. Ehlert, C. W. E. Beumer and M. C. E. Groot, Food Addit. Contam., 2008, 25, 904–910.
- 67 J. N. Hahladakis, C. A. Velis, R. Weber, E. Iacovidou and P. Purnell, J. Hazard. Mater., 2018, 344, 179–199.
- 68 E. J. Hoekstra and C. Simoneau, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 2013, 53, 386–402.
- 69 N. C. Maragou, A. Makri, E. N. Lampi, N. S. Thomaidis and M. A. Koupparis, Food Addit. Contam., 2008, 25, 373–383.
- 70 A. B. Paula, D. Toste, A. Marinho, I. Amaro, C. M. Marto, A. Coelho, M. Marques-Ferreira and E. Carrilho, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2019, 16, 1627–1647.
- 71 A. F. Fleisch, P. E. Sheffield, C. Chinn, B. L. Edelstein and P. J. Landrigan, Pediatrics, 2010, 126, 760–768.
- 72 J. Rovira and J. L. Domingo, Environ. Res., 2019, 168, 62–69.
- 73 S. Biedermann, P. Tschudin and K. Grob, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2010, 398, 571–576.
- 74 B. Alves Rocha, L. Ferreira Azevedo, M. Gallimberti, A. Dobal Campiglia and F. Barbosa Jr., J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A, 2015, 78, 1181–1188.
- 75 J. Lopez-Cervantes and P. Paseiro-Losada, Food Addit. Contam., 2003, 20, 596–606.
- 76 A. Ozaki, A. Yamaguchi, T. Fujita, K. Kuroda and G. Endo, Food Chem. Toxicol., 2004, 42, 1323–1337.
- 77 E. M. Munguı´a-Lo´pez, S. Gerardo-Lugo, E. Peralta, S. Bolumen and H. Soto-Valdez, Food Addit. Contam., 2005, 22, 892–898.
- 78 M. Lorber, A. Schecter, O. Paepke, W. Shropshire, K. Christensen and L. Birnbaum, Environ. Int., 2015, 77, 55–62.
- 79 A. Schecter, N. Malik, D. Haffner, S. Smith, T. R. Harris, O. Paepke and L. Birnbaum, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 9425–9430.
- 80 A. Ballesteros-Gómez, S. Rubio and D. Pérez-Bendito, J. Chromatogr. A, 2009, 1216, 449–469.
- 81 L. N. Vandenberg, R. Hauser, M. Marcus, N. Olea and W. V. Welshons, Reprod. Toxicol., 2007, 24, 139–177.
- 82 A. N. Edginton and L. Ritter, Environ. Health Perspect., 2009, 117, 645–652.
- 83 A. M. Calafat, J. Weuve, X. Ye, L. T. Jia, H. Hu, S. Ringer, K. Huttner and R. Hauser, Environ. Health Perspect., 2009, 117, 639–644.
- 84 S. Almeida, A. Raposo, M. Almeida-González and C. Carrascosa, Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf., 2018, 17, 1503–1517.
- 85 Y. Ma, H. Liu, J. Wu, L. Yuan, Y. Wang, X. Du, R. Wang, P. W. Marwa, P. Petlulu, X. Chen and H. Zhang, Environ. Res., 2019, 176, 108575.
- 86 T. Geens, D. Aerts, C. Berthot, J. P. Bourguignon, L. Goeyens, P. Lecomte, G. Maghuin-Rogister, A. M. Pironnet, L. Pussemier, M. L. Scippo, J. Van Loco and A. Covaci, Food Chem. Toxicol., 2012, 50, 3725–3740.
- 87 N. K. Wilson, J. C. Chuang, M. K. Morgan, R. A. Lordo and L. S. Sheldon, Environ. Res., 2007, 103, 9–20.
- 88 M. Giulivo, M. de Lopez Alda, E. Capri and D. Barceló, Environ. Res., 2016, 151, 251–264.
- 89 P. Alonso-Magdalena, A. B. Ropero, S. Soriano, M. García-Arévalo, C. Ripoll, E. Fuentes, I. Quesada and Á. Nadal, Mol. Cell. Endocrinol., 2012, 355, 201–207.
- 90 J. Apau, A. Acheampong and E. Adua, Cogent Chem., 2018, 4, 1506601.
- 91 L. A. Hoepner, Environ. Res., 2019, 173, 54–68.
- 92 S. Rahmani, N. P. Khalili, F. Khan, S. Hassani, E. Ghafour-Boroujerdi and M. Abdollahi, Life Sci., 2018, 214, 136–144.
- 93 M. H. Sowlat, S. Lotfi, M. Yunesian, R. Ahmadkhaniha and N. Rastkari, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 2016, 23, 21125–21140.
- 94 D. D. Seachrist, K. W. Bonk, S. M. Ho, G. S. Prins, A. M. Soto and R. A. Keri, Reprod. Toxicol., 2016, 59, 167–182.
- 95 S. A. Hafezi and W. M. Abdel-Rahman, Curr. Mol. Pharmacol., 2019, 12, 230–238.
- 96 C. J. Carter and R. A. Blizard, Neurochem. Int., 2016, 101, 83–109.
- 97 C. S. Rosenfeld, Front. Neuroendocrinol., 2017, 47, 123–133.
- 98 L. Mínguez-Alarcón, R. Hauser and A. J. Gaskins, Fertil. Steril., 2016, 106, 864–870.
- 99 J. S. Siracusa, L. Yin, E. Measel, S. Liang and X. Yu, Reprod. Toxicol., 2018, 79, 96–123.
- 100 J. R. Rochester, Reprod. Toxicol., 2013, 42, 132–155.
- 101 E. Matuszczak, M. D. Komarowska, W. Debek and A. Hermanowicz, Int. J. Endocrinol., 2019, 4068717.
- 102 European Commission Joint Research Centre, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection, Updated European Risk Assessment Report, 4,4'-Isopropylidenediphenol - Part 1 Environment, Ispra, 2010. Neview Article<br>
21 A. F. Fiersch, P. E. Sherifold, C. Chinn, B. L. Tackbooking article is licensed on 16. August 2020. A. This article is licensed under the most common and the Creative Common and the Creative Common and t
	- 103 C. A. Staples, P. B. Dom, G. M. Klecka, S. T. O'Blook and L. R. Harris, Chemosphere, 1998, 36, 2149–2173.
	- 104 J. H. Kang, D. Aasi and Y. Katayama, Crit. Rev. Toxicol., 2007, 37, 607–625.
	- 105 J. Oehlmann, M. Oetken and U. Schulte-Oehlmann, Environ. Res., 2008, 108, 140–149.
	- 106 L. Canesi and E. Fabbri, Dose-Response, 2015, 13, 1–14.
	- 107 M. Bilal, H. M. N. Iqbal and D. Barceló, Sci. Total Environ, 2019, 689, 160–177.
	- 108 S. Boudalia and M. Oudir, Res. J. Environ. Toxicol., 2016, 10, 189–192.
	- 109 Commission Implementing Regulation No. 321/2011 of 1 April 2011 amending Regulation (EU) No. 10/2011 as regards the restriction of use of Bisphenol A in plastic infant feeding bottles.
	- 110 LOI no. 2010-729 du 30 juin 2010 tendant a` suspendre la commercialisation de tout conditionnement comportant du bisphénol A et destiné à recevoir des produits alimentaires. Version consolidée au 06 septembre 2019.
	- 111 Regulation (EC) No. 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, 2006.
	- 112 European Chemicals Agency, Inclusion of substances of very high concern in the Candidate List for eventual inclusion in Annex XIV, Helsinki, 2017.
	- 113 ECHA Substance information 4,4'-isopropylidenediphenol. [https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substan](https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.001.133) [ceinfo/100.001.133,](https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.001.133) accessed 6 September 2019.
	- 114 Commission Regulation (EU) 2016/2235 of 12 December 2016 amending Annex XVII to Regulation (EC) No. 1907/ 2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) as regards bisphenol A.
	- 115 Judgment of the General Court of 11 July 2019. Case T-185/17.
	- 116 California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), List of Chemicals as Known to the State of California to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity, Proposition 65 (1986). [https://oehha.ca.gov/proposition-](https://oehha.ca.gov/proposition-65/chemicals/bisphenol-bpa)[65/chemicals/bisphenol-bpa,](https://oehha.ca.gov/proposition-65/chemicals/bisphenol-bpa) accessed 6 September 2019.
- 117 Assembly Bill 1319 (2011), Chapter 467.
- 118 National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL) Policy Update: State Restrictions on Bisphenol A (BPA) in Consumer Products. [http://www.ncsl.org/research/environment](http://www.ncsl.org/research/environment-and-natural-resources/policy-update-on-state-restrictions-on-bisphenol-a.aspx)[and-natural-resources/policy-update-on-state-restrictions-on](http://www.ncsl.org/research/environment-and-natural-resources/policy-update-on-state-restrictions-on-bisphenol-a.aspx)[bisphenol-a.aspx](http://www.ncsl.org/research/environment-and-natural-resources/policy-update-on-state-restrictions-on-bisphenol-a.aspx), accessed 9 September 2019.
- 119 Federal Register, Vol. 78, No. 134, July 12, 2013. Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, 21 CFR Part 175.
- 120 Federal Register, Vol. 77, No. 137, July 17, 2012. Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, 21 CFR Part 177.
- 121 United States Environmental Protection Agency, Bisphenol A Alternatives in Thermal Paper, Final Report, January 2014.
- 122 Canada Gazette, Part II: Order Amending Schedule 1 to the Hazardous Products Act (Bisphenol A), March 31, 2010.
- 123 J. Tickner and M. Jacobs, Improving the Identification, Evaluation, Adoption and Development of Safer Alternatives: Needs and Opportunities to Enhance Substitution Efforts within the Context of REACH, University of Massachusetts, Lowell, 2016.
- 124 European Chemical Agency, Strategy to promote substitution to safer chemicals through innovation, Helsinki, 2018.
- 125 S. O. Hansson and C. Rudén, The Substitution Principle, Swedish Chemicals Agency, Stockholm, 2007.
- 126 R. Lofstedt, J. Risk Res., 2014, 17, 543–564.
- 127 J. M. Lohse, A. Wirts, K. Ahrens, S. Heitman, L. Lundie, A. Lissner and A. Wagner, Substitution of Hazardous Chemicals in Products and Processes. A report compiled for the Directorate General Environment, Nuclear Safety and Civil Protection of the Commission of the European Communities, Hamburg, March 2003. Open Soc Rev Book (117 Assembly 811 a119 (2011), Chapter 457.<br>
117 Novinton Conference of State registerators (NoSi) India, 138 CEPIC, Measuring Into Jack Unported under antention on a method on 8/2020.<br>
118 Novinton Commo
	- 128 D. C. Hendershot, J. Loss Prev. Process Ind., 1997, 10, 151–157.
	- 129 M. Carus, L. Dammer, Á. Puente, A. Raschka and O. Arendt, Bio-based drop-in, smart drop-in and dedicated chemicals, Nova-Institut GmbH, 2017.
	- 130 M. Sara, S. K. Brar and J. F. Blais, Platform Chemical Biorefinery – Future Green Industry, Elsevier, 2014, ch. 14.
	- 131 E. T. Lavoie, L. G. Heine, H. Holder, M. S. Rossi, R. E. Lee, E. A. Connor, M. A. Vrabel, D. M. Di Fiore and C. L. Davies, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 9244–9249.
	- 132 National Research Council, A Framework to Guide Selection of Chemical Alternatives, The National Academies Press, Washington, 2014.
	- 133 T. Schug, R. Abagyan, B. Blumberg, T. Collins, D. Crews, P. De Fur, S. Dickerson, T. Edwards, A. Gore and L. Guillette, Green Chem., 2013, 15, 181–198.
	- 134 N. D. Anastas, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 4325–4331.
	- 135 M. M. Jacobs, T. F. Malloy, J. A. Tickner and S. Edwards, Environ. Health Perspect., 2016, 124, 265–280.
	- 136 P. Marion, B. Bernela, A. Piccirilli, B. Estrine, N. Patouillard, J. Guilbot and F. Jérôme, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 4973-4989.
	- 137 H. Cheng and L. Wang, Lignocelluloses Feedstock Biorefinery as Petrorefinery Substitutes, Biomass Now – Sustainable Growth and Use, IntechOpen, London, 2013, ch. 14.
- 138 CEFIC, Measuring bio-based raw materials use in the chemical industry, 2014.
- 139 OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Substitution and Alternatives Assessment Toolbox.<http://www.oecdsaatoolbox.org>, accessed 16 January 2020.
- 140 Substitution Support Portal. [http://www.subsport.eu/](http://www.subsport.eu/substitution-steps) [substitution-steps,](http://www.subsport.eu/substitution-steps) accessed 20 January 2019.
- 141 D. Chen, K. Kannan, H. Tan, Z. Zheng, Y. L. Feng, Y. Wu and M. Widelka, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2016, 50, 5438–5453.
- 142 Y. Hu, Q. Zhu, X. Yan, C. Liao and G. Jiang, Environ. Res., 2019, 178, 108732.
- 143 ECHA Substance Information 4,4'-sulphonyldiphenol. [https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substan](https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.001.137) [ceinfo/100.001.137,](https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.001.137) accessed 22 January 2020.
- 144 ECHA Substance Information bisphenol AF. [https://echa.](https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.014.579) [europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.014.](https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.014.579) [579](https://echa.europa.eu/it/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.014.579), accessed 22 January 2020.
- 145 N. Andújar, Y. Gálvez-Ontiveros, A. Zafra-Gómez, L. Rodrigo, M. J. Álvarez-Cubero, M. Aguilera, C. Monteagudo and A. Rivas, Nutrients, 2019, 11, 2136–2154.
- 146 K. Pelch, J. A. Wignall, A. E. Goldstone, P. K. Ross, R. B. Blain, A. J. Shapiro, S. D. Holmgren, J. H. Hsieh, D. Svoboda, S. S. Auerbach, F. M. Parham, S. A. Masten, V. Walkera, A. Rooney and K. A. Thayer, Toxicology, 2019, 424, 152235.
- 147 Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire, alimentation, environment, travail, Substitution du bisphénol A, March 2013.
- 148<https://substitution-bp.ineris.fr/en>, accessed February 1st 2020.
- 149 M. Möller and U. Schröder, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 22253-22260.
- 150 C. M. Welker, V. K. Balasubramanian, C. Petti, K. M. Rai, S. De Bolt and V. Mendu, Energies, 2015, 8, 7654–7676.
- 151 A. Ludwiczuk, K. Skalicka-Woźniak and M. I. Georgiev, Terpenoids, Pharmacognosy – Fundamentals, Applications and Strategies, Elsevier B.V., 2017, ch. 11.
- 152 F. Aeschelmann and M. Carus, Bio-based Building Blocks and Polymers in the World – Capacities, Production and Applications: Status Quo and Trends toward 2020, Nova-Institut GmbH, Hürth, 2015.
- 153 N. Scarlat, J. F. Dallemand, F. Monforti-Ferrario and V. Nita, Environ. Dev., 2015, 15, 3–34.
- 154 F. Cavani, S. Albonetti, F. Basile and A. Gandini, Chemicals and Fuels from Bio-Based Building Blocks, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2016.
- 155 S. Santoro, F. Ferlin, L. Luciani, L. Ackermann and L. Vaccaro, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 1601–1612.
- 156 Platform molecules are key intermediates between raw materials and final products. They are defined as ''chemical compounds whose constituent elements originate wholly from biomass (material of biological origin, excluding fossil carbon sources), and that can be utilised as a building block for the production of other chemicals''. See: T. J. Farmer and M. Mascal, Introduction to Chemicals from Biomass, John Wiley & Sons, 2nd edn, 2015, ch. 4.
- 157 Z. Fang, R. L. Smith, Jr. and X. Qi, Production of Platform Chemicals from Sustainable Resources, Springer Nature, Singapore, 2017.
- 158 L. T. Mika, E. Cséfalvay and Á. Németh, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 505–613.
- 159 B. H. Shanks and P. L. Keeling, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 3177–3185.
- 160 J. J. Bozell and G. R. Petersen, Green Chem., 2010, 12, 539–554.
- 161 G. Centi and R. A. van Santen, Catalysis for Renewables, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007.
- 162 J. H. Clark and F. Deswarte, Introduction to Chemicals from Biomass, Wiley, 2nd edn, 2015.
- 163 S. G. Wettstein, D. M. Alonso, E. I. Gürbüz and J. A. Dumesic, Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng., 2012, 1, 218–224.
- 164 Catalytic Hydrogenation for Biomass Valorization, ed. R. Rinaldi, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2015.
- 165 F. S. Xiao and L. Wang, Nanoporous Catalysts for Biomass Conversion, John Wiley & Sons, 2018.
- 166 G. Gómez Millán, S. Hellsten, J. Llorca, R. Luque, H. Sixta and A. M. Balu, ChemCatChem, 2019, 11, 2022–2042.
- 167 B. C. E. Makhubela and J. Darkwa, Johnson Matthey Technol. Rev., 2018, 62, 4–31.
- 168 P. Sudarsanam, E. Peeters, E. V. Makshina, V. I. Parvulescu and B. F. Sels, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2019, 48, 2366–2421.
- 169 D. J. Cole-Hamilton and R. P. Tooze, Catalyst Separation, Recovery and Recycling, Chemistry and Process Design, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- 170 P. Barbaro and F. Liguori, Heterogenized Homogeneous Catalysts for Fine Chemicals Production, Springer, London, 2010.
- 171 M. J. Climent, A. Corma and S. Iborra, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1072–1133.
- 172 P. Barbaro, F. Liguori, N. Linares and C. Moreno-Marrodan, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2012, 3807–3823.
- 173 H. Li, Z. Fang, Jr., R. L. Smith and S. Yang, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci., 2016, 55, 98–194.
- 174 J. C. Serrano-Ruiz, R. M. West and J. A. Dumesic, Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng., 2010, 1, 79–100.
- 175 R. Gérardy, R. Morodo, J. Estager, P. Luis, D. P. Debecker and J. C. M. Monbaliu, Top. Curr. Chem., 2019, 377, 1–35.
- 176 R. Ricciardi, J. Huskens and W. Verboom, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 2586–2605.
- 177 N. G. Anderson, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2012, 16, 852–869.
- 178 P. Bajpai, Recycling and Deinking of Recovered Paper, 2014, pp. 283–295, DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-416998-2.00016-7.
- 179 International Energy Agency Bioenergy, Task 4.2 Biorefinery, Bio-based Chemicals – Value Added Products from Biorefineries. [https://www.iea-bioenergy.task42-biorefineries.](https://www.iea-bioenergy.task42-biorefineries.com) [com](https://www.iea-bioenergy.task42-biorefineries.com), accessed 20 January 2020.
- 180 M. Hingsamer and G. Jungmeier, Biorefineries, The Role of Bioenergy in the Emerging Bioeconomy, Elsevier, 2019, ch. 5.
- 181 D. Esposito and M. Antonietti, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 5821–5835.
- 182 R. De Clercq, M. Dusselier and B. F. Sels, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 5012–5040.
- 183 I. Delidovich, P. J. C. Hausoul, L. Deng, R. Pfützenreuter, M. Rose and R. Palkovits, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 1540–1599.
- 184 V. Mittal, Polymers from Renewable Resources, Renewable Polymers: Synthesis, Processing, and Technology, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, 2011, ch. 1. Review Article<br>
157 2. Fang, R. L. Smith, Jr. and X. Qi, Production of Plagform 162 R. De Cleent, D. Colombia published accessores Article is licensed under a L. Detail of Commons Attribution 3.18:48 AM. This article is li
	- 185 Y. Lee, E. E. Kwon and J. Lee, Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol., 2019, 18, 317–334.
	- 186 X. Zhang, M. Fevre, G. O. Jones and R. M. Waymouth, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 839–885.
	- 187 I. T. Horváth, E. Cséfalvay, L. T. Mika and M. Debreczeni, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 2734–2740.
	- 188 H. Hong, B. G. Harvey, G. R. Palmese, J. F. Stanzione III, H. W. Ng, S. Sakkiah, W. Tong and J. M. Sadler, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2016, 13, 705–721.
	- 189 D. Klemm, B. Heublein, H. P. Fink and A. Bohn, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3358–3393.
	- 190 J. L. Wertz, J. P. Mercier and O. Bédué, Cellulose Science and Technology, EPFL Press, 2010.
	- 191 F. G. Calvo-Flores and J. A. Dobado, ChemSusChem, 2010, 3, 1227–1235.
	- 192 R. Vanholme, K. Morreel, C. Darrah, P. Oyarce, J. H. Grabber, J. Ralph and W. Boerjan, New Phytol., 2012, 196, 978–1000.
	- 193 A. Shrotri, H. Kobayashi and A. Fukuoka, Adv. Catal., 2017, 60, 59–123.
	- 194 D. S. Bajwa, G. Pourhashem, A. H. Ullah and S. G. Bajwa, Ind. Crops Prod., 2019, 139, 111526.
	- 195 Lignin and Lignans: Advances in Chemistry, ed. C. Heitner, D. Dimmel and J. Schmidt, CRC Press, 2010.
	- 196 P. M. Hergenrother, High Perform. Polym., 2003, 15, 3–45.
	- 197 F. Pion, P. H. Ducrot and F. Allais, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 2014, 215, 431–439.
	- 198 D. Sun, S. Sato, W. Ueda, A. Primo, H. Garcia and A. Corma, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 2579–2597.
	- 199 Y. B. Huang and Y. Fu, Green Chem., 2013, 15, 1095–1111.
	- 200 L. Hu, L. Lin, Z. Wu, S. Zhou and S. Liu, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 174–175, 225–243.
	- 201 J. Zhang, J. B. Li, S. B. Wu and Y. Liu, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2013, 52, 11799–11815.
	- 202 R. J. van Putten, J. C. van der Waal, E. de Jong, C. B. Rasrendra, H. J. Heeres and J. G. de Vries, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 1499–1597.
	- 203 H. Xia, S. Xu, H. Hu, J. An and C. Li, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 30875–30886.
	- 204 G. W. Huber, S. Iborra and A. Corma, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 4044–4098.
	- 205 S. Chen, R. Wojcieszak, F. Dumeignil, E. Marceau and S. Royer, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 11023–11117.
	- 206 A. I. Stankiewicz and J. A. Moulijn, Chem. Eng. Prog., 2000, 22–34.
	- 207 N. V. Gromov, O. P. Taran, K. N. Sorokina, T. I. Mishchenko, S. Uthandi and V. N. Parmon, Catal. Ind., 2016, 8, 176–186.
	- 208 F. Menegazzo, E. Ghedini and M. Signoretto, Molecules, 2018, 23, 2201–2219.
- 209 H. Kobayashi, T. Komanoya, S. K. Guha, K. Hara and A. Fukuoka, Appl. Catal., A, 2011, 409–410, 13–20.
- 210 J. N. Cohn, G. Johnson, S. Ziesche, F. Cobb, G. Francis, F. Tristani, R. Smith, W. B. Dunkman, H. Loeb and M. Wong, N. Engl. J. Med., 1991, 325, 303–310.
- 211 M. Rose and R. Palkovits, ChemSusChem, 2012, 5, 167–176.
- 212 C. Dussenne, T. Delaunay, V. Wiatz, H. Wyart, I. Suisse and M. Sauthier, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 5332–5344.
- 213 F. Fenouillot, A. Rousseau, G. Colomines, R. Saint-Loup and J. P. Pascault, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2010, 35, 578–622.
- 214 X. Feng, A. J. East, W. B. Hammond, Y. Zhang and M. Jaffe, Polym. Adv. Technol., 2011, 22, 139–150.
- 215 D. J. Saxon, A. M. Luke, H. Sajjad, W. B. Tolman and T. M. Reineke, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2020, 101, 101196–101210.
- 216 S. Chatti and H. R. Kricheldorf, J. Macromol. Sci., Part A: Pure Appl. Chem., 2006, 43, 967–975.
- 217 M. Yokoe, K. Aoi and M. Okada, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2003, 41, 2312–2321.
- 218 A. Yamaguchi, O. Sato, N. Mimura and M. Shirai, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 45575–45578.
- 219 H. Yokoyama, H. Kobayashi, J. Hasegawa and A. Fukuoka, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 4828–4834.
- 220 F. Aricò, S. Evaristo and P. Tundo, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 1176–1185.
- 221 H. G. Fletcher and R. M. Goepp, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1946, 68, 939–941.
- 222 L. W. Wright and J. D. Brandner, J. Org. Chem., 1964, 29, 2979–2982.
- 223 E. Hagberg, K. Martin, J. Van Ee, J. Le Nôtre, D. S. Van Es and J. Van Haveren, WO 125950A1, 2013.
- 224 J. Le Nôtre, J. Van Haveren and D. S. Van Es, ChemSusChem, 2013, 6, 693–700.
- 225 S. Howard, B. Smith and J. Terrian, US0044744A1, 2018.
- 226 Grand View Research. Isosorbide Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report By Application, By End Use, By Region, and Segment Forecasts, 2019–2025; 2019, accessed August 2019. [https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry](https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/isosorbide-industry)[analysis/isosorbide-industry.](https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/isosorbide-industry)
- 227 J. Xia, D. Yu, Y. Hu, B. Zou, P. Sun, H. Li and H. Huang, Catal. Commun., 2011, 12, 544–547.
- 228 P. Fuertes, US213439A1, 2008.
- 229 K. M. Moore and A. J. Sanborn, US152907A1, 2004.
- 230 C. G. Moyers, J. K. Withrom and J. L. Stephens, US259056A1, 2009.
- 231 N. Fujihana, Y. Tategami and M. Mihashi, JP316025(A), 2006.
- 232 H. Wyart and M. Ibert, US0092782A1, 2019.
- 233 R. P. Dash, N. R. Srinivas and R. J. Babu, Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm., 2019, 45, 1421–1429.
- 234 G. Diamond, A. Hagemeyer, V. Murphy and V. Sokolovskii, Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screening, 2018, 21, 616–630.
- 235 R. Otomo, T. Yokoi and T. Tatsumi, Appl. Catal., A, 2015, 505, 28–35.
- 236 H. Kobayashi, H. Yokoyama, B. Feng and A. Fukuoka, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 2732–2735.
- 237 R. S. Thombal and V. H. Jadhav, Tetrahedron Lett., 2016, 57, 4398–4400.
- 238 R. S. Thombal and V. H. Jadhav, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 30846–30851.
- 239 J. M. Fraile and C. J. Saavedra, ChemistrySelect, 2017, 2, 1013–1018.
- 240 M. J. Ginés-Molina, R. Moreno-Tost, J. Santamaría-González and P. Maireles-Torres, Appl. Catal., A, 2017, 537, 66–73.
- 241 J. Shi, Y. Shan, Y. Tian, Y. Wan, Y. Zheng and Y. Feng, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 13514–13521.
- 242 A. Cubo, J. Iglesias, G. Morales, J. A. Melero, J. Moreno and R. Sánchez-Vázquez, Appl. Catal., A, 2017, 531, 151-160.
- 243 K. N. Tayade and M. Mishra, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2013, 3, 1288–1300.
- 244 A. S. Dias, M. Pillinger and A. A. Valente, J. Catal., 2005, 229, 414–423.
- 245 A. A. Dabbawala, J. J. Park, A. H. Valekar, D. K. Mishra and J. S. Hwang, Catal. Commun., 2015, 69, 207–211.
- 246 P. Bhanja, J. Na, T. Jing, J. Lin, T. Wakihara, A. Bhaumik and Y. Yamauchi, Chem. Mater., 2019, 31, 5343–5362.
- 247 R. Lin and Y. Ding, Materials, 2013, 6, 217–243.
- 248 O. A. Rusu, W. F. Hoelderich, H. Wyart and M. Ibert, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 176–177, 139–149.
- 249 D. Cao, B. Yu, S. Zhang, L. Cui, J. Zhang and W. Cai, Appl. Catal., A, 2016, 528, 59–66.
- 250 A. A. Dabbawala, S. M. Alhassan, D. K. Mishra, J. Jegala and J. S. Hwang, Mol. Catal., 2018, 454, 77–86.
- 251 J. Deng, B. H. Xu, Y. F. Wang, X. E. Mo, R. Zhang, Y. Li and S. J. Zhang, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2017, 7, 2065–2073.
- 252 K. Matuszek, A. Chrobok, F. Coleman, K. R. Seddon and M. Swadz´ba-Kwas´ny, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 3463–3471.
- 253 N. Abramenko, L. Kustov, L. Metelytsia, V. Kovalishyn, I. Tetko and W. Peijnenburg, J. Hazard. Mater., 2020, 384, 121429. Chem Soc Rev Bookshi, T. Komanog, S. K. Gulas, K. Ham and 237 R. S. Thombal and V. H. Jadhav, *Network-Milles*. Published on 11. Leading the common Access Articles. Published on 11. Leading *N. H. Commons Aggust 2020*, A.
	- 254 K. S. Egorov and V. P. Ananikov, Biophys. Rev., 2018, 10, 881–900.
	- 255 M. Yabushita, H. Kobayashi, A. Shrotri, K. Hara, S. Ito and A. Fukuoka, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2015, 88, 996–1002.
	- 256 B. D. Rabideau, K. N. Westa and J. H. Davis Jr., Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 5019–5031.
	- 257 C. Dussenne, H. Wyart, V. Wiatz, I. Suisse and M. Sauthier, Mol. Catal., 2019, 463, 61–66.
	- 258 P. Che, F. Lu, X. Si, H. Ma, X. Niea and J. Xu, Green Chem., 2018, 20, 634–640.
	- 259 F. Aricò, P. Tundo, A. Maranzana and G. Tonachini, Chem-SusChem, 2012, 5, 1578–1586.
	- 260 F. Aricò and P. Tundo, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2016, 12, 2256–2266.
	- 261 P. Barbaro, F. Liguori and C. Moreno-Marrodan, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 2935–2940.
	- 262 F. Liguori, C. Moreno-Marrodan and P. Barbaro, Chin. J. Catal., 2015, 36, 1157–1169.
	- 263 V. Trombettoni, D. Lanari, P. Prinsen, R. Luque, A. Marrocchi and L. Vaccaro, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci., 2018, 65, 136–162.
	- 264 I. Sádaba, M. López Granados, A. Riisager and E. Taarning, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 4133–4145.
- 265 G. Liang, C. Wu, L. He, J. Ming, H. Cheng, L. Zhuo and F. Zhao, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 839–842.
- 266 J. Keskiväli, S. Rautiainen, M. Heikkilä, T. T. T. Myllymäki, J. P. Karjalainen, K. Lagerblom, M. Kemell, M. Vehkamäki, K. Meinander and T. Repo, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 4563–4570. Review Article<br>
26 G. Linny, C. W., 4. T. H. (1997). T. H. (1997). A AN-Horse, A. Champion, Come Come, 2021.<br>
16. Downloaded on 2020. The M. (1997). T. H. (1997). A American Commons Attribution 3.14:48 AM. The Commons Ame
	- 267 A. Yamaguchi, O. Sato, N. Mimura and M. Shirai, Catal. Commun., 2015, 67, 59–63.
	- 268 A. Yamaguchi, N. Mimura, M. Shirai and O. Sato, J. Jpn. Pet. Inst., 2016, 59, 155–159.
	- 269 Y. Yang, W. Zhang, F. Yang, B. Zhou, D. Zeng, N. Zhang, G. Zhao, S. Hao and X. Zhang, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 2199–2206.
	- 270 P. Sun, X. D. Long, H. He, C. G. Xia and F. W. Li, Chem-SusChem, 2013, 6, 2190–2197.
	- 271 P. Zhou and Z. Zhang, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2016, 6, 3694–3712.
	- 272 K. De Oliveira Vigier and F. Jérôme, Top. Curr. Chem., 2010, 295, 63–92.
	- 273 C. Moreau, M. N. Belgacem and A. Gandini, Top. Catal., 2004, 27, 11–30.
	- 274 W. Fan, C. Verrier, Y. Queneau and F. Popowycz, Curr. Org. Synth., 2019, 16, 583–614.
	- 275 A. S. Amarasekara, 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural Based Polymers, Renewable Polymers: Synthesis, Processing, and Technology, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2011, ch. 9.
	- 276 X. Kong, Y. Zhu, Z. Fang, J. A. Kozinski, I. S. Butler, L. Xu, H. Songa and X. Wei, Green Chem., 2018, 20, 3657–3682.
	- 277 K. Gupta, R. K. Rai and S. K. Singh, ChemCatChem, 2018, 10, 2326–2349.
	- 278 Y. Nakagawa, K. Takada, M. i. Tamura and K. Tomishige, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 2718–2726.
	- 279 J. Wei, T. Wang, P. Tang, X. Tang, Y. Sun, X. Zeng and L. Lin, Curr. Org. Chem., 2019, 23, 2155–2167.
	- 280 X. Tang, J. Wei, N. Ding, Y. Sun, X. Zeng, L. Hu, S. Liu, T. Lei and L. Lin, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2017, 77, 287–296.
	- 281 L. Foppa and J. Dupon, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 1886–1897.
	- 282 D. Adu-Mensah, D. Mei, L. Zuo, Q. Zhang and J. Wang, Fuel, 2019, 251, 660–668.
	- 283 C. Moreno-Marrodan, F. Liguori and P. Barbaro, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2017, 13, 734–754.
	- 284 A. Hommes, H. J. Heeres and J. Yue, ChemCatChem, 2019, 11, 4671–4708.
	- 285 A. Cadu, K. Sekine, J. Mormul, D. M. Ohlmann, T. Schaub and A. S. K. Hashmi, Green Chem., 2018, 20, 3386–3393.
	- 286 D. Ohlmann, T. Shaub, A. Cadu and S. K. Hashmi, WO220003A1, 2018.
	- 287 S. Fulignati, C. Antonetti, D. Licursi, M. Pieraccioni, E. Wilbers, H. J. Heeres and A. M. Raspolli Galletti, Appl. Catal., A, 2019, 578, 122–133.
	- 288 A. Jouve, S. Cattaneo, S. Capelli, M. Stucchi, C. Evangelisti, A. Villa and L. Prati, Molecules, 2019, 24, 316–329.
	- 289 J. Han, Y. H. Kim, H. Seok Jang, S. Y. Hwang, J. Jegal, J. Won Kim and Y. S. Lee, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 93394–93397.
- 290 R. Alamillo, M. Tucker, M. Chia, Y. Pagan-Torres and J. A. Dumesic, Green Chem., 2012, 14, 1413–1419.
- 291 A. Roucoux, A. Nowicki and K. Philippot, Rhodium and Ruthenium Nanoparticles in Catalysis, in Nanoparticles and Catalysis, ed. D. Astruc, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007, pp. 349–388.
- 292 C. Michel and P. Gallezot, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 4130–4132.
	- 293 J. Chen, R. Liu, Y. Guo, L. Chen and H. Gao, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 722–733.
	- 294 J. Chen, Y. Ge, Y. Guo and J. Chen, J. Energy Chem., 2018, 27, 283–289.
	- 295 Y. Zhu, X. Kong, H. Zheng, G. Ding, Y. Zhu and Y. W. Li, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 4208–4217.
	- 296 G. Bottari, A. J. Kumalaputri, K. K. Krawczyk, B. L. Feringa, H. J. Heeres and K. Barta, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 1323–1327.
	- 297 N. Perret, A. Grigoropoulos, M. Zanella, T. D. Manning, J. B. Claridge and M. J. Rosseinsky, ChemSusChem, 2016, 9, 521–531.
	- 298 L. Yu, L. He, J. Chen, J. Zheng, L. Ye, H. Lin and Y. Yuan, ChemCatChem, 2015, 7, 1701–1707.
	- 299 X. Kong, R. Zheng, Y. Zhu, G. Ding, Y. Zhu and Y. W. Li, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 2504–2514.
	- 300 S. Lima, D. Chadwick and K. Hellgardt, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 31401–31407.
	- 301 B. Xiao, M. Zheng, X. Li, J. Pang, R. Sun, H. Wang, X. Pang, A. Wang, X. Wang and T. Zhang, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 2175–2184.
	- 302 D. Hu, H. Hu, H. Zhou, G. Li, C. Chen, J. Zhang, Y. Yang, Y. Hu, Y. Zhang and L. Wang, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2018, 8, 6091–6099.
	- 303 C. Pischetola, L. Collado, M. A. Keane and F. Cárdenas-Lizana, Molecules, 2018, 23, 2905–2920.
	- 304 Y. Duan, J. Zhang, D. Li, D. Deng, L. F. Ma and Y. Yang, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 26487–26493.
	- 305 K. Tanabe, Catal. Today, 2003, 78, 65–77.
	- 306 T. Okuhara, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 3641–3666.
	- 307 P. P. Upare, Y. K. Hwang and D. W. Hwang, Green Chem., 2018, 20, 879–885.
	- 308 X. Xiang, J. Cui, G. Ding, H. Zheng, Y. Zhu and Y. Li, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 4506–4510.
	- 309 X. Tang, H. Chen, L. Hu, W. Hao, Y. Sun, X. Zeng, L. Lin and S. Liu, Appl. Catal., B, 2014, 147, 827–834.
	- 310 G. Yi and Y. G. Zhang, ChemSusChem, 2012, 5, 1383–1387.
	- 311 J. He, M. R. Nielsen, T. W. Hansen, S. Yang and A. Riisager, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2019, 9, 1289–1300.
	- 312 T. Wang, J. Zhang, W. Xie, Y. Tang, D. Guo and Y. Ni, Catalysts, 2017, 7, 92–99.
	- 313 J. Wei, X. Cao, T. Wang, H. Liu, X. Tang, X. Zeng, Y. Sun, T. Lei, S. Liu and L. Lin, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2018, 8, 4474–4484.
	- 314 N. J. Wise and J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 3639–3641.
	- 315 J. He, H. Li, A. Riisager and S. Yang, ChemCatChem, 2018, 10, 430–438.
	- 316 L. Hu, X. Dai, N. Li, X. Tang and Y. Jiang, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2019, 3, 1033–1041.
- 317 J. Long, W. Zhao, Y. Xu, H. Li and S. Yang, Catalysts, 2018, 8, 633–644.
- 318 W. Zhao, W. Wu, H. Lia, C. Fang, T. Yang, Z. Wang, C. He and S. Yang, Fuel, 2018, 217, 365–369.
- 319 T. Akashi, S. Sato, R. Takahashi, T. Sodesawa and K. Inui, Catal. Commun., 2003, 4, 411–416.
- 320 M. Renz, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2005, 979–988.
- 321 J. Ohyama, Y. Ohiraa and A. Satsuma, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2017, 7, 2947–2953.
- 322 R. Ramos, A. Grigoropoulos, N. Perret, M. Zanella, A. P. Katsoulidis, T. D. Manning, J. B. Claridge and M. J. Rosseinsky, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 1701–1713.
- 323 H. Zang, K. Wang, M. Zhang, R. Xie, L. Wang and E. Y. X. Chen, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2018, 8, 1777–1798.
- 324 J. F. Wilson and E. Y. X. Chen, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 7035–7046.
- 325 Z. Mou and E. Y. X. Chen, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 7118–7129.
- 326 J. Donnelly, C. R. Müller, L. Wiermans, C. J. Chuck and P. Dominguez de Maria, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 2714–2718.
- 327 Z. Mou, S. K. Feng and E. Y. X. Chen, Polym. Chem., 2016, 7, 1593–1602.
- 328 J. F. Wilson and E. Y. X. Chen, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 4927–4936.
- 329 L. Wang and E. Y. X. Chen, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 6907–6917.
- 330 J. J. Bozell, L. Moens, D. C. Elliott, Y. Wang, G. G. Neuenscwander, S. W. Fitzpatrick, R. J. Bilski and J. L. Jarnefeld, Resour., Conserv. Recycl., 2000, 28, 227–239.
- 331 H. F. Liu, F. X. Zeng, L. Deng, B. Liao, H. Pang and Q. X. Guo, Green Chem., 2013, 15, 81–84.
- 332 X. Yu, Y. Guo, K. Li, X. Yang, L. Xu, Y. Guo and J. Hu, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2008, 290, 44–53.
- 333 S. Van de Vyver, J. Geboers, S. Helsen, F. Yu, J. Thomas, M. Smet, W. Dehaen and B. F. Sels, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 3497–3499.
- 334 L. Filiciotto, A. M. Balu, J. C. van der Waal and R. Luque, Catal. Today, 2018, 302, 2–15.
- 335 S. Van de Vyver, J. Geboers, P. A. Jacobs and B. F. Sels, ChemCatChem, 2011, 3, 82–94.
- 336 D. W. Rackemann and W. O. S. Doherty, Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefin., 2011, 5, 198–214.
- 337 S. Zhou and D. Kim, Electrochim. Acta, 2012, 63, 238–244.
- 338 D. Foix, X. Ramis, M. Sangermano and A. Serra, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 1133–1142.
- 339 T. Renders, S. Van den Bosch, S. F. Koelewijn, W. Schutyser and B. F. Sels, Energy Environ. Sci., 2017, 10, 1551–1557.
- 340 R. E. Key and J. J. Bozell, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 5123–5135.
- 341 I. Z. Awan, N. Tanchoux, F. Quignard, S. Albonetti, F. Cavani and F. Di Renzo, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal., 2019, 178, 257–275.
- 342 Recent Advances in Development of Platform Chemicals, S. Saravanamurugan, ed. A. Pandey, H. Li and A. Riisager, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2020.
- 343 J. Zakzeski, P. C. A. Bruijnincx, A. L. Jongerius and B. M. Weckhuysen, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 3552–3599.
- 344 S. Gillet, M. Aguedo, L. Petitjean, A. R. C. Morais, A. M. da Costa Lopes, R. M. Łukasik and P. T. Anastas, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 4200–4233.
- 345 M. V. Galkin and J. S. M. Samec, ChemSusChem, 2016, 9, 1544–1558.
- 346 E. M. Anderson, R. Katahira, M. Reed, M. G. Resch, E. M. Karp, G. T. Beckham and Y. Roman-Leshkov, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 6940–6950.
- 347 W. Schutyser, T. Renders, S. Van den Bosch, S. F. Koelewijn, G. T. Beckham and B. F. Sels, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 852–908.
- 348 C. Li, X. Zhao, A. Wang, G. W. Huber and T. Zhang, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 11559–11624.
- 349 B. Lochab, S. Shukla and I. K. Varma, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 21712–21752.
- 350 W. Schutyser, T. Renders, G. Van den Bossche, S. Van den Bosch, S. F. Koelewijn, T. Ennaert and B. F. Sels, Catalysis in Lignocellulosic Biorefineries: The Case of Lignin Conversion, Nanotechnology in Catalysis: Applications in the Chemical Industry, Energy Development, and Environment Protection, Wiley-VCH, 2017, ch. 23. Open Soc Rev Reader on 17 J. Long, W. Mila II, Long, T. View, Godine, 2021. 2020. Download article. A. R. C. Months, A. M. This article is licensed under the material of the same of the same of the same of the same of the
	- 351 R. Rinaldi, R. Jastrzebski, M. T. Clough, J. Ralph, M. Kennema, P. C. A. Bruijnincx and B. M. Weckhuysen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 8164–8215.
	- 352 C. Xu, R. A. D. Arancon, J. Labidid and R. Luque, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 7485–7500.
	- 353 A. Margellou and K. S. Triantafyllidis, Catalysts, 2019, 9, 43–74.
	- 354 Y. Cao, S. S. Chen, S. Zhang, Y. S. Ok, B. M. Matsagar, K. C. W. Wu and D. C. W. Tsang, Bioresour. Technol., 2019, 291, 121878.
	- 355 B. M. Upton and A. M. Kasko, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 2275–2306.
	- 356 F. H. Isikgor and C. R. Becer, Polym. Chem., 2015, 6, 4497–4559.
	- 357 S. Van den Bosch, S. F. Koelewijn, T. Renders, G. Van den Bossche, T. Vangeel, W. Schutyser and B. F. Sels, Top. Curr. Chem., 2018, 376, 36–76.
	- 358 C. Xu and F. Ferdosian, Lignin-Based Epoxy Resins, Conversion of Lignin into Bio-Based Chemicals and Materials, Springer, Berlin, 2017.
	- 359 M. Fache, E. Darroman, V. Besse, R. Auvergne, S. Caillol and B. Boutevin, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 1987–1998.
	- 360 H. Lange, S. Decina and C. Crestini, Eur. Polym. J., 2013, 49, 1151–1173.
	- 361 P. C. Rodrigues Pinto, E. A. Borges da Silva and A. E. Rodrigues, Lignin as Source of Fine Chemicals: Vanillin and Syringaldehyde, Biomass Conversion: The Interface of Biotechnology, Chemistry and Materials Science, Springer, Berlin, 2012, ch. 12.
	- 362 I. S. Modahl, A. Brekke and C. Valente, J. Cleaner Prod., 2015, 94, 247–259.
	- 363 W. B. Huang, C. Y. Du, J. A. Jiang and Y. F. Ji, Res. Chem. Intermed., 2013, 39, 2849–2856.
	- 364 B. Schaefer, Flavours and Fragrances. Natural Products in the Chemical Industry, Springer, Berlin, 2014.
- 365 M. Fache, B. Boutevin and S. Caillol, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 35–46.
- 366 M. Fache, B. Boutevin and S. Caillol, Eur. Polym. J., 2015, 68, 488–502.
- 367 Z. Sun, B. Fridrich, A. de Santi, S. Elangovan and K. Barta, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 614–678.
- 368 A. Llevot, E. Grau, S. Carlotti, S. Grelier and H. Cramail, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym., 2016, 125, 34–41.
- 369 R. T. Nishimura, C. H. Giammanco and D. A. Vosburg, J. Chem. Educ., 2010, 87, 526–527.
- 370 A. Llevot, E. Grau, S. Carlotti, S. Grelier and H. Cramail, Polym. Chem., 2015, 6, 6058–6066.
- 371 A. S. Amarasekara and M. A. Hasan, Polym. Sci., Ser. B, 2016, 58, 307–312.
- 372 J. Kwon, J. Kim, S. Park, G. Khang, P. M. Kang and D. Lee, Biomacromolecules, 2013, 14, 1618–1626.
- 373 T. Koike, Polym. Eng. Sci., 2012, 52, 701–718.
- 374 L. Gu, G. Chen and Y. Yao, Polym. Degrad. Stab., 2014, 108, 68–75.
- 375 H. Gang, D. Lee, K. Y. Choi, H. N. Kim, H. Ryu, D. S. Lee and B. G. Kim, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 4582–4588.
- 376 H. A. Meylemans, T. J. Groshens and B. G. Harvey, Chem-SusChem, 2012, 5, 206–210.
- 377 T. Periyasamy, S. P. Asrafali, S. Muthusamy and S. C. Kim, New J. Chem., 2016, 40, 9313–9319.
- 378 B. G. Harvey, A. J. Guenthner, H. A. Meylemans, S. R. L. Haines, K. R. Lamison, T. J. Groshens, L. R. Cambrea, M. C. Davis and W. W. Lai, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 1249–1258.
- 379 M. Decostanzi, R. Auvergne, B. Boutevin and S. Caillol, Green Chem., 2019, 21, 724–747.
- 380 E. D. Hernandez, A. W. Bassett, J. M. Sadler, J. J. La Scala and J. F. Stanzione, III, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 4328–4339.
- 381 Y. Peng, K. H. Nicastro, T. H. Epps III and C. Wu, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2018, 66, 11775–11783.
- 382 K. H. Nicastro, C. J. Kloxin and T. H. Epps III, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 14812–14819.
- 383 J. R. Mauck, S. K. Yadav, J. M. Sadler, J. J. La Scala, G. R. Palmese, K. M. Schmalbach and J. F. Stanzione III, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 2017, 218, 1700013.
- 384 E. A. Baroncini, S. K. Yadav, G. R. Palmese and J. F. Stanzione III, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2016, 133, 44103.
- 385 S. Curia, A. Biundo, I. Fischer, V. Braunschmid, G. M. Gebitz and J. F. Stanzione III, ChemSusChem, 2018, 11, 2529–2539.
- 386 J. R. Mauck, A. W. Bassett, J. M. Sadler, J. J. La Scala, E. Napadensky, K. H. Reno and J. F. Stanzione III, J. Biobased Mater. Bioenergy, 2018, 12, 471–476.
- 387 S. V. Mankar, M. Nelly Garcia Gonzalez, N. Warlin, N. G. Valsange, N. Rehnberg, S. Lundmark, P. Jannasch and B. Zhang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 19090–19103.
- 388 D. Bai, Q. Chen, Y. Chai, T. Ren, C. Huang, I. D. V. Ingram, M. North, Q. Zheng and H. Xie, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 34297–34303.
- 389 N. Kumar and V. Pruthi, Biotechnol. Rep., 2014, 4, 86–93.
- 390 J. G. Linger, D. R. Vardon, M. T. Guarnieri, E. M. Karp, G. B. Hunsinger, M. A. Franden, C. W. Johnson, G. Chupka, T. J. Strathmann, P. T. Pienkos and G. T. Beckham, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2014, 111, 12013–12018.
- 391 D. Matias de Oliveira, A. Finger-Teixeira, T. Rodrigues Mota, V. H. Salvador, F. C. Moreira-Vilar, H. B. Correa Molinari, R. A. C. Mitchell, R. Marchiosi, O. Ferrarese-Filho and W. D. dos Santos, Plant Biotechnol. J., 2015, 13, 1224–1232. Review Article<br>
36: M. Fache, B. Doublind, ACS Statisticable Chem. 369 N. Kurture and V. Prublications, Rep. 2014, 4, 86-0.<br>
36: Ang. 2016, 4, 36-45.<br>
36: Ang. 2016, 4, 36-45.<br>
36: Ang. 2016, 4, 36-45.<br>
36: Ang. 2016, 4,
	- 392 S. Ou and K. C. Kwok, J. Sci. Food Agric., 2004, 84, 1261–1269.
	- 393 L. Mialon, A. G. Pemba and S. A. Miller, Green Chem., 2010, 12, 1704–1706.
	- 394 F. Pion, A. F. Reano, P. H. Ducrot and F. Allais, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 8988–8997.
	- 395 W. Schutyser, G. Van den Bossche, A. Raaffels, S. Van den Bosch, S. F. Koelewijn, T. Renders and B. F. Sels, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 5336–5346.
	- 396 S. F. Koelewijn, S. Van den Bosch, T. Renders, W. Schutyser, B. Lagrain, M. Smet, J. Thomas, W. Dehaen, P. Van Puyvelde, H. Witterse and B. F. Sels, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 2561–2570.
	- 397 P. Ferrini, S. F. Koelewijn, J. Van Aelst, N. Nuttens and B. F. Sels, ChemSusChem, 2017, 10, 2249–2257.
	- 398 H. Fang, W. Tong, L. M. Shi, R. Blair, R. Perkins, W. Branham, B. S. Hass, Q. Xie, S. L. Dial and C. L. Moland, Chem. Res. Toxicol., 2001, 14, 280–294.
	- 399 D. Miller, B. B. Wheals, N. Beresford and J. P. Sumpter, Environ. Health Perspect., 2001, 109, 133–138.
	- 400 S. Zhao and M. M. Abu-Omar, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 6082–6089.
	- 401 S. Zhao and M. M. Abu-Omar, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 5059–5066.
	- 402 M. V. Galkin and J. S. M. Samec, ChemSusChem, 2014, 7, 2154–2158.
	- 403 K. Hüsnü, C. Başer and F. Demirci, Chemistry of Essential Oils, Flavours and Fragrances, Springer, Berlin, 2007, ch. 4.
	- 404 G. P. Kamatou, I. Vermaak and A. M. Viljoen, Molecules, 2012, 17, 6953–6981.
	- 405 C. François, S. Pourchet, G. Boni, S. Fontaine, Y. Gaillard, V. Placet, M. V. Galkin, Al. Orebom, J. Samec and L. Plasseraud, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 68732–68738.
	- 406 A. S. Trita, L. C. Over, J. Pollini, S. Baader, S. Riegsinger, M. A. R. Meier and L. J. Gooßen, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 3051–3060.
	- 407 V. E. Tarabanko and N. Tarabanko, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2017, 18, 2421–2450.
	- 408 C. Liu, S. Wu, H. Zhang and R. Xiao, Fuel Process. Technol., 2019, 191, 181–201.
	- 409 M. V. Galkin, A. T. Smit, E. Subbotina, K. A. Artemenko, J. Bergquist, W. J. J. Huijgen and J. S. M. Samec, Chem-SusChem, 2016, 9, 3280–3287.
	- 410 T. Renders, G. Van den Bossche, T. Vangeel, K. Van Aelst and B. Sels, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2019, 56, 193–201.
	- 411 S. Van den Bosch, T. Renders, S. Kennis, S. F. Koelewijn, G. Van den Bossche, T. Vangeel, A. Deneyer, D. Depuydt,

C. M. Courtin, J. M. Thevelein, W. Schutyser and B. F. Sels, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 3313–3326.

- 412 A. S. Jaufurally, A. R. S. Teixeira, L. Hollande, F. Allais and P. H. Ducrot, ChemistrySelect, 2016, 1, 5165–5171.
- 413 S. K. El-Desouky and A. M. Gamal-Eldeen, Pharm. Biol., 2009, 47, 872–877.
- 414 W. Monthong, S. Pitchuanchom, N. Nuntasaen and W. Pompimon, Am. J. Appl. Sci., 2011, 8, 1268–1271.
- 415 K. V. S. G. Krishna, M. P. S. M. Moses and G. S. Krishna, Asian J. Chem., 2009, 21, 11–22.
- 416 S. Yshihide, K. Seiichi, H. Junichi, M. Hironaga and M. Hironaga, JP150152, 2010.
- 417 M. Janvier, P. H. Ducrot and F. Allais, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 8648–8656.
- 418 M. Janvier, L. Hollande, A. S. Jaufurally, M. Pernes, R. Ménard, M. Grimaldi, J. Beaugrand, P. Balaguer, P. H. Ducrot and F. Allais, ChemSusChem, 2017, 10, 738–746.
- 419 A. Kaiho, D. Mazzarella, M. Satake, M. Kogo, R. Sakaia and T. Watanabe, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 6526–6535.
- 420 S. Zhao and M. M. Abu-Omar, Macromolecules, 2017, 50, 3573–3581.
- 421 S. Zhao, X. Huang, A. J. Whelton and M. M. Abu-Omar, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 7600–7608.
- 422 S. F. Koelewijn, C. Cooreman, T. Renders, C. Andecochea Saiz, S. Van den Bosch, W. Schutyser, W. De Leger, M. Smet, P. Van Puyvelde, H. Witters, B. Van der Bruggen and B. F. Sels, Green Chem., 2018, 20, 1050–1058. Chem Soc Rev Common Access Articles. Published on 04 August 2020. Downloaded and the set of the set
	- 423 F. M. Gı´rio, C. Fonseca, F. Carvalheiro, L. C. Duarte, S. Marques and R. Bogel-Łukasik, Bioresour. Technol., 2010, 101, 4775–4800.
	- 424 B. C. Saha, J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2003, 30, 279–291.
	- 425 P. Mäki-Arvela, T. Salmi, B. Holmbom, S. Willför and D. Y. Murzin, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 5638–5666.
	- 426 A. K. Chandel, F. A. F. Antunes, R. Terán-Hilares, J. Cota, S. Ellilä, M. H. L. Silveira, J. C. dos Santos and S. S. da Silva, Bioconversion of Hemicellulose into Ethanol and Value-Added Products: Commercialization, Trends, and Future Opportunities, Advances in Sugarcane Biorefinery, Elsevier, 2018, ch. 5.
	- 427 T. Granstrom, K. Izumori and M. Leisola, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2007, 74, 273–276.
	- 428 A. P. Tathod and P. L. Dhepe, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 4944–4954.
	- 429 B. M. Matsagar, M. K. Munshi, A. A. Kelkar and P. L. Dhepe, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 5086–5090.
	- 430 A. S. Mamman, J. M. Lee, Y. C. Kim, I. T. Hwang, N. J. Park, Y. K. Hwang, J. S. Chang and J. S. Hwang, Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefin., 2008, 2, 438–454.
	- 431 K. J. Zeitsch, The chemistry and technology of furfural and its many by-products, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2000, vol. 13.
	- 432 C. M. Cai, T. Zhang, R. Kumar and C. E. Wyman, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2014, 89, 2–10.
	- 433 R. Mariscal, P. Maireles-Torres, M. Ojeda, I. Sádaba and M. López Granados, Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 1144–1189.
	- 434 K. Yan, G. Wu, T. Lafleur and C. Jarvis, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2014, 38, 663–676.
- 435 K. Cho, S. Y. Kim, D. H. Lee, B. R. Kim, J. W. Jung, S. H. Lee and J. S. Lee, US0220742A1, 2012.
- 436 E. Breitmaier, Terpenes: Flavors, Fragrances, Pharmaca, Pheromones, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006.
- 437 M. De Vincenzi, A. Stammati, A. De Vincenzi and M. Silano, Fitoterapia, 2004, 75, 801–804.
- 438 E. De Falco, E. Mancini, G. Roscigno, E. Mignola, O. Taglialatela-Scafati and F. Senatore, Molecules, 2013, 18, 14948–14960.
- 439 V. V. Costa, K. A. da Silva Rocha, I. V. Kozhevnikov, E. F. Kozhevnikova and E. V. Gusevskaya, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2013, 3, 244–250.
- 440 B. G. Harvey, A. J. Guenthner, T. A. Koontz, P. J. Storch, J. T. Reams and T. J. Groshens, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 2416–2423.
- 441 M. D. Garrison and B. G. Harvey, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2016, 133, 43621–43633.
- 442 V. S. Balachandran, S. R. Jadhav, P. K. Vemula and G. John, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 42, 427–438.
- 443 P. Campaner, D. D'Amico, L. Longo, C. Stifani Cristina and A. Tarzia, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2009, 114, 3585–3591.
- 444 J. H. P. Tyman, R. A. Johnson, M. Muir and R. Rokhgar, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 1989, 66, 553–557.
- 445 D. Balgude and A. S. Sabnis, J. Coat. Technol. Res., 2014, 11, 169–183.
- 446 P. K. Jain and K. J. Sivala, J. Food Eng., 1997, 32, 339–345.
- 447 S. Caillol, Curr. Opin. Green Sustainable Chem., 2018, 14, 26–32.
- 448 C. Voirin, S. Caillol, N. V. Sadavarte, B. V. Tawade, B. Boutevin and P. P. Wadgaonkar, Polym. Chem., 2014, 5, 3142–3162.
- 449 S. Huo, H. Ma, G. Liu, C. Jin, J. Chen, G. Wu and Z. Kong, ACS Omega, 2018, 3, 16403–16408.
- 450 J. J. Cash, M. C. Davis, M. D. Ford, T. J. Groshens, A. J. Guenthner, B. G. Harvey, K. R. Lamison, J. M. Mabry, H. A. Meylemans, J. T. Reams and C. M. Sahagun, Polym. Chem., 2013, 4, 3859–3865.
- 451 Resveratrol: Sources, Production and Health Benefits, ed. D. Delmas, Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, NY, USA, 2013.
- 452 C. Rivière, A. D. Pawlus and J. M. Mérillon, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2012, 29, 1317–1333.
- 453 L. R. Cambrea, M. C. Davis, M. D. Garrison, T. J. Groshens, R. E. Lyon and N. Safronava, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2017, 55, 971–980.
- 454 M. Laskoski, J. S. Clarke, A. Neal, B. G. Harvey, H. L. Ricks-Laskoski, W. J. Hervey, M. N. Daftary, A. R. Shepherd and T. M. Keller, ChemistrySelect, 2016, 1, 3423–3427.
- 455 X. Liu, C. Pang, J. Ma and H. Gao, Macromolecules, 2017, 50, 7949–7958.
- 456 C. Pang, X. Jiang, Y. Yu, X. Liu, J. Lian, J. Ma and H. Gao, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 17059–17067.
- 457 S. H. Bertz, J. M. Cook, A. Gawish and U. Weiss, Org. Synth., 1986, 64, 27–38.
- 458 T. W. T. Muesmann, M. S. Wickleder, C. Zitzer and J. Christoffers, Synlett, 2013, 959–962.
- 459 Y. Yu, C. Pang, X. Jiang, Z. Yang, J. Ma and H. Gao, ACS Macro Lett., 2019, 8, 454–459.
- 460 Y. Hu, Z. Zhao, Y. Liu, G. Li, A. Wang, Y. Cong, T. Zhang, F. Wang and N. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 6901–6905.
- 461 C. Aouf, H. Nouailhas, M. Fache, S. Caillol, B. Boutevin and H. Fulcran, Eur. Polym. J., 2013, 49, 1185–1195.
- 462 G. Rigane, M. Bouaziz, N. Baccar, S. Abidi, S. Sayadi and R. B. Salem, J. Food Sci., 2012, 77, C1077–C1083.
- 463 C. K. George, Star anise, Handbook of Herbs and Spices, Woodhead Publishing, 2012, ch, 24, vol. 2.
- 464 M. C. Davis, A. J. Guenthner, T. J. Groshens, J. T. Reams and J. M. Mabry, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 4127–4136.
- 465 W. Schutyser, S. F. Koelewijn, M. Dusselier, S. Van de Vyver, J. Thomas, F. Yu, M. J. Carbone, M. Smet, P. Van Puyvelde, W. Dehaen and B. F. Sels, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 1999–2007. Review Article<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  Cerem Soc Rev. 2020. Download I. Gao, ACS<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  Cerem Soc Rev. 2020. Download August 2020. Download Au
	- 466 M. Dusselier, P. Van Wouwe, A. Dewaele, E. Makshina and B. F. Sels, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 1415–1442.
	- 467 A. A. Marianou, C. C. Michailof, D. Ipsakis, K. Triantafyllidis and A. A. Lappas, Green Chem., 2019, 21, 6161–6178.
	- 468 Q. Guo, F. T. Fan, E. A. Pidko, W. N. P. van der Graaff, Z. C. Feng, C. Li and E. J. M. Hensen, ChemSusChem, 2013, 6, 1352–1356.
	- 469 J. Wang, X. Liu, Z. Jia, Y. Liu, L. Sum and J. Zhu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2017, 55, 3298–3307.
	- 470 J. Wang, X. Liu, J. Zhu and Y. Jiang, Polymers, 2017, 9, 305–319.
	- 471 J. Wang, S. Mahmud, X. Zhang, J. Zhu, Z. Shen and X. Liu, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 6401–6411.
	- 472 E. Wedekind and W. Weisswange, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 1906, 39, 1631–1646.
	- 473 D. J. Burke, T. Kawauchi, M. J. Kade, F. A. Leibfarth, B. McDearmon, M. Wolffs, P. H. Kierstead, B. Moon and C. J. Hawker, ACS Macro Lett., 2012, 1, 1228–1232.
	- 474 J. J. Wiesfeld, M. Kim, K. Nakajima and E. J. M. Hensen, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 1229–1238.
	- 475 F. Brandi, M. Bäumel, V. Molinari, I. Shekova, I. Lauermann, T. Heil, M. Antonietti and M. Al-Naji, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 2755–2766.
	- 476 S. F. Koelewijn, D. Ruijten, L. Trullemans, T. Renders, P. Van Puyvelde, H. Witters and B. F. Sels, Green Chem., 2019, 21, 6622–6633.
	- 477 Y. Zhu, C. Romain and C. K. Williams, Nature, 2016, 540, 354–362.
	- 478 M. A. Hillmyer, Science, 2017, 358, 868–870.
	- 479 A. F. Sousa, C. Vilela, A. C. Fonseca, M. Matos, C. S. R. Freire, G. J. M. Gruter, J. F. J. Coelho and A. J. D. Silvestre, Polym. Chem., 2015, 6, 5961–5983.
	- 480 E. Mazoyer, A. S. V. De Sousa Dias, B. Mckay, H. J. Baars, V. P. C. Vreeken, G. J. M. Gruter and D. L. Sikkenga, WO163500A1, 2014.
	- 481 M. Kaushik and A. Moores, Curr. Opin. Green Sustainable Chem., 2017, 7, 39–45.
- 482 J. A. Dahl, B. L. S. Maddux and J. E. Hutchison, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 2228–2269.
- 483 J. A. Gladysz, in Recoverable and Recyclable Catalysts, ed. M. Benaglia, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2009, ch. 1.
- 484 M. J. Ndolomingo, N. Bingwa and R. Meijboom, J. Mater. Sci., 2020, 55, 6195–6241.
- 485 X. Luo, Y. Li, N. K. Gupta, B. Sels, J. Ralph and L. Shuai, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 11704–11716.
- 486 A. H. Motagamwala, W. Won, C. Sener, D. M. Alonso, C. T. Maravelias and J. A. Dumesic, Sci. Adv., 2018, 4, eaap9722.
- 487 M. A. Mellmer, C. Sener, J. M. R. Gallo, J. S. Luterbacher, D. M. Alonso and J. A. Dumesic, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 11872–11875.
- 488 P. T. Witte, S. Boland, F. Kirby, R. van Maanen, B. F. Bleeker, D. A. M. de Winter, J. A. Post, J. W. Geus and P. H. Berben, ChemCatChem, 2013, 5, 582–587.
- 489 K. Dietrich, C. Hernandez-Mejia, P. Verschure, G. Rothenberg and N. R. Shiju, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2017, 21, 165–170.
- 490 B. Op de Beeck, J. Geboers, S. Van de Vyver, J. Van Lishout, J. Snelders, W. J. J. Huijgen, C. M. Courtin, P. A. Jacobs and B. F. Sels, ChemSusChem, 2013, 6, 199–208.
- 491 R. Palkovits, K. Tajvidi, J. Procelewska, R. Rinaldi and A. Ruppert, Green Chem., 2010, 12, 972–978.
- 492 P. Gupta and S. Paul, Catal. Today, 2014, 236, 153–170.
- 493 K. Shimizu and A. Satsuma, Energy Environ. Sci., 2011, 4, 3140–3153.
- 494 C. Moreno-Marrodan, F. Liguori, P. Barbaro, S. Caporali, L. Merlo and C. Oldani, ChemCatChem, 2017, 9, 4256–4267.
- 495 A. Karam, K. De Oliveira Vigier, S. Marinkovic, B. Estrine, C. Oldani and F. Jérôme, ChemSusChem, 2017, 10, 3604-3610.
- 496 P. N. R. Vennestrøm, C. H. Christensen, S. Pedersen, J. D. Grunwaldt and J. M. Woodley, ChemCatChem, 2010, 2, 249–258.
- 497 J. H. Schrittwieser, S. Velikogne, M. Hall and W. Kroutil, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 270–348.
- 498 A. Galarneau, Z. Abid, B. Said, Y. Didi, K. Szymanska, A. Jarzebski, F. Tancret, H. Hamaizi, A. Bengueddach, F. Di Renzo and F. Fajula, Inorganics, 2016, 4, 9–34.
- 499 C. Moreno-Marrodan, P. Barbaro, S. Caporali and F. Bossola, ChemSusChem, 2018, 11, 3649–3660.
- 500 J. K. Mobley, in Chemical Catalysts for Biomass Upgrading, ed. M. Crocker and E. Santillan-Jimenez, Wiley-VCH, 2020, ch. 8.
- 501 R. T. Mathers, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 1–15.
- 502 A. Rahimi, A. Ulbrich, J. J. Coon and S. S. Stahl, Nature, 2014, 515, 249–252.
- 503 T. Huang, L. A. Danaher, B. J. Brüschweiler, G. E. N. Kass and C. Merten, Arch. Toxicol., 2019, 93, 1485–1490.
- 504 R. S. Ward, Studies in Natural Products Chemistry, 2000, vol. 24, pp. 739–798.
- 505 J. Zhang, J. J. Chen, Z. Z. Liang and C. Q. Zhao, Chem. Biodiversity, 2014, 11, 1–54.
- 506 W. Qiu, Y. Zhao, M. Yang, M. Farajzadeh, C. Pan and N. L. Wayne, Endocrinology, 2016, 157, 636–647.
- 507 S. P. den Braver-Sewradj, R. van Spronsen and E. V. S. Hessel, Crit. Rev. Toxicol., 2020, 50, 128–147.
- 508 A. T. Szafran, F. Stossi, M. G. Mancini, C. L. Walker and M. A. Mancini, PLoS One, 2017, 12, e0180141.
- 509 J. B. Zimmerman and P. T. Anastas, Science, 2015, 347, 1198–1199.
- 510 A. de Sousa Leite, A. Ferreira Dantas, G. L. da Silva Oliveira, A. L. Gomes Júnior, S. G. de Lima, A. M. das Graças Lopes Cito´, R. M. de Freitas, A. A. de, C. Melo-Cavalcante and J. A. D. Lopes, BioMed Res. Int., 2015, 626835.
- 511 S. M. Morais, K. A. Silva, H. Araujo, I. G. P. Vieira, D. R. Alves, R. O. S. Fontenelle and A. M. S. Silva, Pharmaceuticals, 2017, 10, 31–41.
- 512 V. M. Pathak and Navneet, Bioresour. Bioprocess., 2017, 4, 15–46.
- 513 I. Taniguchi, S. Yoshida, K. Hiraga, K. Miyamoto, Y. Kimura and K. Oda, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 4089–4105.
- 514 C. Jehanno, M. M. Pérez-Madrigal, J. Demarteau, H. Sardon and A. P. Dove, Polym. Chem., 2019, 10, 172–186.
- 515 K. Fukushima, O. Coulembier, J. M. Lecuyer, H. A. Almegren, A. M. Alabdulrahman, F. D. Alsewailem, M. A. McNeil, P. Dubois, R. M. Waymouth, H. W. Horn, J. E. Rice and J. L. Hedrick, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2011, 49, 1273–1281. Open Soc Rev<br>
Solid A. T. Suscient, *No. Open Nation*, 2020. Articles. Article. Published on 2020. Downloaded on 2020. Downloaded on 2020. The Suscienting article is line of Note 1, 1970, 1982-1983.<br>
The Martin Moto Common
	- 516 S. Westhues, J. Idel and J. Klankermayer, Sci. Adv., 2018, 4, eaat9669.
	- 517 K. Dutt and R. K. Soni, Polym. Sci., Ser. B, 2013, 55, 430–452.