

Assembly of a calix[4]arene-supported Mn^{III}Mn^{II} cluster mediated by halogen interactions†

Stephanie M. Taylor,^a Jamie M. Frost,^a Ross McLellan,^b Ruaraidh D. McIntosh,^b Euan K. Brechin^{*a} and Scott J. Dalgarno^{*b}

Cite this: *CrystEngComm*, 2014, 16, 8098

Received 7th April 2014,
Accepted 11th June 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ce00729h

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

A new calix[4]arene-supported Mn^{III}Mn^{II} cluster, formed by the introduction of 3,5-dichlorobenzoate to a system known to afford Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂ Single-Molecule Magnets (SMMs), assembles in a layered manner through halogen interactions; structural and magnetic properties of this new cluster are presented.

Calix[4]arenes (C[4]s) have been used extensively in the formation of supramolecular structures, as well as in various aspects of coordination chemistry due to their polyphenolic nature.¹ *p*-^tBu-calix[4]arene (TBC[4], Fig. 1A) is readily accessible^{2a} and is a typical starting point for the alteration of the general C[4] framework.^{2b} The C[4] polyphenolic pocket (at what is termed the lower-rim) is an attractive feature for metal complexation,³ and in this regard we (amongst others) have used TBC4 and related C[4]s for the construction of polynuclear transition metal (TM), lanthanide metal (LnM) and 3*d*-4*f* clusters that possess interesting magnetic properties.⁴ In our studies we have discovered a range of structural/cluster motifs, and those most frequently encountered include a) [Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂(TBC[4])₂] Single-Molecule Magnets (SMMs),^{4b,c} b) [Mn^{III}₄Ln^{III}₄(C[4])₄] clusters that are magnetic refrigerants or SMMs depending on the lanthanide employed^{4f,g} and [Cu^{II}₉(TBC[4])₃] clusters^{4d} that are versatile anion binding materials.

The synthesis of the TBC[4]-supported Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂ cluster shown in Fig. 1B is high yielding and we recently began to investigate the role of ancillary ligands in hybrid TBC[4]-supported cluster formation with a view to disrupting formation of this favourable structural motif. Addition of either sodium phenylphosphinate or 2-(hydroxymethyl)pyridine

(hmpH) to the reaction used to form the [Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂(TBC[4])₂] SMM affords very different results.^{4e,j} The former results in a modulated TBC[4]-supported Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂ cluster in which two Mn^{III}Mn^{II} dimers are linked by two bridging phenylphosphinates (Fig. 1C).^{4e} The latter results in a Mn^{III}₃Mn^{II}₂ cluster in which both ligands display characteristic metal complexation properties (Fig. 1D).^{4j} In both examples the TBC[4]s house Mn^{III} ions within the cavity formed by the four lower-rim oxygen atoms, which also bridge to Mn^{II} ions located at the centre of the cage; behaviour entirely




Fig. 1 A) *p*-^tBu-calix[4]arene, TBC[4]. B) TBC[4]-supported [Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂] SMM motif.^{4b,c} C) Phenylphosphinate-bridged dimer of TBC[4]-supported [Mn^{III}Mn^{II}] dimers.^{4e} D) TBC[4]-supported Mn^{III}₃Mn^{II}₂ cluster formed with hmp as an ancillary ligand.^{4j} Colour code: C – grey, O – red, N – royal blue, Mn – purple, P – green. H atoms are omitted for clarity. Figures not to scale.

^a EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JJ, Scotland, UK. E-mail: ebrechin@staffmail.ed.ac.uk;

Fax: +44 (0)131 650 6453; Tel: +44 (0)131 650 7545

^b Institute of Chemical Sciences, Heriot – Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh,

EH14 4AS, Scotland, UK. E-mail: S.J.Dalgarno@hw.ac.uk;

Fax: +44 (0)131 451 3180; Tel: +44 (0)131 451 8025

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 995697. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4ce00729h



consistent with other systems involving additional metal ions such as lanthanides.^{4f,g}

Thia-, sulfinyl- and sulfonyl-bridged C[4]s have also been used in polynuclear metal cluster formation,^{5,6} but the resulting materials differ markedly to those formed with methylene-bridged C[4]s due to the influence of the bridging atoms on the resulting coordination chemistry. The Liao^{6a-c} and Hong^{6d,e} groups recently used these alternative thia-C[4]-supported TM₄ sub-units as building blocks in a series of studies, where they showed that polybenzoates can be used as ancillary ligands to sequentially direct the formation/assembly of novel metal-organic nanocapsules. Given this, as well as our successful use of ancillary ligands in hybrid TBC[4]-supported cluster formation, we began to investigate the effect of aryl monocarboxylates on the structure of the [Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂(TBC[4])₂] SMM motif, prior to investigating topologically directing analogues. Here we report the first result of these studies, obtained by the introduction of sodium 3,5-dichlorobenzoate (Nadcb) to the reaction used to form the [Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂(TBC[4])₂] SMM. The benzoate was found to have a marked effect on cluster formation, affording a new [Mn^{III}Mn^{II}(TBC[4])(dcb)(μ-dmsO)(dmsO)₃(H₂O)] species (**1**)⁷ that assembles through halogen⋯halogen interactions into bi-layers that are reminiscent of the packing observed in TBC4 solvates.

Reaction of MnCl₂·4H₂O with TBC[4] and Nadcb in a basic dmsO solution afforded single crystals of **1** that were suitable for X-ray diffraction studies.† The crystals were found to be in a monoclinic cell and structure solution was performed in the space group *C2/c*. The structure of **1** (Fig. 2) is best described as a mixed valence Mn^{III}Mn^{II} dimer in which Mn1 is in the third oxidation state and bonded centrally within the plane of all four phenoxide oxygens of a tetraanionic TBC[4] (Mn–O range 1.910(7)–1.958(6) Å). The formation of this [Mn^{III}–TBC[4]][–] moiety is expected, and is an extraordinarily common motif observed in our coordination chemistry experiments performed with the C[4] framework. The

Jahn–Teller axis deviates from linearity (∠O5–Mn1–O12 = 172.19°) and along this vector Mn1 is bonded to a bridging dmsO (Mn1–O5 2.282(6) Å) as well as the oxygen of a disordered ligated solvent (Mn1–O12 2.312(6) Å).⁷ Distorted octahedral Mn2 is in the second oxidation state and is connected to Mn1 by a μ-phenoxide and a μ-dmsO (Mn2–O2 2.200(7) Å and Mn2–O5 2.266(6) Å respectively). One aqua ligand (Mn2–O28 2.204(8) Å), two ligated dmsO molecules (one of which is disordered over two positions, Mn–O range of 2.12(1)–2.24(1) Å) and a monodentate dcb ligand (Mn2–O10 2.169(8) Å) complete the coordination sphere of Mn2, as shown in Fig. 2. A hydrogen-bonding interaction is observed between the aqua ligand and the non-bonded C=O of the appended dcb, occurring with an O28⋯O11 distance of 2.650 Å.

By comparing Figures 1B and 2 it is clear that **1** resembles one half of the Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂ butterfly. Thus, incorporation of 3,5-dichlorobenzoate has a profound impact on cluster formation. We recently reported cases of ancillary ligands “interrupting” the assembly process of the [Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂(TBC[4])₂] SMM; for example addition of sodium phenylphosphinate resulted in an ‘elongated’ or ‘expanded’ form of the archetypal [Mn^{III}₂Mn^{II}₂(TBC[4])₂] SMM.^{4e} This dimer of dimers (Fig. 1C) is important in the context of the present study as each (ferromagnetically coupled) Mn^{III}Mn^{II} subunit resembles the metallic skeleton in **1**. The μ-O atom of dmsO in **1** is replaced with a μ-O atom from a μ₃-phosphinate that in turn connects to the symmetry equivalent (s.e.) dimer. The use of 2-(hydroxymethyl)pyridine (hmpH) afforded a ferromagnetic Mn^{III}₃Mn^{II}₂ cluster (Fig. 1D) in which the present motif is also found, with hmp occupying the equivalent positions of coordinated water molecule and benzoate anion in **1**.^{4j} These closely related structures all show the versatility of TBC[4] towards cluster formation with ancillary ligands, and demonstrate the retention of common coordination motifs for individual ligand types.

Analysis of the extended structure of **1** reveals that molecules assemble in a head-to-head bilayer that is akin to the packing found in TBC[4] solvates (Fig. 3A and B).^{4b,8} Each appended benzoate moiety interdigitates between the cavities of TBC[4] moieties of s.e. molecules of **1** within each layer, thus forming alternating up–down chain type assemblies along the *c* axis (Fig. 3B). Closer inspection reveals that individual layers are bridged by halogen⋯halogen interactions between s.e. molecules of **1**, with two crystallographically unique Cl⋯Cl distances of 3.278(6) and 4.224(7) Å (Fig. 3C); these s.e. molecules are related by a twofold axis such that *x*, *y*, *z* becomes 1 – *x*, *y*, 1/2 – *z*. The halogen bonding is type I and a survey of the literature shows that these distances are similar to those previously reported for aryl chlorides.⁹ The individual layers in **1** are well separated and accordingly display a closest interlayer Mn⋯Mn contact of 14.636 Å. Within the layers adjacent clusters are less well isolated and display closest Mn⋯Mn contacts of 7.669 Å.

Dc magnetic susceptibility studies on powdered microcrystalline samples of **1** were performed in the 275–5 K temperature range in an applied field of 0.1 T, and are plotted as




Fig. 2 Partially labelled structure of **1** showing the 3,5-dichlorobenzoate appended to the Mn^{III}Mn^{II} cluster core, ligation of DMSO in the TBC[4] cavity and both bridging and terminal ligated solvent. Colour code: C – grey, O – red, S – yellow, Mn – purple, Cl – green. H atoms omitted for clarity.






Fig. 3 A) Common anti-parallel bi-layer arrangement found for TBC [4] in the solid state.^{4b,8} B) Extended structure in **1** showing modulated anti-parallel bilayer packing and intercalation of appended dcb. C) Type I halogen interactions⁹ between symmetry equivalents of **1** across the bi-layer arrangement.

the $\chi_M T$ product *versus* T in Fig. 4. The room temperature value of $7.195 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ is close to the expected $7.375 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ for non-interacting Mn^{III} and Mn^{II} ions with $g = 2.00$. As the temperature is decreased the $\chi_M T$ product increases, reaching a maximum value of $\sim 10.7 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ at 5 K. This behaviour is suggestive of weak ferromagnetic exchange between the metal ions. For the interpretation of the magnetic properties of **1** we employed the following spin-Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = -2J\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2 + \mu_B B g \sum_{i,2} \hat{S}_i + D \sum_{i,2} \hat{S}_{i,z}^2 - S(S+1)/3 \quad (1)$$

where J is the isotropic exchange interaction parameter, \hat{S} is a spin-operator, i runs from 1 to 2, μ_B is the Bohr magneton, B is the applied magnetic field, $g = 2$ is the g -factor of the Mn ions, D is the uniaxial anisotropy parameter of Mn^{III} and $S = 2$ and $S = 5/2$ are the electronic spins of Mn^{III} and Mn^{II} , respectively. The $\chi_M T$ product and the magnetisation *versus* field data at temperatures between 2 and 7 K in magnetic fields ranging from 2 to 7 T (inset of Fig. 4), were simultaneously fitted to spin-Hamiltonian (1) by use of a simplex algorithm,¹⁰ affording the best-fit parameters $J = +0.38 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $D_{\text{Mn(III)}} =$




Fig. 4 Plot of $\chi_M T$ vs. T for complex **1** in an applied field of 0.1 T. Inset: magnetisation *versus* field in the 2–7 K and 2–7 T temperature and field ranges. The solid red lines are a fit of the experimental data to spin-Hamiltonian (1). See text for details.

-3.34 cm^{-1} . These values are entirely consistent with other Mn–TBC[4] cages.^{4b,c,e} Alternating current magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on a polycrystalline sample of **1** in the 1.8–10 K range in zero applied dc field and a 3.5 G ac field oscillating in the 50–1000 Hz frequency range (Fig. S1†). **1** does display frequency-dependent out-of-phase (χ_M'') signals suggestive of SMM behaviour but no peaks are observed down to 2 K, precluding a more detailed analysis.

Conclusions

We have synthesised and characterised a $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}]$ cluster that results from addition of sodium 3,5-dichlorobenzoate to the reaction used to form C[4]-supported $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_2\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}_2]$ SMMs. The appended benzoate has disrupted formation of the SMM motif and the resulting cluster can be regarded as a half of this common structural type. The new $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}]$ cluster reported here, despite having an unusual shape, packs so as to mimic the solid state behaviour of both TBC[4] and the $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_2\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}_2]$ SMMs, with assembly occurring through halogen bonding interactions which bridge bi-layers. The magnetic behaviour of the dimer is also analogous to the parent butterfly structures, the exchange between the Mn^{III} and Mn^{II} ions being weakly ferromagnetic. This study has used ancillary ligands containing one carboxylate on the aromatic ring with a view to establishing structure/cluster altering viability of this ligand type. We will now focus on using polytopic benzoates in order to form a new family of molecules with tailored geometries and magnetic properties. Given the importance of halogen bonding in driving assembly¹¹ we will also investigate the structurally directing role of halogens placed at the C[4] upper-rim; this will be undertaken with a view to controlling the arrangement of $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_2\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}_2]$ SMMs in the solid state relative to TBC[4]-supported analogues. The results of these new avenues of investigation will be reported in due course.



Acknowledgements

We thank EPSRC for financial support of this work (EP/I03255X/1 & EP/I031421/1).

Notes and references

‡ TBC[4] was synthesised according to literature procedure,^{2a} while 3,5-dichlorobenzoic acid was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Synthesis of 1: MnCl₂·4H₂O (0.15 g, 0.75 mmol), TBC[4] (0.1 g, 0.15 mmol), Nadcb (0.213 g, 1.0 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.1 g, 1.0 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of DMSO (10 ml) and EtOH (10 ml). After 2 hours of stirring the solution was filtered and allowed to stand; crystals grew upon evaporation of the mother liquor over several days (23 mg, 12%). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for 1, C₆₀H_{84.6}Cl₂O_{12.3}S_{3.3}Mn₂: C, 55.89%; H, 6.61%. Found: C, 55.97%; H, 6.52%. General crystallographic details: data were collected on a Bruker X8 Apex II CCD Diffractometer operating at 100(2) K with Mo-K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Crystal data (CCDC 995697): C₆₀H_{84.6}Cl₂O_{12.3}S_{3.3}Mn₂, M = 1289.25, black block, 0.20 × 0.15 × 0.15 mm³, monoclinic, space group *C2/c*, a = 38.315(3), b = 12.5251(10), c = 29.193(2) Å, β = 109.401(2)°, V = 13214.2(18) Å³, Z = 8, $2\theta_{\max}$ = 41.74°, 22 773 reflections collected, 6899 unique (R_{int} = 0.0679). Final GooF = 1.575, R_1 = 0.0930, wR_2 = 0.2546, R indices based on 4417 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (refinement on F^2). Unit cells of several single crystals and further analysis by powder X-ray diffraction (Fig. S2†) confirmed the bulk composition to be 1.

- For examples of self-assembled and coordination driven calixarene assemblies see: L. R. MacGillivray and J. L. Atwood, *Nature*, 1997, **389**, 469; T. Gerkenmeier, W. Iwanek, C. Agena, R. Froehlich, S. Kotila, C. Nather and J. Mattay, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, 2257; J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour, M. J. Hardie and C. L. Raston, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **222**, 3; E. S. Barrett, T. J. Dale and J. Rebek Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 3818; S. J. Dalgarno, S. A. Tucker, D. B. Bassil and J. L. Atwood, *Science*, 2005, **309**, 2037; O. Ugono and K. T. Holman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 2144.
- (a) C. D. Gutsche, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1983, **16**, 161, and references therein; (b) C. D. Gutsche, *Calixarenes 2001*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001, ch. 1 and references therein.
- A significant amount of work has been reported in which various groups have used the C[n] lower-rim as a platform to synthesise novel transition, lanthanide and alkali metal complexes (and in some cases clusters) using air sensitive rather than ambient techniques/conditions. For representative papers please see: A. J. Petrella and C. L. Raston, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2004, **689**, 4125; D. M. Homden and C. Redshaw, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 5086; E. D. Gueneau, K. M. Fromm and H. Goesmann, *Chem. Eur. - J.*, 2003, **9**, 509; G. Guillemot, B. Castellano, T. Prangé, E. Solari and C. Floriani, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 5152; G. Guillemot, E. Solari, C. Rizzoli and C. Floriani, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 2072; U. Radius and J. Attner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 8587, and references therein.
- (a) C. Aronica, G. Chastanet, E. Zueva, S. A. Borshch, J. M. Clemente-Juan and D. Luneau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 2365; (b) G. Karotsis, S. J. Teat, W. Wernsdorfer, S. Piligkos, S. J. Dalgarno and E. K. Brechin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 8285; (c) S. M. Taylor, G. Karotsis, R. D. McIntosh, S. Kennedy, S. J. Teat, C. M. Beavers,

- W. Wernsdorfer, S. Piligkos, S. J. Dalgarno and E. K. Brechin, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 7521; (d) G. Karotsis, S. Kennedy, S. J. Dalgarno and E. K. Brechin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 3884; (e) S. M. Taylor, R. D. McIntosh, C. M. Beavers, S. J. Teat, S. Piligkos, S. J. Dalgarno and E. K. Brechin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 1440; (f) G. Karotsis, M. Evangelisti, S. J. Dalgarno and E. K. Brechin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9928; (g) G. Karotsis, S. Kennedy, S. J. Teat, C. M. Beavers, D. A. Fowler, J. J. Morales, M. Evangelisti, S. J. Dalgarno and E. K. Brechin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 12983; (h) S. Sanz, K. Ferreira, R. D. McIntosh, S. J. Dalgarno and E. K. Brechin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 9042; (i) S. Sanz, R. D. McIntosh, C. M. Beavers, S. J. Teat, M. Evangelisti, E. K. Brechin and S. J. Dalgarno, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1449; (j) S. M. Taylor, R. D. McIntosh, S. Piligkos, S. J. Dalgarno and E. K. Brechin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 11190.
- For clusters containing thia- and sulfonyl-C[4]-supported TM₄ moieties in the absence of ancillary ligands see: C. Desroches, G. Pilet, S. A. Borshch, S. Parola and D. Luneau, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 9112; C. Desroches, G. Pilet, P. A. Szilagy, G. Molnar, S. A. Borshch, A. Bousseksou, S. Parola and D. Luneau, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **2**, 357; M. Lamouchi, E. Jeanneau, A. Pillonnet, A. Brioude, M. Martini, O. Stephan, F. Meganem, G. Novitchi, D. Luneau and C. Desroches, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 2707; T. Kajiwara, N. Iki and M. Yamashita, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **251**, 1734; Y. Bi, X.-T. Wang, W. Liao, X. Wang, X. Wang, H. Zhang and S. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 11650.
- For cages constructed with ancillary poly-benzoate ligands see; (a) M. Liu, W. Liao, C. Hu, S. Du and H. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 1585; (b) M. Liu and W. Liao, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 5727; (c) H. Tian, S. Du, Y. Bi and W. Liao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8211; (d) K. Xiong, F. Jiang, Y. Gai, D. Yuan, L. Chen, M. Wu, K. Su and M. Hong, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 2321; (e) K. Su, F. Jiang, J. Qian, M. Wu, Y. Gai, J. Pan, D. Yuan and M. Hong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 18.
- There is disorder present within the TBC[4] cavity with respect to the solvent coordinated to Mn1. In the interests of brevity, discussion has been limited to one species.
- See the following review for details of TBC[4] assembly in anti-parallel bi-layers: S. J. Dalgarno, P. K. Thallapally, L. J. Barbour and J. L. Atwood, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 236.
- For example see: V. R. Pedireddi, D. S. Reddy, B. S. Goud, D. C. Craig, A. D. Rae and G. R. Desiraju, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1994, 2353; V. R. Hathwar, S. M. Roopan, R. Subashini, F. N. Khan and R. N. Guru Row, *J. Chem. Sci.*, 2010, **122**, 677.
- W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edn, 1992.
- A. Priimagi, G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo and G. Resnati, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2686.

