RSC Advances

REVIEW

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 23423

Baeyer–Villiger oxidation: a promising tool for the synthesis of natural products: a review

Summaya Fatima[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2127-8848)^a Ameer Fawad Zahoor, D^{*a} Samreen Gul Khan,^a Syed Ali Raza Naqvi,^a Syed Makhdoom Hussain,^b Usman Nazeer,^c Asim Mansha,^a Hamad Ahmad,^d Aijaz Rasool Chaudhry^e and Ahmad Irfan^f

Baeyer–Villiger oxidation is a well-known reaction utilized for the synthesis of lactones and ester functionalities from ketones. Chiral lactones can be synthesized from chiral or racemic ketones by employing asymmetric Baeyer–Villiger oxidation. These lactones act as key intermediates in the synthesis of most of the biologically active natural products, their analogues, and derivatives. Various monooxygenases and oxidizing agents facilitate BV oxidation, providing a broad range of synthetic applications in organic chemistry. The variety of enzymatic and chemoselective Baeyer–Villiger oxidations and their substantial role in the synthesis of natural products i.e., alkaloids, polyketides, fatty acids, terpenoids, etc. (reported since 2018) have been summarized in this review article. REVIEW
 EXERCT ACCOUNT CONSULTE SUBSEX CONSULTE CONSULTE SUBSEX CONSULTER SUBSEX CON

Received 28th May 2024 Accepted 15th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra03914a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

The Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction is a primitive conversion of aliphatic or cyclic ketones to their corresponding esters and lactones.¹ The conversion is carried out in the presence of peracids i.e., per sulfuric acid, perchloric acid, meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (m-CPBA), and hydrogen peroxide under acidic conditions.² In 1899, Adolf Von Baeyer³ with his student, Victor Villiger accomplished the renowned BVO reaction for the first time by using Caro's acid (potassium mono persulfate: $KHSO₅$) as a novel oxidant to convert cyclic ketones into respective lactones with 50% yield.⁴ Its conversion into a catalytic process was achieved in 1993 by the use of $Pt(_{II})$ complexes.⁵

In 1950, three different possible intermediates related to Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction were distinguished by Speers and Doering.⁶ The first intermediate (dioxirane) was proposed by Baeyer and Villiger while the second was carbonyl oxide⁷ and the third one was "Criegee intermediate".^{8,9} Doering and Dorfman¹⁰ in 1953, elucidated the mechanism of Baeyer-Villiger oxidation. They illustrated that the reaction occurs by the insertion of an oxygen atom between a carbonyl carbon and an adjacent carbon atom in ketone. As a result, an ester or lactone is generated through the formation of a "Criegee intermediate" as shown in Scheme 1.

In 1994, Bolm¹¹ and Strukul¹² individually demonstrated that there is a probability to obtain specific stereoselectivity of Criegee intermediate by applying the combination of two different solvents and catalysts (giving 92% and 58% ee values).¹

Reagents used for the Baeyer–Villiger oxidation are m-CPBA, peracetic acid, perfluoro acetic acids, H_2O_2 /protic acid, H_2O_2 / Lewis acid, and H_2O_2/b ase systems.⁷ It has also been reported by Murahashi in 1992 that when an aldehyde and molecular oxygen are added, peroxides are produced in situ. This drawback was eliminated by Kaneda in 1994 by utilizing Fe-based catalysts.¹³ Fe catalysts are favorable because they are less harmful and easily available. Nowadays, efficient transformations can be carried out with high enantioselectivities by using chiral metal complexes¹⁴⁻¹⁶ (transition metals¹⁷ *i.e.*, Co,¹⁸ Zr,¹⁹⁻²¹ Pt,^{12,22} Hf²³ or Cu²⁴ and non-transition metals *i.e.*, Mg,²⁵ Al,²⁶⁻²⁹ etc.) and optically active enantiopure organo-catalysts.¹⁵ Besides this, Baeyer–Villiger oxidation, carried out in the presence of hydrogen peroxide is more convenient due to low cost of H_2O_2 , high content of oxygen, easy handling and production of water as a byproduct.³⁰ Enzymatic BVOs are also environmentally safe in which molecular oxygen is used as an atom-efficient oxidant and water as a solvent for biosynthesis.¹ Many BVMOs are also used as biocatalysts, thus contributing to green chemistry approaches.³¹

Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction offers powerful methodologies and synthetic tools for industrial and natural product syntheses. In recent years, an enormous number of natural products have been isolated from insects, plants, and marine

a Department of Chemistry, Government College University Faisalabad, 38000 Faisalabad, Pakistan. E-mail: fawad.zahoor@gcuf.edu.pk

b Department of Zoology, Government College University Faisalabad, 38000 Faisalabad, Pakistan

c Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, 3585 Cullen Boulevard, Texas 77204-5003, USA

^dDepartment of Chemistry, University of Management and Technology, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

e Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Bisha, PO Box 551, Bisha 61922, Saudi Arabia

f Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Khalid University, PO Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

Scheme 1 General mechanism of Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

sources (invertebrates and sponges) with a wide range of pharmaceutical activities.³²–³⁴ BVO reaction is of great interest in organic chemistry due to its diverse implementations in the synthesis of antibiotics, monomers for polymerization,³⁵ pheromones, and steroids³ etc. In the biosynthetic pathway, MtmOIV [a Baeyer Villiger monooxygenase (BVMO)] is also responsible for the synthesis of mithramycin³⁶ (anticancer antibiotic). Potential BVMOs have also a major role in the biosynthesis of aurafurones³⁷ and aflatoxins³⁸ which belong to the class of polyketides.

Taking into consideration the deadly aspects of cancer, several research groups are striving to synthesize and develop effective anti-cancer agents.^{39,40} Prostaglandins 4 are biologically active hormones (chemical messengers) that have a role in pharmaceuticals synthesis,⁴¹ such as latanoprost is a valuable prostaglandin-related drug. Similarly, steroidal lactones are valuable compounds due to their antiandrogenic and anticancerous action.⁴² These suppress the 5α -reductase⁴³ enzyme and therefore are used to treat androgen-dependent ailments

i.e., steroidal testololactone is used to treat breast cancer.⁴⁴ BVO reaction can also be employed within the synthetic approach leading to steroidal lactones. For example, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) is converted to testololactone by using BVMO.⁴⁵ Similarly, zoapatanol (diterpenoid) 5 is a biologically active molecule obtained by BVO. It naturally occurs in the zoapatle plant (Montanoa tomentosa)⁴⁶ and is used to treat labor pain.⁴⁷ Fig. 1 elaborates the structures of some biologically active compounds synthesized via involving BVO reaction.

Brink et al. in 2004, provided an overview of reaction features, mechanisms and catalysts of BVO reaction by utilizing green reaction conditions.⁴⁸ Gonzalo et al. in 2021, presented a review on BVMOs-assisted biosynthesis of a variety of compounds.⁴⁹ In 2016, Bucko et al. also reported different biotechnological approaches towards novel monooxygenasepromoted Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.⁵⁰ In 2018, Perkel et al. published a review to provide organized information on configuration of esters and lactones synthesized by the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation reaction.⁵¹ The current review provides

Fig. 1 Structures of a few biologically active natural products synthesized by BVO.

a critical update on the role of Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction towards the synthesis of natural products (alkaloids, terpenoids, polyketides, and fatty acids) with high enantio- and stereoselectivity, and efficient yields, reported since 2018.

2. Review of literature

2.1. Synthesis of alkaloid-based natural products via Baeyer– Villiger oxidation

Several natural alkaloids are isolated from herbs and these exhibit antiproliferative, antiviral, antibacterial, insecticidal, and antimetastatic properties against cancer cells. Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction plays a key role in the synthesis of alkaloid-based natural compounds i.e., synthesis of homoproaporphine alkaloids, indole-based alkaloids, and other marine alkaloids etc. (Fig. 2.)

Five-membered heterocyclic compounds are fundamental in medicinal chemistry due to their antifungal, antibacterial, and antiviral properties.⁵² Homoproaporphine alkaloids (i.e., (+)-regeline, (+)-jolantidine, and (+)-regilinine, etc.) are naturally occurring five-membered heterocyclic compounds that belong to biologically active tetrahydroisoquinones.⁵³ This class consists of over 10 members, some of them exhibit

anticholinesterase activity⁵⁴ and are also used as drugs to prevent the demolition of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine in the nervous system. The synthesis of stereogenic carbons of these alkaloids has always been a challenging task for chemists.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ In 1975, the only diastereoselective synthesis $(d : r)$ $= 1 : 1$) of regeline was presented by Kametani group in which they employed the oxidative coupling of the phenethylisoquinoline to obtain ring system.⁵⁸ However, to achieve the first enantioselective total synthesis of homoproaporphine alkaloids in 5.3 to 9.6% overall yield (13 to 16 steps), Pu et al. in 2020, presented the total synthesis by utilizing many fundamental pathways including Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.⁵⁹ In the first step of synthesis, the chiral intermediate 6 was treated with N-bromo succinimide (NBS) in an acidic medium to afford aryl bromide 7 in 94% yield. In the next step, compound 7 was converted to enol ether 8 over a few steps. Then, compound 8 upon treatment with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) followed by acylation, generated a chiral ketone 9 in 90% yield. Consequently, ketone 9 underwent m-CPBA-mediated Baeyer–Villiger oxidation in the presence of lithium carbonate in dichloromethane (DCM) to give compound 10 in 92% yield $(d : r \sim 5.7 : 1)$, which upon further reduction with diisobutylaluminium hydride (DIBAL-H) in DCM and consecutive oxidation with pyridinium chlorochromate Review Wateroins article. Published on 25 or 40 Americans Articles. Published on 25 July 2024. This articles are the common product is liquid to the present of the product on 10 americans Article is liquid to the common

Fig. 2 Pictorial representation of alkaloid-based natural products synthesized by Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction.

Scheme 2 Synthesis of homoproaporphine alkaloids; jolantidine 13, regelinine 15, and kesselringine 18 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

(PCC) in the presence of DCM yielded aldehyde-lactone 11 in 65% yield. Subsequently, Pinacol-type cyclization of compound 11 with samarium(π)iodide and removal of the N-benzyloxycarbonyl group from compound 12 resulted in the synthesis of $(+)$ -jolantidine 13 in 73% yield. Afterwards, the insertion of a methyl group to hemiketal 12 with $CH(OME)_3$ and camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) in methanol furnished compound 14 in 85% yield. Compound 14 underwent Swern oxidation followed by LiAlH4-mediated reduction in THF to afford the desired natural compound, regilinine 15 in 66% yield. In another

approach, compound $(+)$ -15 on reduction with LiAlH₄ in THF furnished (+)-regiline 16 in 69% yield which upon further treatment with boron tribromide $(BBr₃)$ in dichloromethane synthesized (+)-kesselridine 17 in 78% yield. To achieve the synthesis of natural product 18 in 86% yield, compound 17 was treated with $CH(OMe)_3$ and CSA in methanol (Scheme 2).

(−)-Misramine 26 is a pentacyclic proaporphine alkaloid,⁵² a member of isoquinoline alkaloids.⁶⁰ The former is a biologically active compound and was found in Roemeria hybrida and R. dodecandra.⁶¹ In 2018, Yoshida and Takao et al. synthesized

Scheme 3 Synthesis of (−)-misramine ²⁶ via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 4 Synthesis of glycosylated derivatives of Lamellarin D 31 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 5 Synthesis of cyanogramides B 38 and C 39 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

the (−)-misramine with overall 2.0% yield (in twenty four steps) from 2,4,6-tribromoanisole by employing asymmetric Friedel– Crafts 1,4-addition. However, Pu et al. in 2021 presented the enantioselective total synthesis of the natural product, (−)-misramine 26 in 11.4% overall yield (in nine steps) by utilizing Baeyer-Villiger oxidation as a significant step.⁶⁰ The synthesis was commenced with the reaction of chiral intermediate (−)-19 with TFA and methyl chloroformate in DCM to afford a pentacyclic intermediate 20 in 66% yield. Compound 20 underwent Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA in DCM to generate a lactone 21 in 80% yield. An aldehyde-lactone 22 was synthesized from lactone 21 in a sequence of a few steps. Then, the aldehyde-lactone 22 experienced pinacol-type cyclization in the presence of THF to furnish hydroxy ketone 24 in 72% yield instead of compound 23. Methylation of compound 24 with $CH(OME)_{3}$ in methanol followed by Swern oxidation with $(COCl)₂$, DMSO, and triethyl amine (NEt₃) in DCM produced a ketone 25 in 92% yield. Reduction of compound 25 with LiAlH₄ in THF furnished the desired product $(-)$ -26 in 89% yield (Scheme 3).

Lamellarins are marine alkaloids isolated from mollusk Lamellaria species.⁶² Lamellarins show broad range anticancer,^{63,64} antibacterial,⁶⁵ antioxidant, and multidrug resistant activities.^{66,67} The typical derivatives of lamellarin D 31 show different IC₅₀ values *i.e.*, some exhibit 3 nM, 10 nM and 15 nM against fine lines of human lung, colon, and hepatocellular cancer cells respectively. Lamellarin D is the most notable compound owing to its biological potential. Despite the potential activities of lamellarin D 31, its further advancement was restricted due to its poor solubility,⁶⁸ which is due to the pentacyclic framework of Lamellarin. To improve the solubility of target compound 31, Zheng and colleagues in 2021, reported the synthesis of glycosidic lamellarin derivatives in multiple steps with overall 0.6–2.8% yield by utilizing the Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction as a key step.⁶⁹ The synthesis was accomplished with Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of commercialized isovaniline 27 with H_2O_2 in the presence of selenium dioxide and DCM to afford an ester 28. In the next step, ester 28 was converted into compound 29 over a few steps. Subsequently, compound 29 was reacted with di-cyclohexyl carbodiimide (DCC) in DCM and then with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4 benzoquinone (DDQ) in DCM to synthesize the compound 30 which further furnished the derivatives of lamellarin D 31 over a few steps (Scheme 4).

Scheme 6 Synthesis of psammaplysin A 44 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 7 Synthesis of deoxypsammaplysins O 54b, K 55 and deoxyceratinamide A 55 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 8 Synthesis of natural marine alkaloids; psammaplysins 65 and 67 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

Cyanogramides (B 38 and C 39) are marine-derived indolebased alkaloids⁷⁰ which possess pyrrolo[1,2-c] imidazole system. These were isolated by Lian, Zhang, and associates independently.⁷¹ These show reverse resistance in the cancer cells.⁷² In 2018, Sarnes and coworkers attempted to synthesize cyanogramide by employing enantioselective thioureamediated spiro-annulation which consisted hydantoin rather than 2-methoxy-2-methylimidazolidinone. This route resulted in failure of ring closure. Later, in 2020, this drawback was addressed by Zhu, Zhang and colleagues by elucidating the biosynthetic process.⁷³ Marinacarboline E 35 is the precursor for the synthesis of cyanogramide B 38 and C 39. Sarnes and companions in 2022, presented the synthesis of marinacarboline E 35, cyanogramides B 38 and C 39 by employing Baeyer–

Villiger oxidation as a basic step.⁷⁰ In the first step of synthesis, L-tryptophan methyl ester 32 was converted into the natural product stellarine C 33 in 61% yield via Pictet–Spengler reaction with an aldehyde in the presence of iodine, *p*-toluene sulfonic acid and methanol. In the next step, methylation of β -carboline 33 in the presence of NaH, MeI in DMF followed by saponification with LiOH in THF to generate the compound 34 in 90% yield. Then, HATU (hexafluorophosphate azabenzotriazole tetramethyl uranium)-mediated coupling of compound 34 with 2 phenyl ethylamine furnished marinacarboline E 35 (for the first time in 4-steps) in 85% yield. Thereafter, the marinacarboline E 35 was subjected to Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with m -CPBA in the presence of magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (MMPA) to synthesize tricyclic pyridone 36. Subsequently, compound 36

Synthesis of metaphanine 76 and oxoepistiphemiersine 77 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

was converted into ketene aminal 37 over a sequence of a few steps. Furthermore, the ketene aminal 37 was treated with p-TsOH and chloroform to afford the cyanogramide B 38 in 80% yield. In the last step, the treatment of natural product 38 with NaH and MeI in DMF furnished the cyanogramide C 39 in 84% yield (Scheme 5).

Psammaplysins are marine alkaloids that belong to natural dihydrooxepine-spiroisoxazoline based-products.⁷⁴ Initially, psammaplysin A 44 was extracted by Kashman from Psammaplysilla purpura^{75,76} in 1982 and it exhibited anti-malarial, antibiotic, anti-cancer, and anti-HIV activities.⁷⁷⁻⁸⁰ In 2022, Paciorek et al. reported the first total synthesis of psammaplysin A 44 in thirteen steps by using Baeyer–Villiger oxidation as an essential step.⁷⁴ In the beginning, compound 40 was reacted with NBS, NEt_3 , ethyl nitroacetate in MeCN, and then treated with triethyl phosphite $(P(OEt)_{3})$ and 1,4-dioxane to synthesize a ketone 41 in 99% yield. In the next step, ketone 41 on treatment with TBSCl, imidazole (imH) in DCM followed by m-CPBA induced Baeyer–Villiger oxidation in the presence of $Na₂HPO₄$ and DCM generated a lactone 42 in 87% yield (over 2-steps). Then, lactone 42 was further reacted with potassium hexamethyldisilazide (KHMDS), diphenyl chlorophosphate (PhO)₂-POCl, and hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA) in

tetrahydrofuran (THF) to afford the compound 43 in 86% yield. Subsequently, compound 43 delivered the targeted natural product 44 over a few steps (Scheme 6).

Pyrazoles are remarkable five-membered heterocyclic compounds that exhibit broad-range spectrum of pharmaceutical applications and play a vital role in natural product syntheses. For example, these are exploited in the synthesis of psammaplysins by using different synthetic routes. Psammaplysin K, O, and ceratinamides are novel bromotyrosine derivatives obtained from sea sponge⁸¹⁻⁸⁴ Pseudoceratina purpurea.⁸⁵ These exhibit anti-fouling, anti-cancer, and anti-bacterial activities.⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ Despite all these excellent activities, no synthetic approach for theses natural spirooxepin isoxazolineswas reported till 2022. However, in 2022, Zhang et al. presented the synthesis of 7-deoxypsammaplysins K 155, O 154b, and 7 deoxyceratinamide A 154a by leveraging Baeyer–Villiger oxidation as a key step.⁸⁹ The synthesis was initiated with the reduction of 2-bromo-2-cyclohexanone 45 with NaBH₄, CeCl₃- \cdot 7H₂O to produce a compound which was further reacted with tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflouromethane sulfonate (TBSOTf) in $NEt₃$ and then underwent oxidation with chromium trioxide $(CrO₃)$ in 3,5-dimethylpyrazole (3,5-DMP) to synthesize silyl ether 46 in 57% yield. Ether 46 experienced the Baeyer–Villiger

Fig. 3 Structures of some naturally occurring polyketides synthesized via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

oxidation with urea hydrogen peroxide (UHP) in the presence of $Na₂HPO₄$ and trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) to furnish a lactone 47 in 52% yield. Furthermore, the reaction of lactone 47 with Petasis reagent (Cp_2TiMe_2) and tetra-n-butyl ammonium fluoride (TBAF) in the presence of DMP, NaHMDS, and TfOMe (3-steps) generated oxepine 48 in 50% yield. The compound 48 was further treated with hydroxyamino acetate chloride 49 by using THF, NaHCO₃, NaHPO₄, N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), DCM, and then with NBS as a bromide agent, tetrabutylammonium tribromide (TBATB), PTB and 2,6- DPBP in DCM to afford an ester 50. Subsequently, ester 50 was reacted with LiOH \cdot H₂O solution to synthesize an acid 51 which further underwent coupling with 52a & 52b and 53 individually in the presence of $N,\!N,\!N',\!N'$ -tetramethylchloroformamidinium hexafluorophosphate (TCFH) and N-methylimidazole (NMI) respectively. These coupling reactions resulted in the construction of corresponding natural products 54a and 54b (in 58% and 60% yields respectively) and 55 in 81% yield (Scheme 7).

Psammaplysins 65 and 67 are a distinctive class of bromotyrosine-based spiroisoxazolines, which are marine alkaloids. These consist of more than 300 members.⁹⁰ Psammaplysins A, M, Q, and O contain more than 35 members linked with amide bond. These compounds exhibit antiviral, antimicrobial, and anticancer activities⁷⁴ with sub-micromolar IC_{50}

values. Despite the known biological activities, the total synthesis of these compounds had not been achieved for 40 years. For the first time, in 2022, Magauer group synthesized the racemic Psammaplysin A (in 13 steps) by employing Henry reaction but they faced the difficulty installing carbon 7 hydroxyl group. This drawback was eliminated in 2023 by Morrow and Smith by the use of enediol ether dipolarophile. In 2023, Morrow & Smith presented the total synthesis of psammaplysins A 65 and first total synthesis of psammaplysin O, Q, M, (65 and 67) and ceratinamide A 67 by utilizing Baeyer Villiger oxidation as a fundamental step to convert 6-membered ketone 56 into 7-membered lactone 57. ⁹¹ The synthesis was started with the preparation of lactone 57 in 99% yield from ketone 56 via subsequent Baeyer-Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA. Benzyloxymethylenation of lactone 57 afforded enol ether 58 in 53% yield $(E/Z = 1:1)$, (E) -58 was further treated with compound 59 under optimized conditions $(i-Pr₂NEt, MTBE)$ to furnish a single diastereomer spiroisoxazoline 60 in 44% yield. Ketal deprotection of this compound 60 with acetone and THF in acidic media yielded ketone 61 which underwent many steps to synthesize a spirocycle 62. Aminolysis of this compound 62 furnished the phthalimide-protected moiety 64 in 49% yield on treatment with compound 63, zirconium tert-butoxide (Zr(O'Bu)₄), 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole (HOAT), THF, and PhMe. Consequently, compound 64 further delivered the

Scheme 10 Synthesis of (-)-rasfonin 82 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

desired natural psammaplysin A 65 (in 11 steps) in 68% yield by reacting with hydrazine and pyridine in DCM and methanol. In another approach, compound 64 on treatment with compound 66, amine, $\text{Zr}(\text{O}^t\text{Bu})_4$, and HOAT in THF generated the respective natural products 67 (Scheme 8).

Metaphanine 75 and oxoepistiphemiersine 77 are hasubanan alkaloids which are isolated from genus Stephania.⁹² Such alkaloids are widely used in medicines due to their antimicrobial, cytotoxic, and anti-viral activities.⁹³⁻⁹⁶ These share benzannulated aza [4.4.3] propellane skeleton and vary due to

Scheme 11 Synthesis of C_{1-7} 92 and C_{8-15} 94 subunits of (+)-discodermolide via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 12 Synthesis of C_{15–21} 101 and C_{9–13} 103 subunits of (+)-discodermolide via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

different patterns on arene ring in 2023, Sun et al. reported the total synthetic divergent strategy of hasubanan alkaloids (in 12– 13 steps) by utilizing Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction as a fundamental step.⁹⁷ Synthetic scheme was initialized with the condensation of cyclohexanedione monoethylene acetal 68 with cyclohexylamine, deprotection with LDA, and alkylation with iodide followed by treatment with 69 , Ph_3PMeBr , t BuOK, THF, and HCl to generate a compound 70 in 87% yield. Then, the compound 70 gave a tetracyclic diketone 71 in 72% yield under optimized conditions. Compound 71 was further subjected to the Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA in the presence of NaHCO₃ and it resulted in the synthesis of a lactone 72 in 75% yield with outstanding regioselectivity. Thereafter, lactone 72 yielded a tetracyclic oxo-metaphanine 73 over a few steps, which further delivered a sulphamide 74 in 80% yield by reacting with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LHMDS), MOMBr in THF and Lawesson's reagent in DCM. Finally, compound 74 afforded the desired compound, Metaphanine 75 in 82% yield on reduction with Raney Ni in DCM. In another approach, compound 73 generated a methyl enol ether 76 in 90% yield via methylation with LHMDS. Finally, Brown's hydroboration-oxidation of enol

ether 76 followed by Dess-Martin oxidation furnished the oxoepistiphemiersine 77 in 90% yield (Scheme 9).

2.2. Synthesis of polyketide-based natural products via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation

In the field of natural product-based medicines, polyketides are of pivotal significance due to their anti-cancer and anti-bacterial activities.⁹⁸ Owing to these activities, many researchers have synthesized different naturally occurring polyketides by employing Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction. Fig. 3 shows the pictorial representation of structures of some of naturally occurring polyketides synthesized via BVO reaction.

(−)-Rasfonin 82 is a natural polyketide which is obtained from a fungal strain, Cephalotrichum gorgonifer.⁹⁹ It is a pharmaceutically significant bioactive compound that display antitumor activity and induces necroptosis, apoptosis, and autophagy in human cells.¹⁰⁰–¹⁰³ Wu and co-workers in 2019, reported the total synthesis of (−)-rasfonin by utilizing regioselective asymmetric Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.¹⁰⁴ The synthesis was commenced with the Baeyer Villiger oxidation of 3 substituted cyclic ketone 78 with m -CPBA in the presence of scandium(III) triflouromethanesulfonate Sc(OTf)₃ and EtOAc to

Scheme 13 Synthesis of vertinolide 108 and Plakinidone B 111 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

afford a lactone 79 in 96–99% yield with 93–97% ee. Then, the lactone 79 was converted to compound 80 in 97% yield with 90% ee on treatment with EtSH and AIMe_3 in THF. Afterwards, compound 80 was made to react with tert-butyl(chloro)diphenylsilane (TBDPSCl), NaH, and EtOAc to generate 1,3-dimethyl thioester 81 in 91% yield with 90% ee. Subsequently, thioester 81 further underwent a series of reactions to furnish the (−)-rasfonin 82 (Scheme 10).

(+)-Discodermolide is a recently discovered cytotoxic polyketide. It is a very efficient antimitotic $105,106$ natural product which is extracted from a sea sponge Discodermia dissolute. $^{\rm 105,107}$ Gunasekara and coworkers, in 1990, discovered this novel polyketide.¹⁰⁷ This product consists of thirteen chiral centers. Schreiber and associates synthesized the antipods of discodermolide but absolute configuration of this natural compound remained unclear.¹⁰⁸ To achieve the anticipated synthesis of (+)-discodermolide, Dubasi and Verala in 2022, reported the total synthesis of key fragments of the natural product by utilizing Baeyer–Villiger oxidation as one of the key reactions.¹⁰⁹ The synthesis was initiated with the DIBAL-H mediated reduction of bicyclic ketone 83 in DCM followed by reaction with NaH, BnBr, and THF to afford compound 84. Then, the compound 84 experienced asymmetrical hydroboration with $Ipc₂BH$ in the presence of PCC and DCM to furnish ketone 85 in 87% yield. Ketone 85 underwent Baeyer– Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA and NaOH in DCM to synthesize lactone 86 in 90% yield. Furthermore, compound 87 was obtained in 80% yield by the treatment of lactone 86 with TBDPS followed by reduction with $LiAlH₄$ which further generated

a keto compound 88 in 92% yield via Dess-Martin periodonanemediated (DMP) oxidation in DCM. Keto compound 88 was converted into two isomers; β -isomer 89 as minor isomer in 9% yield and a-isomer ⁹⁰ as major isomer in 83% yield. a-Isomer ⁹⁰ on reaction with TBAF in THF gave a triol 91 in 88% yield which further afforded the subunit of discodermolide 92 over a few steps. In another approach, methylation of lactone 86 followed by reduction with LiAlH₄ generated a triol 93 in 85% yield. In the final step, triol 93 yielded the subunit 94 over a few steps (Scheme 11).

Epoxides are three-membered heterocyclic compounds and experience epoxide ring opening reactions due to ring strain. Therefore, these are the substantial intermediates used in natural products synthesis¹¹⁰ such as synthesis of $(+)$ -discodermolide subunits. (+)-Discodermolide is a natural marine polyketide¹⁰⁷ and a very efficient anticancer agent.¹¹¹ In 1990, it was extracted from a sea sponge Discodermia dissolute and it possess 13 stereogenic centers. Since several synthetic approaches for this natural compound and its subunits have been reported but in 2020, Si and Kaliappan established the novel non-aldol synthetic strategy for the synthesis of two subunits of (+)-discodermolide by utilizing Baeyer–Villiger oxidation as a key reaction.¹¹² The synthesis was accomplished with the homologation of alcohol 95 via oxidation in the presence of $(COCl)₂$, DMSO, Et₃N in DCM followed by Wittig reaction and reduction to afford an allylic alcohol 96 in 88%. In the next step, the alcohol 96 underwent Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with *m*-CPBA to generate an epoxy alcohol 97 in $14:1$ diastereomeric ratio with 82% yield. Furthermore, this epoxy alcohol

Scheme 14 Synthesis of acremolactone B 121 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation

97 was subjected to oxidation and homologation under Wittig olefination conditions to synthesize epoxy ester 98 in 85% yield (over 2-steps). Subsequently, Shimizu reaction of compound 98 under optimized conditions provided a hydroxyester 99 in diastereomer ratio $>10:1$ (81% yield). Then, compound 99 synthesized the 1,3-diol 100 in 47% yield over a few steps. Primary alcohol 100 was mesylated with MsCl and underwent Finkelstein reaction to furnish the subunit of discodermolide 101 in 46% yield (over 2-steps). In another route, compound 97

afforded a primary alcohol 102 in 75% yield via many steps which further underwent oxidation to yield the $C_9 - C_{13}$ subunit 103 of discodermolide in 64% yield over 2 steps (Scheme 12).

Spirocyclic moieties captivate the researchers due to their ring system and effective biological activities. Many natural products contain spirocyclic ring system and are used in pharmaceutical industry.¹¹³ Spirocyclic ligands are also used in the natural product synthesis. Such as vertinolide is a natural product which is synthesized in the presence of spirocyclic

Fig. 4 Pictorial framework elaborating the structures of some terpene-based natural products obtained by involving BVO as a key step.

chiral phosphoric acid ligand 106. Vertinolide 108 is a derivative of β -tetronic acid and it is extracted from Verticillium intertextum. It belongs to fungal-metabolites, myotoxins.^{114,115} Vertinolide 108 inhibits the root and shoot growth in Lattuce seedlings. Plakinidone B 111 is a five-membered perlactone which is isolated from *Angulospiculatus* and it exhibits anti-viral and anti-microbial properties. In 2023, Liu et al. reported an enentioselective synthesis of vertinolide and 1st total synthesis of the plakinidone by utilizing the highly enantioselective Baeyer–Villiger oxidation as a significant step.¹¹⁶ The synthesis was begun with the treatment of cyclobutane-1,3-dione 104 with cumene hydroperoxide 105 (Baeyer–Villiger oxidation) and spirocyclic chiral phosphoric acid ligand 106 in the presence of $(C_2H_5)_2Cl_2$ and then protected with methoxy methyl chloride (MOMCl) and NaH in THF to give an enolate ether 107 in 82% yield (86% ee). Then, enolate ether 107 afforded the vertinolide 108 in 81% yield (86% ee) by reacting with LDA, $CH₃I$, in THF followed by Pd/C-catalyzed reduction in EtOAc. Furthermore, cyclobutene-1,3-dione 109 was reacted with 105 and 106 in DCE and then with BnBr and NaH in THF to synthesize compound 110 in 78% yield (>99% ee). Methylation of compound 110 with MeI and LDA in THF followed by debenzylation furnished the plakinidone B 111 in 72% yield over 2-steps (Scheme 13).

Acremolactone B is an azaphilone-type fungal polyketide. Acrolactones were isolated by Sussa and group from the fungus, Acremonium roseum.^{117,118} Such natural products have gained significant importance due to vast range of biological activities such as herbicidal, anti-cancer, anti-viral, anti-inflammatory and anti-microbial activities.¹¹⁹ In 2023, Ba et al. reported the first total asymmetric synthesis of acremolactone B on gram scale by utilizing Baeyer-Villiger oxidation as a key step.¹²⁰ The synthesis was inaugurated with $[2 + 2]$ photocycloaddition of compound 112 with 1,1-diethoxyethene in the presence of NaOMe and $H₂SO₄$ to afford the mixture of cis and trans products 113a and 113b (19% and 41% respectively) in 2-steps. In the next step, m-CPBA-induced Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of compound 113b generated highly regio and chemo-selective γ lactone 114 in 97% yield. Then, the reaction of compound 114 with $Me_3Ph_3N^{+}Br^{-}$ followed by triflation with Tf₂O in Et₃N generated the compound 115 in 78% yield (over 2 steps). The compound 115 was subjected to Stille-Magita coupling with Pd₂dba₃, Ph₃As, LiCl (Farina Protocol), and tributyl(vinyl)stannane to furnish bromo diene 116 in 85% yield. Subsequently, treatment of compound 116 with $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4$, NMO, and NaIO4 afforded the corresponding bicyclic intermediate 117 with overall 86% yield. Afterwards, a 4-step sequence from compound 117 to 118 resulted in the formation of a pyridine ring. Deprotonation of compound 118 with LDA and acylation with acyl cyanide 119 synthesized the compound 120 in 92% yield which upon further reduction with DIBAL-H in HF synthesized the natural product 121 in 90% yield (Scheme 14).

2.3. Synthesis of terpene-based natural products via Baeyer– Villiger oxidation

Terpenes are a diverse class of natural compounds. They are isolated from plants, animals fungi, pathogens, insects, and endophytes. They exhibit anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, anti-oxidant and anti-diabetic activities.^{121,122} Baeyer–Villiger oxidation is one of those appealing reactions used for the synthesis of naturally occurring terpenes and terpenoids (Fig. 4).

Friedelanes are natural triterpenoids obtained from leaves and branches of Maytenus robusta Reissek.¹²³ These exhibit biological potential against breast and ovarian cancer cells¹²⁴ with a decrease in IC₅₀ value (IC₅₀ < 100 μ M). In 2020, Aguilar et al. presented the synthesis of friedelane derivatives by utilizing Baeyer-Villiger oxidation as a significant step.¹²³ In the synthetic route, compound 122 was converted into a lactone *i.e.*, friedelane derivative 123 via m-CPBA catalyzed Baeyer–Villiger oxidation in chloroform. Similarly, the same compound 122 afforded the other friedelane derivative 124 on treatment with NH2OH, HCl, and pyridine in methanol (Scheme 15).

Cross-coupling reactions are remarkable synthetic tools that are used for the synthesis of naturally occurring biologically active molecules and their analogues i.e., anhydro ryanodol 131. Anhydro ryanodol 131 is a diterpenoid¹²⁵ and a hydrolysis product of natural compound ryanodine which is isolated from

a shrub; Ryana speciosa. It exhibits insecticidal properties. Initially, ryanodol was synthesized by Deslongchamps' by harnessing Diels–Alder reaction and oxidative cleavage.126,127 Prior to 2020, Reisman achieved the synthesis of ryanodol by employing Pauson-Khand reaction and $SeO₂$ -catalyzed polyoxidation.¹²⁵ However, in 2020, Du et al. reported the unique approach of formal and total synthesis of anhydroryanodol 131 by utilizing the BV oxidation as a key step through the formation of ryanoids (which further led to successful synthesis of anhydroryanodol) and carbocyle formation.¹²⁸ For this purpose, an alkyne 125 was treated with Cu-mediated i-PrMgCl, iodine, added with $MnO₂$ in DCM (67%), $C₄H₃$ liO in THF and NBS followed by the reaction with TBSOTf, benzylamine, DCM, and then with ClRh(PPh₃)₃, PhH to give a hemiacetal 26 (α and β with 3 : 1 ratio). Acylation of hemiacetal 126 with acyl cyanide 127 followed by methylation with KHMDS and methyl iodide synthesized the 1,3-diketone 128 in 59% yield $(d : r \ge 20)$. Then compound 128 underwent Sonogashira coupling and Stille coupling to generate the subsequent enyne 129 in 58% yield. Then, this enyne 142 was converted into compound 130 over a few steps. Finally, compound 130 afforded anhydroryanodol 131 in 38% yield via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA, hydration and desilylation followed by the reaction with trissulfonium difluorotrimethylsilicate (TASF). Thereafter, compound 131 generated ryanodol 132 in 38% yield via epoxidation and reductive cyclization (2-step sequence) in dichloro ethane (DCE) and THF (Scheme 16). **PSC Advances**

consponents of the symmetric of the published on 2024. Results are compared to the symmetric in the symm

Strigolactones are naturally occurring vital hormones which play a crucial role in agriculture for plant development. Zealactone 137 is an abundant strigolactone, isolated from roots of corn (in eight steps).^{129,130} Its naturally occurring diastereomers

Scheme 15 Synthesis of friedelanes 123 and 124 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 16 Synthesis of anhydroryanodol 132 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

have IC₅₀ value of 0.59 μ M against ZmD14-dependent YLG hydrolysis. In 2020, Yoshimura and co-workers established the total synthesis of zealactone 1a/2 in 8 steps with overall 21% yield via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation reaction.¹³¹ In the first step of synthesis, cyclobutanone 133 underwent the Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with m -CPBA in the presence of Bu₄NOH in DCM to produce a γ -butyrolactone 134 in 61% yield. In the next step, lactone 134 was reacted with TBAF and then it was hydrostannylated with $HShBu₃$ and azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) in toluene to yield the respective stannane 135 in 97% yield. Then, compound 135 was treated with vinyliodide 136, Pd_2dba_3 , p (furyl)₃, and 1,4-dioxane to furnish the zealactone 137 in 61% yield (Scheme 17).

Propindilactone G 146 belongs to a large family of polycyclic Schisandra nortriterpenoids, which were isolated from Schizandraceae family of plants.^{132,133} These reveal herbal medicinal properties and are used to treat insomnia, hepatitis and

asthenia.¹³⁴ In 2015, Yang and colleagues presented the synthesis of the propindilactone G by employing the Diels– Alder and Pauson–Khand reaction to achieve ring system.¹³⁵ However, in 2020, Wang et al. reported the biosynthesis of propindilactone G in 58% yield from steroidal lactone by utilizing significant reactions including Baeyer-Villiger oxidation reaction.¹³⁴ The synthesis was commenced with the reaction of steroidal lactone 138 with 3-iodobenzoic acid (IBX) followed by selective chlorination and E_2 reaction to afford olefin 139. Then, compound 139 underwent a series of reactions to generate a ketone 140 in 82% yield. Ketone 140 was further subjected to three steps sequence including demethylation with CH₃I and ^tBuOK, Baeyer-Villiger oxidation with m -CPBA and Mukaiyama dehydrogenation with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl) amide (LiHMDS) to synthesize an unsaturated lactone 142 in 62% yield. Compound 142 was converted to a diol 143 in 82% yield by addition of Zn/HOAc and p-toluene sulfonic acid in

Scheme 18 Synthesis of propindilactone G 146 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 19 Synthesis of euonyminol 152 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

methanol. Swern oxidation of compound 143 followed by reaction with 2-ethoxyvinylbromide 144 and HCl gave an unsaturated aldehyde 145 in 59% yield which further produced the targeted compound 146 over a few steps. Stereochemistry of target product 146 (at C-17) was opposite to that of steroidal lactone 138 (Scheme 18).

Euonyminol 152 is a sesquiterpene^{136,137} with dihydro- β agrofuran nucleus and is pharmaceutically significant due to anti-proliferative, anti-oxidant, and anti-microbial activities.¹³⁷ In 1995, White and coworkers reported the synthesis of less oxygenated euonyminol. In 2021, Tomanik and coworkers presented the first enantioselective total synthesis of highly oxidized euonyminol by utilizing Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction as a key step.¹³⁸ The synthesis embarked with the conversion of diene 147 into a diastereomer 148 in 70% yield via oxidation with Shi ketone and oxone in the presence of K_2CO_3 . Then, compound 148 afforded an α -keto lactone 149 over a few steps which further underwent Baeyer–Villiger oxidation using magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (MMPP) and diazomethane to give a methyl ester 150 in 78% yield. Treatment of methyl ester 150 with HF⁻TEA, Hg(OTf)₂, and tetramethyl urea (TMU) followed by Dess–Martin periodinane (DMP) furnishing a neopentyl aldehyde 151 in 72% yield (3-step sequence). Compound 151 generated the natural product, euonyminol 152 in a sequential process (Scheme 19).

Asnovolin A (158) and B (159) are DMOA (3,5-dimethylorsellinic acid)-derived natural spiromeroterpenoids,¹³⁹ which are pharmaceutically pivotal due to anti-bacterial activity.^{140,141} Yang et al. in 2022, reported the asymmetric total synthesis of

asnovolins in 9–11 steps for the first time, by employing many key reactions including regioselective BV oxidation.¹⁴² The synthesis was inaugurated with the conversion of hydroxy enone 153 into neopentyl iodide 154 in the presence of Tf_2O , 2,6-lutidine, DCM, NaI, acetone and NaBH₄, in methanol. Neopentyl iodide 154 over a few steps afforded the compound 155 which upon further treatment with IBX, $Na₂HPO₄$, and DMSO furnishing an enone 156 (72%) with regiocontrol. Compound 156 generated an asnovolin J 157 over a few steps. Subsequently, the product 157 underwent Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA in DCM to synthesize an asnovolin A 158 in 76% yield which upon further reaction with p-TsOH in methanol afforded the targeted product, asnovolin E 159 in 41% yield (Scheme 20).

2.4. Synthesis of fatty acid-based natural products via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation

Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction also play a fundamental role in the synthesis of naturally occurring fatty acids which are important in medicinal field due to their biological activities (Fig. 5).

Prostaglandins ($PGF_{2\alpha}$) are significant chemical messengers which exhibit biological activities and have broad range applications in medicinal field.^{143,144} These exhibit wide range of pharmaceutical activities in contraction and dilation of smooth muscles, control of hormonal release, cell growth, suppression of acid in stomach and anti-glaucoma drugs.¹⁴³ Prior to 2019, Aggarwal and Hayashi achieved the synthesis of different prostaglandins by harnessing bond disconnection

Scheme 20 Synthesis of asnovoline A 158 and E 159 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

methodology.¹⁴⁵ However, these syntheses comprised lengthy schemes and complex protection/deprotection protocols. Zhu and colleagues in 2019, presented a novel protocol of enantioselective (90–99% ee) Baeyer–Villiger oxidation for the synthesis of prostaglandins in 8 steps with overall 20% yield from a lactone $(-)$ -162.¹⁴⁶ In the first step of synthesis, cyclobutanone 160 was subjected to Baeyer-Villiger oxidation with H_2O_2 in the presence of a catalyst 161 in chloroform to afford the corresponding lactone $(-)$ -162 in 45% yield with 95% ee. In the next step, reductive dechlorination of lactone 162 with Zn/NH4Cl followed by Prins reaction with paraformaldehyde and deformylation gave diol 163 in 79% over 2-steps which further furnished an allylic alcohol 164 over a few steps. Reduction of compound 164 with DIBAL-H followed by Wittig olefination synthesized the targeted compound 4 with an overall 20% yield (Scheme 21).

Thromboxane B_2 (Tx B_2) and thromboxane A_2 (tx A_2) belong to lipid family known as prostanoids, which are subclass of eicosanoids containing prostaglandins. TxB2 causes thrombosis¹⁴⁷ and effect immune system¹⁴⁸ and it is considered as a fundamental scaffold to study prostanoid-associated biochemical phenomena. Previously reported synthetic strategies of TxB₂ were lengthy, time consuming, and suffer from poor atom economy. In 2020, Jing et al. reported a twelve-step synthesis of natural prostanoid (TxB_2) in overall 5% yield from 2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran.¹⁴⁹ Baeyer-Villiger oxidation is one of the key reactions involved in this asymmetric synthetic strategy. The synthesis was initialized with the formation of enal intermediate 166 in 29% yield (99% ee) from the aldol reaction of succinaldehyde 165 with L-proline. In the next step, treatment of compound 166 with para methoxy benzyl acetal (PMBOH) resulted in the synthesis of two diastereomers α-167 and β-167 (β/α 1.7 : 1). Then, α-isomer of PMBOH 167 was

Fig. 5 Fatty acid-based natural products synthesized by involving BVO as a key step.

Scheme 21 Synthesis of prostaglandin 4 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

treated with vinyl cuprate using trimethylsilyl chloride (TMSCl), Et₃N, and triphenyl phosphene (PPh₃) to synthesize compound 168. The compound 168 was subjected to Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with *m*-CPBA to afford a lactone β -169 in 64% yield and α -169 in 63% yield (over three steps). Reduction, oxy methylation, and deprotection of PMB of lactone β -169 furnished a diastereomer β -170 (in 80% yield) over a few steps. Furthermore, olefination of the diastereomer β -170 using phosphonium salt with 'BuOK resulted in the corresponding alkene 171 in 97% yield. Consequently, alkene 171 upon further treatment with TBAF in THF followed by hydrolysis generated the final product $TxB₂$ 172 in 90% yield (Scheme 22).

Scheme 22 Synthesis of thromboxane B_2 172 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

2.5. Synthesis of miscellaneous natural compounds via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation

Many other natural products can also be synthesized through Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction. Such as nepetoidin B, protoanemonin, terfestatins, darunavir; a protease inhibitor, cinnamic acid dimers and some hydroquinones (Fig. 6).

Nepetoidin B 175 belongs to a class of natural products and initially it was extracted from Plecranthus caninus, but now it is obtained from various plants and herbs.¹⁵⁰ It reveals many biological activities i.e., anti-bacterial, anti-cancer, and antifungal activities.151,152 Despite of these medicinal features, no synthetic strategies of compound 175 have been reported yet. In 2018, Timokhin et al. reported a two-step first synthesis of nepetoidin B (94% ee) in overall 17% yield by employing Baeyer Villiger oxidation as a significant step.¹⁵³ In the first step of schematic route, 1,5-bis(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,4-pentadiene-3-one 173 was converted into tetramethylated nepetoidin B 174 in 40% yield via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with oxone in DMF. In the second step, compound 174 delivered the target product, nepetoidin 175 in overall 17% yield via demethylation using $BBr₃$ (Scheme 23).

Various efforts to synthesize anti-microbial agents have been carried out to overcome several microbes causing diseases.¹⁵⁴ Protoanemonin 179 is an antimicrobial¹⁵⁵ γ -lactone which is obtained from members of Ranunculaceae family.¹⁵⁶ Protoanemonin is famous for its pervasive and irritant properties, hence, may cause dermatitis.¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁹ Alibes and group synthesized protoanemonin in overall 25% yield via photo-oxidation process.¹⁶⁰ Similarly, Mliki and Trabelsi attempted to synthesize the targeted compound using $CH₃COOH$ and sodium perborate but did not detect the product.¹⁶¹ All previously reported methods involved high cost, scarcely available and contaminated reagents. In 2020, Martinez and companions reported the 1st one-pot selective synthesis of γ -alkylidenebutenolide (protoanemonin) in overall 25% yield from p-fructose 176 through 5-(hydroxy methyl) furfural 177 by employing Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.¹⁶² In the beginning, p-fructose 176 was converted into 5-(hydroxy methyl) furfural 177 in 98% yield in the presence of hypophosphorous acid (HPA). Homogenous

Fig. 6 Miscellaneous natural products synthesized by involving BVO as a key step.

Scheme 23 Synthesis of nepetoidin B 175 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 24 Synthesis of protoanemonin 179 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

autocatalysis and H_2O_2 -mediated-Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of compound 177 in chlorinated solvent afforded the protoanemonin 179 in 94% yield from 177 and 28% yield from 176 (Scheme 24).

Terfestatin A 186 and B 187 are natural products which belong to terfestatin family and both are isolated from Streptomyces species. Terfestatin A 186 and B 189 reveal potent inhibitory and neuroprotective activities, respectively.¹⁶³ In 2020, Sugawara and colleagues presented the synthesis of terfestatin A with 21% overall yield (in 5-steps) and 1st total synthesis of the terfestatin B with 30% overall yield (in 8-steps) from aromatic aldehyde by engaging Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction as a key step.¹⁶⁴ For this purpose, 2,5-dibromohydroquinone 180 was converted into an aromatic aldehyde 181 in 78% yield by reacting with hexamethylene tetramine (HMTA) and TFA. Then, aldehyde 181 under optimized conditions afforded a terphenyl derivative 182 in 91% yield. Glucosylation of compound 182 with glycosyl bromide 183 was carried out to give a monomer 184 in 66% yield. Subsequently, the monomer 184 underwent the Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA to generate a compound 185. Furthermore, compound 185 was reacted with TBAF and LiOH, in THF to synthesize terfestatin A 186 in 39% yield. In another approach, O-methylation of compound 182 with MeI in the presence of K_2CO_3 in DMF afforded compound 187. Compound 187 underwent Baeyer– Villiger oxidation with m -CPBA to furnish compound 188 in 90% yield which further synthesized terfestatin B 189 over a few steps (Scheme 25).

Darunavir 2 is a potent natural drug which belongs to protease inhibitor therapeutics, an important part of cART (combination antiretroviral therapies) procedure.¹⁶⁵ It is widely used to treat HIV type-1 disease and AIDS.^{166,167} The key element of darunavir 2 is bis-THF [bicyclic (3R,3aS,6aR)-bistetrahydrofuran] ligand alcohol. Initially, Ghosh and co-

workers readily synthesized bis-THF alcohol via lipasepromoted enzymatic resolution of employing (3R)-diethyl malate as precursor. However, they obtained the target molecule in 92-96% ee. Quaedflieg and colleagues synthesized bis-THF by employing diastereoselective Micheal addition as key step, Black et al. reported the synthesis by employing Mukaiyama aldol reaction, and Xie group carried out the Lewis acid-mediated synthesis. In 2020, Ghosh et al. extended their work to achieve more enantioselective synthesis of optically pure bis-THF ligand (99% ee) from xylose.¹⁶⁸ The synthesis was carried out by utilizing many named reactions including Baeyer-Villiger oxidation as significant step. The synthesis was commenced with the selective protection of alcohol as benzoate derivative of benzoyl chloride in presence of DMAP and pyridine to generate a compound 191 in 95% yield. Then, Swern oxidation of compound 191 followed by Wittig olefination with (carboethoxy methylene) triphenyl phosphorane in DCM afforded an α , β -unsaturated ester 192 in 85% yield with $E/Z = 1:8$ (over 2-steps). Afterwards, catalytic hydrogenation of Z-isomer 192 with 10% Pd/C in ethanol followed by reaction with BF_3 - \cdot OEt₂ and Et₃SiH in the presence of K₂CO₃ and methanol produced a bicyclic alcohol 193 in 87% yield. In the next step, compound 193 was converted into lactone 194 in 41% yield over 3-steps including Dess-Martin oxidation, Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with *m*-CPBA and acidification in MeOH. Reduction of methyl acetal 194 with LiAlH₄ in THF gave bis-THF alcohol 195 in 63% yield. When ligand 195 was reacted with active N, N' disuccinimidyl carbonate 196 in MeCN, it yielded carbonate 197 in 65% yield. In the last step, compound 197 upon further reaction with Cbz (benzyl chlorocarbonate) derivative 198, furnished the darunavir 2 in 53% yield (Scheme 26).

Eupomatilones are natural products (cinnamic acid dimers)¹⁶⁹ related to lignan family and these were isolated by Carroll and Taylor (in 1991) from a shrub Eupomatia bennettii.¹⁷⁰

Scheme 25 Synthesis of terfestatin A 186 and terfestatin B 189 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

These are dispersed throughout the plant stems, leaves, roots, seeds and fruits, and exhibit anti-fungal, anti-HIV and anticancer activities. In 2022, Zhang et al. achieved the total synthesis of natural eupomatilones 204 and 205 from a cyclic ketone 199 by employing Cu(π)complex-catalyzed asymmetric BV oxidation.¹⁷¹ The synthesis was initiated with the Pdregulated arylation of 3-methylcyclobutanne-1-one 199 with 1bromo-3,4,5-trimethyloxybenzene 200 to prepare a racemic precursor 201 in 65% yield $(d : r = 5.6 : 1)$. To improve the diastereomeric ratio, the precursor 201 was treated with p-toluenesulfonic acid in chloroform. Then, compound 201 underwent Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA followed by reaction with $Cu(NTf_2)_2$ to furnish a chiral lactone 202 in 48% yield with 92% ee. Furthermore, lactone 202 afforded a γ -butyrolactone

Scheme 26 Synthesis of protease inhibitor; darunavir 2 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation

203 over a few steps. Finally, compound 203 afforded the eupomatilone 204 in 67% yield with 94% ee via reacting with Eschenmoser's salt in THF followed by Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with m-CPBA. In another route, compound 203 produced eupomatilone 205 in 70% yield with 95% ee via methylation with LiHMDS and MeI in THF (Scheme 27).

Hydroquinones and 1,4-benzoquinones are natural metabolites and these are widespread in marine organisms,^{172,173} plants, and animals.174,175 These compounds exhibit antioxidant and anti-cancer activities in animals. In 2023, Tsyganov et al. reported the synthesis of 3-hydroxy-2,5 dimethoxybenzo-1,4-quinone1,4-benzoquinone 209 by using Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction as a key step.¹⁷⁶ The synthesis was accomplished with the formation of apiol aldehyde 207 from apiol alkene 206 in the presence of KOH, chloroform, methanol, and pyridine. Apiol aldehyde 207 underwent Baeyer– Villiger oxidation with H_2O_2 in the presence of H_2SO_4 and methanol to furnish a phenol 208 in 78% yield. Then, oxidation of phenol 208 followed by ring opening of dioxolane resulted in the synthesis of target compound 209 in overall 73% yield (Scheme 28).

2.6. Baeyer–Villiger oxidation in the biosynthesis of natural products

There is a diversity of enzymes which can be used in selective oxidation reactions in nature. These may include oxidases,¹⁷

peroxidases,¹⁷⁸ monooxygenases,¹⁷⁹ dioxygenases,¹⁸⁰ and dehydrogenases.¹⁷⁷ Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases play a key role in the biosynthesis of many naturally occurring compounds (Fig. 7).

Bohemamines (BHMs) 214 are pyrrolizidine-based bacterial alkaloids. These are obtained from Streptomyces species and homospermidine. These are biologically active natural compounds. These are used for self-defense against amoebae. Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases BhmK/BhmJ and BhmG has a key role in the economical biosynthesis of BHMs. In 2020, Liu et al. presented the biosynthesis of BHMs from genes by utilizing BVMOs (BhmK and BhmJ) as biocatalysts.¹⁸¹ The synthetic scheme of BHMs was demonstrated into two routes. In the first route, compound BhmJ was treated with a co-enzyme to afford BHM 210 which was further reacted with BhmK to generate 211. Resulted compound 211 by reacting with Baeyer– Villiger monooxygenase afforded BHM D 212 while in another route, compound 211 synthesized compound 213 which further generated BHMs 214a, 214b & 214c on treatment with BhmA (Scheme 29).

Testosterone 216 is a significant male hormone responsible for the male characteristics. Testololactone is also a steroidal compound used to treat breast cancer, prostate cancer and prostatic hyperplasia.¹⁸² In 2020, Paula et al. reported the biosynthesis of testosterone and testololactone by utilizing Baeyer-Villiger oxidation as a key reaction.¹⁸² The conversion

Scheme 27 Synthesis of eupomatilones 204 and 205 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 28 Biosynthesis of benzoquinone 209 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

was proceeded with the formation of testosterone 216 from progesterone 215 by using Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenase followed by hydrolysis. Then, compound 216 further delivered testololactone 3 via dehydrogenation and Baeyer–Villiger oxidation using BVMO (Scheme 30).

Asperculin A 220 and penifulvin D 222 are fungal sesquiterpenes which consist of $[5.5.5.6]$ dioxafenestrane ring.¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁵ These are biologically active natural products. Primarily, asperculin A 220 and penifulvin D 222 were extracted from a terrestrial fungus; Penicillium griseofulvum and marine fungus; Aspergillus aculeatus respectively. In their previous work, Wei and colleagues reported that a 3-gene cluster (peni gene) was responsible for the biosynthesis of penifulvin A. Although the pathway was fully established but stereo and regiochemical hydroxylation on $sp³$ carbon remained unclear. In 2021, Wei and co-workers presented the biosynthesis of asperculin A and penifulvin D by using Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases PeniC and AspeB which provided valuable biocatalysts and wide range of strategies for nonactivated C-oxidation modification.¹⁸⁶ The synthesis was began with the formation of a scaffold silphinene

218 from a precursor FPP (farnesyl pyrophosphate) 217 in presence of sesquiterpene cyclase (PeniA) and AspeG. The scaffold 218 generated penifulvin A 219 over a few steps. Compound 219 in one approach, afforded asperculin A 220 via AspeB-catalyzed Beyer–Villiger oxidation in the presence of PeniF and AspeC. In another approach, it furnished penifulvin D 222 on reaction with PeniF (BVMO) after synthesizing penifulvin A 221 in the presence of PeniC (Scheme 31).

Chartreusin 227 is a glycosidic aromatic polyketide which is extracted from Streptomyces chartreusis. It is well-known for its potent biological activity against tumor cells.¹⁸⁷ Initially, Xu and colleagues investigated the role of flavin-dependent ChaZ in the gene cluster of cha but chaZ gene suppressed the synthesis of compound 227. For better understanding, Jiao et al. in 2021, presented the biosynthesis of natural compound chartreusin 227 by using flavin-dependent BVMO (ChaZ), redox enzymes, and NADPH-dependent ketoreductase ChaE.¹⁸⁷ Schematic route demonstrated the synthesis of compound 224 from a cofactorscaffold (acetyl-CoA + MeI-CoA) 223 in the presence of a framework of enzymes which was further converted into decaketide

Scheme 29 Synthesis of bohemamines 212 and 214 (a–c) via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 30 Synthesis of testosterone 216 and testololactone 3 via Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.

scaffold of tetracyclic intermediate 225 over a few steps. Consequently, scaffold, resomycin C 225 underwent ChaZcatalyzed Baeyer–Villiger oxidation to furnish a pentacyclic intermediate 226. Compound 226 generated the desired pentacyclic natural product; chartreusin 227 in the presence of ketoreductase ChaE, dioxygenase ChaP, ChaGT₁ and, ChaGT₂ (Scheme 32).

Secalonic acid D 231 is a fungal xanthone-based homodimer of blennolide B. It is biologically active natural product which encourages cancerous cells apoptosis,¹⁸⁸ suppresses DNA topoisomerase I,¹⁸⁹ and represses tumor angiogenesis.¹⁹⁰ Broad range specificity of enzyme AacuE (used for the synthesis of dimeric molecules) make it an efficient biocatalyst. Initially, Wei group attempted to achieve the total bioinspired synthesis of compound 231 but they obtained four isomers of targeted compound 231 owing to activity of A.oryzae (an endogenous enzyme). In 2021, Wei et al. successfully achieved the biosynthesis of secalonic acid D by overexpressing the BVMO (AacuH) that competed with endogenous enzyme.¹⁹¹ In the beginning, crysophanol 228 underwent AacuH-catalyzed Baeyer–Villiger

Scheme 31 Synthesis of asperaculin A 220 and penifulvin D 222 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 32 Synthesis of chartreusin 227 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 33 Synthesis of secalonic acid (B, D and F) 231 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

Scheme 34 Synthesis of vibralactone 236 via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation.

oxidation followed by hydrolysis to generate monodictyphenone 229. Then, methyl esterification of compound 229 with methyltransferase AacuQ in the presence of NsrG synthesized the compound 230. Compound 230 afforded the xanthone diamers (secalonic acid B, D, and F having specific stereochemistry) 231 over a few steps (Scheme 33).

Vibralactone 236 is a rare and potent natural product containing oxepine- $2(3H)$ -one ring. It is isolated from a basidiomycete fungus Boreostereum vibrans; Sterum vibrans and Stereum mushrooms, together with 1,5-seco-vibralactone. Vibralactone 236 has the potential to inhibit pancreatic lipase with 0.4 μ g mL^{-1} value of IC₅₀. Feng et al. in 2023, reported the total biosynthesis of vibralactone 236 by using Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases.¹⁹² For this purpose, 4-hydroxybenzoate 232 was catalyzed by UbiA prenyltransferases (VibP1/VibP2) in the presence of isoprenoid precursor, dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP), a carboxylic acid reductase BvCAR, NADPH and ATP to generate an aldehyde 233. Then, aldehyde 233 was catalyzed by reductases BvARs and NADPH to furnish a benzylic alcohol 234. Thereafter, alcohol 234 underwent a VibO-interceded enzymatic Baeyer–Villiger oxidation in the presence of NADPH and O_2 to afford a 1,5-seco-vibralactone 235. Subsequently, compound 235 afforded the targeted product 236 through VibC action (Scheme 34).

3. Conclusion

This review highlights the synthesis of natural products and their derivatives in which Baeyer–Villiger oxidation has been used as a key reaction. Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction offers the chiral lactones as valuable intermediates which can be used for consecutive reactions. Such conversions can be carried out in the presence of eco-friendly reagents, novel Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases and mild reaction conditions to achieve high enantioselectivity, regioselectivity, and desired amount of yield with no or less side products. The utility of BV oxidation in the synthesis of various natural products i.e., polyketides, terpenoids, quinones, fatty acids, and alkaloids which are pharmaceutically important due to their potent biological activities have been demonstrated. The efficiency and superiority of this reaction is expected to motivate organic chemists to focus on Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction to develop efficient pathways for further advancements towards the synthesis of natural products in future. **PSC** Advances of vibrialactons 226 by using Baset-Viliger non-

interaction on 24 A. Teremte, *bosons, 251*, and the same of the same of

Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analysed as part of this review.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

A. Irfan extends his appreciation to the Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies at King Khalid University for funding this work through Large Groups Research Project under grant number (RGP2/156/45). A. R. Chaudhry is thankful to the Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research at the University of Bisha, for supporting this work through the Fast-Track Research Support Program.

References

1 A. Cavarzan, G. Bianchini, P. Sgarbossa, L. Lefort, S. Gladiali, A. Scarso and G. Strukul, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 7930–7939.

- 2 I. A. Yaremenko, V. A. Vil, D. V. Demchuk and A. O. Terent'ev, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2016, 12, 1647–1748.
- 3 H. Leisch, K. Morley and P. C. Lau, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 4165–4222.
- 4 C. Jiménez-Sanchidrián and J. R. Ruiz, Tetrahedron, 2008, 64, 2011–2026.
- 5 M. D. T. Frisone, F. Pinna and G. Strukul, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 148–156.
- 6 W. v. E. Doering and L. Speers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 5515–5518.
- 7 M. Renz and B. Meunier, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 1999, 1999, 737– 750.
- 8 R. Criegee, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1948, 560, 127–135.
- 9 W. Adam, Acc. Chem. Res., 1989, 22, 13.
- 10 W. v. E. Doering and E. Dorfman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1953, 75, 5595–5598.
- 11 C. Bolm, G. Schlingloff and K. Weickhardt, Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1848–1849.
- 12 A. Gusso, C. Baccin, F. Pinna and G. Strukul, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 3442–3451.
- 13 L. Belaroui and A. Bengueddach, Clay Miner., 2012, 47, 275– 284.
- 14 S.-I. Murahashi, S. Ono and Y. Imada, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2002, 41, 2366–2368.
- 15 S. Xu, Z. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Zhang and K. Ding, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 2840–2843.
- 16 B. Wang, Y.-M. Shen and Y. Shi, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 9519–9521.
- 17 R. Ashraf, A. F. Zahoor, K. G. Ali, U. Nazeer, M. J. Saif, A. Mansha, A. R. Chaudhry and A. Irfan, RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 14539–14581.
- 18 T. Uchida and T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 6911– 6914.
- 19 A. Watanabe, T. Uchida, R. Irie and T. Katsuki, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2004, 101, 5737–5742.
- 20 A. Watanabe, T. Uchida, K. Ito and T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 4481–4485.
- 21 C. Bolm and O. Beckmann, Chirality: The Pharmacological, Biological, and Chemical Consequences of Molecular Asymmetry, 2000, vol. 12, pp. 523–525.
- 22 C. Paneghetti, R. Gavagnin, F. Pinna and G. Strukul, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 5057–5065.
- 23 K. Matsumoto, A. Watanabe, T. Uchida, K. Ogi and T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2004, 45, 2385–2388.
- 24 C. Bolm, T. K. K. Luong and G. Schlingloff, Synlett, 1997, 1997, 1151–1152.
- 25 C. Bolm, O. Beckmann, A. Cosp and C. Palazzi, Synlett, 2001, 2001, 1461–1463.
- 26 C. Bolm, J.-C. Frison, Y. Zhang and W. D. Wulff, Synlett, 2004, 2004, 1619–1621.
- 27 J.-C. Frison, C. Palazzi and C. Bolm, Tetrahedron, 2006, 62, 6700–6706.
- 28 C. Bolm, O. Beckmann and C. Palazzi, Can. J. Chem., 2001, 79, 1593–1597.
- 29 C. Bolm, O. Beckmann, T. Kühn, C. Palazzi, W. Adam, P. B. Rao and C. R. Saha-Möller, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2001, 12, 2441–2446.
- 30 T. D. Bradley, A. Dragan and N. C. Tomkinson, Tetrahedron, 2015, 71, 8155–8161.
- 31 G. de Gonzalo, M. D. Mihovilovic and M. W. Fraaije, ChemBioChem, 2010, 11, 2208–2231.
- 32 S. Pandit, P. Sharma, A. Prakash, B. Lal, R. Bhuyan, I. Ahmad and A. Kuila, Ind. Crops Prod., 2024, 211, 118262.
- 33 K. Kumari, T. Syed, A. Krishna, S. Muvvala, A. Nowduri, C. Sridhar and A. Saxena, Nat. Prod. Res., 2023, 37, 3402– 3408.
- 34 K. Nicolaou, C. R. Hale, C. Nilewski and H. A. Ioannidou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 5185–5238.
- 35 F. Yan, C. Li, X. Liang, S. Guo, Y. Fu and L. Chen, Recent Pat. Chem. Eng., 2013, 6, 43–56.
- 36 M. Gibson, M. Nur-e-alam, F. Lipata, M. A. Oliveira and J. Rohr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 17594–17595.
- 37 B. Frank, S. C. Wenzel, H. B. Bode, M. Scharfe, H. Blöcker and R. Müller, J. Mol. Biol., 2007, 374, 24–38.
- 38 Y. Wen, H. Hatabayashi and H. Arai, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2005, 71, 3192–3198.
- 39 R. Akhtar, A. F. Zahoor, A. Rasul, M. Ahmad, M. N. Anjum, M. Ajmal and Z. Raza, Pak. J. Pharm. Sci., 2019, 32, 2215– 2222.
- 40 I. Shahzadi, A. F. Zahoor, B. Tüzün, A. Mansha, M. N. Anjum, A. Rasul, A. Irfan, K. Kotwica-Mojzych and M. Mojzych, PLoS One, 2022, 17, e0278027.
- 41 K. Zhu, S. Hu, M. Liu, H. Peng and F. E. Chen, Angew. Chem., 2019, 131, 10028–10032.
- 42 A. ´Swizdor, Molecules, 2013, 18, 13812–13822.
- 43 M. Garrido, E. Bratoeff, D. Bonilla, J. Soriano, Y. Heuze and M. Cabeza, J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 2011, 127, 367–373.
- 44 M. J. Balunas, B. Su, R. W. Brueggemeier and A. D. Kinghorn, Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem., 2008, 8, 646–682.
- 45 H.-M. Liu, H. Li, L. Shan and J. Wu, Steroids, 2006, 71, 931– 934.
- 46 M. L. Cotter, Org. Magn. Reson., 1981, 17, 14–17.
- 47 V. V. Kane and D. L. Doyle, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 3027–3030.
- 48 G.-J. Ten Brink, I. Arends and R. Sheldon, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 4105–4124.
- 49 G. de Gonzalo and A. R. Alcántara, Catalysts, 2021, 11, 605.
- 50 M. Bučko, P. Gemeiner, A. Schenkmayerová, T. Krajčovič, F. Rudroff and M. D. Mihovilovič, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2016, 100, 6585–6599.
- 51 A. Perkel, S. Voronina and G. Borkina, Russ. Chem. Bull., 2018, 67, 779–786.
- 52 U. Nazeer, A. Mushtaq, A. F. Zahoor, F. Hafeez, I. Shahzadi and R. Akhtar, RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 35695–35732.
- 53 M. Shamma, J. L. Moniot, M. Shamma and J. L. Moniot, Isoquinoline Alkaloids Research 1972–1977, 1978, pp. 271– 292.
- 54 E. Rozengart, N. Basova and A. Suvorov, J. Evol. Biochem. Physiol., 2006, 42, 408–416.
- 55 R. Rios, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1060–1074.
- 56 L. K. Smith and I. R. Baxendale, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 9907–9933.
- 57 A. Ding, M. Meazza, H. Guo, J. W. Yang and R. Rios, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 5946–5996.
- 58 T. Kametani, K. Fukumoto, F. Satoh, K. Kigasawa and H. Sugi, Chem. Informationsdienst, 1976, 7, 921–925.
- 59 L.-Y. Pu, F. Yang, J.-Q. Chen, Y. Xiong, H.-Y. Bin, J.-H. Xie and Q.-L. Zhou, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 7526–7530.
- 60 L. Y. Pu, F. Yang, J. Q. Chen, Y. Xiong, J. H. Xie and Q. L. Zhou, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2021, 363, 785–790.
- 61 S. El-Masry, Z. Mahmoud, M. Amer, A. J. Freyer, E. Valencia, A. Patra and M. Shamma, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 729–730.
- 62 R. J. Andersen, D. J. Faulkner, C. H. He, G. D. Van Duyne and J. Clardy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 5492–5495.
- 63 C. Bailly, Curr. Med. Chem.: Anti-Cancer Agents, 2004, 4, 363– 378.
- 64 K. Tangdenpaisal, R. Worayuthakarn, S. Karnkla, P. Ploypradith, P. Intachote, S. Sengsai, B. Saimanee, S. Ruchirawat and M. Chittchang, Chem.–Asian J., 2015, 10, 925–937. Review Water Society. A Dingen and N. C. Tomisinoon, Tetrahecking. S A. Dingen, N. Neumann, S A. Dingen and R. Richardson, 2023. Dingen Access Article is licensed under a state of the state is licensed under a Creative Co
	- 65 H. Zhang, M. M. Conte, X.-C. Huang, Z. Khalil and R. J. Capon, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 2656–2663.
	- 66 A. Quesada, G. Gravalos and J. Fernandez Puentes, Br. J. Cancer, 1996, 74, 677–682.
	- 67 F. Plisson, X. C. Huang, H. Zhang, Z. Khalil and R. J. Capon, Chem.–Asian J., 2012, 7, 1616–1623.
	- 68 D. Pla, A. Francesch, P. Calvo, C. Cuevas, R. Aligué, F. Albericio and M. Alvarez, Bioconjugate Chem., 2009, 20, 1100–1111.
	- 69 L. Zheng, T. Gao, Z. Ge, Z. Ma, J. Xu, W. Ding and L. Shen, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2021, 214, 113226.
	- 70 D. M. Sarnes, P. G. Jones and T. Lindel, Org. Lett., 2022, 24, 2479–2482.
	- 71 L. Qin, W. Yi, X.-Y. Lian and Z. Zhang, J. Nat. Prod., 2020, 83, 2686–2695.
	- 72 P. Fu, F. Kong, X. Li, Y. Wang and W. Zhu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3708–3711.
	- 73 Y. Zhu, Q. Zhang, C. Fang, Y. Zhang, L. Ma, Z. Liu, S. Zhai, J. Peng, L. Zhang and W. Zhu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 14065–14069.
	- 74 J. Paciorek, D. Höfler, K. R. Sokol, K. Wurst and T. Magauer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2022, 144, 19704–19708.
	- 75 Y. Kashman, A. Groweiss, S. Carmely, Z. Kinamoni, D. Czarkie and M. Rotem, Pure Appl. Chem., 1982, 54, 1995–2010.
	- 76 M. Rotem, S. Carmely, Y. Kashman and Y. Loya, Tetrahedron, 1983, 39, 667–676.
	- 77 B. R. Copp, C. M. Ireland and L. R. Barrows, J. Nat. Prod., 1992, 55, 822–823.
	- 78 I. W. Mudianta, T. Skinner-Adams, K. T. Andrews, R. A. Davis, T. A. Hadi, P. Y. Hayes and M. J. Garson, J. Nat. Prod., 2012, 75, 2132–2143.
	- 79 T. Ichiba, P. J. Scheuer and M. Kelly-Borges, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 4149–4150.
	- 80 D. M. Ramsey, M. A. Islam, L. Turnbull, R. A. Davis, C. B. Whitchurch and S. R. McAlpine, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2013, 23, 4862–4866.
	- 81 P. P. Principe and W. S. Fisher, J. Nat. Prod., 2018, 81, 2307– 2320.
- 82 S.-i. Kurimoto, T. Ohno, R. Hokari, A. Ishiyama, M. Iwatsuki, S. Omura, J. i. Kobayashi and T. Kubota, Mar. Drugs, 2018, 16, 463. PSC Advances Articles. Published on 25 July 2024. Downloaded on 10 2024. Downloaded on 10 2024. This article i
	- 83 D. T. Youssef, H. Z. Asfour and L. A. Shaala, Mar. Drugs, 2021, 19, 433.
	- 84 R. Kumar, C. L. Bidgood, C. Levrier, J. H. Gunter, C. C. Nelson, M. C. Sadowski and R. A. Davis, J. Nat. Prod., 2020, 83, 2357–2366.
	- 85 S. Tsukamoto, H. Kato, H. Hirota and N. Fusetani, Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 8181–8186.
	- 86 R. J. Andersen and D. J. Faulkner, Tetrahedron Lett., 1973, 14, 1175–1178.
	- 87 A. I. de Medeiros, R. C. Gandolfi, A. Secatto, R. M. Falcucci, L. H. Faccioli, E. Hajdu, S. Peixinho and R. G. Berlinck, Immunopharmacol. Immunotoxicol., 2012, 34, 919–924.
	- 88 L. A. Shaala, D. T. Youssef, J. M. Badr, M. Sulaiman and A. Khedr, Mar. Drugs, 2015, 13, 1621–1631.
	- 89 L. Zhang, R. Wang, C. Wang, B. Liu, J. Yang, Z. Zhang, J. Huang and Z. Yang, Org. Lett., 2022, 24, 3786–3791.
	- 90 M. N. Salib, M. T. Jamison and T. F. Molinski, J. Nat. Prod., 2020, 83, 1532–1540.
	- 91 A. P. Morrow and M. W. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2024, 146, 2913–2918.
	- 92 S. M. King and S. B. Herzon, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 8937– 8947.
	- 93 D. K. Semwal, U. Rawat, R. Semwal, R. Singh, P. Krishan, M. Singh and G. J. P. Singh, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2009, 11, 1045–1055.
	- 94 A. R. Carroll, T. Arumugan, J. Redburn, A. Ngo, G. P. Guymer, P. I. Forster and R. J. Quinn, J. Nat. Prod., 2010, 73, 988–991.
	- 95 M. Tomita, T. Ibuka, Y. Inubuahi and K. Takeda, Tetrahedron Lett., 1964, 5, 3605–3616.
	- 96 M.-H. Yan, P. Cheng, Z.-Y. Jiang, Y.-B. Ma, X.-M. Zhang, F.-X. Zhang, L.-M. Yang, Y.-T. Zheng and J.-J. Chen, J. Nat. Prod., 2008, 71, 760–763.
	- 97 Y. K. Sun, J. B. Qiao, Y. M. Xin, Q. Zhou, Z. H. Ma, H. Shao and Y. M. Zhao, Angew. Chem., 2023, 135, e202310917.
	- 98 K. J. Weissman, Methods Enzymol., 2009, 459, 3–16.
	- 99 A. Schüller, L. Studt-Reinhold, H. Berger, L. Silvestrini, R. Labuda, U. Güldener, M. Gorfer, M. Bacher, M. Doppler and E. Gasparotto, Fungal Biol. Biotechnol., 2023, 10, 1–19.
	- 100 B. Hou, S. Liu, E. Li and X. Jiang, Chem. Biodiversity, 2020, 17, e2000743.
	- 101 Q. Lu, S. Yan, H. Sun, W. Wang, Y. Li, X. Yang, X. Jiang, Y. Che and Z. Xi, Cell Death Dis., 2015, 6, e2005.
	- 102 Z. Xiao, L. Li, Y. Li, W. Zhou, J. Cheng, F. Liu, P. Zheng, Y. Zhang and Y. Che, Cell Death Dis., 2014, 5, e1241.
	- 103 F. Zhang, T. Yan and W. Guo, J. Peking Univ. (Health Sci.), 2019, 51, 234–238.
	- 104 W. Wu, W. Cao, L. Hu, Z. Su, X. Liu and X. Feng, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 7003–7008.
	- 105 S. Gunasekera, G. Paul and R. Longley, J. Nat. Prod., 2002, 65, 1643–1648.
- 106 S. P. Gunasekera, S. J. Mickel, R. Daeffler, D. Niederer, A. E. Wright, P. Linley and T. Pitts, J. Nat. Prod., 2004, 67, 749–756.
- 107 S. P. Gunasekera, M. Gunasekera, R. E. Longley and G. K. Schulte, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 4912–4915.
- 108 D. T. Hung, J. B. Nerenberg and S. L. Schreiber, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 11054–11080.
- 109 N. Dubasi and R. Varala, Caribbean Journal of Sciences and Technology, 2022, 10, 28–35.
- 110 R. Munir, A. F. Zahoor, U. Nazeer, M. A. Saeed, A. Mansha, A. Irfan and M. U. Tariq, RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 35172–35208.
- 111 E. ter Haar, R. J. Kowalski, E. Hamel, C. M. Lin, R. E. Longley, S. P. Gunasekera, H. S. Rosenkranz and B. W. Day, Biochemistry, 1996, 35, 243–250.
- 112 D. Si and K. P. Kaliappan, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2020, 9, 1205– 1212.
- 113 K. Babar, A. F. Zahoor, S. Ahmad and R. Akhtar, Mol. Diversity, 2021, 25, 2487–2532.
- 114 K. Matsuo and Y. Sakaguchi, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1997, 45, 1620–1625.
- 115 L. Trifonov, H. Hilpert, P. Floersheim, A. Dreiding, D. Rast, R. Skrivanova and L. Hoesch, Tetrahedron, 1986, 42, 3157– 3179.
- 116 C. Liu, F.-L. Zou, K.-G. Wen, Y.-Y. Peng, Q.-P. Ding and X.-P. Zeng, Org. Lett., 2023, 25, 5719–5723.
- 117 J.-M. Gao, S.-X. Yang and J.-C. Qin, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 4755–4811.
- 118 C. Chen, H. Tao, W. Chen, B. Yang, X. Zhou, X. Luo and Y. Liu, RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 10197–10220.
- 119 N. Osmanova, W. Schultze and N. Ayoub, Phytochem. Rev., 2010, 9, 315–342.
- 120 M. Ba, F. He, L. Ren, W. Whittingham, P. Yang and A. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2023, e202314800.
- 121 S. Perveen and A. Al-Taweel, Terpenes and Terpenoids, BoD– Books on Demand, 2018.
- 122 A. Ullah, S. Munir, Y. Mabkhot and S. L. Badshah, Molecules, 2019, 24, 678.
- 123 M. G. Aguilar, G. F. Sousa, F. C. Evangelista, A. P. Sabino, S. A. Vieira Filho and L. P. Duarte, Nat. Prod. Res., 2020, 34, 810–815.
- 124 A. Petronelli, G. Pannitteri and U. Testa, Anti-Cancer Drugs, 2009, 20, 880–892.
- 125 K. V. Chuang, C. Xu and S. E. Reisman, Science, 2016, 353, 912–915.
- 126 P. Deslongchamps, A. Bélanger, D. J. Berney, H.-J. Borschberg, R. Brousseau, A. Doutheau, R. Durand, H. Katayama, R. Lapalme and D. M. Leturc, Can. J. Chem., 1990, 68, 115–126.
- 127 P. Deslongchamps, A. Bélanger, D. J. Berney, H.-J. Borschberg, R. Brousseau, A. Doutheau, R. Durand, H. Katayama, R. Lapalme and D. M. Leturc, Can. J. Chem., 1990, 68, 127–152.
- 128 K. Du, M. J. Kier, Z. D. Stempel, V. Jeso, A. L. Rheingold and G. C. Micalizio, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 12937–12941.
- 129 S. Al-Babili and H. J. Bouwmeester, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 2015, 66, 161–186.
- 130 B. Zwanenburg and D. Blanco-Ania, J. Exp. Bot., 2018, 69, 2205–2218.
- 131 M. Yoshimura, M. Dieckmann, P. Y. Dakas, R. Fonné-Pfister, C. Screpanti, K. Hermann, S. Rendine, P. Quinodoz, B. Horoz and S. Catak, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2020, 103, e2000017. Review Water States Articles. Published on 25 July 2024. Downloaded on 25 July 2024. Downloaded on 25 July 2024. The Commons Attribution-Non-

1. Forms-Plater, M. Dietermann, P. M. This article. 158 A. Borotti, C. Unported
	- 132 W.-L. Xiao, R.-T. Li, S.-X. Huang, J.-X. Pu and H.-D. Sun, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2008, 25, 871–891.
	- 133 Y.-M. Shi, W.-L. Xiao, J.-X. Pu and H.-D. Sun, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2015, 32, 367–410.
	- 134 Y. Wang, B. Chen, X. He and J. Gui, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 5007–5012.
	- 135 L. You, X.-T. Liang, L.-M. Xu, Y.-F. Wang, J.-J. Zhang, Q. Su, Y.-H. Li, B. Zhang, S.-L. Yang and J.-H. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 10120–10123.
	- 136 M. Getasetegn, Phytochem. Rev., 2016, 15, 907–920.
	- 137 O. Wolfes, Arch. Pharm., 1930, 268, 81.
	- 138 M. Tomanik, Z. Xu and S. B. Herzon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 699–704.
	- 139 E. Okuyama, M. Yamazaki and Y. Katsube, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 3233–3234.
	- 140 R. Geris and T. J. Simpson, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2009, 26, 1063– 1094.
	- 141 M. Jiang, Z. Wu, L. Liu and S. Chen, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2021, 19, 1644–1704.
	- 142 F. Yang and J. A. Porco Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2022, 144, 12970–12978.
	- 143 I. Dams, J. Wasyluk, M. Prost and A. Kutner, Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediators, 2013, 104, 109–121.
	- 144 C. D. Funk, Science, 2001, 294, 1871–1875.
	- 145 A. Pelšs, N. Gandhamsetty, J. R. Smith, D. Mailhol, M. Silvi, A. J. Watson, I. Perez-Powell, S. Prévost, N. Schützenmeister and P. R. Moore, Chem.–Eur. J., 2018, 24, 9542–9545.
	- 146 K. Zhu, S. Hu, M. Liu, H. Peng and F. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 131, 10028–10032.
	- 147 M. Hamberg, J. Svensson and B. Samuelsson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1975, 72, 2994–2998.
	- 148 R. Morchón, E. Carretón, R. García, T. Zueva, V. Kartashev and F. Simón, *J. Helminthol.*, 2020, 94, e67.
	- 149 C. Jing and V. K. Aggarwal, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 6505–6509.
	- 150 S. Arihara, P. Rüedi and C. H. Eugster, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1975, 58, 447–453.
	- 151 W. Zhou, H. Xie, X. Xu, Y. Liang and X. Wei, J. Funct. Foods, 2014, 6, 492–498.
	- 152 R. J. Grayer, M. R. Eckert, N. C. Veitch, G. C. Kite, P. D. Marin, T. Kokubun, M. S. Simmonds and A. J. Paton, Phytochemistry, 2003, 64, 519–528.
	- 153 V. Timokhin, M. Regner, Y. Tsuji, J. Grabber and J. Ralph, Synlett, 2018, 29, 1229.
	- 154 A. F. Zahoor, M. Yousaf, R. Siddique, S. Ahmad, S. A. R. Naqvi and S. M. A. Rizvi, Synth. Commun., 2017, 47, 1021–1039.
	- 155 D. Mares, A. Bonora, G. Sacchetti, M. Rubini and C. Romagnoli, Cell Biol. Int., 1997, 21, 397–404.
	- 156 M. B. Müller, J. Bertrams and F. C. Stintzing, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2020, 188, 113370.
- 157 E. Teuscher and U. Lindequist, Natural Poisons and Venoms: Plant Toxins: Terpenes and Steroids, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG, 2023.
- 158 A. Bonora, G. Dall'Olio and A. Bruni, Planta Med., 1985, 51, 364–367.
- 159 F. Stintzing, E. Selinger, U. Lindequist, K. Wende, H. Wegele and U. Meyer, J. Anthropol. Med., 2010, 63, 567–573.
- 160 R. Alibés, J. Font, A. Mulá and R. M. Ortuño, Synth. Commun., 1990, 20, 2607–2615.
- 161 K. Mliki and M. Trabelsi, Res. Chem. Intermed., 2016, 42, 8253–8260.
- 162 J. J. Martínez, L. A. Páez, L. F. Gutiérrez, O. H. Pardo Cuervo, H. A. Rojas, G. P. Romanelli, J. Portilla, J. C. Castillo and D. Becerra, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2020, 9, 2184–2190.
- 163 L. Wang, M. Li, J. Tang, Y. Lin, K. Sidthipong, N. Sumida, N. Kushida and K. Umezawa, J. Antibiot., 2017, 70, 987–990.
- 164 S. Sugawara, Y. Meguro, S. Sato, M. Enomoto, Y. Ogura and S. Kuwahara, Tetrahedron Lett., 2020, 61, 151891.
- 165 A. K. Ghosh, H. L. Osswald and G. Prato, J. Med. Chem., 2016, 59, 5172–5208.
- 166 J. Robertson and J. Feinberg, Expert Opin. Pharmacother., 2012, 13, 1363–1375.
- 167 J. M. Llibre, A. Imaz and B. Clotet, AIDS Rev., 2013, 15, 112– 121.
- 168 A. K. Ghosh, S. B. Markad and W. L. Robinson, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 86, 1216–1222.
- 169 S. Mitra, S. R. Gurrala and R. S. Coleman, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 8724–8736.
- 170 R. S. Coleman and S. R. Gurrala, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 4025– 4028.
- 171 C.-S. Zhang, Y.-P. Shao, F.-M. Zhang, X. Han, X.-M. Zhang, K. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu, Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 8429–8435.
- 172 M. Ochi, H. Kotsuki, S. Inoue, M. Taniguchi and T. Tokoroyama, Chem. Lett., 1979, 8, 831–832.
- 173 R. J. Capon, E. L. Ghisalberti and P. R. Jefferies, Phytochemistry, 1983, 22, 1465–1467.
- 174 R. Thomson, Naturally Occurring Quinones, Elsevier, 2012.
- 175 J. Pennock, Terpenoids in Plants, ed. J. B. Pridham, Academic Press, London, 1967, pp. 129–146.
- 176 D. V. Tsyganov, D. V. Demchuk, O. I. Adaeva, L. D. Konyushkin, M. E. Minyaev, V. N. Khrustalev and V. V. Semenov, Mendeleev Commun., 2023, 33, 539–542.
- 177 R. D. Schmid and V. Urlacher, Modern Biooxidation: Enzymes, Reactions and Applications, John Wiley & Sons, 2007.
- 178 D. W. Wong, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 2009, 157, 174–209.
- 179 V. B. Urlacher and R. D. Schmid, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2006, 10, 156–161.
- 180 T. D. Bugg and S. Ramaswamy, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2008, 12, 134–140.
- 181 L. Liu, S. Li, R. Sun, X. Qin, J. Ju, C. Zhang, Y. Duan and Y. Huang, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 4614–4619.
- 182 S. F. C. de Paula and A. L. M. Porto, Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol., 2020, 25, 101546.
- 183 S. H. Shim, D. C. Swenson, J. B. Gloer, P. F. Dowd and D. T. Wicklow, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 1225–1228.
- 184 S. H. Shim, J. B. Gloer and D. T. Wicklow, J. Nat. Prod., 2006, 69, 1601–1605.
- 185 N. Ingavat, C. Mahidol, S. Ruchirawat and P. Kittakoop, J. Nat. Prod., 2011, 74, 1650–1652.
- 186 Q. Wei, H.-C. Zeng and Y. Zou, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 948– 957.
- 187 F. W. Jiao, Y. S. Wang, X. T. You, W. Wei, Y. Chen, C. L. Yang, Z. K. Guo, B. Zhang, Y. Liang and R. X. Tan, Angew. Chem., 2021, 133, 26582–26588.
- 188 J.-y. Zhang, L.-y. Tao, Y.-j. Liang, Y.-y. Yan, C.-l. Dai, X.-k. Xia, Z.-g. She, Y.-c. Lin and L.-w. Fu, Cell Cycle, 2009, 8, 2444–2450. **PSC Advances**

164 S. II. Shin, J. B. Gloor and D. T. Wickbook, J. Nois, 2004, 2004, 2014, 2014, 2024, 2004, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024
	- 189 R. Hong, Pharm. Biol., 2011, 49, 796–799.
	- 190 S. K. Guru, A. S. Pathania, S. Kumar, D. Ramesh, M. Kumar, S. Rana, A. Kumar, F. Malik, P. Sharma and B. Chandan, Cancer Res., 2015, 75, 2886–2896.
	- 191 X. Wei, X. Chen, L. Chen, D. Yan, W.-G. Wang and Y. Matsuda, J. Nat. Prod., 2021, 84, 1544–1549.
	- 192 K.-N. Feng, Y. Zhang, M. Zhang, Y.-L. Yang, J.-K. Liu, L. Pan and Y. Zeng, Nat. Commun., 2023, 14, 3436.